Identification of a Stelar-Localized Transport Protein That Facilitates Root-to-Shoot Transfer of Chloride in Arabidopsis
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Under saline conditions, higher plants restrict the accumulation of chloride ions (Cl\textsuperscript{−}) in the shoot by regulating their transfer from the root symplast into the xylem-associated apoplast. To identify molecular mechanisms underpinning this phenomenon, we undertook a transcriptional screen of salt stressed Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) roots. Microarrays, quantitative RT-PCR, and promoter-GUS fusions identified a candidate gene involved in Cl\textsuperscript{−} xylem loading from the Nitrate transporter 1/Peptide Transporter family (NPF2.4). This gene was highly expressed in the root stelae compared to the cortex, and its expression decreased after exposure to NaCl or abscisic acid. NPF2.4 fused to fluorescent proteins, expressed either transiently or stably, was targeted to the plasma membrane. Electrophysiological analysis of NPF2.4 in Xenopus laevis oocytes suggested that NPF2.4 catalyzed passive Cl\textsuperscript{−} efflux out of cells and was much less permeable to NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}. Shoot Cl\textsuperscript{−} accumulation was decreased following NPF2.4 artificial microRNA knockdown, whereas it was increased by overexpression of NPF2.4. Taken together, these results suggest that NPF2.4 is involved in long-distance transport of Cl\textsuperscript{−} in plants, playing a role in the loading and the regulation of Cl\textsuperscript{−} loading into the xylem of Arabidopsis roots during salinity stress.

Soil salinity is a significant threat to world agriculture as it restricts plant growth and decreases the yield of crops (Munns, 2002; Munns and Tester, 2008; Roy et al., 2014). To feed a rapidly growing global population, world food production needs to increase by 70–110% before 2050 (Tilman et al., 2011). Compounding matters, the area of salt affected farmland is expanding as a consequence of less frequent rainfall, irrigation with suboptimal water, and rising water tables (Rengasamy, 2002, 2006). To meet the food demand of future generations, improving crop salinity tolerance is a high priority. One approach to enhance plant salinity tolerance is to minimize salt (NaCl) accumulation in the cytoplasm of the shoot, a primary site of salt damage, while maintaining the uptake of beneficial ions such as nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}) and potassium (K\textsuperscript{+}) (Munns, 2002; Tester and Davenport, 2003; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010).

Improving salt exclusion from the shoot is one means of enhancing plant salinity tolerance (Apse and Blumwald, 2007; Munns and Tester, 2008; Horie et al., 2009; Munns et al., 2012; Guan et al., 2014). Significant progress has been made in characterizing the genes encoding proteins that are central to regulating shoot
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Cl− accumulation during salt stress (Roy et al., 2014; Munns and Gilliham, 2015). In particular, the functions of the high affinity K+ transporter HKT gene family (Uozumi et al., 2000; Mäser et al., 2002; Berthomieu et al., 2003; Davenport et al., 2007; Waters et al., 2013; Byrt et al., 2014) and the Na+/H+ antiporter and salt overly sensitive (SOS) gene families (Wu et al., 1999; Shi et al., 2000, 2002; Qiu et al., 2002; Bassil and Blumwald, 2014) have been areas of intensive research. Manipulation of these pathways has led to improvements in the salt tolerance of the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Sunarpi et al., 2005; Moller et al., 2009) and crops (Ren et al., 2005; James et al., 2006; Byrt et al., 2007; Plett et al., 2010; Qiu et al., 2011; Munns et al., 2012). Excessive chloride ion (Cl−) accumulation in the cytoplasm of plant cells, particularly in the shoot, is also toxic to plants (Tyerman, 1992; Xu et al., 1999; Munns and Tester, 2008; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010; Geilfus et al., 2015), resulting in a reduction in plant growth and symptoms such as leaf burn and leaf abscission for Cl−-sensitive species (Abel, 1969; Parker et al., 1983; Cole, 1985). Cl−, rather than sodium ions (Na+), is considered to be the more toxic ion for woody perennial species such as grapevine (Vitis vinifera; Tregear et al., 2006; Gong et al., 2011) and citrus (Citrus jamhuri; Storey and Walker, 1999) and legumes such as soybean (Glycine max; Luo et al., 2005) and lotus (Lotus tenuis; Teakle et al., 2007). For cereal crops such as wheat (Triticum aestivum; Martin and Koebner, 1995) and barley (Hordeum vulgare; Tavakkoli et al., 2011), the toxic effects of Cl− and Na+ are additive and can often be overcome by restricting excess accumulation of both ions in the shoot. It has also been shown that the xylemsap Cl− content of salt-tolerant genotypes of wheat (Làuchli et al., 2008), citrus (Moya et al., 2003), and lotus (Teakle et al., 2007) is lower when compared with salt sensitive genotypes, suggesting that the control of Cl− concentration in the transpiration stream is a contributing factor to plant salinity tolerance. However, the molecular determinants of long-distance Cl− transport in plants and how it is regulated in response to salinity stress are still largely unknown (Teakle and Tyerman, 2010; Henderson et al., 2014). While some candidate proteins, such as cation-Cl− cotransporters in Arabidopsis (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007) and rice (Oryza sativa; Kong et al., 2011) have been proposed to retrieve Cl− from the xylem, there are still unresolved questions in regard to their localization and mode of action (Teakle and Tyerman, 2010; Henderson et al., 2015).

