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Abstract

The regal angelfish (*Pygoplites diacanthus*; family Pomacanthidae) occupies reefs from the Red Sea to the central Pacific, with an Indian Ocean/Rea Sea color morph distinct from a Pacific Ocean morph. To assess population differentiation and evaluate the possibility of cryptic evolutionary partitions in this monotypic genus, we surveyed mtDNA cytochrome *b* and two nuclear introns (S7 and RAG2) in 547 individuals from 15 locations. Phylogeographic analyses revealed four mtDNA lineages (*d* = 0.006 – 0.015) corresponding to the Pacific Ocean, the Red Sea, and two admixed lineages in the Indian Ocean, a pattern consistent with known biogeographical barriers. Christmas Island in the eastern Indian Ocean had both Indian and Pacific lineages. Both S7 and RAG2 showed strong population-level differentiation between the Red Sea, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean (*Φ*<sub>ST</sub> = 0.066 – 0.512). The only consistent population sub-structure within these three regions was at the Society Islands (French Polynesia), where surrounding oceanographic conditions may reinforce isolation. Coalescence analyses indicate the Pacific (1.7 Ma) as the oldest extant lineage followed by the Red Sea lineage (1.4 Ma). Results from a median-joining network suggest radiations of two lineages from the Red Sea that currently occupy the Indian Ocean (0.7 – 0.9 Ma). Persistence of a Red Sea lineage through Pleistocene glacial cycles suggests a long-term refuge in this region. The affiliation of Pacific and Red Sea populations, apparent in cytochrome *b* and S7 (but equivocal in RAG2) raises the hypothesis that the Indian Ocean was recolonized from the Red Sea, possibly more than once. Assessing the genetic architecture of this widespread monotypic genus reveals cryptic evolutionary diversity that merits subspecific recognition.
Keywords: biogeographic barriers, coral reef fish, cryptic diversity, genetic structure, monophyly, subspecies
1. Introduction

The majority of reef fishes have a pelagic larval phase typically lasting 20 to 60 days, followed by settlement at a location where they remain through juvenile and adult phases. It is during the pelagic larval phase that nearly all dispersal is accomplished, sometimes across great distances (Leis and McCormick, 2002; Hellberg, 2009). However, even closely related species with similar life histories can show markedly different genetic structure across their respective ranges (Rocha et al., 2002; DiBattista et al., 2012). Despite these differences in realized dispersal, genetic partitions frequently align with boundaries between biogeographic provinces, which mark abrupt changes in species composition accompanied by obvious geological or oceanographic barriers (Kulbicki et al., 2013; Bowen et al., 2016). However, phylogeographic reef surveys usually examine genetic partitions both within and between congeneric species (e.g. Robertson et al., 2006; Leray et al., 2010; DiBattista et al., 2013; Gaither et al., 2014; Ahti et al., 2016; Waldrop et al., 2016). Less attention has been paid to monotypic genera, and it is unknown whether these species have evolutionary or ecological traits that promote species cohesion across time.

The family Pomacanthidae (marine angelfishes) is comprised of more than 85 species across seven genera. All of the genera have at least eight species (Centropyge has more than 30) except for the monotypic genus Pygoplites. The regal angelfish, Pygoplites diacanthus (Boddaert 1772), has a wide distribution from East Africa and the Red Sea to the Tuamotu Archipelago in the central Pacific. This distribution encompasses four biogeographic provinces (Fig. 2 in Briggs and Bowen, 2013): the Indo-Polynesian Province (IPP), the Sino-Japanese Province, the Western Indian Ocean Province, and the Red Sea Province (which includes the Gulf of Aden; see Briggs and Bowen, 2012). Additionally, the range of P. diacanthus spans the Indo-Pacific Barrier, an
episodic land bridge separating Pacific and Indian Ocean fauna during low sea levels associated
with glaciation (Randall, 1998; Rocha et al., 2007). *Pygoplites* diverged from the sister genus
*Holacanthus* about 7.6 – 10.2 Ma (Alva-Campbell et al., 2010), and is monotypic despite
occupying a very broad range and a variety of ecological conditions.

Randall (2005) noted coloration differences between an Indian Ocean morph, with a
yellow chest, and a Pacific Ocean morph with a gray chest and less yellow coloring on the head
(Fig. 1), invoking the possibility of nomenclatural recognition of the two morphotypes.

Historically color has been used for species delineation in reef fishes, however, coloration alone
can be a deceptive foundation for taxonomical classification; molecular tools have been useful
for identifying cryptic genetic partitions and resolving taxonomic uncertainty over color morphs
(McMillan et al., 1999; Schultz et al., 2006; Drew et al., 2008; Drew et al., 2010; DiBattista et
al., 2012; Gaither et al., 2014; Ahti et al., 2016; Andrews et al., 2016)

Here we obtained samples from across the range of *P. diacanthus* to assess genetic
connectivity with mitochondrial (mtDNA) and nuclear (nDNA) markers. Our sampling allowed
us to test for cryptic evolutionary partitions and evaluate the hypothesis of taxonomic distinction
between Indian and Pacific morphotypes. We were further motivated to resolve the ecological
and evolutionary conditions that restrict diversification within the genus *Pygoplites*, the sole
monotypic genus in an otherwise speciose family of fishes.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Sample Collections

Between 2004 and 2014, 547 tissue samples (primarily fin clips) of *P. diacanthus* were
collected from 15 locations across the species distribution (Fig. 1), using nets and pole-spears
while scuba diving or snorkeling. Tissues were preserved in salt-saturated DMSO buffer (Amos and Hoelzel, 1991) and stored at room temperature. Total genomic DNA was isolated from preserved tissue following the “HotSHOT” method of Meeker et al. (2007) and stored at -20°C. Due to variation in DNA amplification and sequence resolution, not all specimens were resolved at all three loci outlined below, hence sample sizes in Fig. 1 do not match samples sizes provided in the tables.

2.2 MtDNA Analyses

A 568-base pair (bp) fragment of the mtDNA cytochrome b (cyt b) gene was resolved to identify the maternal lineage of each individual using the forward primer (5’- GTGACTTGAAAAACCACCGTTG-3’) (Song et al., 1998) and reverse primer (H15573; 5’- AATAGGAAGTATCATTCCGGGTTTGAT-3’) (Taberlet et al., 1992). PCR was performed in 10 µl reactions containing 10-15 ng of DNA, 5 µl of premixed BioMixRed™ (Bioline, Inc., Springfield, NJ, USA), 0.2 µM primer for each primer, and nanopure water (Thermo Scientific Barnstead, Dubuque, IA, USA) to volume and using the following conditions: 4 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of denaturing for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 30 s at 50°C, extension for 45 s at 72°C, and a final extension for 10 min at 72°C.

