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Unraveling the Order and Disorder in Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/Poly(styrenesulfonate) Nanofilms
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1 Physical Sciences and Engineering Division, COHMAS Laboratory, 2 Imaging and Characterization Lab, and 3 Physical Sciences and Engineering Division, High-Speed Fluids Imaging Laboratory King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT: Conductive polymer poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT/PSS) exhibits a tunable conductivity ranging from 0.1 to 4380 S cm⁻¹ under different doping and/or dedoping strategies. However, the dependence of macroscopic electrical properties on the evolution of the microstructure is not clearly understood. This is the first study that systematically investigated the spatial arrangement of the ordered and disordered phases in PEDOT/PSS nanofilms by bright-field (BF), high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) combined with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and element-thickness mapping. Our observations clarify how amorphous PSS hinders electrical transport at various length scales in the PEDOT/PSS films. Moreover, the mechanism for an enhancement in 3 orders of magnitude in electrical conductivity was proved by TEM investigation, which is mainly due to a more uniform dispersion by dedoping that opens PEDOT nanoparticle clusters in PEDOT/PSS films. Our microstructural and electrical studies show that the change in spatial arrangement and interaction of small PEDOT domains plays a considerable role in the final electron transport.

INTRODUCTION

Conductive polymers attract significant interest because of their facile tunability in electrical properties and processability, which make them popular for the next generation of flexible electronics.1−11 In conductive polymers, highly anisotropic molecules have high conductivity along their backbone chains, moderate conductivity between chains and low conductivity between widely spaced lamellar planes.12,13 Carrier trapping normally results from structural disorder or amorphous grain boundaries between crystallites. A simple macroscopic electrical measurement cannot sufficiently clarify the complex transport mechanism between crystalline grains. However, analytical electron microscopy (AEM) can directly image the spatial arrangement of ordered and disordered phases in conductive polymers.

Previous studies report direct observation of the microstructure of conductive polymer nanofilms under transmission electron microscopy (TEM).14−17 Takacs et al. found a high degree of molecular order in poly(N,N'-bis(2-oclyldecyl)-naphthalene-1,4,5,8-bis(dicarboximide)-2,6-diyl)-alt-5,5’-(2,2’-bithiophene) by using a combination of high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). It is possible to spatially resolve a highly ordered “face-on” lamella nanostructure over the entire film.16 Wirix et al. revealed a three-dimensional lamellar structure formed by the stacking of conjugated backbones of poly(3-hexylthiophene) by cryo-TEM.17 The results obtained by TEM provide insight into grain size, connectivity and crystalline order. Moreover, it is possible to extract information about molecular packing and orientation, local order and disorder and phase segregation.18−21

Another important conductive polymer, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)/poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT/PSS), has gained tremendous interest due to its facile tunable conductivity that can be varied from 0.1 to 4380 S cm⁻¹ using different doping and/or dedoping strategies.19−21 PEDOT/PSS has served as an excellent candidate to replace indium tin oxide (ITO), has reached the requirements for use in current driven devices such as displays and touch panels and is approaching the requirements for solar cells.21,22 In addition to tunable conductivity, this polymer has the advantages of flexibility, low-cost production processes such as printing, safe handling and large scale availability. Despite the intensive research that has been conducted on PEDOT/PSS, the relationship between microstructure and conductivity remains poorly understood. To date, only the core−shell structure of PEDOT/PSS grains in nanofilms has been observed under TEM.23 In an earlier study, we confirmed that the core−shell microstructure is characterized by a PEDOT nanocrystal-rich outer shell surrounded by an insulating PSS-rich shell.24 Furthermore, PEDOT/PSS exhibits a tunable conductivity that can be varied from 0.1 to 4380 S cm⁻¹ under different doping and/or dedoping strategies. However, the dependence of macroscopic electrical properties on the evolution of the microstructure is not clearly understood. This is the first study that systematically investigated the spatial arrangement of the ordered and disordered phases in PEDOT/PSS nanofilms by bright-field (BF), high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) combined with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and element-thickness mapping. Our observations clarify how amorphous PSS hinders electrical transport at various length scales in the PEDOT/PSS films. Moreover, the mechanism for an enhancement in 3 orders of magnitude in electrical conductivity was proved by TEM investigation, which is mainly due to a more uniform dispersion by dedoping that opens PEDOT nanoparticle clusters in PEDOT/PSS films. Our microstructural and electrical studies show that the change in spatial arrangement and interaction of small PEDOT domains plays a considerable role in the final electron transport.
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we correlated the microstructural change with its macroscopic
electrical and mechanical properties at different temperatures. Our previous results highlight the importance of considering
microstructural changes as a design element and also show the
potential to extract information about phase segregation. To
date, the most enlightening studies on conductive polymer
films come from insights into their microstructure over a wide
range of length scales.\textsuperscript{12,16,18} This is based on the fact that
electronic transport is sensitive to connectivity between
conductive domains and defects within and at the domain
boundaries.\textsuperscript{25} Therefore, this investigation will help to further
clarify the correlation between microstructure and electrical
properties.

