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Surface enhanced dynamic nuclear polarization solid-state NMR spectroscopy sheds light on Brønsted–Lewis acid synergy during the zeolite catalyzed methanol-to-hydrocarbon process†

Abhishek Dutta Chowdhury, †‡ a Irina Yarulina, † a Edy Abou-Hamad, † b Andrei Gurinovv and Jorge Gascon † b

After a prolonged effort over two decades, the reaction mechanism of the zeolite-catalyzed methanol-to-hydrocarbon (MTH) process is now well-understood: the so-called ‘direct mechanism’ (via direct coupling of two methanol molecules) is responsible for the formation of the initial carbon–carbon bonds, while the hydrocarbon pool (HCP)-based dual cycle mechanism is responsible for the formation of reaction products. While most of the reaction events occur at zeolite Bronsted acid sites, the addition of Lewis acid sites (i.e., via the introduction of alkaline earth cations like calcium) has been shown to inhibit the formation of deactivating coke species and hence increase the catalyst lifetime. With the aim to have an in-depth mechanistic understanding, herein, we employ magic angle spinning surface-enhanced dynamic nuclear polarization solid-state NMR spectroscopy to illustrate that the inclusion of Lewis acidity prevents the formation of carbene/ylide species on the zeolite, directly affecting the equilibrium between arene and olefin cycles of the HCP mechanism and hence regulating the ultimate product selectivity and catalyst lifetime.

Introduction

Understanding the cause of preferential formation of one product over others (i.e., product control) has always been a challenging task in heterogeneous catalysis.4–9 Although the zeolite H-ZSM-5 catalyzed methanol-to-hydrocarbon (MTH) process was discovered by Mobil Corporation more than four decades ago, it is still a fascinating research topic with numerous mechanistic aspects/complexity yet to be unveiled.4–9 Mechanistically, this reaction is typically subdivided into two segments: (i) the direct mechanism, followed by (ii) the hydrocarbon pool (HCP)/dual-cycle mechanism, during the induction and autocatalytic periods of the reaction, respectively.4–9 During a very short induction period, the direct mechanism is responsible for the formation of initial carbon–carbon bonds containing acetate/ether-type species.2 Next, these species initiate the formation of hydrocarbons through an auto-catalytic dual cycle/HCP mechanism during the steady-state of the MTH reaction. This dual cycle mechanism consists of two intra-dependent cycles based on alkylated aromatic and olefinic species, i.e., arene and alkene cycles, respectively.2–9 Herein, based on 12C/13C transient switching experiments, Svelle et al. earlier demonstrated that all olefins, except ethylene, are produced from the alkene cycle, whereas ethylene typically originates from the arene cycle.5,8,9,13 Similarly, after thorough kinetic investigations, Sun et al. later concluded that the arene cycle gives rise to similar selectivity for both olefins, while the alkene cycle preferentially produces propylene over ethylene.12,13 Thus, hypothetically, if both arene and alkene cycles contribute equally to the MTH reaction, ethylene will be predominantly formed from the arene cycle.2

In this aspect, we recently reported that Lewis acidity in zeolites is an active participant in the MTH reaction mechanism rather than a spectator.1,14 Using calcium (Ca) as a showcase, we demonstrated that the incorporation of alkaline-earth metals results in a significant decrease and isolation of Bronsted acid sites (BAS) together with the generation of Lewis acid sites (LAS).1,14 Meticulous analysis of catalysts with different amounts of Lewis and Bronsted acid sites (achieved through different loading of alkaline-earth metals) allowed us to decouple the effects/implications of these two types of acidic functionalities from each other. Bronsted acidity was found to be linearly correlated with propylene and ethylene selectivity proving itself
to be the principal product distribution descriptor. On the other hand, we also showed that the presence of Lewis acidity is beneficial for a prolonged catalyst lifetime, which is tuned by the LAS/BAS ratio.\textsuperscript{7,14} Having a destabilizing effect on important carbenium ions, Lewis acidity decreases the reactivity of intermediates belonging to the arene cycle, thus altogether suppressing the formation of aromatic species. In order to gain a complete mechanistic overview of this very relevant observation, it is necessary to identify the nature of formed/trapped co-catalytic organic HCP scaffolds within the inorganic zeolites (both H-ZSM-5 and Ca-ZSM-5\textsuperscript{20,21}) governing the autocatalytic part of the MTH process. Herein, we have employed solid-state NMR spectroscopy, which recently proved itself to be a compelling analytical technique for the characterization of biomolecules and catalytic materials.\textsuperscript{1,15–20}