PCR products were visualized using a 1.5% agarose gel with GelStar™ (Cambrex Bio Science Rockland, Rockland MA, USA) and then purified by incubating with 0.75 units of Exonuclease and 0.5 units of Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (ExoSAP; USB, Cleveland, OH, USA) per 7.5 µl of PCR product for 30 min at 37°C, followed by 15 min at 85°C. DNA sequencing was performed using fluorescently-labeled dideoxy terminators on an ABI 3730XL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) at the University of Hawai‘i
Advanced Studies of Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics sequencing facility.

Sequences were aligned and edited using GENEIOUS v.8.0.3 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and unique sequences were deposited into GenBank (Accession numbers: cyt b, KU885844 - KU885892). A model for DNA sequence evolution was selected using the program JMODELTEST v.2.1 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012). The best-fit model of TIM1+G (gamma=0.0760) was identified by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and the closest matched used for subsequent analyses. Mean genetic distance between lineages was calculated in DNASP v.5.10 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). A haplotype network was constructed for each locus with NETWORK v.4.6.1.1 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com/network_terms.htm) using a median-joining algorithm (Bandelt et al., 1999) and default settings.

To estimate the time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA), we formatted the data with BEAUTI v.1.4.7 and used a Bayesian MCMC approach in BEAST v.2.2.0 (Drummond & Rambaut, 2007). We conducted our analysis with a strict clock of 2% per million years between lineages (Bowen et al., 2001; Reece et al., 2010a) and used a coalescent tree prior assuming exponential growth. We used default priors under the HKY+G+I model of mutation, the closest available model, and ran simulations for 10 million generations with sampling every 1000 generations. Ten independent runs were computed to ensure convergence, and log files were combined and ages averaged across runs using TRACER v.1.6 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/).

ARLEQUIN v.3.11 was used to generate haplotype and nucleotide diversity, as well as to test for population structure (Excoffier et al., 2005). Genetic structure among and between regions was estimated by performing an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA). Deviations from null distributions were tested with non-parametric permutation procedures ($N = 9999$).
Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ statistics, an analog of Wright’s $F_{ST}$ that incorporates sequence evolution and divergence, were generated to assess structure and identify phylogeographic partitions. Locations where sample sizes were < 8 were excluded from population genetic analyses but included in overall diversity estimates. False discovery rates were controlled for and maintained at $\alpha = 0.05$ among all pairwise tests (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001; Narum, 2006).

Time since the most recent population expansion was estimated for each location using the equation $\tau = 2\mu t$, where $t$ is the age of the population in generations and $\mu$ is the mutation rate per generation for the entire sequence ($\mu = \text{number of bp} \times \text{divergence rate within a lineage} \times \text{generation time in years}$). We used a sequence divergence estimate within lineages of 1-2% per million years (Bowen et al., 2001; Reece et al., 2010a) to estimate population age. While generation time is unknown for $P.\ diacanthus$, we conditionally used the equation $T = (\alpha + \omega)/2$, where $\alpha$ is the age at first reproduction and $\omega$ is the age of last reproduction (or lifespan; Pianka, 1978). We obtained a generation time of 8.5 years based on an estimated reproductive age of 2 years and longevity of more than 15 years (Hinton, 1962). Due to the tentative nature of generation time and mutation rates estimates, population age should be interpreted with caution, however rank-order comparisons among populations are robust to such approximations. Fu’s $F_S$ (Fu, 1997) was calculated to test for evidence of selection or (more likely) population expansion using 10,000 permutations with significance determined at $P < 0.02$. A significant negative value of Fu’s $F_S$ is evidence for an excess number of alleles, as would be expected from a recent population expansion, whereas, a significant positive value is evidence for a deficiency of alleles, as would be expected from a recent population bottleneck.

2.3 Nuclear DNA Analysis
We sequenced two nuclear loci: the recombination-activating gene 2 (RAG2) and intron 1 of the S7 ribosomal protein (S7). We resolved 431-bp of RAG2 using modified primers from Lovejoy (1999); the forward primer is 5’-SACCTTGTGCTGCAAGAGA-3’ and reverse primer is 5’-AGTGGATCCCCCTTCATCCAGA-3’. We resolved 510-bp of S7 using primers S7RPEX1F and S7RPEX2R from Chow and Hazama (1998). For each intron, PCR was performed using the same reaction as described for cyt b but using the following temperature conditions: 5 min at 94°C, 35 cycles of denaturing for 30 s at 94°C, annealing for 30 s at 58°C, extension for 45 s at 72°C, and a final extension for 10 min at 72°C.

Allelic states with more than one heterozygous site were estimated using PHASE v.2.1 (Stephens and Donnelly, 2003) as implemented in DNASP. Unique sequences were deposited in GenBank (Accession numbers: RAG2, KU885737 - KU885756; S7, KU885757 - KU885843). Three separate runs, each of 100,000 repetitions after a 10,000 iteration burn-in, were conducted for each locus; all runs returned consistent allele identities. Median-joining networks were created for each nuclear dataset as outlined above. To minimize circularity between closely related alleles, singletons were removed from the S7 network. However, this did not alter our overall interpretation of the results. Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ statistics were calculated for each nuclear dataset. The best-fit model of K80 and TPM1uf+I (proportion of invariable sites = 0.89) were identified for RAG2 and S7, respectively as determined by JMODELTEST. Observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) and expected heterozygosity ($H_e$) were calculated for each locus and an exact test of Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) using 100,000 steps in a Markov chain was performed in ARLEQUIN.

2.4. Phylogenetic reconstruction
Phylogenetic reconstruction based on cyt $b$ was rooted with *Holacanthus africanus* (family Pomacanthidae; GenBank accession number KC845351 and KC845352), as this genus is sister to *Pygoplites* (Bellwood et al., 2004; Alva-Campbell et al., 2010). Bayesian inference was conducted using MRBAYES v.3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck et al., 2001; Ronquist, 2004) running a pair of independent searches for 1 million generations, with trees saved every 1000 generations and the first 250 sampled trees of each search discarded as burn-in. Due to high divergence between *P. diacanthus* and *H. africanus* (14.7% at cyt $b$) we were unable to resolve phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Pygoplites* using an outgroup, therefore an unrooted tree was also constructed with MRBAYES based on the concatenated dataset of all loci. A maximum likelihood tree was created using PHYML v.3.0.1 (Guindon et al., 2010) as implemented in GENEIOUS with clade support assessed with 1000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates. A neighbor-joining tree was created using GENEIOUS with clade support assessed after 1000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates.

