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Abstract

By means of first principles calculations, we comprehensively investigate the stability of O vacancies at the different possible sites in the (LaVO₃)₆/SrVO₃ superlattice and their effect on the electronic structure. Formation energy calculations demonstrate that O vacancies are formed most easily in or close to the SrO layer. We show that O vacancies at these energetically favorable sites conserve the semiconducting character of the superlattice by reducing V⁴⁺ ions next to the SrO layer to V³⁺ ions, while all other sites result in a metallic character.

1. Introduction

O vacancies in transition metal oxides are becoming increasingly critical in device applications, since they act as electron donors and therefore can strongly perturb the electronic structure [1–3]. On the other hand, as the O vacancy concentration may be reversibly controlled by an external electric field or by epitaxial strain, the electronic conductivity and magnetism of transition metal oxides can be tuned without introducing other impurities [4, 5]. Numerous experimental and theoretical works have investigated the formation and diffusion of O vacancies (and the induced effects on the electronic and magnetic properties) in transition metal oxide thin films [5–8] and heterostructures [9–11], while the role of O vacancies in superlattices is still a developing field. For the LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ heterostructure (of non-magnetic insulators), for example, the consequences of O vacancies for the formation of a two-dimensional electron gas or even superconductivity at the interface, as found experimentally, have been studied in [12–15]. O vacancies also play a decisive role for the magnetic ordering in this heterostructure [15, 16].

LaVO₃/SrVO₃ superlattices with different periodicities are attracting a lot of interest in recent years, particularly due to magnetic features that do not exist in the bulk compounds [17–22]. It also has been reported that the saturation magnetization of (LaVO₃)ₐ/SrVO₃ superlattices is larger for even than for odd values of m [18]. The key for understanding the experimental situation may be the observation of simultaneous appearance of both V⁵⁺ ions (as in bulk LaVO₃) and V⁴⁺ ions (as in bulk SrVO₃) at the interface of the (LaVO₃)₉/SrVO₃ superlattice in [22]. Under the assumption that there are no O vacancies, first principles calculations show that these V⁵⁺ and V⁴⁺ ions form a checkerboard pattern adjacent to the SrO layer [23]. However, in a real sample, O vacancies are inevitable during the growth process [24], which may affect the electronic reconstruction of the V ions and, thus, the properties of the superlattice. In addition, it can be expected that the location of an O vacancy with respect to the SrO layer is important for its influence on the electronic structure. In order to clarify the role of O vacancies in the (LaVO₃)₉/SrVO₃ superlattice, which has been investigated experimentally in [18], we thus introduce in the present work such vacancies in different distances from the SrO layer and study their stability. This will allow us to determine the induced charge transfer as well as the effects on the electronic properties.
2. Methodology

Spin polarized first principles calculations are performed employing the projector augmented wave method [25, 26] (pseudopotentials with the following cores: He for O, Ne 3s² for V, Ar 3d¹⁰ for Sr, and Kr 4d¹⁰ for La) of the Vienna ab initio simulation package [27–30]. The generalized gradient approximation (Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof [31, 32]) is adopted for the exchange-correlation functional and the electronic correlations in the V 3d orbitals are taken into account by an effective onsite interaction parameter of 3 eV [33]. The cut-off energy of the plane wave basis is chosen as 500 eV. We have checked that a higher cut-off energy of 650 eV results for the pristine (LaVO₃₆/SrVO₃) superlattice in a total energy difference of less than 0.05 eV and a change in the band gap of less than 0.01 eV. The Brillouin zone is sampled on a 9 × 9 × 1 k-mesh, for which we have confirmed convergence. The total energy of the self-consistency calculations is converged to 1 × 10⁻⁵ eV and the atomic positions are relaxed until the forces on all atoms have declined below 0.02 eV Å⁻¹.

We form a single O vacancy in the (LaVO₃₆/SrVO₃) superlattice by removing 1 out of the 42 O atoms. This represents a sufficiently low defect concentration that only the atomic coordinates have to be relaxed, while the lattice constants can be adopted from the pristine superlattice without relaxation.

However, the shortest distance between O vacancies (through the periodic boundary conditions) is only 5.5 Å in our simulation cell, implying that the interaction between the defects is not yet fully negligible. Lowering the defect concentration, on the other hand, would require a larger simulation cell, which is computationally not treatable as a consequence of a very slow convergence behavior. A superlattice with thinner LaVO₃ slab also is not an alternative, because the experimental situation would no longer be modeled and the interfaces at the two ends of the slab would start interacting. Due to the epitaxial strain present in the (LaVO₃₆/SrVO₃) superlattice, the magnetic order is found to be A-type antiferromagnetic throughout the superlattice except for ferromagnetic coupling of the two VO₂ layers next to the SrO layer, which is in agreement with the results reported in [27]. For comparison, we also study O deficient bulk LaVO₃ using the lattice constants of the superlattice and enforcing A-type antiferromagnetic ordering. The formation energy of an O vacancy is calculated as

\[ E_f = E_{\text{defective}} + \frac{1}{2}E_{O_2} - E_{\text{pristine}}, \]

where \( E_{\text{defective}} \) is the total energy of the O deficient superlattice, \( E_{O_2} \) the total energy of a gas phase O₂ molecule (triplet ground state), and \( E_{\text{pristine}} \) the total energy of the pristine superlattice.