Chloride is a micronutrient required by all plants, functioning as a key regulator of turgor (and stomatal movement), membrane potential, and cytosolic pH (Xu et al., 1999; White and Broadley, 2001; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010). Cl− is acquired by plants from the soil at low concentrations by active symport with H+ (Sanders, 1980; Beilby and Walker, 1981; Felle, 1994) and passively enters the plant at high concentrations due to a reversal in the electrochemical potential (Skerritt and Tyerman, 1994). Casparian bands in the endodermis limit the transfer of Cl− through an apoplastic pathway; thus, most Cl− ions move from cell to cell toward xylem vessels following the symplastic pathway (Pitman, 1982). This means Cl− must cross root plasma membranes at least twice for it to be loaded into the xylem vessels, prior to being transferred to the shoot via the transpiration stream. Due to the measured ion concentrations in root cytoplasm and the xylem, the loading of Cl− into the root xylem is highly likely to be electrochemically passive, and it is thus likely to be facilitated by plasma membrane localized anion channels or carriers that are not yet identified (White and Broadley, 2001; Munns and Tester, 2008; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010; Kollist et al., 2011; Henderson et al., 2014).

While little is known about the genes and proteins responsible for Cl− loading into the root xylem apoplast, more is known about the biological processes involved. Abscisic acid (ABA) was shown to significantly inhibit xylem loading of Cl−, as well as K+ (Rb+), while having a limited effect on the initial uptake of these ions from the external medium. This leads to an accumulation of both Cl− and K+ ions in the root and results in a reduced delivery to the shoot (Cram and Pitman, 1972; Pitman et al., 1974; Pitman, 1977). The discovery of the gene underlying K+ xylem loading, the stelar outwardly rectifying K+ channel (SKOR), illustrated that ABA down-regulates the K+ flux to the xylem vessels at both a transcriptional and a posttranslational level (Gaymard et al., 1998; Roberts, 1998; Roberts and Snowman, 2000). Electrophysiological studies of maize (Zea mays) and barley root xylem parenchyma cells identified three conductances that could facilitate the passive xylem loading of anions such as Cl− and NO3− into the xylem apoplast: xylem-parenchyma quickly activating anion conductance (X-QUAC), xylem-parenchyma
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**Figure 1.** Bioinformatic analysis of NPF2.4. Phylogenetic tree shows relationships between the seven members of the NAXT subfamily (the uppermost 7 genes) and seven other NPF2s. Multiple amino acid sequence alignment was performed with ClustalW2 with a gap open of 10 and a gap extension of 0.1. Phylogenetic tree was generated using MEGA package 5.0 with default settings. Names are shown for proteins that are available in literature. Bar indicates the maximum likelihood distance.
slowly activating anion conductance, and xylem-parenchyma inwardly rectifying anion conductance (Köhler and Raschke, 2000; Köhler et al., 2002; Gilliham and Tester, 2005). X-QUAC, the anion conductance most likely to be responsible for the majority of Cl\(^{-}\) xylem loading, was significantly down-regulated when plants were treated with ABA (Gilliham and Tester, 2005).

Here, we identified a gene encoding an anion transport protein with properties that are consistent with a role in Cl\(^{-}\) loading into the root xylem apoplasm in Arabidopsis. The knowledge gained here opens avenues for manipulation of these pathways in Cl\(^{-}\)-sensitive species for improving salinity tolerance.

RESULTS

Identification of Candidate Genes Encoding Transporters for Cl\(^{-}\) Xylem Loading in Arabidopsis

Based on accumulated physiological evidence, it was hypothesized that the rate-limiting step of Cl\(^{-}\) loading to the shoot is in the root stele and is ultimately regulated by transcription of genes encoding Cl\(^{-}\) transporters (Gilliham and Tester, 2005; Teakle and Tyerman, 2010; Henderson et al., 2014). Previously, a comparative transcriptomic analysis of pericycle and cortical cells in the root of Arabidopsis in response to salt (50 mM NaCl for 2 d) had been conducted using fluorescent-activated cell sorting and Affymetrix microarrays (Evrard et al., 2012; Evrard, 2013). The data were subsequently reanalyzed to identify stelar-expressed genes that were likely to encode membrane bound anion permeable transport proteins that had their expression reduced by salt stress. Two candidates were identified that satisfied these criteria from this preliminary screen: the known H\(^{+}\)/NO\(_{3}\)\(^{-}\) symporter (AtNRT1.5/NPF7.3, At1g32450), which regulates NO\(_{3}\)\(^{-}\) transfer to Arabidopsis shoots (Lin et al., 2008), and an uncharacterized gene, At3g45700/NPF2.4, which was annotated as a proton-dependent oligo-peptide transporter. We prioritized the uncharacterized gene for further study. NPF2.4 was preferentially expressed in the stele compared to the cortex (log\(_{2}\) fold change [pericycle versus cortex] = 1.76) and was down-regulated by salt (log\(_{2}\) fold change 0 mM versus 50 mM = −1.37). A search of the Gene Expression Omnibus Profiles database (Barrett et al., 2013) revealed that NPF2.4 was rapidly down-regulated in the root after exposure to salt in