3. Results

3.1. Phylogenetic and coalescence analyses

All tree-building methods yielded identical topologies. The unrooted phylogenetic analysis recovered four lineages: a Pacific lineage that extends to Christmas Island in the eastern Indian Ocean (henceforth referred to as “Pacific lineage”), a lineage detected around Saudi Arabia and Djibouti (henceforth referred to as “Red Sea lineage”), and two lineages with overlapping ranges in the Maldives and Diego Garcia (henceforth referred to as “Indian lineage 1” and “Indian lineage 2”) (Fig. 2). The phylogenetic analyses were unable to resolve branch order among these lineages using an outgroup (Fig 2a), in part because the sister genus...
(Holacanthus) is deeply divergent at cyt b (Alva-Campbell et al., 2010). The Pacific lineage is 0.6% divergent from the Red Sea lineage and 1.2% and 1.5% from Indian lineage 1 and 2, respectively. The Red Sea lineage is 0.6% divergent from Indian lineage 1 and 1.0% from Indian lineage 2, and the two Indian lineages are distinguished by 1.5% divergence. Coalescence analysis based on cyt b yielded a TMRCA of 1.7 Ma for the Pacific lineage, and identified the Pacific as the oldest extant lineage (Table 1). The Red Sea lineage dates to 1.4 Ma and the two Indian lineages were the youngest: Indian lineage 1 at 0.7 Ma; Indian lineage 2 at 0.9 Ma.

3.2 MtDNA Sequences

Mitochondrial DNA molecular diversity indices are summarized for lineages in Table 1 and among populations in Table 2. Total haplotype diversity was $h = 0.817$ with 49 unique haplotypes. Among lineages, the Red Sea had the highest haplotype diversity ($h = 0.701$) with the lowest being observed in Indian Ocean lineage 2 ($h = 0.284$). Within populations, Indonesia had the highest haplotype diversity ($h = 1.00$) followed by Okinawa ($h = 0.867$) and the Maldives ($h = 0.808$). The lowest haplotype diversity was observed at Fiji ($h = 0.427$) and Mo’orea ($h = 0.483$). Total nucleotide diversity was $\pi = 0.005$. Among lineages, the Pacific Ocean and Red Sea had the higher nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 0.002$) with the lowest nucleotide diversity observed in both Indian Ocean lineages ($\pi = 0.001$). Among populations, the Maldives and Diego Garcia had the highest nucleotide diversity for all locations, each at $\pi = 0.009$, whereas the lowest nucleotide diversity is observed at Fiji ($\pi = 0.0008$).

The median-joining haplotype network illustrates the low level of divergence between the four evolutionary lineages recovered from the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 3a). However, the network also reveals that Red Sea haplotypes lie between the Pacific and Indian haplotypes. The
presence of two Indian lineages radiating from the most common Red Sea haplotype provides
evidence for two independent colonization events. The two Indonesia specimens are associated
with Indian Ocean lineage 1; however, low samples size precludes any interpretation about
lineage distribution. Christmas Island, located at the edge of the IPP, a region where Pacific and
Indian Ocean fauna come into contact (Gaither and Rocha, 2013), had both Pacific and Indian
lineages. In subsequent comparisons between ocean basins, Christmas Island specimens grouped
with Indian and Pacific cohorts based on mtDNA identity.

Population pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values for cyt $b$ results are summarized in Table 3. Significance
was determined after controlling for false discovery rates (corrected $\alpha = 0.009$). $\Phi_{ST}$ values show
congruence with the haplotype network further supporting the Pacific, Indian, and Red Sea
groups. There was little or no population structure detected within these groups, with two
exceptions: Mo’orea (French Polynesia) shows significant genetic differentiation from all Pacific
locations, with pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values ranging from 0.123 with Pohnpei to 0.229 with Fiji.
Elsewhere in the Pacific, significant genetic structure was detected between the Marshall Islands
and Fiji ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.061$, $P < 0.001$). Although population level data is not reported for the single
location in the Sino-Japanese Province (Okinawa) due to low sample size ($N = 6$), preliminary
runs show no significant population structure between the Sino-Japanese Province and the
Pacific samples of $P. \ diacanthus$. The Red Sea lineage shows high levels of population
differentiation from all other samples (pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$: 0.284 – 0.837). Likewise, the Indian
lineages show significant population differentiation from all other samples (pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$: 0.284
– 0.753). The AMOVA analysis supports the Pacific, Indian, and Red Sea geographic groupings
based on mtDNA (Table 4) with the majority of the variation ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.66$, $P < 0.001$) existing
among the groups.
The demographic results for cyt b show indications of population expansion at every Pacific location with the exception of Okinawa, the Marshall Islands, and Mo’orea (Table 2). Estimates of population expansion indicate that the youngest dates are in the Pacific: Fiji and Christmas Island, with estimates of 39,000 and 49,000 years, respectively. The oldest Pacific expansion dates are in Okinawa, Pohnpei, and American Samoa, at 271,000, 230,000, and 212,000 years, respectively. Within the Red Sea Province, Saudi Arabia shows evidence for a population expansion (Fu’s $F_S$: -4.73, $P < 0.01$) at 65,000 – 130,000 years, whereas Djibouti shows evidence for a neutral population (Fu’s $F_S$, $P = 0.35$) aged at 105,000 – 209,000 years. Locations in the Indian Ocean singularly show no evidence of population expansion (Fu’s $F_S$, $P > 0.02$) and have the oldest population expansions dates at 807,000 – 1,742,000 years. However, these estimates are shaped by the presence of two lineages that are not monophyletic (Fig. 3a). When considered individually, Indian lineage 1 has a population expansion date at 48,000 – 97,000 years (Fu’s $F_S$: -3.70, $P < 0.001$), and Indian lineage 2 has a population expansion date at 264,000 – 528,000 years (Fu’s $F_S$: -2.75, $P = 0.01$).

3.3 Nuclear DNA Sequences

A total of 10 variable sites yielded 12 alleles at the RAG2 locus and 31 variable sites yielded 46 alleles at the S7 locus. Samples from Palau and Tokelau were out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Palau, $P < 0.001$; Tokelau, $P = 0.04$) with excess homozygotes at the S7 locus (Table 5). Overall expected heterozygosity ($H_E$) was 0.43 and 0.86 for RAG2 and S7, respectively. Across all samples $H_E = 0.06 – 0.64$ for RAG2 and $H_E = 0.41 – 1.00$ for the S7 intron. The median-joining networks based on intron sequences do not show distinct lineages in the Red Sea, Indian Ocean, and Pacific Ocean (Fig. 3b, c). However, both RAG2 and S7
networks include common alleles that are observed only in the Pacific, or only in the Indian Ocean locations. For S7, an Indian Ocean specific allele is also shared with a single individual from Christmas Island.