Solid-state NMR spectroscopy is a quantitative and non-destructive/invasive approach to elucidate molecular structures along with their physiognomies accurately.\textsuperscript{15,16,21–23} However, this technique has not (yet) entirely overcome all its challenges. The natural abundance of the element of interest is one of the primary criteria (or concerns) behind its success. For instance, \(^{13}\text{C}\) has only 1.1% natural abundance and thus absolute \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enrichment within a molecule results in a \(\approx90\) times enhancement of signals compared to usual. Therefore, the utilization of \(^{13}\text{C}\)-labelled species/reactant(s) is a popular strategy in multiple research fields, including heterogeneous catalysis, to deal with the low sensitivity issue.\textsuperscript{1,3,15,16,21–28}

However, absolute isotope-enrichment of an element with low natural abundance is not always a practical solution, particularly in the field of heterogeneous catalysis and surface/materials science. To overcome the low-sensitivity concerns, dynamic nuclear polarization surface-enhanced NMR spectroscopy (DNP SENS) has recently gained a lot of interest from the scientific community.\textsuperscript{29–44} Typically, a sample is initially impregnated with a DNP agent (i.e., a nitroxide biradical) containing solution to bring it into the vicinity of the surface.\textsuperscript{29,36,37,43,45,46} The DNP agent enhances the polarization of protons of the solvent and neighbouring surface regions, which are then transferred to the surface’s hetero-nuclei through cross-polarization (CP) or similar coherence magnetization transfer schemes (as illustrated in Fig. 1). As a result, signal enhancement of the hetero-nuclei is observed without any isotope-enrichment.\textsuperscript{29,30,37,40,45,46}

In heterogeneous catalysis and materials science, until now, the concept of DNP SENS has been primarily utilized to characterize surface organometallic fragments/catalysts, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and amorphous aluminosilicates.\textsuperscript{40,45–48} To understand the reaction mechanism involving micro-porous zeolite catalysts, fully \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enriched reactants have typically been used to deal with the natural abundance issue of the hetero-nuclei, including in MTH catalysis.\textsuperscript{2,3,31–15,21–24,26–28,49–51} Although very informative, this approach primarily involves the use of non-ideal reactors (e.g., mostly in situ reaction cells with a very high ‘dead volume’), as well as shorter reaction times (cf. \(<60\) min), which do not necessarily reflect the real reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{15,21,24,27,49–51} In contrast, the preparation of a fully deactivated catalyst (often requiring \(>10–20\) hours of reaction time) using \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enriched reactant(s) in a conventional fixed-bed reactor is an unrealistically expensive process. Not only economic feasibility, but also the commercial availability of the \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enriched reactant, that too in a reasonable quantity, is another genuine concern. It is worth mentioning that the DNP SENS technique has recently been applied to MTH-reacted zeolite materials too.\textsuperscript{24,28} However, these post-reacted materials were prepared using fully \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enriched methanol, that too in a very short reaction time (20 min). Hence, through this current work, our DNP SENS approach offers a unique opportunity to perform mechanistic investigations using naturally abundant (without any \(^{13}\text{C}\)-enrichment) methanol on the more mechanistically relevant deactivated sample, which is indeed the primary aim/scope of the current work.

