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Abstract

Alcohols are potential blending agents for diesel that can be effectively used in compression ignition engines. This work investigates the use of n-butanol as a blending component for diesel fuel using experiments and simulations. Dodecane was selected as a surrogate for diesel fuel and various concentrations of n-butanol were added to study ignition characteristics. Ignition delay times for different n-butanol/dodecane blends were measured using the ignition quality tester at KAUST (KR-IQT). The experiments were conducted at pressure of 21 and 18 bar, temperature ranging from 703-843K and global equivalence ratio of 0.85. A skeletal mechanism for n-dodecane and n-butanol blends with 203 species was developed for numerical simulations. The mechanism was developed by combining n-dodecane skeletal mechanism containing 106 species and a detailed mechanism for all the butanol isomers. The new mixture mechanism was validated for various pressure, temperature and equivalence ratio using a 0-D homogeneous reactor model from CHEMKIN for pure base fuels (n-dodecane and butanol). Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) code, CONVERGE was used to further validate the new mechanism. The new mechanism was able to reproduce the experimental results from IQT at different pressure and temperature conditions.

Introduction

Biofuels like bio-alcohols are being actively investigated as alternatives to petroleum-based hydrocarbon fuels to reduce dependence on such fossil fuels and more importantly to reduce tail pipe emissions. The presence of extra oxygen in such alternative fuels plays an important role in reducing engine-out emissions, especially NOx and soot production during combustion process. In India, according to Government of India’s (GOI) National Policy of Bio-Fuels [1], 20% (by vol.) blending of bio-fuels needs to be achieved both for bio-diesel and bio-ethanol. For diesel applications, this reportedly led to the reductions of 7.35, 9.25, 13.61, 20, and 13% in HC, CO, PM, NOx and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), respectively [2].

Though bio-alcohols like ethanol and methanol were initially blended with diesel, butanol is now deemed to be a promising alternative for CI engine as its properties like density, viscosity and surface tension are close to diesel fuels and can mix well with diesel in all proportions. Sarathy et al [3] have reviewed combustion chemistry of alcohol and their application to engine relevant applications. Methanol and ethanol have high water absorption properties and surfactants are required to blend them with diesel due to their poor miscibility with diesel. The calorific value of butanol is higher than ethanol or methanol and can be used in currently available engines without any modification [4-5].

Jin and co-workers [6] studied the use of butanol as an alternative biofuel for internal combustion engines. They compared the properties of butanol with conventional gasoline, diesel fuel and some other alcohols like methanol, ethanol and biodiesel. Rakopoulos et al [7-8] investigated the effect of butanol in conventional diesel engine (0-24% by vol.) on performance and exhaust emissions of heavy duty and high speed diesel engines. It was found that diesel/butanol blends show higher specific fuel consumption and slight increase in brake thermal efficiency. Furthermore, when the exhaust temperature was reduced, significant decrease in smoke and marginal decrease in NOx was observed because of excess oxygen content in butanol.

Dogan et al. [9] and Al-Hasan et al. [10] reported that butanol blending in diesel engines reduces soot and NOx. Multiple injection strategy (pilot and post injection) with different butanol composition shows similar emissions levels as pure diesel up to 15% blending by vol. With an addition of butanol in diesel fuel, it was found that butanol leads to enhanced premixed combustion, resulting in increased first stage heat release. Higher amount of exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) can be used with butanol blending without affecting total hydrocarbons (THC), CO and soot emissions [11]. Dhinesh et al [12,13] studied the effect of Cymbopogon flexuosus biofuel (CFB) blends on performance, emission and combustion with single cylinder diesel engine. The studied shows with 20% blend of CFB lowers the hydrocarbon, CO and smoke emissions because of availability of oxygen atom in CFB. Thus the above all study shows butanol can be used safely and advantageously with diesel fuel in compression ignition engine.