Here, we use bright-field transmission electron microscopy
(BF-TEM) and high-angle annular dark-field scanning trans-
mission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) to provide
evidence of the morphology of PEDOT/PSS nanofilm micro-
structure when induced during annealing process and solvent
doping. We have gained new insights into the correlation
between microstructure and electrical conductivity by unravel-
ing the overall morphology, grain boundary and interconnec-
tivity of conductive domains in PEDOT/PSS thin films. These
results may encourage the development of strategies to further
improve the performance of next-generation organic semi-
conductors.

\textbf{EXPERIMENTAL SECTION}

Materials. PEDOT/PSS aqueous dispersion, Clevios P and
PH1000 were purchased from HC Starck, Inc. Ethylene glycol
(EG) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Preparation of PEDOT/PSS Films. PEDOT/PSS nano-
films for TEM imaging were prepared in a clean room to avoid
contamination; and the procedures are reported in a previous
study\textsuperscript{38} and illustrated simply in Figure S1. (1) a silicon wafer
was spin-coated with a 10 \( \mu \)m AZ9210 photoresist film at a
speed of 2400 rpm and baked at 110 °C for 180 s; (2) this
photoresist was treated in an \( O_2 \) plasma cleaner to improve its
hydrophilicity; (3) a dispersion of PEDOT/PSS was spin-
coated on the AZ9210-coated wafer at 5000 rpm and air-dried;
(4) samples were cut into small squares (3 \( \times \) 3 mm\(^2\)) and
immersed in an acetone bath, next 200-mesh holey-carbon
copper grids (Pacific Grid Tech) were used to catch the
PEDOT/PSS nanofilms that float off from the wafers; and (5)
samples were then separated into three groups: the first group
was dried in ambient air (21 °C, RH at 65%), the second group
was annealed at 120 °C for 15 min and the third group was
immersed in EG for 2 h followed by annealing at 150 °C in air
for 15 min to remove EG before observation.

We used quartz wafers to prepare uniform spin-coated
PEDOT/PSS nanofilms for AFM imaging. Wafers (2.5 \( \times \) 2.5
cm\(^2\)) were placed for 1 min in an oxygen plasma cleaner for
desorption at 200 mTorr oxygen gas and 40 W. This process
helped to remove any dust, improved the wettability of the
quartz wafers, and finally enhanced the uniformity of the
PEDOT/PSS nanofilms. Spin-coating of PEDOT/PSS nanofilms
was performed on treated quartz wafer at a speed of 5000 rpm/
min for 60 s. These films were subsequently annealed on a hot
plate at 120 °C for 20 min in ambient atmosphere. EG-treated
samples were obtained by using the same protocol for
preparing TEM samples.

PEDOT/PSS microfilms for XRD and XPS tests were
prepared by casting 23 g of Clevios P and PH1000 aqueous
solution on polycarbonate sheets (7 \( \times \) 7 cm\(^2\)). The
dolycarbonate sheets were made by hot pressing, as described in
previous studies.\textsuperscript{16,27} The PEDOT/PSS films were dried in ambient air (26 °C, RH at 65%) for 96 h. Films with a thickness of about 50 \( \mu \)m were peeled off from the polycarbonate sheets
and annealed in air at 150 °C for 2 h. Solvent treatment of the
films were performed by immersing them into an EG bath for 2
h and then annealed again in the air at 150 °C for another 2 h.