Figure 2. NPF2.4 is likely to form a membrane embedded ion transporter. A 3D homology model of NPF2.4: a proton-dependent oligo-peptide transporter from S. oneidensis (A; PDB accession 2XUT) and a H\(^{+}\)/NO\(_{3}\)\(^{-}\) transporter from Arabidopsis (B; PDB accession 4OH3) as structural templates. Putative binding site for Cl\(^{-}\) ions is enclosed in a pocket (arrow) and is delineated by four amino acid residues Tyr-37, Val-41, Asn-67, and Met-334, colored in atomic colors. Left and right arrowheads indicate NH\(_{2}\)- and COOH-termini that are predicted to face intracellular sides. C, Superposition of both models showing very similar folds and positions of residues participating in binding of Cl\(^{-}\). D, A detail of the putative Cl\(^{-}\) binding pocket, signifying residues that are likely to bind Cl\(^{-}\), and their predicted structural shift upon binding Cl\(^{-}\). Sticks in magenta and atomic colors indicate residues in the NPF2.5 apo- and Cl\(^{-}\)-complexed models, respectively. Dashed lines specify distances between the four binding residues and the Cl\(^{-}\) ion.
other studies (Gene Expression Omnibus accession GDS3216).

Candidate Gene At3g45700, Also Known as NPF2.4, Is a Member of the NAXT Subfamily, a Seven-Gene Clade Belonging to the NPF in Arabidopsis

*NPF2.4* belongs to the NITRATE EXCRETION TRANSPORTER (NAXT) subfamily of the Nitrate Transporter 1/Peptide Transporter (NRT1/PTR) family (NPF) in Arabidopsis (Segonzac et al., 2007; Tsay et al., 2007; Léran et al., 2014). The NAXT subfamily consists of seven genes (At3g45650, At3g45660, At3g45680, At3g45690, At3g45700, At3g45710, and At3g45720), which are clustered on chromosome 3 (Fig. 1; Tsay et al., 2007). The NAXT subfamily was so named after the properties of NAXT1/NPF2.7 (At3g45650), a NO$_3^-$ transporter in root cortical cells involved in NO$_3^-$ excretion from the root (Segonzac et al., 2007).

In Silica Modeling Suggests NPF2.4 Is a Membrane-Embedded Transporter That Can Bind Cl$^-$

The candidate gene NPF2.4 harbors four exons and three introns and is predicted to encode a 548-amino acid protein, consisting of 12 transmembrane $\alpha$-helices with a hydrophilic loop between transmembrane $\alpha$-helices 6 and 7 (Fig. 2). The protein sequence of NPF2.4 was found to have a 64% identity and a 78% similarity to NAXT1/NPF2.7 and a 76% identity and a 85% similarity to NPF2.3, a NO$_3^-$ selective xylem loader in Arabidopsis root (Taochy et al., 2015; Supplemental Fig. S1).

A three-dimensional (3D) molecular model of NPF2.4 was constructed using crystal structures of a proton-dependent oligo-peptide transporter from *Shewanella oneidensis* (PDB accession 2XUT; Fig. 2A; Newstead et al., 2011) and a structure of the NRT1.1/NPF6.3 H$^+$/NO$_3^-$ transporter from Arabidopsis in complex with a NO$_3^-$ ion (Fig. 2B; Sun et al., 2014). The putative 3D structure of NPF2.4 derived through use of both structural templates indicated the presence of a central cavity, which was common to both structural templates (Fig. 2C). The presence of Cl$^-$ ions could be simulated, and several residues, including Tyr-37, Val-141, Asn-67, and Met-334, were identified in NPF2.4 as important in forming a potential cavity essential for anion transport activity (Fig. 2D). This provides an additional basis for further study of NPF2.4 as a candidate for transporting Cl$^-$ between roots and shoots.

**NPF2.4 Expression Is Down-Regulated by Both Salt and ABA**

Expression profiling by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) indicated that both NaCl and ABA treatment significantly reduced the transcript abundance of NPF2.4. The reduction in gene expression was found to...
be concentration dependent, with 100 mM NaCl treatment reducing NPF2.4 transcript abundance more than 50 mM NaCl (Fig. 3A). When treated with 75 mM NaCl for 5 d, the abundance of NPF2.4 mRNA in the roots was significantly reduced by almost 90% when compared with untreated plants (2 mM NaCl; Fig. 3B). Exposure to 20 μM ABA for 4 or 16 h significantly reduced NPF2.4 transcripts in the roots, with the reduction increasing over the time of the assay (Fig. 3C).

**NPF2.4 Is Preferentially Expressed in Root Stelar Cells**

To examine the localization of NPF2.4 expression, 1.5 kb of the putative promoter sequence of NPF2.4 (proNPF2.4) was used to drive the expression of the UidA reporter gene (Fig. 4). Compared to non-transformed Columbia-0 (Col-0) plants (Fig. 4A), proNPF2.4-driven GUS activity was detected in the cells associated with the root vascular bundle in both primary and lateral roots of plants transformed with proNPF2.4:UidA (Fig. 4, B–D). GUS activity was also detectable in the vascular cells of both cotyledons and true leaves (Fig. 4, E and F). Transverse sections of 10-d-old proNPF2.4:UidA-expressing roots further demonstrated GUS activity in the root stelar cells (Fig. 4G). ProNPF2.4-driven GUS activity was also detected in flower and developing siliques (Fig. 4, H and I).