Engine CFD simulations is now being actively used to predict in-cylinder combustion dynamics [14-18]. These engine simulations reproduce details of engine spray, in-cylinder aerodynamics and the combustion dynamics [19], thus providing new insights for further development and optimization of engines. The physical and chemical processes in diesel combustion have direct impact on emissions like NOx and soot production during combustion process. Auto-ignition and flame stabilization of diesel combustion mainly depend on
combustion model used in such simulations. In the past, skeletal mechanism of n-heptane has been commonly used [20-23]. However, n-heptane-based mechanisms contain only lighter hydrocarbons and do not represent the higher hydrocarbons that are present in diesel, which are in the range of C_{10}-C_{25}. Although reaction mechanisms involving larger hydrocarbons have been developed, based on n-decane [24], n-dodecane [25] and n-hexadecane [26], these mechanisms are not fully validated or are too large to be incorporated in 3D CFD simulations. The present study adopted a validated n-dodecane mechanism consisting of chemistry up to C_{12}. In addition, thermo-physical properties (density, viscosity, surface tension) also show good agreement for n-dodecane as surrogate for diesel fuels. Therefore, n-dodecane can better represent the diesel fuel as a surrogate [27-35], and will be adopted here as a representative fuel to describe diesel combustion. As for the reliable reaction mechanisms for butanol, the mechanism by Wang et al [36] is based on n-heptane/butanol/PAH mechanism and has been used by Chen et al [37] in studying in-cylinder combustion for butanol/diesel blends.

As noted before, n-dodecane is a superior surrogate fuel of diesel as compared to n-heptane. To the authors’ knowledge, no mechanism for mixtures of n-dodecane/butanol is found in literature. Hence, a new mechanism representing combustion of n-dodecane/butanol blends was developed. This new mechanism was validated for the limiting cases of 100% n-butanol and 100% n-dodecane by comparing the predicted ignition delay (ID) with ID reported in open literature for a 0-D homogenous reactor model. Ignition delay for various blend ratios of n-butanol in n-dodecane was determined using the KAUST IQT (KR-IQT) experimental setup. Finally, CFD simulations were performed using this new mechanism and simulated ID was compared with the ID determined from the IQT experiments.

**Model Development**

**Dodecane/n-butanol reaction mechanism**

A 203 species and 709 reaction mechanism to describe the chemistry of n-dodecane/n-butanol mixtures was developed with by combining n-dodecane [25] and n-butanol [3] mechanisms. Luo et al [25] developed a 106 species and 420 reactions skeletal mechanism for n-dodecane from 2755 species, and 11,173 reactions by using direct relation graph with expert knowledge (DRGX) and sensitivity analysis. The skeletal model was shown to have good prediction of ignition delay and flame lift-off length under high temperature condition [25]. The model also captured the transient flame development, and was validated against experimental data for shock-tube ignition delay, JSR speciation, laminar flame speed and counter flow flame temperature in [25]. The alcohol mechanism was developed for all C1-C5 ethanol and butanol isomers [3]. This mechanism was developed using experimental data from shock tube, rapid compression machine, jet-stirred and flow reactors and laminar flame in [3].

In order to validate the new mechanism, ignition delay for the limiting cases of 100% dodecane and 100% n-butanol was modelled using 0-D homogenous reactor model across a range of initial temperature, pressure, and equivalence ratio conditions of relevance to diesel engine combustion.

Figure 1 shows the comparisons of n-butanol at stoichiometric condition and pressures of 10, 20, 40 and 80 bar using homogeneous reactor model. The new combined mechanism shows good agreement with the detailed alcohol mechanism for all the simulated pressures and temperature ranges of 600 K to 1200 K at 50 K increments. Figure 2 shows the ignition delay time data for n-dodecane at equivalence ratios of 0.5 (a,b, and c) and 2.0 (d, e, and f) and pressures of 1,10 and 100 bar. The result shows good agreement at higher temperature conditions although there are some deviations at lower temperatures. To match the ignition delay from the combined mechanism with the detailed alcohol mechanism for the limiting case of 100% n-butanol (or 0% n-dodecane), the original rate constant of the following reaction [3] was divided by factor of 6.

\[ C_4H_8OH + 4O_2 \rightarrow C_8H_8O_4 + 4H_2O \]  

(1)
**Experimental Setup and Results**

Table 1 shows the experimental test matrix done in the KR-IQT. The temperature and pressure was selected in order to maintain a constant global equivalence ratio. The fuel properties of n-dodecane and butanol are shown in Table 2.