Characterizations. Sheet resistances (\( R_s \)) of the spin-
coated films were measured by a CMT-SR2000N four-probe
circuit with a probe space of 1 mm (Materials Development
Corporation). The electrical conductivity (\( \sigma \)) of the thin film
was defined as

\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{R_s f} = \frac{1}{\frac{t}{K \mu}}
\]

(1)

Considering that all films had a thickness \( t \) below 50 nm (well below half the probe spacing), we used the classical thin films
correction constant (\( K \)), which is defined as

\[
K = \frac{\sigma}{\ln(2)} = 4.532
\]

(2)

\( U (V) \) is the measured voltage and \( I (A) \) is the prescribed
current.\textsuperscript{26} On each sample, we measured the sheet resistances at 10 different locations, then the measured values were averaged. The thickness of each film was measured by a Dektak 8 surface
profilometer (Veeco Instruments Inc.).

TEM images of PEDOT/PSS were taken by using a Titan G\( ^{26} \)
30-300 CT (FEI Company) at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV, equipped with a field-emission electron source. Combined with low-dose and high-voltage imaging,\textsuperscript{26,29} we were able to minimize the beam damage on the samples. The PEDOT/PSS samples were analyzed with bright-field TEM (BF-TEM) and high-angle annular dark-field scanning electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) observation modes. BF-TEM micrographs were digitally recorded using a US4000 charged-couple device (CCD) camera (Gatan, Inc.); HAADF-STEM micrographs were recorded with an analogue detector (E. A. Fischione, Inc.). In addition, electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS)\textsuperscript{169} investigations were made by setting the instrument in TEM-
diffraction mode, using a GIF Triidem postcolumn energy filter (Gatan, Inc.). The specimens were loaded in the TEM chamber by a 621 cryo-transfer holder (Gatan, Inc.). Samples were first investigated at room temperature (RT) and then cooled down to \(-177 °C (LT) \) by filling the holder’s dewar flask with liquid
nitrogen. BF-TEM images were acquired with a total electron
dose of 50 e\(^{-} \) Å\(^{-2}\); while HAADF-STEM images were acquired with an total electron dose of around 187 e\(^{-} \) Å\(^{-2}\). At these low dose values, only the limited or no structural degradation of the sample was observed during the data acquisition. To further reduce the beam damage, the imaging conditions were optimized on a sacrificial-area. In this way the useful data was acquired from an undamaged area with a minimum electron dose. The entire image acquisition and data processing were performed using the GMS v1.8.3 microscopy suite software (Gatan, Inc.). The average diameter of grains, gap between grains and nanoparticles were measured from 50 different locations determined from a line measurement by ImageJ.\textsuperscript{188} Diameter of particles is defined as the diameter of the smallest circle in which the particle can be embedded. For the EELS\textsuperscript{190} element mapping, the energy-filtered TEM was applied to determine the spatial distribution of C and S elements, using a GIF-Triidem postcolumn energy filter (Gatan, Inc.). The C-K\textsuperscript{193} and S-L2S energy edges were chosen to generate the C and S\textsuperscript{194}
elements maps, respectively. Moreover, a three-window method of element mapping was adapted to generate these maps. Atomic force microscopy images of spin-coated films on quartz wafers were taken using an Agilent 5500 (Agilent Technologies) microscope in the tapping mode over a window of 1 μm × 1 μm. A rotated tip etched silicon probe (Bruker Corporation) with a force constant of 2.8 N·m⁻¹ was used. Grazing incident wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) measurements were performed at D-line, Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source (CHESS) at Cornell University. A wide band-pass (1.47%) X-ray with a wavelength of 1.167 Å was shone on the thin films with a grazing incidence angle of 0.15 degree. A 50 × 50 mm CCD detector (Medoptics) with a pixel size of 46.9 μm was placed at a distance of 95 mm from the samples. A 1.5 mm wide tantalum rod was used to block the intense scattering in the small-angle area. The exposure time was 1 s.