Results and discussion

In this work, magic angle spinning DNP SENS NMR spectroscopy was performed on deactivated un- and Ca-modified ZSM-5 materials after the MTH reaction (\(T = 500\) °C, weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) = 8 g\textsubscript{MeOH}/gcatalyst\textsuperscript{−1} h\textsuperscript{−1}) using naturally abundant methanol (Fig. 2–6 and S1–S10 in the ESI; see also Section S1 and S2 in the ESI\textsuperscript{†}) as a reactant, to identify the zeolite-trapped organic HCP species. Herein, both catalysts are synthesized aiming for the same amount of Bronsted acid sites but with strikingly different Lewis acid site-content to elucidate the effect of the latter on catalytic performance (Table S1 in the ESI\textsuperscript{†}).\textsuperscript{1} Both catalysts show a rather similar selectivity to ethylene and propylene (Fig. 2). As was shown in our previous work,\textsuperscript{1,14} both propylene and ethylene selectivity were linearly
correlated with the density of Brønsted acid sites. Being the principal descriptor of propylene selectivity, similar Brønsted acid sites yielded similar propylene selectivity (47.2% and 51% for zeolites ZSM-5 and Ca-ZSM-5, respectively, Fig. 2). Therefore, catalysts with the same amount of Brønsted acid sites show rather similar product distribution. Despite obvious similarities in product distribution, both catalysts show different resistance towards deactivation. While ZSM-5 shows no deactivation for ~28 hours (corresponding to 224 gMeOH converted per gram of the catalyst), its Ca-modified counterpart is able to convert a twice higher amount of methanol (MeOH) before deactivation starts (up to 504 gMeOH converted per gram of the catalyst before deactivation compared to the Ca-free sample). Such lifetime improvement or stability towards deactivation was attributed to the Lewis acid site-promoted (as a result of Ca-incorporation) suppression of the aromatic cycle via destabilization of the key carbenium HCP species.\(^1\)

For DNP SENS measurements, samples were prepared using incipient wetness impregnation with the solution of 16 mM TEKPol in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE) (see Section S3 in the ESI† for the detailed DNP sample preparation methods).\(^{38,40,42}\) Upon microwave irradiation, up to 75 times enhancement of the signal was observed on the DNP agent-impregnated post-reacted zeolite materials (Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI†).\(^{39,40}\) There is a significant dissimilarity in the type of identified HCP species on both zeolites. The introduction of Ca led to the isolation of zeolitic Brønsted acid sites, which inhibit the formation of carbenes/ylides species during the MTH reaction.\(^{21,26}\) Due to the absolute non-interference from Lewis acid sites (i.e., Ca), carbene/ylide-species were formed exclusively in the zeolite H-ZSM-5. Such subtle tweaking of the catalyst properties via the introduction of Lewis acid sites is ultimately proved to be the most decisive factor in catalysis.\(^{24}\)

In the 1D \(^{1}\)H–\(^{13}\)C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (MAS) DNP SENS of both post-reacted H-ZSM-5 and Ca-ZSM-5 zeolites (Fig. 3), the following features were primarily observed: (i) \(15–38\) ppm aliphatic/paraffinic species (i.e. saturated hydrocarbon moieties), (ii) \(~100\) ppm acetal (-O-\(\text{CH}_2\)-O) groups, and (iii) \(118–142\) ppm unsaturated hydrocarbon moieties (i.e., olefinic/aromatics, see Fig. 3a and b).\(^{1,21}\) The post-reacted zeolite ZSM-5 sample also showcases two additional peaks in both upfield (~0.5 ppm, see Fig. 3a) and downfield (~368 ppm, see Fig. 3c and d) regions, which were attributed to the zeolite-trapped methane/Si-methyl species and zeolite-surface bound carbone/ylide species, respectively.\(^{40}\) The upfield peak at 0.5 ppm is usually observed as a result of adsorption of methane in the zeolite framework.\(^{35}\) Methane is a common by-product in MTH catalysis as a result of disproportionation of methanol (2\(\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{HCHO}\)).\(^{28}\) However, at least in principle, such shielded carbon could also originate from Si-methyl species (possibly at external surface/lattice defect sites in zeolites), since tetrarmethylsilane (or similar methylated silanes) usually appears at around 0 ppm in the \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum.\(^{57}\)
The existence of a carbene insertion mechanism during the zeolite-catalyzed MTH process has been present in the literature for a while now, and it was primarily based on indirect evidence. For instance, the ability to form carbene with zeolite-surface methoxy species (SMS, i.e., formed upon adsorption of methanol onto zeolite Bronsted acid sites) was first postulated by Chang and Silvestri et al. The Hunger group demonstrated that methylcyclohexane was formed through an insertion reaction of a carbene/ylide into the C–H bond of cyclohexane. The polarization of a methyl C–H of zeolite-surface methoxy species by neighboring oxygen induces a carbene/ylide character on SMS and promotes direct coupling reactions with methanol, which was also verified by Kondo et al. using IR spectroscopy. Recently, this has been further confirmed by Chowdhury et al. using 2D solid-state NMR correlation (both $^1$H–$^{13}$C and $^{13}$C–$^{13}$C) spectroscopy to trap the precursor of the zeolite-surface carbene species (i.e., the adduct between zeolite-bound SMS and an incoming methanol). However, direct spectroscopic identification of the zeolite-bound carbene (or carbonyl) species itself was still elusive. Indeed, this has always been an extremely challenging task due to its inherent lower signal-to-noise ratio and longer acquisition times (from weeks to months). Herein, DNP SENS is successfully implemented to overcome the sensitivity concern, providing direct spectroscopic identification of carbene-character containing carbons on zeolite, possibly for the first time in zeolite chemistry and catalysis (Fig. 3e).