**GUS Activity in proNPF2.4:UidA-Expressing Plants Is Regulated by Salt, Indicating the Existence of Salt-Responsive Regulatory Elements in the Putative NPF2.4 Promoter**

To investigate the responsiveness of the NPF2.4 promoter to salt stress, proNPF2.4:UidA plants were treated with 75 or 150 mM NaCl for 5 d on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates. A reduction in the intensity of proNPF2.4-associated GUS activity was observed.
in response to salt treatments. A fluorescence-based 4-methylumbelliferone-β-galactopyranoside (MUG) assay quantified that proNPF2.4:UbiA plants treated with 75 or 150 mM NaCl for 5 d had approximately 70% and 30% GUS activity, respectively, when compared with 0 mM NaCl grown plants (Fig. 5).

The 1.5-kb putative promoter region of NPF2.4 was compared with the entries of the plant cis-acting regulatory DNA elements (PLACE). Multiple copies of binding sites that are targets of transcription factors were identified (Supplemental Table S1).

**NPF2.4 Is Localized at the Plasma Membrane**

To determine the subcellular localization of NPF2.4, Col-0 plants were stably transformed with GFP fused to the C'-end of NPF2.4. GFP was detected on the periphery of root cells in the 10-d-old transgenic plants (Fig. 6A). After plasmolysis was performed to detach the plasma membrane from the cell wall, fluorescence was detected on Hechtian strands (Fig. 6B). To further verify the NPF2.4 localization, YFP was fused to the 5'-end of NPF2.4 and transiently expressed in Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. Figure 6, C to F, shows that NPF2.4-associated YFP fluorescence overlapped with the CFP fluorescence of the plasma membrane marker ECFP::Rop11 (Munns et al., 2012).

**NPF2.4 Facilitates Cl⁻ Movement across the Cell Membrane When Expressed in Xenopus laevis Oocytes**

To test whether NPF2.4 was able to facilitate Cl⁻ transport, two-electrode voltage clamping of X. laevis oocytes injected with NPF2.4-cRNA was performed. When the plasma membrane of NPF2.4-cRNA injected oocytes was clamped at a negative membrane potential (i.e. that common to plant cells), an inward current was induced in the presence of external Cl⁻, which was consistent with the efflux of anions from the oocytes into the extracellular medium through NPF2.4 (Fig. 7A; Supplemental Fig. S2A). To examine whether Cl⁻ transport activity of NPF2.4 was affected by H⁺ concentration (as suggested by the original annotation of NPF2.4 as a proton-dependent oligo-peptide transporter), oocytes were incubated in 50 mM Cl⁻ at pH values of either 7.5 or 5.5. No pH dependency of the inward current was observed (Fig. 7B; Supplemental Fig. S2, B and C). The magnitude of inward current carried by NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻ was compared in NPF2.4-cRNA injected oocytes and controls, and it was shown that negative currents were much larger and conductance was higher when Cl⁻ was the external anion, rather than NO₃⁻ (Fig. 7, C and D; Supplemental Fig. S2, D–G); the PCl⁻/PNO₃⁻ permeability ratio of NPF2.4 was calculated to be 4.4 ± 0.8 (with either 50 mM NaCl or NaNO₃ in the bathing solution). To distinguish whether the observed inward currents at negative membrane potentials were associated with movements of Cl⁻ or Na⁺, oocytes preinjected with NPF2.4-cRNA or water were incubated in the solutions having a constant concentration of Na⁺ (45 mM) with increasing concentrations of Cl⁻ (0, 5, 10, 25, and 50 mM), and the inward currents observed were larger when increased Cl⁻ concentration in the external solution (Fig. 7E; Supplemental Fig. S2, H and I). When using solutions that have a constant concentration of Cl⁻ (45 mM) with increasing concentrations of Na⁺ (0, 5, 10, 25, and 50 mM), similar association between external Na⁺ concentrations and the size of inward currents was observed (Fig. 7F; Supplemental Fig. S2, J and K). The effect of different cations on the stimulation of Cl⁻-carrying currents was examined and found not to be Na⁺ specific; K⁺ also stimulated Cl⁻-carrying currents, whereas N-Methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG⁺), Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺ did not (Fig. 7G; Supplemental Fig. S2L). When Na⁺ was present in the absence of Cl⁻, no detectible currents were observed (Fig. 7, A and G; Supplemental Fig. S2L). To test if Na⁺ is only activating the transport of Cl⁻ by NPF2.4, not being cotransported with Cl⁻, elemental content of Cl⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ was measured in both NPF2.4-cRNA- and water-injected oocytes incubated in Ca²⁺-free NDG with 2 d with and without a 2-h low NaCl treatment. NPF2.4-cRNA injected oocytes had a significantly lower (P < 0.001) Cl⁻ level compared with water-injected controls. No significant difference was observed in Na⁺ content or K⁺ content between NPF2.4-cRNA injected oocytes and water-injected controls (Fig. 7H). To test whether the observed outward currents at positive membrane potentials (Fig. 7C) were due to Cl⁻ entering the cell through NPF2.4, a unidirectional ¹⁸OCl⁻ influx assay for NPF2.4- and water-injected oocytes was performed by incubation in solutions containing 100 mM NaCl or 100 mM NMDG-Cl spiked with low
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**Figure 5.** proNPF2.4-driven GUS activity in roots is reduced by salt treatments. Two-week-old T2 proNPF2.4:UbiA plants were stained for 1 h after salt treatments as indicated for 5 d. Roots were subjected to MUG assay. Absorbance measured using a spectrophotometer at 366 nm to measure MUG concentrations. Error bars represent mean ± s.e. (n = 3). Significance is indicated by the asterisks (one-way ANOVA and Student's t-test, *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).
activities of $^{36}$Cl$^-$ for 60 min (Fig. 7I). Oocytes injected with NPF2.4-cRNA exhibited significantly greater uptake of $^{36}$Cl$^-$ than the water-injected oocytes in presence of NaCl. This stimulated influx was not affected by changes in pH values of 7.5 and 5.5 (Fig. 7I). When NaCl was replaced with NMDG-Cl, there was not a significant increase in the uptake of $^{36}$Cl$^-$ in NPF2.4-cRNA injected oocytes compared to water-injected controls at both pH values tested (Fig. 7I).