Normal wide-angle X-ray diffraction (WAXD) tests were performed from 2 to 35° in a continuous mode using a Bruker D8 Advance powder X-ray diffractometer, with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) at 40 kV and 40 mA. To generate a relatively high intensity of the peak, a slow increment at 0.02° and slow scan speed at 12 s/step was applied. Percent crystallinity was determined by Diffract. EVA software (Bruker).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed with a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (hν = 1486.6 eV) operating at 150 W, a multichannel plate and delay line detector under a vacuum of 1 × 10⁻⁹ mbar. All spectra were recorded using an aperture slot of 300 × 700 μm. The survey and high-resolution spectra were collected at fixed analyzer pass energies of 160 and 20 eV, respectively. The XPS peaks were analyzed using a Shirley-type background and a nonlinear least-squares fitting of the experimental data based on a mixed Gauss/Lorentz peak shape. XPS quantification was performed by applying the appropriate relative sensitivity factors to the integrated peak areas.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Annealing-Induced Microstructural Rearrangement
First, we confirmed the high purity of PEDOT/PSS nanofilms (PH1000) by using energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) (Figure S2) and found results consistent with previous P film studies. The traceable sodium (Na) was most likely from the catalyst during the fabrication process of PEDOT/PSS. In the absence of annealing, both P and PH1000 nanofilms showed fuzzy rings in their selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. In fact, air-dried PH1000 exhibited no clear segregation between amorphous and crystalline phases (as shown in the Figure S3), indicating that PEDOT chains are randomly oriented in the spin-coated PEDOT/PSS films with no clear polycrystalline structure. Figure 1 and Figure S4 show electron micrographs of PH1000 and P nanofilms, respectively, after annealing in air at 120 °C for 15 min. The annealing process caused grains of PH1000 and P films to redistribute uniformly (Figure 1 and Figure S4). However, because the grains were densely packed in annealed P films, we were not able to resolve the core–shell structure of the grains. Alternatively, grains in the annealed PH1000 film were evenly distributed with an average grain diameter of 40 ± 7 nm, as show in the BF-TEM images (Figure 1, parts a and b).
Next, using HAADF-STEM analysis we obtained high-resolution images of the samples by enhancing the Z-contrast of the film. The average diameter of separated grains with arbitrary shapes measured from Figure 1, parts c and d, was 48 ± 10 nm, slightly larger than that measured from the BF-TEM image (Figure 1, parts a and b). In a previous study, the reason behind this diameter difference is discussed in detail. The intensity distribution of the marked area in Figure 1d confirmed that the grains are in a core−shell structure (Figure 1e). A relatively lower intensity at the border compared to that at the center allowed us to determine an average shell thickness of 7 ± 2 nm. Note that the black regions (10−100 nm) between the separated grains occupy nearly 40% of the area (estimated from Figure 1d). To get a deeper understanding of the chemical structure on the PEDOT/PSS nanofilms, EELS analysis was performed on the dark (A), the shell (B), and the core (C) regions to represent different locations of the PEDOT/PSS nanofilms, as marked in Figure 1d. Figure 1f shows that the π* excitation of the unsaturated C=πC bond was located at 286.0 eV for both the dark (A) and shell (B) region, but shifted to 287.6 eV for the core region (C). Despite that the π* peak is associated with sp2 carbon in all cases, the C=πC bond environment is different in PSS (C=πC=C) and PEDOT (C=πC−O). This shift reveals that sp2 in the core region originates more from PEDOT than PSS and clearly identifies the dark region as mainly PSS segments. Results were verified by performing graphite bonding (sp2) quantification at different locations. The quantification was based on the fact that the low-loss and K-edge spectra of sp3 carbon and sp2 carbon differ substantially, enabling us to use EELS to quantify the relative sp3 (diamond-like) and sp2 (graphitic) bonding. The fraction of graphite bonding (R) is determined by

