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ABSTRACT: Surface molecular doping of graphene has been shown to modify its work function and increase its conductivity. However, the associated shifts in work function and increases in carrier concentration are highly coupled and limited by the surface coverage of dopant molecules on graphene. Here we show that few-layer graphene (FLG) can be doped using a hybrid approach, effectively combining surface doping by larger (metal-)organic molecules, while smaller molecules, such as Br₂ and FeCl₃, intercalate into the bulk. Intercalation tunes the carrier concentration more effectively, whereas surface doping of intercalated FLG can be used to tune its work function without reducing the carrier mobility. This multi-modal doping approach yields a very high carrier density and tunable increase in the work function for FLG, demonstrating a new versatile platform for fabricating graphene-based contacts for electronic, optoelectronic and photovoltaic applications.
Introduction
Graphene has been identified as a promising candidate material in optoelectronics, in photovoltaics and in emerging flexible and wearable electronic applications, owing to its unique electronic, optical, and mechanical properties. The linear electronic band structure results in charge carriers behaving as massless Dirac fermions, ambipolar field-effect transport, and for extremely high quality graphene, ballistic transport, and room-temperature carrier mobility values of ~200,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. Moreover, single layer graphene absorbs only 2.3% of the visible light and thus exhibits high optical transmittance suitable for use as transparent conducting electrodes (TCE). However, the intrinsic carrier density of high-quality pristine graphene sheets is low, typically on the order of ~10⁹ cm⁻², and thus results in low electrical conductivity. Moreover, graphene is highly susceptible to environmental contaminants and impurities both on the top surface and the interface with the substrate, resulting in carrier-density-independent conductivity in the low-carrier-density regime. Accordingly, methods to precisely control the quantity and type of charge carriers has been essential to enabling the use of graphene in various applications. Moreover, if graphene is to be widely commercialized, large-scale production of graphene by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is needed; this can either result in single-layer graphene (SLG) or few-layer graphene (FLG), depending on the transition metal used as catalyst. The CVD process results in polycrystalline samples rife with grain boundaries and other defects which limit the electrical transport properties compared to what is achievable in high-quality mechanically exfoliated graphene; thus, chemical doping is especially relevant to increasing the conductivity of FLG.

Molecular surface doping of graphene has been demonstrated to be an effective route for work-function engineering in order to tune the energy barrier for extraction or injection of electrons at...
For example, tuning the work function of graphene is needed for applications as a low work function cathode or high work function anode in photovoltaics. Work-function modulation through surface doping occurs through the mutually reinforcing contributions of two mechanisms: (1) shift of the Fermi level (relative to the band positions of graphene) as a result of electron transfer to/from graphene for n- and p-doping, respectively, and (2) shift of the vacuum level due to the formation of surface dipole between the charged molecules and graphene.\textsuperscript{27} Surface doping also leads to increased conductivity; however, this increase is limited by the often significant decrease in the carrier mobility due to Coulomb scattering by charged molecules (after electron transfer).\textsuperscript{24,26,28,29} Graphene doping studies have mostly focused on SLG, in which the dopant molecules are present at the surface of graphene sheet, and where the carrier density can be increased up to values of ca. $10^{13}$ cm\textsuperscript{-2};\textsuperscript{27,30} moreover, in some cases the exposed dopant ions present on the surface may be susceptible to degradation by environmental conditions.\textsuperscript{31} Interlayer doping of graphene, in which SLG is sequentially transferred repeatedly onto a previously doped SLG, has been introduced to further increase the carrier density and enhance the stability of the dopants.\textsuperscript{30–33} The interlayer doping process is repeated until the stack achieves the desired conductivity. However, this process is extremely cumbersome and potentially introduces defects and impurities in every transfer step; in addition, some dopants may be incompatible with the transfer process.

FLG has emerged in recent years as a more resilient form of graphene exhibiting higher conductivity and performance stability under stretching and bending than SLG.\textsuperscript{34–36} Moreover, FLG offers the opportunity for modulation of its electrical and electronic properties due to its ability to undergo intercalation reactions with molecular oxidants and reductants in a manner analogous to graphite, which has long been known to form graphite intercalation compounds.
with small molecules. This allows for effective doping of most, if not all, buried layers, depending on the staging of the intercalate molecules, thereby achieving carrier densities of up to $10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$, a regime which is not accessible through the conventional molecular surface doping of either SLG or FLG. The encapsulation of dopant between the graphene sheets also potentially leads to higher stability of the doped material.