The population genetic results for the nuclear dataset are strongly concordant with mtDNA analyses for *P. diacanthus*, although they differ by degree. Genetic structure was absent within the Red Sea and within the Indian Ocean. The only significant differentiation in the Pacific was in 7 of 8 comparisons to Mo’orea (Society Islands, French Polynesia) with RAG2 ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.111 – 0.271$; Table 6). Curiously, none of the same pairwise comparisons for Mo’orea were significant with S7, however Mo’orea showed the highest differentiation from Red Sea populations.

Both nuclear markers show high levels of genetic structure that correspond to a Pacific, Indian, and Red Sea lineage. RAG2 was significant in 17 of 18 Pacific versus Indian comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.137 – 0.343$), significant in all Indian versus Red Sea comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.091 – 0.258$), and significant in 15 of 18 Pacific versus Red Sea comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.066 – 0.359$). The S7 differences were significant in all Pacific versus Indian comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.073 – 0.188$), all Indian versus Red Sea comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.253 – 0.512$), and all Pacific versus Red Sea comparisons ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.159 – 0.443$). The exceptions to these patterns were comparisons between the Red Sea lineage and Tokelau, as well as between Saudi Arabia and Pohnpei. For S7 the highest genetic structure was observed between the Indian and Red Sea populations. This contrasts with the RAG2 and cyt *b* comparisons, where the highest genetic structure differentiated the Pacific from both Indian and Red Sea regions.

4. Discussion
4.1 Summary of results

Our data demonstrates that cryptic diversity exists within the monotypic genus *Pygoplites* as evidenced by significant levels of genetic structure among three regions: the Pacific Ocean (which includes a cohort at Christmas Island), the Indian Ocean (with two sympatric mtDNA lineages), and the Red Sea (Table 4). This pattern of genetic structure corresponds to known biogeographic provinces and phylogeographic barriers observed in other reef fishes (Rocha et al., 2007; Briggs and Bowen, 2013; DiBattista et al., 2013; Eble et al., 2015; Gaither et al., 2015). The Red Sea biogeographic province is distinguished by a faunal break at the Gulf of Aden, and the Indo-Pacific Barrier is an intermittent terrestrial bridge between Australia and SE Asia that impedes water movement between Pacific and Indian Oceans during glacial low-sea levels (see Gaither & Rocha, 2013). The Sino-Japanese Province shows no genetic differentiation from the Pacific population (based on $N = 6$), but Mo'orea is highly isolated, a finding we attribute to prevailing oceanographic conditions (see Gaither et al., 2010). Below we discuss the phylogenetic implications of cryptic lineages and examine each of these regions in light of biogeographic theory.

4.2 Phylogenetic considerations

Differences in coloration reviewed by Randall (2005) suggested that cryptic lineages of *P. diacanthus* might exist in the Pacific and Indian Oceans. The three loci evaluated here support this Indian-Pacific distinction with diagnostic (albeit shallow) mtDNA differences and strong population genetic separations at two nuclear loci. A rooted phylogeny was unable to resolve relationships within the genus *Pygoplites* due to shallow separations and the deep divergence from the outgroup, *H. africanus*, ($d = 15.5\%$ at cyt $b$, this study), despite being the most closely
related species to *P. diacanthus* (Alva-Campbell et al., 2010). Therefore we were unable to
determine the basal lineage from among the four lineages recovered. The oldest TMRCA in *P.
diacanthus* is the Pacific lineage at 1.7 Ma, but the divergence between *Pygoplites* and
*Holocanthus* is much older, estimated at 7.6 – 10.2 Ma (Alva-Campbell et al., 2010). Hence
much of the evolutionary history of *Pygoplites* has been erased, at least for the loci examined
here.

There are two possible explanations for the lack of diversity within the genus. First, there
has been no evolutionary or selective pressure for *P. diacanthus* to diversify, a feature that may
be attributed to the species ability to occupy a variety of ecological niches. *P. diacanthus* can be
considered a generalist in that its range occupies more than half the globe in subtropical and
tropical environments, its diet consists of sessile invertebrate, such as sponges and tunicates, and
it appears to be a reef-habitat generalist where its range extends from the surface to depths
greater than 60 m, a zone where shallow coral reef habitat is replaced by mesophotic ecosystems
(Puglise et al., 2009). An alternative explanation is that other species within the genus went
extinct while *P. diacanthus* persisted. However, with a poor fossil record, the evolutionary
history of the marine angelfishes is poorly understood and limited to extant species. Therefore
we know of no species that may have existed during the 10 million year separation between
*Holocanthus* and *Pygoplites*. Nonetheless, the phylogeographic record for *Pygoplites* begins with
a radiation in the last 2 MY. Although phylogenetic reconstruction was unable to determine
branch order among the four lineages, the median-joining network indicates that the Red Sea
lineage is basal to the two mtDNA lineages in the Indian Ocean. Coloration differences
distinguish the Pacific lineage from both Indian and Red Sea lineages (Fig. 1); however, a
preliminary morphological examination by L.A.R. revealed no additional morphological characters that discriminate between Indian and Red Sea lineages.

The geographical delineation between the Pacific and Indian lineages correspond with the exposure of the Sunda Shelf, which separates the Pacific and Indian Oceans during low sea level. The Red Sea lineage corresponds to the Red Sea biogeographic province, which encompasses the adjacent Gulf of Aden (Briggs and Bowen, 2012) and whose populations have a disjunct distribution with the remainder of the range (see below). During glacial maxima the Red Sea is effectively cut off from the Indian Ocean by closure of the Strait of Bab al Mandab, the only natural gateway into the Red Sea, allowing sufficient time for populations to diverge into distinct evolutionary lineages (DiBattista et al., 2013, 2016a).

The mechanisms facilitating two sympatric mtDNA lineages in the Indian Ocean are less clear. Coalescence estimates indicate that lineages arose independently during roughly the same period (0.72 – 0.93 Ma). As there are no known phenotypic differences within this region, the unexpected recovery of two distinct lineages requires further investigation. Indian Ocean samples contained similar number of each lineage (Maldives: Lineage 1, N = 8; Lineage 2, N = 8; Diego Garcia: Lineage 1, N = 17; Lineage 2, N = 11) indicating that the two lineages are approximately equally represented.