3. Results and discussion

Our calculations for bulk LaVO₃ result in a band gap of 1.39 eV, which is close to the experimental value of 1.1 eV as reported in [34]. The two inequivalent O vacancy sites in bulk LaVO₃ are shown in figure 1(a): OV₁ is located in the VO₂ layer and OV₂ in the LaO layer (forming a bridge between VO₂ layers). The formation energy of OV₁ (5.54 eV) is found to be higher than that of OV₂ (5.39 eV), which suggests that O vacancies favor the LaO layer. Figures 1(c) and (d) show the density of states (DOS) obtained for OV₁ and OV₂ in bulk LaVO₃, respectively. In both cases the semiconducting state is maintained with a band gap of 0.60 eV. As compared to the DOS of pristine bulk LaVO₃ (band gap 1.39 eV), see figure 1(b), additional states appear above the valence band and strongly reduce the size of the band gap. Analysis of the DOS projected on the 3d orbitals of the V atoms located next to an O vacancy, see figures 1(c)–(h), shows that \( e_g \) states (\( d_{x^2−y^2} \) in the case of OV₁ and \( d_{e_g} \) in the case of OV₂) form the valence band edge. The magnetic moments of these V atoms increase slightly from 1.82 \( \mu_B \) (pristine bulk LaVO₃) to 1.96 \( \mu_B \). The occupation of \( e_g \) states can be attributed to transfer of excess charge (from the O vacancy) to the neighboring V ions. We find that next to OV₁ each V atom gains 0.45 electrons and each La atom gains 0.19 electrons. Next to OV₂ the V atoms also gain 0.45 electrons and the two closest La atoms gain 0.06 and 0.13 electrons, respectively. It should be noted that Badar charges tend to underestimate charge transfers.

We consider for the (LaVO₃₆/SrVO₃) superlattice all inequivalent O vacancy sites: four sites in each of the VO₂ layers L₁ to L₄ (OV₁), see figure 2(a), and two sites in each LaO layer in between them (OV₂). The O vacancy formation energies obtained for these sites are summarized in figure 2(b). In the bulk-like region of the superlattice (L₃, L₄) the values are similar to our results for OV₁ and OV₂ in bulk LaVO₃, compare the dotted lines in figure 2(b). They decrease gradually when we approach layer L₁, showing that O vacancies are formed more easily towards the interface of the superlattice. A similar behavior has been reported in [35] for the LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ interface. Interestingly, we find a change in the electronic character of the superlattice as function of the distance of the O vacancy from the SrO layer, see figure 2(c): while O vacancies in the bulk-like region of the superlattice give rise to metallic states, those located close to the interface (layer L₂ are closer) conserve the original semiconducting state. In addition, within the semiconducting regime, the band gap grows gradually towards the interface.
We first analyze the metallic state of the defective superlattice. Since the different O vacancy sites of this regime, compare figure 2, behave similarly, we study as first example an O vacancy in layer L3 in more detail. The total DOS and band structure obtained for this case are illustrated in figures 3(a) and (b), respectively. The pristine (LaVO₃)₆/SrVO₃ superlattice is predicted to exhibit a band gap of 0.70 eV, while figure 3 shows for the superlattice with O vacancy in layer L3 a significant number of electronic states at the Fermi energy (mainly spin majority states, but also spin minority states). In figure 4 further insight is provided by projecting the DOS on the 3d orbitals of individual V atoms in layers L1 and L3. In the case of the pristine (LaVO₃)₆/SrVO₃ superlattice, V³⁺ ions (sites V1b and V1c) and V⁴⁺ ions (sites V1a and V1d) form a checkerboard pattern next to the SrO layer. Everywhere else we have V³⁺ ions. In the case of defective bulk LaVO₃, as discussed earlier, the excess charge enters mainly the dₓ²-y² or d₃z²-₉ orbits of the two V ions next to the O vacancy, whereas in the superlattice a substantial part of this charge does not stay at sites V3c and V3d but is transferred to a V⁴⁺ ion at the interface (site V1d, see figure 4(d)), resulting in a partially occupied band. Correspondingly, the dₓ²-y²