The combination of large carrier density and work-function tunability in graphene would greatly increase its versatility to be used in electronic and optoelectronic applications; in principle this can be achieved through the combination of the two doping modalities described above – bulk intercalation and molecular surface doping – and their application to FLG. Here, we report the first results of such combination: CVD-grown FLG was p-doped through intercalation with either Br$_2$ or FeCl$_3$ followed by molecular-surface p-doping using tris(4-bromophenyl)ammoniumyl hexachloroantimonate, “Magic Blue”, or molybdenum tris(1-(trifluoroacetyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)ethane-1,2-dithiolene), “Mo-(tfd-COCF$_3$)$_3$” (both of which have previously been shown to be effective surface dopants for FLG). Angle-resolved XPS data are presented that support the proposed structure of the doped FLG, in which the intercalants are primarily located within the bulk layers while the surface dopant are present on the topmost layer without any unfavorable mixing or chemical reactions. Systematic characterization of the electrical-transport properties shows that the enhancement of the conductivity is dominated by the increase of the carrier density from $\sim3\times10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ to $\sim6\times10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. We show that the work function is further increased with surface doping of intercalated FLG while additionally improving the conductivity. The intercalation doping tunes the work function mainly by shifting the Fermi level, while the surface dopants provide further tunability by also shifting the vacuum level. Our approach leads to a ten-fold decrease of sheet resistance to
100 Ω/□ and a work function as high as 5.43 eV, corresponding to an increase of ca. 0.5 eV relative to pristine FLG. The hybrid doping approach of FLG demonstrated in the work provides an unmatched tunability of these figures of merit via careful selection of intercalant and surface dopants, and thus provides a promising and versatile route to modulate the properties of graphene for various electronic and optoelectronic applications.

**Experimental methods**

**Sample preparation and doping:** CVD-grown FLG (4 to 10 layers) on nickel (300 nm) on SiO$_2$/Si was purchased from the Graphene Supermarket and used as-is (more details are provided in the supplementary information). FLG was lifted-off and transferred to a glass substrate using the standard PMMA transfer procedure.$^{35}$ Our hybrid doping approach comprises two doping steps: (1) intercalation (bulk) doping; and (2) surface molecular doping. The intercalation process was carried out first to prevent potential chemical reactions between the potentially reactive intercalants and the surface dopants, and to avoid exposing surface dopants to the high-temperature process involved in the intercalation of FeCl$_3$. Washing the intercalated FLG prior to surface doping is a crucial step for removal of unreacted and weakly adsorbed intercalants from the surface of the FLG, so as to prevent unfavorable interactions with the molecular surface dopants. Two different intercalants were used: FeCl$_3$, which is capable of intercalating between every layer in FLG (stage 1); and Br$_2$, which intercalates between every two layers of graphene (stage 2).$^{37}$ Intercalation of FLG with FeCl$_3$ was carried out using a two-zone vapor-transport method, in which anhydrous FeCl$_3$ powder (800 mg) was placed downstream and heated at 320 °C and the FLG sample was placed upstream at 360 °C in a vacuum sealed tube. The process was carried out for 360 min, during which time the pressure reached ~ 5.6 mbar. Intercalated FLG was then rapidly cooled in air and subsequently washed under a flow of DI water for few
seconds in air and blown dry with N\textsubscript{2}. Br\textsubscript{2} intercalation of FLG was carried out by exposure to bromine vapor at room temperature for 180 min in a glass enclosure placed inside a nitrogen-filled glove box. Brominated FLG was then washed under a flow of ethanol for few seconds in air and blown dry with N\textsubscript{2}. Surface molecular p-doping of FLG was carried out using either tris(4-bromophenyl)ammonium hexachloroantimonate “Magic Blue” (MB) or molybdenum tris(1-(trifluoroacetyl)-2-(trifluoromethyl)ethane-1,2-dithiolene) “Mo(tfd-COCF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} (Mo), which have redox potentials of +0.70 V and +0.39 V vs. ferrocenium/ferrocene (FeCp\textsubscript{2}+/0), respectively.\textsuperscript{44,45} Solution doping was carried out by dipping the intercalated FLG in solutions (0.1 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) of either Magic Blue in dichloromethane or Mo(tfd-COCF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} dopant in toluene for 60 min inside a N\textsubscript{2} glove box. The samples were then washed inside the glovebox by the respective solvent used to apply the dopant so as to remove weakly bound species and blown dry with N\textsubscript{2}. The various doping steps for obtaining hybrid-doped FLG, along with the expected location of the intercalant dopants and surface dopants, are illustrated in Scheme 1.