The recovery of multiple evolutionary partitions within the monotypic genus *Pygoplites* may not be indicative of other monotypic genera. Cryptic evolutionary partitions are routinely discovered within species of marine fishes (Colborn et al., 2001; Rocha et al., 2008; DiBattista et al., 2012; Fernandez-Silva et al., 2015), and in this regard *P. diacanthus* is similar to the more speciose inhabitants of Indo-Pacific reefs. The factors that produce a deep, monotypic lineage are therefore not reflected in an unusual phylogeographic architecture. However, part of the
explanation for this monotype may be that five to eight million years after the divergence of
Pygoplites and Holocanthus, the ancestor of all modern Pygoplites likely radiated out of the West
Pacific Ocean, an extensive source of Indo-Pacific diversity (Cowman and Bellwood, 2013).

In considering the phylogenetic results through a taxonomic lens, there are several issues.
First, the Pacific and Indian morphs are distinguished by diagnostic differences, but they are not
monophyletic. The Indian Ocean contains two mtDNA lineages, each more closely related to the
Red Sea lineage than to each other. Second, the coloration difference between Pacific and Indian
forms, now matched by $d = 0.006$ divergence, could be a platform to describe them as separate
species. Third, the genetic divergence observed at all three loci is low in comparison to typical
divergences for fish species ($d = 0.03 – 0.12$; Grant and Bowen, 1998; Johns and Avise, 1998).
Fourth, the two morphs form mixed groups where they co-occur at Christmas Island (Hobbs and
Allen, 2014). Since we lack diagnostic nDNA alleles for the two morphs, we do not have the
power to test for hybrids between the lineages, but this is certainly a possibility. Given these
considerations, we believe that it is problematic to invoke species status for these three regional
forms and we endorse subspecies recognition distinguishing the Pacific lineage from the Indian
and Red Sea lineages based on shallow but diagnostic distinctions in genetics and morphology.
We propose the name $P. \text{diacanthus flavescens}$ for the Indian Ocean and Red Sea lineages to give
recognition to the yellow chest coloration, a character not found in individuals from the Pacific
lineage ($P. \text{d. diacanthus}$).

4.3 Red Sea isolation and refugia

The Red Sea Province is distinguished from the Indian Ocean by high levels of endemism
found across a suite of taxa (Randall, 1994; Cox and Moore, 2000) as well as many fish species
whose ranges extend from the Red Sea into the Gulf of Aden (Briggs and Bowen, 2012; DiBattista et al., 2016b). This distinction is supported by our findings that show the Djibouti population of *P. diacanthus* forms a genetically homogenous population with the Red Sea, coupled with a population break separating these two locations from adjacent populations in the Indian Ocean.

Population breaks between the Red Sea and Indian Ocean have previously been documented in *P. diacanthus*, in addition to other species (Vogler et al., 2008; DiBattista et al., 2013; Fernandez Silva et al., 2015). One possible explanation for breaks across multiple species in this region is the presence of an ecological barrier. Based on differences in fish assemblages, Kemp (1998) proposed that such a barrier separated the Red Sea and western Gulf of Aden from the eastern Gulf of Aden and Indian Ocean. Furthermore, the upwelling that occurs along the Arabian coast of southern Yemen, Oman and the Indian Ocean coast of Somalia impedes the formation of continuous reefs from Djibouti to Oman and southern Somalia, limiting opportunities for dispersal from the Gulf of Aden (for review see DiBattista et al., 2016a).

Notably, we did not detect *P. diacanthus* during collection efforts in the Socotra Archipelago, Oman, and Somolia, which are located at the periphery of the Gulf of Aden and the Arabian Sea. This observation coincides with previous surveys conducted in the region indicating a gap in the distribution of *P. diacanthus* between the Gulf of Aden and the western Indian Ocean, a phenomenon found in other wide-ranging species (Kemp, 1998).

The parsimonious conclusion that a population of *P. diacanthus* has been in the Red Sea Province (including western Gulf of Aden) for over a million years implies that this population has been subjected to and survived Pleistocene glacial conditions. The only natural connection to the Indian Ocean is through the narrow (18 km) and shallow (137 m) Strait of Bab al Mandab at
the southern end of the Red Sea. During periods of low sea level associated with glaciation, the connection from the Indian Ocean through the strait is reduced, and the Red Sea experiences extreme fluctuations in temperature and salinity (Bailey, 2009). During the last 400,000 years in particular, the Red Sea has undergone at least two periods of hypersalinity (c. 19,000 and 30,000 years ago) that caused an aplanktonic environment in which larvae of many marine organisms presumably could not survive (Siddal et al., 2003; DiBattista et al., 2016a). Coalescence analysis dates the Red Sea lineage to 1.44 Ma (95% HPD = 0.51 – 2.53 Ma), which coupled with the Saudi Arabian population expansion (65,000 – 130,000 years) indicates that *P. diacanthus* likely survived the temperature and salinity crises that occurred during these periods, a conclusion that is corroborated by other species (DiBattista et al., 2013). Our neutrality tests show no evidence for changes in population size (Fu’s $F_s = -3.60$, $P = 0.035$) providing evidence that refugia may have existed in the Red Sea Province (possibly in the Gulf of Aden) to support a large stable population of *P. diacanthus* despite the extreme environmental conditions.

### 4.4 Biogeographic inferences in the Indian Ocean

Christmas Island is located in the eastern Indian Ocean, a region (which includes Cocos-Keeling Island) of secondary contact between Indian and Pacific species that diverged in allopatry during Pleistocene glacial cycles (Gaither and Rocha, 2013). Indian and Pacific Ocean phenotypes of *P. diacanthus* have both been recorded in the eastern Indian Ocean region, and both Pacific and Indian Ocean mtDNA haplotypes are present at Christmas Island, indicating an area of overlap (Hobbs and Allen, 2014, Fig 3a). This region is recognized as a hybridization hotspot (suture zone) with interbreeding documented between at least 27 reef fish species-pairs from across eight families, and it has been suggested that Indian and Pacific *P. diacanthus*
lineages hybridize in this region (Hobbs and Allen, 2014). However, additional molecular work will be needed to evaluate this hypothesis.

Genetic differences between Indian and Pacific Ocean populations are consistent with Pleistocene closures of the Indo-Pacific Barrier. Despite being located in the Indian Ocean basin and the presence of haplotypes that are associated with Indian Ocean lineages, our results indicate that Christmas Island is genetically differentiated from other locations in the Indian Ocean and instead has a stronger affiliation with the Pacific Ocean. A barrier to dispersal has been previously proposed to exist west of the Cocos-Keeling Islands and east of the Chagos-Laccadive ridge based on the presence of many Pacific species with distributions that extend no further west than Christmas and the Cocos-Keeling Islands (Blum, 1989; Hodge and Bellwood, 2016).