A unidirectional Na$^+$ uptake assay, using oocytes incubated in 100 mM NaCl solution spiked with $^{22}$Na$^+$, confirmed that there was no transmembrane movement of Na$^+$ through NPF2.4 (Supplemental Fig. S2M).

Knockdown of NPF2.4 Resulted in Reduced Shoot Cl$^-$ Accumulation

Putative Atnpf2.4 T-DNA knockouts were ordered from stock centers, but no plants containing an insert within NPF2.4 and/or lacking NPF2.4 expression could be identified (Supplemental Fig. S3). Therefore, to elucidate the function of NPF2.4 in planta, artificial microRNAs (amiRNAs) were designed to knock down NPF2.4 expression. These were transformed into Col-0 plants. qRT-PCR showed that T2 amiRNA plants had 50–80% lower transcript abundance of NPF2.4 compared with the null segregants (Fig. 8A). These amiRNA plants were shown to have significantly less Cl$^-$ in the shoot when grown under a low salt conditions (2 mM NaCl; 21–32% lower Cl$^-$; Fig. 8B). Meanwhile, shoot NO$_3^-$ content (Fig. 8C), as well as Na$^+$ and K$^+$ (Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B), remained similar between knockdown lines and null segregants. Under a high salt load (75 mM NaCl), when the endogenous NPF2.4 expression ordinarily decreased in such conditions (Fig. 3B), the transcript abundance of NPF2.4 in the amiRNA knockdown lines and null segregants was at a similar low level (Supplemental Fig. S4C), and no significant difference in shoot Cl$^-$ concentration was found (Supplemental Fig. S4D). Transcript levels of NPF2.5, the closest homolog of NPF2.4, were found to be unaffected in the root of NPF2.4 knockdown lines when compared with null segregants (Supplemental Fig. S4E).
Constitutive Overexpression of NPF2.4 Resulted in Increased Cl⁻ Accumulation in the Shoot

To investigate the effect of constitutive overexpression of NPF2.4 on shoot Cl⁻ accumulation, NPF2.4 was constitutively overexpressed in Col-0 plants under the control of a 35S promoter. Two independent T₃ NPF2.4 overexpression lines were grown for 4 weeks in hydroponics before being exposed to 2 or 75 mM NaCl for 5 d. Greater NPF2.4 mRNA levels were observed in both overexpression lines as detected by qRT-PCR (Supplemental Fig. S5). After the 75 mM NaCl treatment, Cl⁻ accumulation in the shoot was higher in both overexpression lines compared to the null segregants, with OEX-NPF2.4-2 exhibiting a significant increase of 23% (Fig. 9A). At the same time, no significant difference in shoot content of NO₃⁻ was found between the transgenic lines and null segregants (Fig. 9B). Small but nonsignificant increases in shoot Cl⁻ accumulation were observed in NPF2.4 overexpression lines grown in 2 mM NaCl (Fig. 9C), while no alternation in shoot content of NO₃⁻ was observed (Fig. 9D).

DISCUSSION

NPF2.4 meets many of the predicted characteristics for a gene encoding an anion channel involved in loading Cl⁻ to the root xylem. It encodes a protein targeted to the plasma membrane of root stelar cells in Arabidopsis (Figs. 4 and 6), and its expression is strongly down-regulated by salt and ABA treatment.
NF2.4 was found to facilitate Cl$^{-}$-dependent currents across the cell membrane at negative membrane potentials when expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes (Fig. 7A), and Cl$^{-}$-transport was not affected by changes in external pH (Fig. 7, B and I). This is consistent with the predicted mechanism of xylem loading for Cl$^{-}$, where the difference in electrochemical potential for Cl$^{-}$ across the plasma membrane of cells in the stele favors passive Cl$^{-}$ efflux into the xylem, without the need for direct expenditure of energy (Teakle and Tyerman, 2010).

At more positive membrane potentials, the presence of outward currents in NF2.4-injected oocytes indicates that NF2.4 can also mediate Cl$^{-}$ influx into cells (Fig. 7C). This was confirmed in NF2.4 expressing oocytes using a $^{36}$Cl$^{-}$ uptake assay (Fig. 7I). These inwardly directed Cl$^{-}$ fluxes were dependent, in the conditions tested, upon the presence of Na$^{+}$ and were absent when NMDG$^{+}$ was the balancing cation (Fig. 7I).