Next, 1D $^1$H–$^{13}$C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning DNP SENS was performed at different cross-polarization contact times ($t_{\text{imp}}$) on both post-reacted H-ZSM-5 and Ca-ZSM-5 zeolite samples to study diffusion characteristics (Fig. 4, also see Fig. S3 and S4 in the ESI† for a detailed study). In both cases, the intensity of the acetal peak (~100 ppm region in Fig. 4, also see the blue-highlighted region in Fig. S3 and S4 in the ESI†) increases with the increasing contact time, which means a more efficient cross-polarization transfer was evidenced at longer contact times. This suggests that the acetal molecules are preferentially located within the zeolitic framework. On a closer inspection, it is also evident that the surface of the post-reacted H-ZSM-5 comparatively contains a much higher amount of unsaturated HCP species (like aromatics/polyaromatics). Although unsaturated aromatic-based HCP peaks were centred around 126–128 ppm at the longest cross-polarization contact times on both samples, an additional aromatic peak around 133 ppm in shorter contact time ($t_{\text{imp}} = 0.2–0.5$ ms) experiments in H-ZSM-5 (Fig. 4a, also see the green highlighted region in Fig. S3 in the ESI†) implies the presence of polyanomatic products exclusively residing on the surface of the zeolite. However, this peak is completely absent in the post-reacted Ca-modified ZSM-5 zeolite, which clearly indicates the absence of any aromatic species in this case (Fig. 4b, also see Fig. S3 vs. Fig. S4 in the ESI† for a more detailed illustration), especially on the surface of the zeolite material.

Next, in order to achieve more in-depth information about the mechanism of DNP transfer inside both post-reacted materials, we performed several 1D $^1$H–$^{13}$C cross-polarization magic-angle spinning DNP measurements, including saturation recovery experiments using different impregnation times ($t_{\text{imp}}$) (Fig. S5–S8 in the ESI†). At $t_{\text{imp}} = 0$ (immediately after sample preparation) in H-ZSM-5, fast-solvent build-up times ($T_0$) and simultaneous high enhancement of both solvents and aromatics were concomitantly spotted (Fig. S5 and S6 in the ESI†). Both aliphatic and acetal resonances were only enhanced at longer impregnation times ($t_{\text{imp}} = $ up to 90 days), which means both were primarily located within the micropores. Interestingly, both of them have slower $T_0$ at $t_{\text{imp}} = 0$ compared to aromatics, while all resonances eventually have faster/identical $T_0$ at longer impregnation times ($t_{\text{imp}} = 7$ days). Such time-dependent DNP-behaviour implies that solvent-spin diffusion into the zeolite-pores requires more time, which is a signature of the polarization process being relayed by spin diffusion inside the pores. This could be due to the fact that the pores are not fully impregnated immediately, and thus, diffusion of the solvent into the materials can continue for over a period of days. Such pronounced characteristics of the polarization build-up are a typical signature of DNP relayed by spin diffusion, which is comparable with and analogous to the previously reported observations based on micro-crystalline solids, metal-organic frameworks, and zeolites. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that the post-reacted unmodified zeolite ZSM-5 sample is overwhelmed by surface (poly)aromatics species and it takes a while to diffuse into the micropores of the zeolite to enhance the signal originating from all species (i.e., aromatics,
acetals and aliphatic moieties) within the micropore/ framework.