**Characterization:** Angle-resolved x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (ARXPS) was used as a means for non-destructive depth profiling, to locate the position of the intercalants and surface dopants within the hybrid-doped FLG. The spectra were obtained using an Omicron Nanotechnology (Taunusstein, Germany) ultra-high vacuum (UHV) system. XPS was measured with a monochromatic Al K\textalpha source (1486.7 eV). A hemispherical energy analyzer EIS-Sphera was used to collect the spectra with a pass energy of 40 eV for survey spectra and 20 eV for high-resolution spectra. A base pressure of about < 5.0 \times 10\textsuperscript{-9} mbar was maintained throughout all measurements. The electron take-off angle – defined as the angle to the surface of the sample – was tuned by changing the orientation of the sample with respect to the detector, where the
surface sensitivity of the measured spectrum increases by decreasing the photoelectron take-off angle.

Raman spectra were obtained using a LabRAM ARAMIS (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Inc) instrument using a 473 nm laser excitation, focused with a 100× objective with a spot size of 1 µm and 0.5 mW power. The scattered signal was dispersed with using 1800 mm⁻¹ grating. The spectra in the range from 1200 to 2900 cm⁻¹ were collected in backscattering geometry.

Electrical transport measurements were performed using a linear 4-point probe (Jandel Engineering Ltd.) to deduce sheet resistance. In addition, room-temperature Hall effect measurements (Lake Shore 7700) were performed to deduce the carrier density and mobility of the samples, using the van der Pauw configuration with a reversible sweep of magnetic fields up to 20 kG using a 100 µA excitation current. Contacts were fabricated using conductive silver paste to fix low strain Ag alloy wires (Lake Shore PN 671-260), which were soldered to the sample holder.

Photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA) (Model AC-2, RKI instruments Inc.) was used to measure the work function. A tunable energy UV source was used, while the intensity fixed at 1000 nW.

**Results and Discussion**

**Hybrid doping of the surface and bulk of few-layer graphene (FLG)**

The hybrid doping approach combining the intercalation doping of FLG followed by surface molecular dopants is schematically illustrated in Scheme 1. Hybrid doping aims to maximize the doping efficiency and tunability of the properties of FLG through having the larger molecular dopants on the exposed graphene surface, while the smaller intercalant molecules occupying the
bulk of FLG, where they can dope all or some of the buried graphene layers, depending on the staging type of the intercalant molecules. The surface molecular dopant’s interactions are expected to be largely confined to the surface of graphene, and thus, as desired, minimal interaction is expected with the intercalant molecules that are effectively encapsulated by the graphene layers. For this purpose, washing the intercalated FLG with appropriate solvents, depending on the choice of intercalant, as detailed in the experimental section, is crucial in order to remove the loosely bound species from the surface and allow more effective surface molecular doping of FLG samples.

![Scheme 1: FLG hybrid-doping approach, showing FeCl$_3$ intercalants encapsulated in between the graphene layers in FLG, while the SbCl$_6^-$ ions from the surface dopant Magic blue are exclusively present at the surface in hybrid doped FLG.](image)