### Table 1. Experimental Test Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Test Matrix</th>
<th>703-843</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio (φ)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber Pressure (bar)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-dodecane</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-DB5</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-DB10</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalence Ratio (φ)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butanol</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Fuel properties [40]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>n-dodecane</th>
<th>n-butanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³) at 20°C</td>
<td>749.5</td>
<td>810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity (mm²/s) at 40°C</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling Point (°C)</td>
<td>216.3</td>
<td>117.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash point (°C)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto-ignition temperature (°C)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calorific value (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>44,147</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension (m/Nm) at 20°C</td>
<td>25.35</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiments were conducted for blends of 5%, 10% and 20% (by vol) of n-butanol in n-dodecane. The global equivalence ratio was maintained at 0.85 while chamber pressure was maintained at 21 and 18 bar and a global equivalence ratio of 1.5 was achieved at 10 bar. The ignition delay was measured within temperature range from 703 to 843 K. Figure 4 shows that butanol has high ignition delay and by increasing the n-butanol percentage in the blend, ignition delay increased gradually for all the pressures.

![Figure 4](image)

**Computational Setup and Results**

Commercially available computational fluid dynamic (CFD) solver, CONVERGE, was used to simulate the combustion process in IQT. Unsteady conservation equations for mass, momentum, species and
energy were solved. For the turbulence closure, the standard
renormalization group (RNG) k-epsilon model was used, in which the
turbulent eddy viscosity is determined by

\[ \mu_t = \mu + C_\mu \rho \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon} \]  

(1)

Rossin-Rammler distribution was prescribed as the initial droplet size
distribution. A liquid blob was injected with a diameter equal to that of
the injector nozzle hence the standard Kelvin-Helmholtz/Rayleigh-
Taylor (KH-RT) model was used to simulate secondary droplet
break-up and the constant used in simulations are shown in Table 3.

The intact core or breakup length \( L_b \) is given by

\[ L_b = C_{bl} \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_g} d_o \]  

(3)

where \( d_o \) is nozzle exit diameter, \( \rho_l \) and \( \rho_g \) is the density of liquid
droplets and gas phase. It is assumed that the KH instabilities are
present within the breakup length \( L_b \), but both instabilities are
activated beyond breakup length. In such case, the code first checks
for RT instabilities for the breakup, or else KH instabilities are
responsible for droplet breakup. The n-dodecane and n-butanol
mixture combustion mechanism in conjunction with the SAGE
multizone model was used as the combustion submodel. To speed-up
the computation of the reaction terms, CONVERGE create the
Jacobian matrix which is calculated by CVODES (solves initial value
problems for ordinary differential equation (ODE) system). Details of
the above mentioned models can be found from the [39].

**Table 3. KH-RT Model Constants Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KH-RT Model Constants</th>
<th>Constant Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KH Breakup model size constant (B_0)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH Breakup model velocity constant (C_1)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH Breakup model time constant (B_1)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT model time constant (C_3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT model size constant (C_{RT})</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( N_2 \) is the number of drops in the droplet parcel, \( V_{12} = |v_{11} - v_{12}| \) is the relative velocity between the collector and droplet parcel, 
\( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the radii of the collector and droplet respectively, \( Y \) is the volume of fluid-phase cell that includes the two parcels.

**Figure 3.** a) Schematic of IQT test constant volume combustion chamber (CVCC) [33], b) Schematic of IQT Injector body

A Lagrangian approach was used to determine the spray droplet
trajectory and the gas phase was modelled by solving the Navier-
Stokes equation. As the droplets are injected at high velocity, there
will be chances of collision and coalescence of the droplets. The
widely used O’Rourke [39] collision and coalescence model was
employed in the present study. The collision frequency of a collector
drop with all the droplets is given by,

\[ V_{coll} = \frac{N_2 \pi (r_1 + r_2)^2 V_{12}}{Y} \]  

(2)

**Figure 5.** a) IQT geometry used in CONVERGE simulations, b) Mesh of IQT.
A grid convergence study was done and the summary of this study is given in Table 4. Ignition delay estimated from simulations for three different grid sizes for three different temperatures was used to determine the optimum grid for these simulations. As shown in Table 4, the estimated ignition delay does not change significantly when the grid element size is reduced for 703K and 743K. On the other hand, the ignition delay changes by approximately 9% when the grid element size is reduced from 1 mm to 0.5 mm for 843 K. However, when the grid size is further reduced to 0.25 mm, there is only marginal change in the predicted ignition delay value but computational time for 0.25 mm mesh was considerably higher than 0.5 mm mesh hence 0.5 mm mesh was used for all the subsequent simulations in this study. The simulations were able to reproduce the experiments which indicates the fidelity of the modelling approach adapted for the study.