In contrast, in the post-reacted Ca-ZSM-5, all resonances comparatively experienced similar signal enhancement over impregnation times (Fig. S7 and S8 in the ESI†). Herein, fast-solvent build-up times and a simultaneous high solvent enhancement are accompanied by the enhancement of all peaks (including aliphatic and acetals) at \( t_{\text{imp}} = 0 \). Later, such enhancement of all signals was observed at longer impregnation times (until a week) as well. Thus, the hydrocarbon pool species were uniformly distributed across the Ca-modified zeolite sample, which was yet to be deactivated and showed resistive characteristics towards coking/deactivation. Next, the instantaneous aromatic signal-enhancement in spent H-ZSM-5 indicates a higher concentration of deactivating coke-species, especially on the surface of the zeolite (Fig. S5 and S6 in the ESI†). This observation is also consistent with our earlier experiments at different cross-polarization contact times (Fig. 4 and Fig. S3 and S4 in the ESI†).

Next, 2D correlation solid-state NMR spectroscopy (i.e., both \(^{1}H-^{13}C\) and \(^{13}C-^{13}C\)) was performed to identify the nature of trapped organic species within both zeolites (Fig. 5 and 6 and Fig. S9 and S10† for their full spectra). In the 2D \(^{1}H-^{13}C\) cross-polarization HETCOR (HETCOR: HETero nuclear CORrelation spectroscopy) spectra of post-MTH reacted unmodified ZSM-5 under both non-DNP (Fig. 5a) and DNP (Fig. 5c) conditions, saturated aliphatic groups (20–37 ppm \(^{13}C\) and 1.1–3.1 \(^{1}H\))
ppm) along with unsaturated aromatic/olefinic moieties (130–145 ppm (13C) and 7.6–9 (1H) ppm) could be identified.

In contrast, the presence of aliphatic/paraffinic species (15–32 ppm (13C) and 2.0–3.3 (1H) ppm) is relatively more pronounced in the 2D 1H–13C cross-polarization DNP SENS HETCOR spectra of post-MTH reacted Ca-ZSM-5 (Fig. 5b vs. Fig. 5d). Although Fig. 5b highlights the existence of unsaturated HCP moieties (cross-peak between 128 ppm (13C) and 7.6 (1H) ppm) in the Ca-modified zeolite, their non-existence under DNP conditions (Fig. 5d) could be attributed to the overwhelming majority of saturated moieties on the surface. This observation is fully consistent with our previously reported operando UV-visible diffuse reflectance study during the MTH reaction, where we observed that aromatic species were not accumulated at all in Ca-ZSM-5 (on the surface at least). However, it could also mean that DNP transfer in the post-reacted Ca-ZSM-5 sample is not as efficient as in non-modified ZSM-5. Overall, these results essentially justify that the incorporation/proximity of Lewis acid sites suppresses the formation of aromatics, preventing the dehydroaromatization route from forming coke from aliphatic/paraffinic species. The slight (~2 ppm) up-field shifted peak maxima (~128 ppm: more prone towards olefins, not aromatics) in Ca-ZSM-5 further confirms the destabilizing effect of Lewis acid sites on the arene cycle. This could be further observed in Fig. 3b, where the peak at around 121 ppm, due to the olefinic sp2-carbons, was clearly resolved in the post-reacted Ca-ZSM-5, indicating the dominance of the alkene cycle.