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) survey spectra of pristine, FeCl$_3$-intercalated, and hybrid-doped FLG with Magic blue (MB) as the surface dopant and FeCl$_3$ as intercalant (hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB) are shown in Figure 1A. The coexistence of both doping modalities in the sample is evident by the appearance of both Sb 4d (surface) and Fe 3p (intercalant) peaks in the hybrid doped sample as shown in the inset of Figure 1A. Similarly, the XPS survey spectra of Br$_2$-intercalated and Br$_2$-MB hybrid-doped FLG shown in Figure 1B indicates the coexistence of bromine intercalant (Br 3d) and antimony (Sb 4d) from the surface dopant (although the tris(4-bromophenyl)ammoniumyl cation of MB also contains Br, it is expected, and has been previously demonstrated in MB surface doping of FLG, that the neutral tris(4-bromophenyl)amine side product of doping will be removed by
washing, leaving only the hexachloroantimonate anion on the surface). To confirm the spatial
distribution of the two types of dopant ions, we tuned the surface sensitivity of XPS
measurements by conducting ARXPS as shown in Figure 1C for hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and Figure
1D for hybrid Br$_2$-MB. As XPS becomes more surface sensitive at small electron take-off angles,
it detects the topmost graphene layers of the sample, where the molecular surface dopant ions
reside. However, the entire depth of the FLG sample is detected at 90° electron take-off angle
and thus the Sb/C atomic ratio is expected to be lower. Consistent with this expectation, the Sb/C
atomic ratio gradually increases as the take-off angle is decreased from 90° to 20°, as shown in
Figures 1C and 1D for both hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and hybrid Br$_2$-MB, respectively. ARXPS reveals
that the amount of FeCl$_3$ intercalant gradually decreases with increasing surface sensitivity, as
indicated by the decrease of the Fe/C atomic ratio (Figure 1C), whereas the Br$_2$ intercalant is
uniformly present inside FLG, as indicated by a nearly constant Br/C atomic content over all
electron take-off angles. This points to the minimal presence of FeCl$_3$ on the surface of FLG and
demonstrates the effectiveness of the washing step in removing the excess FeCl$_3$. The ARXPS
data also indicate that intercalation of FeCl$_3$ is more concentrated toward the bottom of FLG and
closer to the substrate, suggesting that a full stage 1 intercalation has not been achieved
uniformly throughout the sample. We conducted control experiments on intercalated-only FLG
and observed similar trends in the atomic ratio of Fe/C and Br/C for both FeCl$_3$ and Br$_2$
intercalated FLG, respectively (shown in Figure S2), to those seen in the respective hybrid-doped
samples, indicating that the distribution of intercalated dopant species is inherent to the
intercalation process, rather than being artifacts of the hybrid doping procedure. This is currently
a limitation for the intercalation of CVD FLG due to intrinsic differences in crystallinity and
interlayer coupling from HOPG. Recent reports on FeCl$_3$-intercalated FLG have shown that
full intercalation could only be achieved for mechanically exfoliated FLG, the structure of which closely resembles that of graphite, whereas in the case of CVD FLG, non-uniform and incomplete intercalation was found under the same processing conditions. Overall, the XPS analysis confirms that the large molecular dopant is predominantly located on the surface, whereas the smaller intercalating dopant is primarily located in the bulk.

![Survey XPS spectra showing the coexistence of bulk intercalants and surface dopants](image)

**Figure 1:** Survey XPS spectra showing the coexistence of bulk intercalants and surface dopants (A) pristine, FeCl$_3$ intercalated and hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and (B) pristine, Br$_2$ intercalated and hybrid Br$_2$-MB. Angle-resolved XPS for (C) hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB, (D) hybrid Br$_2$-MB. The electron-take off angle ($\theta$) shown on the x-axis determines the surface sensitivity of the measurement, where the smaller value indicates increasingly surface sensitive spectra as indicated by the blue arrow.
A comparison of the total amount of the surface dopant (SbCl$_6^–$ ions from Magic Blue) in the hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and hybrid Br$_2$-MB FLG samples reveals that the former exhibits a larger Sb content of ~5 at%, while the latter exhibits a significantly smaller amount of ~1.1 at%. It is not clear why the intercalation process would impact the surface doping process. One possible explanation is that surface doping might be affected by the chemical modification of graphene, which is evident with Br$_2$ intercalation and less so with FeCl$_3$ intercalation. Covalently bonded bromine atoms on the surface and step edges of FLG cannot be removed by the washing step as previously shown, and may inhibit the surface dopant from interacting with FLG through an electron-transfer process. By contrast, the FeCl$_3$-intercalated sample does not show evidence of covalent bonding with carbon. (more details are provided in the supplementary information)