\[ R = \frac{I(\pi*)}{I(\Delta)} \frac{I(\Delta)}{I_0} \]  

where \( I(\pi*) \) is the K-shell intensity in the π*-peak and \( I(\Delta) \) represents K-loss intensity integrated over an energy range \( \Delta \) (50 eV) starting at the threshold. The ratio of low-loss and zero-loss intensities \( I(\Delta)/I_0 \) corrects for plural scattering present in \( I(\Delta) \). Thus, the fraction of graphitic bonding (sp2) is evaluated as

\[ f = \frac{R}{R_k} \]  

where \( R_k \) is the value of R measured from the spectrum recorded from graphitized carbon under the same TEM conditions and found out to be 0.40. From these equations, the fractions of graphitic bonding (R) are determined to be 22%, 27%, and 42% for the dark region, the shell and the core, respectively. This confirms that the core is a PEDOT-rich area compared with the surroundings, which are mainly rich in PSS.

An experiment was also performed in a cryo state under the HAADF-STEM mode to reduce background intensity, which can reduce observation noise and improve resolution for observing the core−shell structure (Figure 2a). Moreover, the high contrast quality of cryo-TEM images enables sizing of nanoparticles as small as 1.5 to 5 nm; the average size was 3.0 ± 1.0 nm (Figure 2b). The bright nanoparticles observed within the grains are suspected of being PEDOT nanocrystals, separated from each other by PSS segments.

Elemental analysis shows the core region is rich in carbon (C), while sulfur (S) is distributed throughout the grains (Figure 3); the intensity distribution of the marked area in Figure 3, parts a and b, confirms that C is about 8 times more intense than S. This agrees with the carbon to sulfur atomic ratio in both PEDOT and PSS. Moreover, the composite color map in Figure 3c shows that free PSS region has less S than does the grain region. The thickness of the PEDOT/PSS film in the grain region (bright) is higher than that of free PSS region (dark), as shown by the film-thickness map (Figure 3d).

The absolute thicknesses of all films were estimated from an effective mean free path and details will be further discussed in the following sections.

From the analysis of TEM micrographs and elemental maps (Figures 1, 2 and 3), we summarize that there are three types of nonconductive boundaries that hinder the electrical transport in PEDOT/PSS films, as illustrated in Figure 4: (1) free PSS (10−100 nm) in PEDOT/PSS films, (2) a PSS-rich shell (7 ± 2 nm) surrounding the PEDOT-rich core, and (3) bonded PSS (<5 nm) between PEDOT islands inside the PEDOT-rich core.

Changes in Microstructure by Solvent Dedoping. Charge carriers cannot easily pass through amorphous PSS regions, which hinders the electrical transport in PEDOT/PSS films; therefore, they should be excluded from the conduction process. EG dedoping of PEDOT/PSS films can partially remove the amorphous, nonconductive PSS regions, leading to enhanced electrical conductivity of up to 3 or 4 orders of magnitude and the charge carrier density increased by a factor of 1.5. We have confirmed this result in our EG dedoped PEDOT/PSS films: spin-coated films were immersed in an EG bath for 2 h and followed by annealing in air at 150 °C for 15 min. The conductivity of the PEDOT/PSS film increased by 3 orders of magnitude: from 0.1 ± 0.3 to 329.0 ± 18.9 S·cm−1 and from 1.0 ± 0.2 to 1344.3 ± 147.3 S·cm−1, for P and PH1000 films, respectively (Figure 5a). We also noticed that the transparency at 550 nm are 96.5 and 96.7% for P and EG-P films, respectively, and 97.0 and 97.4% for PH1000 and EG-PH1000 films, respectively (Figure 5b). These results indicate that EG dedoping does not have significant effect on the transparency of the films.