Since the availability/accessibility of zeolitic Bronsted acid sites within the zeolite micropores is a mandatory requirement for the formation of surface-carbene species, the close proximity of Lewis acid sites (presumably in the form of μ-hydroxo dimers, see Fig. 3e and Scheme 1) and/or highly isolated Bronsted acid sites eventually inhibits the formation of both carbene and coke species, contributing to a sharp increase in the catalyst lifetime (Fig. 2). Such synergy between Lewis and Bronsted acid sites could further be rationalized in the respective 1D 1H–13C cross-polarization magic angle spinning solid-state NMR spectrum (see top projections in Fig. 3a and c). The sole existence of a carbon signal at around 51 ppm in the post-MTH reacted zeolite H-ZSM-5 (Fig. 5a vs. Fig. 3c), which typically originates from zeolite-adsorbed methanol, highlights the synergy between Lewis and Bronsted acid sites in the Ca-ZSM-5 material.

Herein, we demonstrate that the carbene species is not merely a spectator in MTH chemistry. This concept in zeolite catalysis was first introduced by Chang and Silvestri more than four decades ago. However, this proposal did not get much attention from the community due to the lack of concrete experimental evidence. Recently, experimental evidence in support of the carbene species was provided by the groups of Hunger and Kondo, as already discussed previously. Notably, through in-depth spectroscopic investigations and isotope-labeling study, Kondo et al. clearly showed that both the carbene species and its precursor (i.e., SMS) were responsible for the direct formation of propylene from ethylene. In the present case, both SMS and carbene species promoted the homolagation reaction during the MTH process, which ultimately led to the formation of aromatic species. In this regard, the effect of the homolagation reaction and its exact mechanism for the arene cycle of the HCP mechanism during zeolite-catalyzed alcohol conversion have recently been established. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that the surface-carbene species on H-ZSM-5 is indeed primarily responsible for stimulating the arene cycle of the HCP-based dual cycle mechanism, which does not only promote the formation of coke species, but is also eventually accountable for the formation of ethylene (Scheme 1).

Although the NMR samples were prepared with a naturally abundant reactant, 2D 13C–13C correlation DNP SENS experiments were still attempted to understand the local heterogeneity within the sample with respect to the post-reacted zeolite-trapped oxygenated species. For this purpose, the carbons were polarized through cross-polarization, and 13C–13C mixing (30 ms) was achieved through proton-driven spin-diffusion using phase-alternated recoupling irradiation schemes (PARIS, see Fig. S9 and S10 in the ESI†, Scheme 1) and/or highly isolated Bronsted acid sites eventually inhibits the formation of both carbene and coke species, contributing to a sharp increase in the catalyst lifetime (Fig. 2). Such synergy between Lewis and Bronsted acid sites could further be rationalized in the respective 1D 1H–13C cross-polarization magic angle spinning solid-state NMR spectrum (see top projections in Fig. 3a and c). The sole existence of a carbon signal at around 51 ppm in the post-MTH reacted zeolite H-ZSM-5 (Fig. 5a vs. Fig. 3c), which typically originates from zeolite-adsorbed methanol, highlights the synergy between Lewis and Bronsted acid sites in the Ca-ZSM-5 material.

Herein, we demonstrate that the carbene species is not merely a spectator in MTH chemistry. This concept in zeolite catalysis was first introduced by Chang and Silvestri more than four decades ago. However, this proposal did not get much attention from the community due to the lack of concrete experimental evidence. Recently, experimental evidence in support of the carbene species was provided by the groups of Hunger and Kondo, as already discussed previously. Notably, through in-depth spectroscopic investigations and isotope-labeling study, Kondo et al. clearly showed that both the carbene species and its precursor (i.e., SMS) were responsible for the direct formation of propylene from ethylene. In the present case, both SMS and carbene species promoted the homolagation reaction during the MTH process, which ultimately led to the formation of aromatic species. In this regard, the effect of the homolagation reaction and its exact mechanism for the arene cycle of the HCP mechanism during zeolite-catalyzed alcohol conversion have recently been established. Therefore, it is safe to conclude that the surface-carbene species on H-ZSM-5 is indeed primarily responsible for stimulating the arene cycle of the HCP-based dual cycle mechanism, which does not only promote the formation of coke species, but is also eventually accountable for the formation of ethylene (Scheme 1).