(Figs. 3 and 5). NF2.4 was found to facilitate Cl$^{-}$-dependent currents across the cell membrane at negative membrane potentials when expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes (Fig. 7A), and Cl$^{-}$ transport was not affected by changes in external pH (Fig. 7, B and I). This is consistent with the predicted mechanism of xylem loading for Cl$^{-}$, where the difference in electrochemical potential for Cl$^{-}$ across the plasma membrane of cells in the stele favors passive Cl$^{-}$ efflux into the xylem, without the need for direct expenditure of energy (Teakle and Tyerman, 2010).
also significant Cl⁻-dependent currents (Fig. 7, F and G). Only Cl⁻ concentration differences and not Na⁺ or K⁺ were detected in NPF2.4-injected oocytes (Fig. 7H), and no inwardly directed Na⁺ fluxes were detected (Supplemental Fig. S2M). These data indicate that both monovalent cations K⁺ and Na⁺ are likely to activate Cl⁻ transport but not be carried by NPF2.4, and it is likely that the in vivo activating cation is K⁺ in low salt conditions. Under high salt (NaCl) conditions, currents carried by NPF2.4 are likely to be minimized by the lower expression levels of NPF2.4 despite a higher concentration of another activating cation. Interestingly, the transport properties of NPF2.4 appear to be specific to Cl⁻, with no indication of the protein being involved in NO₃⁻ transport (Fig. 7, C and D), which is unique when compared with other published NPF2s that are generally permeable to NO₃⁻ (Segonzac et al., 2007; Tsay et al., 2007; Léran et al., 2014). Combining all evidence, it is suggested that, at negative membrane potentials, NPF2.4 facilitates Cl⁻ efflux across cell membranes, consistent with its proposed role in facilitating a component of the loading of Cl⁻ into the xylem in Arabidopsis.

The use of transgenic plants demonstrated that altering levels of NPF2.4 expression could affect shoot Cl⁻ accumulation but not that of NO₃⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ (Figs. 8F and 9, B and D; Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B). This ion profile provides further evidence that Na⁺ and K⁺ are not transported by NPF2.4. Reduction in expression of NPF2.4 in Arabidopsis using amiRNA resulted in decreased Cl⁻ accumulation in the shoot (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4A), while NPF2.4 overexpression resulted in increased shoot Cl⁻ content (under high salt conditions; Fig. 9, A and C), thus indicating a role for NPF2.4 in the accumulation of Cl⁻ in Arabidopsis shoots. The increased Cl⁻ accumulation in NPF2.4 overexpression lines was not significant in low salt conditions (Fig. 9C). Moller et al. (2009) previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing AtHKT1;1 may not in all conditions lead to an expected change in ion profile, with the use of gene knockouts or cell-specific complementation being more reliable. In this study, amiRNA knockdown was performed to lend a greater cell type specificity when manipulating expression of NPF2.4. Reducing expression of NPF2.4 by 80% under a low salt condition (2 mM NaCl), without affecting the expression of genes that are homologous to NPF2.4, resulted in a decrease in the shoot accumulation of Cl⁻ by up to 31% when compared with the null segregants (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4E); thus, as previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing NPF2.4, and that the in vivo activating cation is K⁺ in low salt conditions. Under high salt (NaCl) conditions, currents carried by NPF2.4 are likely to be minimized by the lower expression levels of NPF2.4 despite a higher concentration of another activating cation. Interestingly, the transport properties of NPF2.4 appear to be specific to Cl⁻, with no indication of the protein being involved in NO₃⁻ transport (Fig. 7, C and D), which is unique when compared with other published NPF2s that are generally permeable to NO₃⁻ (Segonzac et al., 2007; Tsay et al., 2007; Léran et al., 2014). Combining all evidence, it is suggested that, at negative membrane potentials, NPF2.4 facilitates Cl⁻ efflux across cell membranes, consistent with its proposed role in facilitating a component of the loading of Cl⁻ into the xylem in Arabidopsis.

The use of transgenic plants demonstrated that altering levels of NPF2.4 expression could affect shoot Cl⁻ accumulation but not that of NO₃⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ (Figs. 8F and 9, B and D; Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B). This ion profile provides further evidence that Na⁺ and K⁺ are not transported by NPF2.4. Reduction in expression of NPF2.4 in Arabidopsis using amiRNA resulted in decreased Cl⁻ accumulation in the shoot (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4A), while NPF2.4 overexpression resulted in increased shoot Cl⁻ content (under high salt conditions; Fig. 9, A and C), thus indicating a role for NPF2.4 in the accumulation of Cl⁻ in Arabidopsis shoots. The increased Cl⁻ accumulation in NPF2.4 overexpression lines was not significant in low salt conditions (Fig. 9C). Moller et al. (2009) previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing AtHKT1;1 may not in all conditions lead to an expected change in ion profile, with the use of gene knockouts or cell-specific complementation being more reliable. In this study, amiRNA knockdown was performed to lend a greater cell type specificity when manipulating expression of NPF2.4. Reducing expression of NPF2.4 by 80% under a low salt condition (2 mM NaCl), without affecting the expression of genes that are homologous to NPF2.4, resulted in a decrease in the shoot accumulation of Cl⁻ by up to 31% when compared with the null segregants (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4E); thus, as previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing NPF2.4, and that the in vivo activating cation is K⁺ in low salt conditions. Under high salt (NaCl) conditions, currents carried by NPF2.4 are likely to be minimized by the lower expression levels of NPF2.4 despite a higher concentration of another activating cation. Interestingly, the transport properties of NPF2.4 appear to be specific to Cl⁻, with no indication of the protein being involved in NO₃⁻ transport (Fig. 7, C and D), which is unique when compared with other published NPF2s that are generally permeable to NO₃⁻ (Segonzac et al., 2007; Tsay et al., 2007; Léran et al., 2014). Combining all evidence, it is suggested that, at negative membrane potentials, NPF2.4 facilitates Cl⁻ efflux across cell membranes, consistent with its proposed role in facilitating a component of the loading of Cl⁻ into the xylem in Arabidopsis.