The conductivity enhancement will be explained by using a combined investigation from TEM, AFM, GIWAXS, and XPS as follows. First, we used TEM to determine absolute thickness...
The procedure for estimating specimen thickness within a region defined by the incident beam (or an area-selecting aperture) is to record a low-loss spectrum and compare the area \( I_0 \) under the zero-loss peak with the total area \( I_t \) under the whole spectrum. Absolute thickness \( t \) of the PEDOT/PSS nanofilm for TEM imaging is estimated from an effective mean free path \( \lambda \) given by

\[
\lambda = \lambda \ln(I/I_0)
\]  

(5)

where \( \lambda \) is expressed by

\[
\lambda \approx \frac{106F(E_0/E_m)}{\ln(2\beta E_0/E_m)}
\]  

(6)

In this equation, \( \lambda \) is in nm, \( \beta = 10 \) mrad, and \( E_0 \) is the accelerating voltage of 300 kV; \( F \) is a relativistic factor (0.512 for \( E_0 = 300 \) keV) defined by

\[
F = \frac{1 + E_0/1022 \text{ keV}}{(1 + E_0/511 \text{ keV})^2}
\]  

(7)

\( E_m \) is defined by

\[
E_m \approx 7.6(Z_e)^{0.56}
\]  

(8)

\[
Z_e \approx \sum f_i(Z_i)Z_i^{1.3}
\]  

(9)

where \( Z_e \) is the effective atomic number, \( f_i \) is the atomic fraction of each element of atomic number \( Z_i \). By use of a formulation of PEDOT/PSS, \( (C_6H_6O_2S)_{0.4432} (C_8H_8O_3S)_{0.5568} (H_2O)_{3.6244} \) (C), \( 24 \) \( (H_2O)_{3.6244} \) for \( Z_e \) is solved as 5.86 and \( E_m \) is solved as 14.36 keV. From eqs 8 and 9, we know that the mean free path \( \lambda \) (MFP) calculation depends on the atomic fraction of each element and atomic number. It is possible to introduce errors between points with high-PEDOT content and points with high PSS content. As a result, we carefully calculated the MFP, \( \lambda \) of pure PEDOT, pure PSS and PEDOT/PSS complex and found out to be 180.6, 181.6, and 189.0 nm, respectively. Therefore, we can assume that \( \lambda \) is constant everywhere in the PEDOT/PSS film. The relative and absolute thicknesses of PEDOT/PSS films are listed in Table 1.

After EG dedoping, we noticed a considerable reduction in the absolute thickness of self-standing PEDOT/PSS nanofilms: from 56.7 to 34.0 nm and from 52.9 to 32.1 nm for P film and Figure 3.

**Figure 3.** Elemental and thickness maps of annealed PH1000 nanofilms. (a) C map and (b) S map. Insets in part a and b are corresponding height profiles of PEDOT/PSS grains measured in the marked area for C and S maps, respectively. (c) Composite-color map showing the location of C and S for the region in parts a and b. The color map is made by merging the two elemental maps in parts a and b. Red and green indicate C and S atoms, respectively. (d) Thickness map showing grain regions have a larger thickness than free PSS regions. The scale bar in the thickness map is in nm. All TEM images shown in this figure are from the position of Figure 1b.
Table 1. Summary of the Microstructure and Thicknesses of PEDOT/PSS films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Microstructure</th>
<th>Relative Thickness (MFP)</th>
<th>Absolute Thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>grains</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>56.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-P</td>
<td>grains</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH1000</td>
<td>grains</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG-PH1000</td>
<td>nanoparticles on fibrils</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Morphological model of annealed PEDOT/PSS nanofilm showing different types of PSS that hinder electrical transport in PEDOT/PSS films. (a) Comparison of electrical conductivity of PEDOT/PSS films on quartz wafer before and after EG dedoping. (b) Transparency of PEDOT/PSS films quartz wafer before and after EG dedoping.