**Effects of intercalation and surface doping on Raman Spectra of FLG**

**Figure 2A** shows Raman spectra of pristine and intercalated FLG with Br$_2$ and FeCl$_3$. The spectrum of pristine FLG shows the two main peaks characteristic of graphitic materials, namely, the G-peak at ~1580 cm$^{-1}$, resulting from the in-plane stretching mode ($E_{2g}$) originating from the optical phonon near the $\Gamma$ point, and the second-order 2D-peak at ~2743 cm$^{-1}$, which arises from two optical phonons at the K point. The D-peak, which typically appears at ~1350 cm$^{-1}$, is activated by the presence of defects and exhibits very low intensity, highlighting the high quality of the graphene domains in our samples. The 2D-peak could be fitted with two Lorentzian peaks, with the lower and higher energy components showing similar intensity. This is attributed to randomly rotated stacking and agrees with previous results on epitaxially grown FLG. Upon exposure to the intercalants, the G-peak splits into a doublet, as shown in **Figure 2A**, with the lower energy peak positioned around that of the pristine FLG and originating from graphene layers not adjacent to intercalant, and a higher energy peak from intercalant-adjacent graphene
layers, the position of which is generally dependent on the staging, the degree of intercalation, and the doping strength of the intercalant.\textsuperscript{37,42,52} Raman shifts in the low-energy G-peak position for pristine, intercalated, and hybrid-doped FLG are shown in Figure 2B, with the inset showing the high-energy G-peak shifts. Both G-peak components shift to successively higher energy with intercalation and with subsequent hybrid doping, these shifts being an established signature of doping in graphene.\textsuperscript{53} It can be seen that the shift is larger in the case of FeCl\textsubscript{3} intercalation, consistent with a larger doping effect due to its ability to intercalate into every interlayer spacing in FLG (stage 1), as compared to Br\textsubscript{2} intercalation, which forms a stage 2 compound.\textsuperscript{37} This is in agreement with XPS results indicating a higher atomic content of iron as compared to bromine (Figure 1) and with the larger decreases in the C 1s binding energies observed in the case of FeCl\textsubscript{3} intercalation (shown in Figure S3). The same trend is also observed for the low-energy fitted components of the 2D-peak shown in Figure 2C, which is more sensitive to the electronic structure and doping effects in FLG.\textsuperscript{43} The additional shifts in the Raman peaks observed after surface doping of the intercalated FLG are in agreement with the XPS data in the case of FeCl\textsubscript{3} intercalation and consistent with the hybrid-doping approach contributing towards more efficient doping of all available layers in FLG. On the other hand, XPS data in the case of Br\textsubscript{2} intercalation do not show the additional shift in C 1s binding energy when subsequently surface doped; the reason for this is not clear, but is perhaps related to the above-mentioned covalent surface functionalization that occurs with this dopant (see below).
Figure 2: (A) Raman spectra of pristine and intercalated FLG with either Br₂ or FeCl₃. Changes of the Raman shift of (B) the G-peak components and (C) the 2D-peak low energy component for intercalated and hybrid FeCl₃-MB and Br₂-MB doped FLG.
Transport properties of hybrid-doped FLG

The average sheet resistance of pristine FLG on glass is 860 Ω/□, as measured using a linear 4-point probe. Upon intercalation with Br₂ the sheet resistance decreases to 390 Ω/□, which is further reduced in hybrid Br₂-MB doped FLG to 240 Ω/□. A similar trend is observed for the hybrid FeCl₃-MB doped FLG, where FeCl₃ intercalation results in a sheet resistance reduction to 140 Ω/□, which further decreases with surface doping to 100 Ω/□, as shown in Figure 3A. The sheet resistance of FLG that is only surface doped with Magic Blue is approximately halved to 420 Ω/□, demonstrating that the combination of surface and intercalation doping of FLG is more effective for increasing electrical conductivity than either method alone. However, it seems that the choice of the surface dopant is important: using Mo(tfd-COCF₃)₃ (Mo) with FeCl₃-intercalated FLG (hybrid FeCl₃-Mo) resulted in a sheet resistance of 170 Ω/□, higher than obtained using intercalation alone.