Figure 6 shows the time history of the chamber pressure based on the experimental measurements and simulation. The ignition delay (ID) time in was determined from the start of injection to the time at which the pressure rise becomes maximum.

Table 4. Grid Test Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>CFD ID (ms)/ Mesh size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>843</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Experimental and simulated pressure traces for IDT for n-DB20 at 18bar, 703K.

Figures 7 and 8 show the ID obtained from the CFD simulations and are plotted along with the IQT experiments. The simulations were performed for three different temperatures of 703 K, 743 K and 843 K and two different pressures of 18 and 21 bar. It is seen that the numerical simulations over-predict the ID for dodecane when the temperature is 703 K and 843 K for both the pressures. However, there is a better agreement at 743 K. However, when butanol is blended with dodecane, the ID agreement improves significantly. The ID prediction for 743 K and 843 K is in close agreement with the experimental data for both the pressures and all blends. The deviation is larger when the temperature is 703 K and pressure of 21 bar. However, when the pressure is reduced to 18bar, the ID prediction at 703 K improves and is in much closer agreement with the experimental data.

Figure 7. Comparison of IQT experimental and CONVERGE ignition delay at chamber pressure 21 bar for a) n-dodecane, b) n-DB5%, c) n-DB10% and d) n-DB20% at equivalence ratio of 0.85.

Figure 8. Comparison of IQT experimental and CONVERGE ignition delay at chamber pressure 18 bar for a) n-dodecane, b) n-DB5%, c) n-DB10% and d) n-DB20% at equivalence ratio of 0.85.

Figure 9 shows the temperature and equivalence ratio distribution for all blends at t=3 and 5ms. It can be inferred that with increase of butanol percentage the homogeneity of the mixture increases. This is due to the longer ignition delay times which provides more time for fuel and air to mix. The ignition location varies inside the chamber depending on the percentage of butanol. The PDF homogeneity of the mixture at time of ignition is shown in Fig. 10. Clearly, for pure butanol the homogeneity is higher and reduces with decrease of butanol percentage in the blend. This can be useful in partially premixed compression ignition (PPCI) engines. With effective exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) and PPC the best trade-off between emissions and efficiency can be achieved [41]. As n-DB10 and n-DB20 shows higher ID hence it can be use used in PPCI engines in order to reduce emissions. The PPC have substantial advantages towards liquid fuels by tolerating the injection strategy than the auto-ignition properties of fuel.
Figure 9. CFD results of IQT with temperature (K) and equivalence ratio contours are shown at chamber pressure of 21 bar and temperature of 843 K at time of ignition for a) n-dodecane, b) n-DB-5, c) n-DB-10 and d) n-DB-20 respectively.

Figure 10. PDF of equivalence ratio for different blends at time of ignition at pressure of 21 bar and at temperature 843 K.

The equivalence ratio distribution one time step before ignition is investigated to further understand the previous results. Pure n-dodecane has lowest ignition delay time and is highly stratified (see Fig. 10), whereas, as the butanol percentage is increased, the mixture is homogeneously mixed and the PDF of stratification reduces. Clearly the mixture is leaner when the butanol percentage is increased which can be seen from the increased value of PDF at lean equivalence ratios.

**Conclusion**

A new mechanism of n-dodecane/butanol blend is developed using DRG-X method and validated with IQT experiments in the study. The new mechanism was developed by combining an existing dodecane skeletal and an alcohol mechanism from literature. This mechanism was validated by first comparing the ignition delay predicted for pure n-dodecane and n-butanol with the ignition delay predicted by their respective detailed mechanism. Ignition delay for dodecane/butanol blends were then experimentally determined using IQT and the corresponding CFD simulations were performed using the new mixture mechanism. The predicted ignition delay is in good agreement with the experiments. The longer ignition delay enhances the homogeneity of the chamber. From the above results it can be concluded that the developed mechanism can be effectively used in diesel engine CFD simulations.
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