The use of transgenic plants demonstrated that altering levels of NPF2.4 expression could affect shoot Cl⁻ accumulation but not that of NO₃⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ (Figs. 8F and 9, B and D; Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B). This ion profile provides further evidence that Na⁺ and K⁺ are not transported by NPF2.4. Reduction in expression of NPF2.4 in Arabidopsis using amiRNA resulted in decreased Cl⁻ accumulation in the shoot (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4A), while NPF2.4 overexpression resulted in increased shoot Cl⁻ content (under high salt conditions; Fig. 9, A and C), thus indicating a role for NPF2.4 in the accumulation of Cl⁻ in Arabidopsis shoots. The increased Cl⁻ accumulation in NPF2.4 overexpression lines was not significant in low salt conditions (Fig. 9C). Moller et al. (2009) previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing AtHKT1;1 may not in all conditions lead to an expected change in ion profile, with the use of gene knockouts or cell-specific complementation being more reliable. In this study, amiRNA knockdown was performed to lend a greater cell type specificity when manipulating expression of NPF2.4. Reducing expression of NPF2.4 by 80% under a low salt condition (2 mM NaCl), without affecting the expression of genes that are homologous to NPF2.4, resulted in a decrease in the shoot accumulation of Cl⁻ by up to 31% when compared with the null segregants (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4E); thus, as previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing NPF2.4, and that the in vivo activating cation is K⁺ in low salt conditions. Under high salt (NaCl) conditions, currents carried by NPF2.4 are likely to be minimized by the lower expression levels of NPF2.4 despite a higher concentration of another activating cation. Interestingly, the transport properties of NPF2.4 appear to be specific to Cl⁻, with no indication of the protein being involved in NO₃⁻ transport (Fig. 7, C and D), which is unique when compared with other published NPF2s that are generally permeable to NO₃⁻ (Segonzac et al., 2007; Tsay et al., 2007; Léran et al., 2014). Combining all evidence, it is suggested that, at negative membrane potentials, NPF2.4 facilitates Cl⁻ efflux across cell membranes, consistent with its proposed role in facilitating a component of the loading of Cl⁻ into the xylem in Arabidopsis.

The use of transgenic plants demonstrated that altering levels of NPF2.4 expression could affect shoot Cl⁻ accumulation but not that of NO₃⁻, Na⁺, and K⁺ (Figs. 8F and 9, B and D; Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B). This ion profile provides further evidence that Na⁺ and K⁺ are not transported by NPF2.4. Reduction in expression of NPF2.4 in Arabidopsis using amiRNA resulted in decreased Cl⁻ accumulation in the shoot (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4A), while NPF2.4 overexpression resulted in increased shoot Cl⁻ content (under high salt conditions; Fig. 9, A and C), thus indicating a role for NPF2.4 in the accumulation of Cl⁻ in Arabidopsis shoots. The increased Cl⁻ accumulation in NPF2.4 overexpression lines was not significant in low salt conditions (Fig. 9C). Moller et al. (2009) previously observed that the loss of cell specificity when constitutively overexpressing AtHKT1;1 may not in all conditions lead to an expected change in ion profile, with the use of gene knockouts or cell-specific complementation being more reliable. In this study, amiRNA knockdown was performed to lend a greater cell type specificity when manipulating expression of NPF2.4. Reducing expression of NPF2.4 by 80% under a low salt condition (2 mM NaCl), without affecting the expression of genes that are homologous to NPF2.4, resulted in a decrease in the shoot accumulation of Cl⁻ by up to 31% when compared with the null segregants (Fig. 8, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4E); however, this was insufficient to account for a measurable difference in growth (Supplemental Fig. S6). Due to the nature of the amiRNA system, knocking down the expression of NPF2.4 does not completely remove its transcript, thus still leaving small amounts of NPF2.4 mRNA that can be translated into a functioning protein. Unfortunately no lines with NPF2.4 completely knocked out could be identified to test whether a lack of NPF2.4 expression resulted in a greater reduction of shoot Cl⁻. Under high salt conditions (75 mM NaCl), the endogenous downregulation of NPF2.4 expression (Fig. 3) resulted in similar levels of NPF2.4 expression in both knockdowns and null segregants (Supplemental Fig. S4C); thus, as
expected, no difference in shoot Cl$^–$ concentration was found (Supplemental Fig. S4D).

Cl$^–$ xylem loading is a complex process, as revealed by at least three anion conductances identified in stelar protoplasts (Köhler and Raschke, 2000; Gilliham and Tester, 2005). The electrophysiological profiles of NPF2.4 in X. laevis oocytes did not resemble X-QUAC, the likely major conductance for Cl$^–$ loading into the xylem. First, there was a lack of rectification (Fig. 7C). This suggests the involvement of other transport proteins and/or regulators in the loading of Cl$^–$ into the xylem (Roy et al., 2008; Henderson et al., 2014). Furthermore, NPF2.4-induced currents in oocytes were considerably smaller in the presence of NO$_3^–$ compared to Cl$^–$ (Fig. 7, C and D), whereas X-QUAC type currents were much more permeable to NO$_3^–$ compared to Cl$^–$ (Köhler and Raschke, 2000; Gilliham and Tester, 2005).