Although the NMR samples were prepared with a naturally abundant reactant, 2D 13C–13C correlation DNP SENS experiments were still attempted to understand the local heterogeneity within the sample with respect to the post-reacted zeolite-trapped oxygenated species. For this purpose, the carbons were polarized through cross-polarization, and 13C–13C mixing (30 ms) was achieved through proton-driven spin-diffusion using phase-alternated recoupling irradiation schemes (PARIS, see Fig. S9 and S10 in the ESI†). Although the 2D 1H–13C cross-polarization magic angle spinning HETCOR spectrum (Fig. 3c) typically originates from zeolite-adsorbed methanol, highlights the synergy between Lewis and Bronsted acid sites in the Ca-ZSM-5 material.

Herein, we identify two zeolite-surface bound oxygenated species in the methoxy region (Fig. S9b in the ESI†), which could be attributed to two different binding modes (≈51 ppm and ≈21 ppm) along with unsaturated aromatic/olefinic moieties (130–145 ppm (13C) and 7.6–9 (1H) ppm) could be identified.

In contrast, the presence of aliphatic/paraffinic species (15–32 ppm (13C) and 2.0–3.3 (1H) ppm) is relatively more pronounced in the 2D 1H–13C cross-polarization DNP SENS HETCOR spectra of post-MTH reacted Ca-ZSM-5 (Fig. 5b vs. Fig. 5d). Although Fig. 5b highlights the existence of unsaturated HCP moieties (cross-peak between 128 ppm (13C) and 7.6 (1H) ppm) in the Ca-modified zeolite, their non-existence under DNP conditions (Fig. 5d) could be attributed to the overwhelming majority of saturated moieties on the surface. This observation is fully consistent with our previously reported operando UV-visible diffuse reflectance study during the MTH reaction, where we observed that aromatic species were not accumulated at all in Ca-ZSM-5 (on the surface at least). However, it could also mean that DNP transfer in the post-reacted Ca-ZSM-5 sample is not as efficient as in non-modified ZSM-5. Overall, these results essentially justify that the incorporation/proximity of Lewis acid sites suppresses the formation of aromatics, preventing the dehydroaromatization route from forming coke from aliphatic/paraffinic species. The slight (~2 ppm) up-field shifted peak maxima (~128 ppm: more prone towards olefins, not aromatics) in Ca-ZSM-5 further confirms the destabilizing effect of Lewis acid sites on the arene cycle. This could be further observed in Fig. 3b, where the peak at around 121 ppm, due to the olefinic sp2-carbons, was clearly resolved in the post-reacted Ca-ZSM-5, indicating the dominance of the alkene cycle.

Scheme 1 Mechanistic differences between the un- and Ca-modified zeolite ZSM-5 catalyzed methanol-to-hydrocarbon reaction (HT: hydrogen transfer, SMS: surface-methoxy species).
for end-on and side-on orientations, respectively) of methanol on zeolite.\textsuperscript{21,73,72} The relatively broad line-width of the ~51 ppm peak (belonging to the side-on mode of zeolite-bound methanol) suggests heterogeneity in its molecular environment.\textsuperscript{21}

In addition to the identification of acetal species (\(\text{O}–\text{CH}–\text{O}–\)) on both post-reacted zeolites (cf. Fig. 3), \(2\text{D}^{13}\text{C}–^{13}\text{C}\) correlation spectra also revealed its non-identical physical characteristics (Fig. 6). In MTH chemistry, an acetal typically symbolizes the presence of dimethoxymethane (\(\text{DM} \text{M}, \text{CH}_2\text{O}–\text{CH}_2\text{O}–\text{CH}_3\), an acetal of formaldehyde).\textsuperscript{56,58,73–76} Interestingly, methylene (\(\text{CH}_2–\)) in the post-MTH reacted unmodified zeolite ZSM-5 trapped DMM exhibits a twin signal (98.5 and 101.1 ppm), that shows an interchange of cross-peaks with each other.\textsuperscript{21} This is a typical signature of exchange between two anomeric DMM structures (\textit{trans}, \textit{trans} and \textit{gauche}, \textit{gauche}, see Fig. 6a) on a sub-second time-scale.\textsuperscript{21,77} In contrast in zeolite Ca-ZSM-5, a sole DMM-\textit{CH}_2\text{signal was observed at 99.8 ppm (i.e., exactly at an average of their anomeric resonances), implying that the exchange between the two anomeric DMM structures is too fast to be detected by NMR in this case. This is a distinctive signature of a mobile species, which typically controls much narrower features under cross-polarization-based dipolar/through-space magnetization transfer schemes.\textsuperscript{15,22,21}