The overall conductivity results from a balance between the injection of additional free charge carriers and the loss of carrier mobility due to Coulomb scattering. We further elucidate the effects of these doping modalities on the transport properties of FLG by conducting Hall effect measurements at the various steps leading up to hybrid doping of FLG. In Figure 3B we show the changes in the carrier density, which is found to increase significantly following FeCl₃ intercalation from 3.1×10¹³ cm⁻² for pristine FLG to 4.8×10¹⁴ cm⁻², nearly an order of magnitude higher than surface doping with Magic Blue alone (8×10¹³ cm⁻²). It is thus clear that intercalation of FLG results in effective doping of a significant number of the buried graphene layers as compared with surface doping. Intercalation of FLG with Br₂ has a more moderate effect than FeCl₃, resulting in a modest increase of the carrier density to 5.7×10¹³ cm⁻², consistent with the lower atomic content of bromine as compared with FeCl₃, as discussed earlier (Figure 1). For the
hybrid-doped FLG, the carrier density increases further to $5.9 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the case of hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and to $8 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the case of hybrid Br$_2$-MB, indicating that the hybrid doping approach works well in both cases in terms of increasing the density of free carriers. In Figure 3C we compare the changes in the charge-carrier mobility for the various approaches. It is reduced significantly with intercalation, decreasing threefold from $\sim 289$ cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for pristine FLG to $89$ cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ for FeCl$_3$-intercalated FLG. Coulomb scattering is believed to be responsible for this, especially the presence of FeCl$_3$ between every graphene layer due to its lower staging. The scattering effect has been demonstrated to be comparatively low in FLG with surface doping, since the charged dopant molecules (after electron transfer) are screened by the buried graphene layers within FLG. The mobility therefore decreases by 32% to $\sim 196$ cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ in the case of MB-doped FLG, as shown in Figure 3C. Interestingly, the addition of surface doping to intercalation of FLG in the hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB case does not seem to adversely affect the carrier mobility further, resulting in a mobility of 91 cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, which is effectively unchanged from, if not slightly higher than, that obtained using FeCl$_3$ intercalation alone, resulting in a net increase in the conductivity of the sample. The overall decrease of the charge-carrier mobility by 65% is thus more than compensated by a 1800% increase of the overall carrier density of the hybrid-doped FeCl$_3$-MB sample. Bromine intercalation causes a more moderate loss of carrier mobility, down to 255 cm$^2$V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, and surface doping further reduces the mobility moderately to a value of 220 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, hybrid Br$_2$-MB doping shows a smaller reduction in the carrier mobility as compared to surface doping alone, demonstrating the effectiveness of the hybrid-doping approach.
Figure 3: Electrical Transport properties. (A) Sheet resistance as measured by linear 4-points probe - error bars indicate the standard deviation of nine different spots on each sample-, (B)
Sheet carrier density and (C) and carrier mobility, for pristine, surface doped with magic blue, intercalated with either FeCl$_3$ or Br$_2$ and hybrid doped FLG.

**Work function tunability**

The effect of the various dopant modalities on the work function was evaluated by photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA) and is shown schematically in **Figure 4** (PESA spectra are shown in **Figure S5**). Pristine FLG exhibited a value of 4.88 eV. Upon intercalation, the work function increased by 0.20 eV to 5.08 eV for Br$_2$ intercalation and by 0.41 eV to 5.29 eV for FeCl$_3$ intercalation. The larger increase in the work function for the case of FeCl$_3$ intercalation as compared to Br$_2$ is consistent with XPS, which indicated a qualitatively larger shift in the Fermi energy level for the former intercalant, Raman, and Hall-effect measurements. Applying surface dopants to the intercalated FLG results in additional increase of the work function. The hybrid Br$_2$-MB sample work function increases by 0.19 eV beyond that of the intercalated FLG to 5.27 eV (total shift of 0.39 eV), whereas the work function of hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB increases by 0.14 eV to 5.43 eV (total shift of 0.55 eV).

Hybrid doping using FeCl$_3$ as the intercalant and Mo(tfd-COCF$_3$)$_3$, which has a less oxidizing redox potential than Magic Blue, as the surface dopant results in a more moderate increase of the work function (by 0.05 eV), highlighting the ability to more independently tune the work function than by surface doping alone, all the while achieving high conductivity enabled through intercalation.
Figure 4: (A) Schematic representation of the work function of pristine FLG showing the Fermi level ($E_F$) coinciding with the Dirac cone, and the vacuum level ($E_{vac}$) at 0.0 eV, and their changes after intercalation with FeCl$_3$ and hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB doping (top) and after intercalation with Br$_2$ and hybrid Br$_2$-MB doping (bottom). Quantitative relative changes of the work function changes (PESA) and $E_F$ changes (XPS C 1s peak) for (B) intercalated FeCl$_3$ and hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB and (C) intercalated Br$_2$ and hybrid Br$_2$-MB doped FLG.