Elsewhere in the Indian Ocean, the Maldives and Diego Garcia (Chagos Archipelago) are genetically differentiated from the Pacific and Red Sea, but not from each other. Both archipelagos are located in the central Indian Ocean, which is the western extent of the IPP, although they also share faunal affinities with the Western Indian Ocean Province (Winterbottom and Anderson, 1997; Gaither et al., 2010; Eble et al., 2011; Briggs and Bowen, 2012). The grouping of Diego Garcia and the Maldives within the IPP is further evidenced by Pacific Ocean mtDNA being found at Diego Garcia (Fig. 3a), which provides a signal that some degree of gene flow occurs between the Indian and Pacific Ocean. Coalescence estimates of the two Indian Ocean *P. diacanthus* lineages indicate they arose from an ancestor affiliated with the Red Sea.

The ability of *P. diacanthus* to persist throughout major geological and climatic shifts is demonstrated by the age of expansion for all populations of *P. diacanthus* which predate the Last Glacial Maximum, peaking at 26.5 – 19 ka (Clark et al., 2009) when global sea level dropped
130 m below present levels (Voris, 2000). During this period, habitable shelf in the Pacific was reduced by as much as 92% from present day values and this reduction in habitat area has been linked to population bottlenecks (Ludt and Rocha, 2014), a feature not observed in *P. diacanthus*. As previously discussed, *P. diacanthus* can be considered an ecological generalist with a vertical range that extends to mesophotic depths. Thus, a reduction of shallow reef habitat due to sea level change may not have substantially reduced suitable ecological niches for this species.

4.5 Gene flow within the Pacific

Despite the wide expanse of the central and western Pacific Ocean, many species exhibit a high degree of genetic connectivity across the region (Schultz et al., 2006; Reece et al., 2010b; Gaither et al., 2011). However, populations breaks have been associated with isolated regions such as the Hawaiian Archipelago and the Marquesas, which are also known for high levels of endemism (Randall, 2005; Briggs and Bowen, 2012). Here we found population genetic differentiation of Mo’orea (Table 3, Table 6), a pattern observed in other widely distributed Pacific species (Planes, 1993; Bernardi et al., 2001; DiBattista et al., 2012; Timmers et al., 2012; Lemer and Planes, 2014).

The isolation of Mo’orea may be attributed to ocean circulation patterns. The westward flow of the Southern Equatorial Current (SEC) and eddies created in the wake of Tahiti, located approximately 17 km east of Mo’orea, contribute to a strong counterclockwise flow around the island promoting the local retention of larvae (Leichter et al., 2013). Plankton tows conducted in this region revealed that fish larvae were not recovered more than 300 km from the nearest reef (Lo-Yat et al., 2006). Additionally, Bernardi et al. (2012) found that 14% of juvenile damselfish
(Dascyllus trimaculatus) recruiting to reefs around Mo’orea were very close relatives, including full siblings, indicating that the larvae traveled and settled together despite a PLD of several weeks.

Although the counterclockwise flow surrounding Mo’orea may explain local retention of larvae, it does not explain how larvae produced elsewhere in the Pacific are restricted from emigrating and settling onto Moorean reefs. One possible explanation may be that the westward flowing SEC restricts larvae from dispersing in an easterly direction. The SEC, located between 4°N and 17°S (Wyrki and Kilonsky, 1984; Bonjean and Lagerloef, 2002), has been implicated in limiting connectivity between the Marquesas, located 1300 km northeast of Mo’orea, and other Pacific locales (Gaither et al., 2010; Szabo et al., 2014). Populations of P. diacanthus west of Mo’orea, located at the southern extent of the SEC, may be restricted in easterly dispersal by the strong current; however, the SEC may facilitate a western dispersal. American Samoa is the closest sample location downstream from Mo’orea; it is the only sample location that is not significantly differentiated from Mo’orea at RAG2 ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.039$, $P=0.054$) and has one of the lowest levels of differentiation from Mo’orea at cyt b ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.128$). Fine-scale sampling across French Polynesia would be required to determine the extent of genetic isolation. Additionally, further sampling from neighboring localities east and west of Mo’orea are needed to test our hypothesis regarding the SEC. It is likely that a number of physical processes surrounding Mo’orea promote local retention of larvae and prevent the recruitment of larvae from elsewhere in the Pacific.

5. Conclusion
Pygoplites diacanthus is the first large angelfish to be surveyed across the Indo-Pacific. It appears to be highly dispersive, joining the ranks of smaller Pomacanthids such as the pygmy angelfish in showing little structure across ocean basins (Schultz et al., 2006; DiBattista et al., 2012). Pelagic larval duration tends to be shorter in the large angelfishes (~25 days in Pygoplites compared to 30 days or more in pygmy angelfishes; Thresher and Brothers, 1985), but this does not seem to restrict dispersal among the closely associated islands of the West and Central Pacific. However, this monotypic genus exhibits deep population genetic partitions between ocean basins. In every case, historical barriers existed at the junctions between observed populations, and in at least two cases (Red Sea and Mo’orea) oceanographic conditions may contribute to contemporary isolation. On the genetic continuum between isolated populations and evolutionary distinctions (Wright, 1978; Frankham et al., 2002), the deep divergences between oceans indicate that the monotypic Pygoplites may be on the pathway to three emerging species. The genetic and morphological divergences are certainly sufficient to recognize subspecific evolutionary (and taxonomic) partitions.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Map of collection locations, sample sizes (in parentheses), and the two recognized morphotypes of *Pygoplites diacanthus*. (left) Indian Ocean and Red Sea individuals are characterized by a yellow chest and head, whereas the (right) Pacific Ocean morph is characterized by a gray chest and head. Photos by L. Rocha (Djibouti; Great Barrier Reef, Australia)

Figure 2. Molecular phylogenetic reconstruction of *Pygoplites diacanthus*. A) Rooted Bayesian tree based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* with posterior probabilities, B) an unrooted maximum-likelihood tree based on mitochondrial and nuclear markers (cytochrome *b*, intron 1 of the S7 ribosomal protein, and the recombination-activating gene 2) with consensus values based on posterior probabilities from Bayesian inference (BI), maximum-likelihood bootstrap support (ML), and neighbor-joining bootstrap support (NJ). Percent sequence divergence is represented on the scale bar. The sizes of black triangles are proportional to the number of individuals within the lineage. Abbreviations: Red Sea Province, RS; Indian Ocean, IO.