To produce acetal, methanol first undergoes dehydrogenation to form \textit{in situ} carbon monoxide. Then, a surface-formate species was formed as a result of Koch-carbonylation of zeolite (Fig. 6b).\textsuperscript{15,21,75} Due to the highly electrophilic nature of the surface-formate species, it undergoes successive methanol nucleophilic attack to produce dimethoxymethane (DMM), an acetal of formaldehyde. Both formaldehyde and its acetal (i.e., DMM) are also reported to directly contribute to the formation of hydrocarbon pool species during the zeolite-catalyzed methanol-to-hydrocarbon process.\textsuperscript{71,80}

In fact, the formation of DMM or its precursor, formaldehyde, further corroborates the occurrence of ongoing disproportionation of methanol (\(2\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{HCHO}\)) during the zeolite-catalysed MTH process.\textsuperscript{56} This essentially further confirms that the ~0.5 ppm peak in Fig. 3a indeed originated from the zeolite-trapped/adsorbed methane, which is nothing but a side-product of such a disproportionation reaction in MTH catalysis.

In terms of mechanistic aspects (cf. Scheme 1), this study supports the postulation by Svelle et al. in which a hybrid inorganic–organic material (\textit{i.e.}, encompassing the inorganic zeolite and organic HCP reaction centers, presumably through host–guest chemistry) is proposed as an active MTH catalyst.\textsuperscript{10,15,31,62} The same group also demonstrated that such a \textit{(in situ formed) hybrid material eventually controls the product selectivity: the formation of ethylene mechanistically originates from the arene cycle, while propylene is selectively produced within the alkene cycle (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{14,19} Herein, the arene part of the HCP-based dual cycle is destabilized upon Ca-modification of zeolites and thus the hybrid ‘working catalyst material’ is primarily constituted by the inorganic zeolite and non-aromatic HCP-based organic reaction centers, which mechanistically prefer the selective formation of propylene during the MTH reaction.\textsuperscript{1,19,14} Alternatively, the accessibility of Brønsted acid sites in unmodified ZSM-5 promotes dehydroaromatization to form aromatics from olefins/paraffins/carbene and therefore the arene cycle of the HCP mechanism would be equally operational along with the olefin cycle in the typical hydrocarbon pool mechanism of MTH chemistry. Not only the synergy between Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, but also their proximity plays a crucial role in catalysis. Herein, we demonstrated that the close-proximity of Ca to traditional zeolite Brønsted acid sites was sufficient enough to prevent the formation of carbene species. Moreover, the carbene species on non-modified zeolite contributes to the formation of arene-based HCP species, which preferentially generates ethylene in MTH catalysis.\textsuperscript{2,81}

Conclusions

In summary, the synergy and proximity between Lewis acid sites and Brønsted acid sites in the Ca modified zeolite material are indeed responsible for the inability to form surface-carbene species, hence strongly suppressing the propagation of the arene cycle. Such a shift in the equilibrium of the dual cycle mechanism towards the olefin cycle dramatically increases the catalyst lifetime due to the absence of ‘conventional’ deactivating (arene-based) coke species. The mobility-dependent host–guest chemistry between the inorganic zeolite and organic hydrocarbon pool reaction centers, \textit{i.e.} the hybrid nature of the \textit{in situ} formed active catalytic material, has also been explained. Such accurate elucidation of the zeolite-trapped organics along with their physiognomies highlighting Bronsted/Lewis acid site synergy/proximity by exploiting dynamic nuclear polarization enhanced NMR spectroscopy will not only be useful for the development of superior and/or upgraded catalyst materials, but will also contribute to the fundamental understanding of zeolite-catalyzed hydrocarbon conversion chemistry.
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