Figure 1. (a) O vacancy sites OVL (layer) and OVB (bridge) in bulk LaVO₃. The La, V, and O atoms are represented by large blue balls, medium gray balls, and small red balls, respectively. Total DOS of (b) pristine and (c), (d) defective bulk LaVO₃. DOS projected on the 3d orbitals of the V atoms (e) left and (g) right of OVL as well as (f) above and (h) below OVB.
orbitals become partially occupied at sites V3c and V3d. The metallic character thus is induced by the interplay between the O vacancy and the interface, which, of course, is impossible in the case of defective bulk LaVO3 due to the absence of V^{4+} ions (while a comparable situation is realized in La_{1-x}Sr_{x}VO3 solid solutions with mixed V^{3+} and V^{4+} states and in appropriately doped LaVO3, i.e., the discovered mechanism may play a role). As a consequence of the charge transfer, we find that the magnetic moment is reduced from 1.96 to 1.86 $\mu_B$ for sites V3c and V3d but enhanced from 1.10 to 1.46 $\mu_B$ for site V1d.

As second example for the metallic regime, we study an O vacancy between layers L2 and L3, which demonstrates that the described charge transfer phenomenon is not limited to OVL but also occurs for OVB. The metallic character of the superlattice is clearly visible in figure 5, and figure 6 indicates charge transfer from sites V2c and V3c (located next to the O vacancy) to the V^{4+} ion at site V1d. The magnetic moment turns out to be 1.30 $\mu_B$ at site V1d, 1.88 $\mu_B$ at site V2c, and 1.91 $\mu_B$ at site V3c. We note that site V2c (layer L2) is closer to the V^{4+} ion than site V3c (layer L3) so that the reduction of its magnetic moment (from 1.96 $\mu_B$) is slightly more pronounced.

Turning to the semiconducting regime of the defective superlattice, compare figure 2, we address as example an O vacancy in layer L1, located between sites V1c and V1d. According to the total DOS and band structure, see figures 7(a) and (b), respectively, this defect conserves the semiconducting character of the superlattice but the band gap is reduced from 0.70 to 0.51 eV. In figure 8 we show the DOS projected on the 3d orbitals of the V atoms in layer L1. We find that the excess charge due to the O vacancy results in a 3d$^2$ configuration for site V1d,
Figure 4. DOS of the defective (LaVO₃)ₓ/SrVO₃ superlattice projected on the 3d orbitals of V atoms indicated in the structure sketch on top. The O vacancy is located between sites V3c and V3d.

Figure 5. (a) Total DOS and (b) band structure of the (LaVO₃)ₓ/SrVO₃ superlattice with O vacancy between layers L2 and L3. Black and red lines in (b) denote spin majority and minority bands, respectively.
see figure 8(d), while site V1a keeps its 3d$^1$ configuration, see figure 8(a). The magnetic moments at sites V1c and V1d turn out to be both 1.96 $\mu_B$, whereas those of the other V$^{3+}$ ions remain at 1.82 $\mu_B$.

4. Conclusion

We have studied the stability of O vacancies at different sites in the (LaVO$_3$)$_n$/SrVO$_3$ superlattice. It turns out that the formation energy decreases gradually from the bulk-like region of the superlattice towards the SrO layer (interface). An O vacancy in the bulk-like region leads to charge accumulation on the two neighboring V atoms as well as to charge transfer to the V$^{4+}$ ions in the checkerboard pattern at the interface. As a consequence, partially filled bands are generated which give rise to a metallic character of the superlattice. This mechanism
plays no role in the case of bulk LaVO$_3$, since there are no V$^{4+}$ ions that could accept additional charge. On the other hand, it may be speculated that the discovered mechanism becomes relevant, for example, for La$_{1-x}$Sr$_x$VO$_3$ solid solutions with mixed V$^{3+}$ and V$^{4+}$ states and for appropriately doped LaVO$_3$. When the O vacancy is located within a range of not more than two VO$_2$ layers next to the SrO layer, surprisingly, the semiconducting state of the superlattice is conserved despite the O deficiency. This finding has been explained in terms of a perturbation of the V$^{3+}$–V$^{4+}$ checkerboard pattern that is present in the (LaVO$_3$)$_6$/SrVO$_3$ superlattice in the VO$_2$ layers next to the SrO layer. Instead of partial charge transfer, as in the case of bulk-like O vacancies, here V ions at the interface change their oxidation state fully from 4$^+$ to 3$^+$ and in that way are able to absorb the entire excess charge resulting from the O deficiency. The conservation of the semiconducting character is an immediate consequence of this observation.

**Figure 7.** (a) Total DOS and (b) band structure of the (LaVO$_3$)$_6$/SrVO$_3$ superlattice with O vacancy in layer L1. Black and red lines in (b) denote spin majority and minority bands, respectively.

**Figure 8.** DOS of the defective (LaVO$_3$)$_6$/SrVO$_3$ superlattice projected on the 3d orbitals of V atoms indicated in the structure sketch on top. The O vacancy is located between sites V1c and V1d.
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