Figure 3. Median-joining network for *Pygoplites diacanthus* constructed using NETWORK for A) cytochrome *b* sequences (568 bp) from 386 individuals, B) alleles for RAG2 (431 bp) from 366 individuals, and c) alleles for the S7 intron (510 bp) from 288 individuals. Each circle represents a unique mitochondrial haplotype or nuclear allele, with the size being proportional to the total frequency. Open circles represent unsampled alleles, branches and crossbars represent a single
nucleotide change, and color represents collection location (see key). All singleton alleles ($N = 806\, 22$) were removed from the S7 analysis to minimize circularity between closely related alleles.
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Table 1. Molecular diversity indices for lineages of *Pygoplites diacanthus* based on mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome b, 568 bp). Number of individuals sequenced (*n*), number of haplotypes (*N<sub>h</sub>), number of segregating (polymorphic) sites (*S*), haplotype diversity (*h*), and nucleotide diversity (*π*) are presented. Times to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) are presented as million years. Bolded numbers denote significance at *P* < 0.02.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lineage</th>
<th><em>n</em></th>
<th>*N&lt;sub&gt;h&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th><em>S</em></th>
<th><em>h</em> ± SD</th>
<th><em>π</em> ± SD</th>
<th>TMRCA (95% HPD)</th>
<th>Fu’s <em>F&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;</em></th>
<th>Fu’s <em>F&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;</em> P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Ocean&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.628 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.010</td>
<td>1.71 (0.91 - 2.65)</td>
<td><strong>-29.51</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sea&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.701 ± 0.042</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.003</td>
<td>1.44 (0.51 - 2.53)</td>
<td>-3.602</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean Lineage 1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.439 ± 0.114</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.72 (0.14 - 1.52)</td>
<td><strong>-3.695</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean Lineage 2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.284 ± 0.128</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.92 (0.27 - 1.75)</td>
<td><strong>-2.749</strong></td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Locations</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.817 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.003</td>
<td>--</td>
<td><strong>-25.90</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Pacific includes all Pacific Ocean populations plus Christmas Island

<sup>b</sup>Red Sea includes Saudi Arabia and Djibouti
Table 2. Molecular diversity indices for populations of *Pygoplites diacanthus* based on mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome *b*, 568 bp) divided into phylogeographical groupings. Number of individuals sequenced (*n*), number of haplotypes (*N*<sub>*h*</sub>), number of segregating (polymorphic) sites (*S*), haplotype diversity (*h*), and nucleotide diversity (*π*) are presented. *τ* is used to estimate the age of most recent population expansion (population age) using the equation *τ* = 2*μ* (see Material and Methods). ∞ denotes values that could not be resolved. Bolded numbers denote significance at *P* < 0.02.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>n</th>
<th><em>N</em>&lt;sub&gt;<em>h</em>&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th><em>S</em></th>
<th><em>h</em> ± SD</th>
<th><em>π</em> ± SD</th>
<th><em>τ</em></th>
<th>Population Age (years)</th>
<th>Fu’s <em>F</em>&lt;sub&gt;<em>S</em>&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Fu’s <em>F</em>&lt;sub&gt;<em>S</em>&lt;/sub&gt; <em>P</em>-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacific Ocean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okinawa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.867 ± 0.129</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>135,000 - 271,000</td>
<td>-1.454</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.657 ± 0.104</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>92,000 - 183,000</td>
<td>-3.473</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.488 ± 0.109</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>59,000 - 118,000</td>
<td>-7.928</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall islands</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.549 ± 0.105</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>69,000 - 138,000</td>
<td>-0.936</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohnpei</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.760 ± 0.076</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>115,000 - 230,000</td>
<td>-8.754</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.000 ± 0.500</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.013</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>1.946</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokelau</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.617 ± 0.135</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>81,000 - 162,000</td>
<td>-3.692</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo’orea</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.483 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>64,000 - 128,000</td>
<td>1.766</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.730 ± 0.094</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>106,000 - 212,000</td>
<td>-7.128</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.427 ± 0.122</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>49,000 - 98,000</td>
<td>-4.423</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Island</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.720 ± 0.087</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>39,000 - 77,000</td>
<td>-5.445</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red Sea Province</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.522 ± 0.124</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>65,000 - 130,000</td>
<td>-4.731</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.738 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>105,000 - 209,000</td>
<td>-0.879</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indian Ocean</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.808 ± 0.069</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.005</td>
<td>9.89</td>
<td>871,000 - 1,742,000</td>
<td>1.858</td>
<td>0.804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diego Garcia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.692 ± 0.059</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.005</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>807,000 - 1,614,000</td>
<td>3.177</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacific Ocean</strong></td>
<td>257</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.628 ± 0.034</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>83,000 - 165,000</td>
<td>-29.511</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Red Sea Province</strong></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.701 ± 0.042</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.003</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>96,000 - 192,000</td>
<td>-3.602</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indian Ocean</strong></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.738 ± 0.045</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.008</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>825,000 - 1,649,000</td>
<td>1.013</td>
<td>0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Locations</strong></td>
<td>386</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.817 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.003</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>318,000 - 636,000</td>
<td>-25.897</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Matrix of pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ statistics for 13 populations of *Pygoplites diacanthus* based on mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome *b*, 568 bp) sequences. Bolded numbers indicate significance after controlling for false discovery rates at $\alpha = 0.05$ (as per Narum, 2006). The corrected $\alpha = 0.009$. Owing to low sample size, Okinawa and Indonesia have been excluded. Abbreviations: Red Sea Province, RS; Indian Ocean, IO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample location</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Philippines</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Palau</td>
<td>0.02286</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Marshall Is.</td>
<td>-0.00690</td>
<td>0.04436</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Pohnpei</td>
<td>-0.00113</td>
<td>-0.00003</td>
<td>-0.00602</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Tokelau</td>
<td>-0.00088</td>
<td>0.00970</td>
<td>0.02593</td>
<td>-0.00273</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Mo’orea</td>
<td><strong>0.21137</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.15115</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.24879</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.12293</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.21718</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. American Samoa</td>
<td>0.00241</td>
<td>0.01337</td>
<td>0.01878</td>
<td>-0.00796</td>
<td>-0.00602</td>
<td><strong>0.12806</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fiji</td>
<td>0.04077</td>
<td>0.00310</td>
<td><strong>0.06141</strong></td>
<td>0.00599</td>
<td>-0.00058</td>
<td><strong>0.22924</strong></td>
<td>0.01738</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Christmas Is.</td>
<td>0.02264</td>
<td>0.04064</td>
<td>0.02876</td>
<td>0.02685</td>
<td>0.01493</td>
<td><strong>0.13152</strong></td>
<td>0.02805</td>
<td>0.03767</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Saudi Arabia</td>
<td><strong>0.76918</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.80926</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.80834</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.73676</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.79578</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.83717</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.75536</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.82668</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.55505</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Djibouti</td>
<td><strong>0.73859</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.76222</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.75577</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.72292</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.74532</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.78731</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.73266</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.76756</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.58986</strong></td>
<td>0.05789</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Maldives</td>
<td><strong>0.64673</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.71028</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.67118</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.67292</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.63123</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.75342</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.65734</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.69346</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.53679</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.42875</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.50323</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Diego Garcia</td>
<td><strong>0.51036</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.56474</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.52244</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.53912</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.49451</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.61025</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.52284</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.54533</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.41311</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.28493</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.35104</strong></td>
<td>-0.00728</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Results of the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) based on mitochondrial DNA (cytochrome b) sequence data for *Pygoplites diacanthus*. Bolded values denote significance at $P < 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Among groups</th>
<th>Among populations (within groups)</th>
<th>Within populations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Phi_{CT}$</td>
<td>$P$-value</td>
<td>% variation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Ocean vs. Indian Ocean</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>59.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific$^a$ vs. Indian$^b$ vs. Red Sea$^c$</td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td><strong>65.53</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian$^b$ vs. Red Sea$^c$ vs. Christmas Is.</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>44.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific$^a$ vs. Mo'orea</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Pacific includes all Pacific Ocean populations plus Christmas Island.