Figure 5. The BF-TEM images, as shown in Figure 7, parts a and c. These nanofibrils form a pear 407 chain-like structure consisting of small islands. In the EG-P film, 408 nanoparticle diameter ranges from 0.9 to 2.3 nm with an 409 average diameter of 1.4 ± 0.3 nm. In the EG-PH1000 film, 410 nanoparticle diameter ranges from 1.0 to 2.6 nm with an 411 average diameter of 1.6 ± 0.4 nm. These structures 412 on the nanofibrillar have been reported for other conductive polymers 413 (e.g., polycarbonate, in which the fibrillar morphology is due to 414 an oriented arrangement of particles of about 10 nm). 415. Here, 416 we found that removal of PSS results in a reduction of particle 417 size to about half that of pristine PEDOT/PSS films (3.0 ± 1.0 418 nm); these particles become more conductive in EG-PEDOT/ 419 PSS films. Second, these conductive particles are 420 densely packed and connected compared with those in pristine 421 PEDOT/PSS films. The main effect of EG dedoping is to 422 produce a more uniform dispersion of conductive nano- 423 particles, which are assembled into clusters (grains) before 424 dedoping but become homogeneously dispersed after dedop- 425 ing.

To confirm the microstructure of the films with EG 426 dedoping, AFM images were taken to probe the changes on 427 the surface microstructure of the films. As shown in Figure S5, 428 EG-P films have clearer grain structures than pristine films, 429 whereas EG-PH1000 films display elongated nanofibril 430 structures. The height images show that all films are reasonably 431 smooth on quartz wafers with a small root-mean-square (rms) 432 roughness measured from the height images. Prior to dedoping, 433 rms roughness of pristine P film and pristine PH1000 films 434 were 0.96 and 1.27 nm, respectively, but after EG dedoping, 435 roughness increased to 1.69 and 2.03 nm, respectively (Figure 436 S5). This increase in roughness agrees with observations of 437 PEDOT/PSS microfibers 438 with EG dedoping and suggests 439 partial removal of PSS segments in PEDOT/PSS films. More 440 importantly, EG dedoping partially removes amorphous PSS, 441 resulting in a clear grain structure in EG-P film but an 442 elongated fibril structure in the EG-PH1000 film.

To understand electrical transport in PEDOT/PSS films, the 443 film microstructure was investigated by grazing incident wide- 444 angle X-ray scattering (GIXS) in Figure S6. For pristine 445 Clevios P and PH1000 films, three peaks are observed in both 446 directions at approximately $q_z = 5.1, 12.8, \text{ and } 18.0 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, 447 corresponding to the $d$-spacing of 1.23, 0.49, and 0.35 nm, 448 respectively. The peak at $q_z = 5.1 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ indicates lamellar 449 stacking of PEDOT nanocrystal, 450 the (010) peak at $q_z = 18.0 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ indicates $\pi-\pi$ stacking of PEDOT chains, 451 and the broad peak at $q_z = 12.8 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ is attributed to the amorphous 452 halo of randomly distributed PSS. 453 To conclude, the strong 454 scattering isotropy of PEDOT in pristine PH1000 films 455
reveals that the PEDOT chains orient randomly in PEDOT/
PSS films. Figure S6 also presents the WAXS pattern of EG-P
and EG-PH1000 films showing a higher intensity from π−π
stacking. It is worth noting that after EG dedoping, the π−π
stacking peak of pristine P and PH1000 films shifts from \( q_z = 17.8 \) and 17.9 nm\(^{-1} \) to \( q_z = 18.0 \) and 18.4 nm\(^{-1} \), respectively.

The above peak shifts correspond to a reduction in the π−π
stacking distances from 3.52 and 3.51 to 3.49 and 3.41 Å,
respectively. The shorter distance indicates stronger π−π
interactions between adjacent PEDOT nanocrystals, facilitating
electron transport in these directions. After EG dedoping,
intensity of the scattering peak at \( q_z = 5.1 \) nm\(^{-1} \) increased and
became more obvious. Specifically, the peak at \( q_z = 5.1 \)
and 5.2 nm\(^{-1} \) for P and PH1000 films shifted to \( q_z = 6.0 \) and 6.2
nm\(^{-1} \) after EG dedoping. These results suggest that EG
treatment increases the order of PEDOT nanocrystals and that
these crystals should form a layered structure. Because of the
ultrathin thickness (40−60 nm) and isotropic morphology of
conductive polymer nanofilms in the plane, the diffraction
intensity is smeared, as show in inset of Figure S6. This makes
the direct identification of diffraction peaks difficult and from
that we cannot observe a clear out-of-plane π−π stacking
though it is possible to see them after integration. However,
the (100) out-of-plane diffraction of lamellar packing strongly
indicates the edge-on orientation of molecules on the substrate.