The total change in the work function of FLG results from changes in the Fermi energy level relative to the graphene band positions as a result of electron transfer and from changes in vacuum level resulting from surface dipole formation.$^{27}$ A comparison between the shifts of the Fermi level, approximated from the binding energy of the fitted sp$^2$ C 1s peak in the XPS and the shifts in the work function obtained from PESA is shown in Figure 4B and 4C. For both FeCl$_3$
intercalation and the hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB doped FLG (Figure 4B), there are differences between
the work-function shift and the Fermi level shift that indicate significant surface-dipole
contributions to the total work function in both cases. However, in the case of Br$_2$-intercalated
sample and hybrid Br$_2$-MB shown in Figure 4C, the shifts in the work function essentially
equate with the shift in the Fermi energy level, and a considerable difference only emerges once
the surface dopants are applied (hybrid Br$_2$-MB), indicating that the additional shift comes from
vacuum-level shifts due to the formation of surface dipoles. The presence of a vacuum-level shift
contribution in the case of the FeCl$_3$-intercalated sample, as contrasted to its absence in the case
of the Br$_2$-intercalated sample, may be explained by the fact the surface dipole dominates the
changes in work function at large carrier density due to the linear dependence of such a
contribution on the carrier density involved in the charge transfer, whereas the Fermi-level shift
contribution has a square root dependence on the carrier density.$^{27}$ Thus, the larger increase in
the carrier density for FeCl$_3$ intercalation as compared to Br$_2$ intercalation as shown in Figure
3B, may lead to formation of a considerable molecular dipole buried in the bulk of FLG. For
both hybrid-doped FLG samples it appears that the additional shifts in the work function seen on
treating with surface doping are dominated by vacuum-level shifts, which explains the large
changes in the work function as compared to the changes in the sheet carrier density.

**Stability of hybrid-doped FLG**

One of the key advantages of combining the intercalation doping approach with the surface
molecular doping approach is the ability to enhance the stability of the doped FLG by taking
advantage of the demonstrated air stability of the former. Bulk doping of FLG by intercalation
leads to effective encapsulation of the intercalant molecules in between the graphene sheets from
the surrounding environment.$^{40}$ Figure S6 shows the air stability of FLG intercalated with FeCl$_3$
and FLG surface doped with MB by measuring their sheet resistance. FeCl$_3$ intercalated FLG shows high stability in ambient air where the sheet resistance increases by $\sim 25$ Ω/$\square$ over 7 days. However, FLG surface doped with MB shows an increase of the sheet resistance by $\sim 150$ Ω/$\square$ over the same period, which is not surprising since dopant molecules are exposed on the surface of FLG. Overall, we expect that hybrid-doping approach will show higher stability in air than surface doping alone, since the low-resistance channels through the bulk (intercalation-doped FLG) will remain available in contrast to the increased resistance of the surface. We have further evaluated the dopant stability by measuring the hybrid doped FLG (Hybrid FeCl$_3$-MB) after $\sim$ 230 days showing an increase the sheet resistance from 110 Ω/$\square$ to 190 Ω/$\square$. This demonstrates a very high stability of the hybrid-doping approach as a favorable route to dope graphene for industrial applications, and should be easily improved with device encapsulation and product packaging.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, a versatile and stable hybrid-doping strategy for CVD-grown FLG is demonstrated, enabling efficient doping of all the graphene layers in FLG through a combination of molecular surface dopants and bulk intercalation with small molecules. The variation of the chemical composition of hybrid-doped FLG with depth reveals the existence of intercalants in the bulk of the films, which dope the interior graphene layers. The surface dopants, on the other hand, are shown to be primarily present on the exposed surfaces of FLG. It was demonstrated that the two doping modalities (intercalation and surface) work together synergistically and positively to tune the electrical transport and electronic properties of FLG. Further p-doping was observed upon surface doping of intercalated FLG, but the intercalation process is predominantly
responsible for the enhancement of the electrical conductivity, whereas surface doping helps
effectively increase the work function of intercalated FLG through the formation of surface
dipoles, with moderate additional increases of free-carrier density. This work demonstrates the
unique platform provided by large-scale CVD grown FLG that allows for the hybrid doping
strategy presented herein, which can both efficiently dope FLG with great versatility and
tunability of its electrical and electronic properties.

Associated Content:
Supporting information: Additional information on the properties of as-prepared Few
layers graphene, Angle resolved XPS on only intercalated FLG, Chemical States of the
dopants, PESA spectra and Air stability data.
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