$^b$Indian includes the Maldives and Diego Garcia.

$^c$Red Sea includes Saudi Arabia and Djibouti.
Table 5. Molecular diversity indices for populations of *Pygoplites diacanthus* based on nuclear DNA (introns RAG2 and S7) for all populations. Number of individuals sequenced (*n*), number of alleles (*N*a), number of segregating (polymorphic) sites (*S*), observed heterozygosity (*H*o), expected heterozygosity (*H*e), and the corresponding *P*-value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>RAG2</th>
<th>S7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>n</em></td>
<td><em>N</em>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Ocean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okinawa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall islands</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohnpei</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokelau</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo’orea</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christmas Island</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Sea Province</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Ocean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diego Garcia</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Locations</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Matrix of pairwise $F$-statistics for 13 populations of *Pygoplites diacanthus*. $\Phi_{ST}$ values for RAG2 (below diagonal) and S7 (above diagonal). Bolded numbers indicate significance after controlling for false discovery rates at $\alpha = 0.05$ (as per Narum, 2006). The corrected $\alpha = 0.009$. Owing to low sample size, Okinawa and Indonesia have been excluded. Abbreviations: Red Sea Province, RS; Indian Ocean, IO.

| Sample location         | Pacific Ocean |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  | RS | IO |
|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                         | 1             | 2                | 3                | 4                | 5                | 6                | 7                | 8                | 9                | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13   |
| 1. Philippines          | --            | -0.00920         | -0.01362         | -0.01360         | -0.01687         | 0.01687          | 0.01128          | -0.00514         | 0.01515          | 0.34364 | 0.17142 | 0.10393 | 0.09571 |
| 2. Palau                | -0.00538      | --               | 0.00508          | -0.00296         | -0.02460         | 0.02700          | 0.00922          | -0.01550         | -0.00726         | 0.38275 | 0.22126 | 0.09033 | 0.08852 |
| 3. Marshall Is.         | 0.00818       | -0.01120         | --               | -0.00031         | -0.00704         | 0.05734          | 0.00961          | -0.00460         | 0.04995          | 0.34476 | 0.15924 | 0.14964 | 0.13677 |
| 4. Pohnpei              | -0.00668      | -0.01082         | -0.00789         | --               | -0.02035         | 0.01691          | 0.00989          | -0.00473         | 0.01182          | 0.30090 | 0.18403 | 0.07945 | 0.08287 |
| 5. Tokelau              | 0.07767       | 0.04393          | 0.04048          | 0.02592          | --               | 0.00217          | -0.01313         | -0.02998         | -0.01183         | 0.37543 | 0.20290 | 0.09332 | 0.09858 |
| 6. Mo’orea              | 0.11389       | 0.13061          | 0.16703          | 0.16493          | 0.27102          | --               | 0.07372          | 0.03949          | 0.03840          | 0.44338 | 0.25787 | 0.07336 | 0.07570 |
| 7. American Samoa       | -0.00096      | 0.02568          | 0.05152          | 0.03906          | 0.17279          | 0.03921          | --               | -0.01129         | 0.02085          | 0.36682 | 0.24862 | 0.18011 | 0.18764 |
| 8. Fiji                 | -0.02068      | -0.01577         | -0.00644         | -0.01574         | 0.05125          | 0.12489          | 0.01209          | --               | 0.00554          | 0.40033 | 0.23471 | 0.13818 | 0.13340 |
| 9. Christmas Is.        | -0.00831      | -0.01338         | -0.01051         | -0.00520         | 0.07526          | 0.11113          | 0.00810          | -0.01339         | --               | 0.43065 | 0.26948 | 0.09838 | 0.10584 |
| RS 10. Saudi Arabia     | 0.10905       | 0.08062          | 0.08407          | 0.06289          | 0.02759          | 0.29673          | 0.19761          | 0.08516          | 0.11256          | --     | 0.07234 | 0.51195 | 0.50068 |
| 11. Djibouti            | 0.12103       | 0.08643          | 0.07487          | 0.06583          | 0.00215          | 0.3587           | 0.22863          | 0.09234          | 0.11642          | 0.02992 | --     | 0.25737 | 0.25281 |
| IO 12. Maldives          | 0.23970       | 0.23544          | 0.26566          | 0.23347          | 0.28203          | 0.34276          | 0.27897          | 0.23017          | 0.25607          | 0.25779 | 0.22058 | --     | -0.00921 |
| 13. Diego Garcia        | 0.16033       | 0.14521          | 0.15459          | 0.1368           | 0.13833          | 0.31590          | 0.22167          | 0.14479          | 0.16565          | 0.13958 | 0.09067 | 0.01181 | --     |
**Highlights**

- Regal angelfish represents a monoypic genus with a deep evolutionary history
- Oldest coalescence in the Pacific during early Pleistocene (< 2 MY)
- Radiations from the Red Sea yield two lineages in the Indian Ocean
- Divergences between Red Sea, Indian and Pacific Oceans match biogeographic barriers
- Based on color differences & genetics, evolutionary partitions below species level