Normal WAXS (Figure S7 and Table S1) were also performed
with 50 μm thick PEDOT/PSS films, where results are
comparable to those of the GIWAXS. We verified that after EG
dedoping, π−π stacking distance of PEDOT chains reduced
from 3.48 to 3.41 Å and from 3.46 to 3.38 Å for P and PH1000
films, respectively.

Surface analysis of PEDOT/PSS films before and after EG
dedoping were further investigated by XPS. High-resolution
XPS of the S 2p core-level spectra are shown in Figure S8. The S 2p core-level has a signature with two distinct peaks from 162.0 to 166.0 eV and from 166 to 171 eV. Each peak involves contributions from a spin-split doublet, S 2p\(^3/2 \) and S 2p\(^1/2 \), with 1.2 eV energy splitting and a 1:2 intensity ratio. The two peaks from 162.0 to 166.0 eV are well separated compared with the peaks from 166.0 to 171.0 eV. The S 2p\(^3/2 \) components at 163.5 and 167.8 eV correspond to sulfur atoms of the PEDOT and the sulfonate fragment of PSS, respectively. The experimental PEDOT-to-PSS ratio (\( R_s \)) was determined by quantitative analysis of the S 2p core-level spectra. In P and PH1000 films, an \( R_s \) of 0.42 and 0.41 were measured, respectively; EG dedoping caused the \( R_s \) increase to 0.47 and 0.56, respectively. This change clearly suggests that PSS is partially removed from PEDOT/PSS films by EG dedoping.

Though the TEM images of PEDOT/PSS have been shown
before in the film or fiber structure, we extend this work...
by providing a clear visualization of its disordered and ordered structure. Furthermore, using AEM, XRD and XPS analyses of PEDOT/PSS films, we can offer more detailed information on their structure. Figure 7e illustrates the microstructural change of PEDOT/PSS films by EG dedoping. The EG dedoping of PEDOT/PSS film leads to the following four effects: (1) free PSS segments are mostly removed, which directly induced the formation of the nanofibrils in the film; (2) a PSS-rich shell surrounding the PEDOT-rich core are partially ruptured, allowing the conductive PEDOT nanoparticles to exit and connect with each other, resulting in improved interconductivity; (3) part of PSS in the PEDOT-rich core region is removed due to EG weakens the electrostatic interaction between PEDOT and PSS; and (4) the π–π stacking distance is reduced, leading to improved conduction in the two-dimensional lamellar. From the observations and characterisations of the structural change of PEDOT/PSS films made here, we can state that the deposition of the PEDOT/PSS from a dispersion results in a less-ordered layer system with a large amount of PSS between them: the originally several nanometre particles assemble into 50 nm grains with help from the electrostatic bonding of PEDOT and PSS. These large-sized grains can be reopened and their size reduced to their original several nanometre particles by EG dedoping by the removal of the amorphous PSS. As a result, microstructural changes toward nanofibrils consist of densely packed PEDOT nanoparticles with less amorphous PSS boundaries, which leads to the high conductivity of PEDOT/PSS films. Partial removal of PSS might have a stronger influence than molecular orientation within PEDOT crystallites in determining electrical conductivity.

In summary, we systematically investigated the microstructure of the PEDOT/PSS nanofilm using a combination of BF-TEM and HAADF-TEM techniques. We found that PEDOT/PSS grains are evenly distributed after thermal annealing. TEM images together with EELS analysis on the annealed films clearly revealed the location of different phases in PEDOT/PSS nanofilms. Meanwhile, EG dedoping improved the conductivity through morphological changes and molecular reorientation within crystalline domains: correlated to grain ruptures toward smaller and more densely packed PEDOT nanopolymers together with an enhanced crystallinity, the removal of PSS domains and a reorientation of the conjugated PEDOT molecules. Information presented here will be integral to understanding structural formation during all processing steps involved in realizing an organic semiconductor device with optimized performance.
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