From the plant data it is clear that NPF2.4 is not the only transporter that facilitates the loading of Cl$^–$ into the transpiration stream. Shoot Cl$^–$ is reduced by 31% relative to controls, and shoot NO$_3^–$ remains unchanged when there is an 80% reduction in NPF2.4 expression in the amiRNA lines indicating that other mechanisms contribute to the remaining Cl$^–$ loading. The reduction in NPF2.4 expression after the application of ABA and the suggestion of ABA’s role in regulating Cl$^–$ transport from the root to the shoot warrants further study. ABA is a signal known to down-regulate Cl$^–$ loading of the shoot and the conductances that are responsible for this flux (Cram and Pitman, 1972; Gilliham and Tester, 2005), whereas in stomatal guard cells, ABA activates Cl$^–$ efflux from cells (Negi et al., 2008; Vahisalu et al., 2008; Geiger et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009; Vahisalu et al., 2010). Therefore, it will be interesting to explore the differences in ABA signaling pathways for these processes that differentially regulate anion efflux from root and shoot cells.

The Cl$^–$ transport activity of NPF2.4 observed in this study adds to the list of solutes transported by members of the NPF. To date, NO$_3^–$, dipeptides, histidine, malate, glucosinolate, auxin, and ABA have been reported as substrates transported by various NPFs (Yamashita et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 1998; Jeong et al., 2004; Krouk et al., 2010; Kanno et al., 2012; Nour-Eldin et al., 2012). The increasing volume of genomic and functional evidence on the roles of the NPF will assist in addressing the question posed by Tsay et al. (2007) as to why plants...
have so many NPF genes (53 in Arabidopsis and 80 in rice), while yeast, *Drosophila melanogaster*, and humans have only one, three, and six members, respectively. NPF transporters identified from organisms other than higher plants are di-/tripeptide transporters; therefore, it was speculated that the $\text{NO}_3^-$ transport activity of this family in Arabidopsis has evolved from an ancient peptide transporter (Stacey et al., 2002; Tsay et al., 2007). Since then, the NPF family has expanded, presumably to fill specific transport roles in specific environments, making it increasingly diverse.

In conclusion, NPF2.4 is a protein involved in $\text{Cl}^-$ xylem loading in Arabidopsis, regulating $\text{Cl}^-$ accumulation in the shoot in response to salt stress. To our knowledge, the identification and functional characterization of NPF2.4 has, for the first time, revealed the molecular properties of a protein that loads $\text{Cl}^-$ into the xylem. As such, NPF2.4 may be a target for improving plant salinity tolerance in crops that are sensitive to $\text{Cl}^-$ accumulation. Further research is needed to investigate whether NPF2.4 orthologs are involved in salinity tolerance in other plant species and whether manipulation of *NPF2.4*-like genes and other genes involved in $\text{Cl}^-$ transport to the shoot of crop plants is important for alleviating $\text{Cl}^-$ toxicity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Plant Material and Growth Conditions

**Plant Material**

T-DNA knockout lines (SALK$_{111056}$ and SALK$_{111071}$), which were annotated with the T-DNA site of insertion being in the *NPF2.4* gene, as well as Col-0 Arabidopsis seeds were obtained from the European Arabidopsis Stock Centre.

**Soil-Grown Arabidopsis**

Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) was grown in soil prepared following the methods described by Møller et al. (2009). Plants were kept with light/dark photoperiods of either 16 h/8 h (long-day) or 10 h/14 h (short-day). Temperature was maintained between 21°C and 23°C; humidity was optimized between 60% and 75%; photon irradiance at the level of plant the leaves was approximately 120 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**Hydroponics-Grown Arabidopsis**

Arabidopsis plants were germinated and grown in hydroponics following the method described by Conn et al. (2013). Growth conditions were similar to those for soil-grown plants. At the time of salt application, NaCl solutions of different concentrations as indicated in the text were applied to the growth solution 4 weeks after germination with supplemental Ca$^{2+}$ added.

**Expression Analysis**

Gene expression analysis by qRT-PCR was performed following the method described by Burton et al. (2008). The primers for determining *NPF2.4* expression were 5'-CATGAGGTACTTTGACTTTTGAG-3' (forward) and 5'-GGAGGTACTTTGACTTGTGTTTGAG-3' (reverse). The selected control genes and data normalizations followed the protocols described by Jha et al. (2010).

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Multiple amino acid sequence alignment was performed with ClustalW2. Proteins used in the alignment included characterized Arabidopsis NPFs and seven members of the NAXT subfamily, as shown in the table below. Protein sequences were retrieved from the Arabidopsis genome annotation database release 9 (http://www.arabidopsis.org/). The neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was generated using Mega5, with 5000 bootstrap iterations (Tamura et al., 2011).

**Protein 3D Structural Modeling**

The 3D models of *NPF2.4* were constructed and evaluated as described by Cotsafis et al. (2012) using two structural templates: (1) a proton-dependent oligopeptide transporter from *Stenotrophomonas macleodii* (Newstead et al., 2011; PDB accession 2XUT) and (2) a $\text{NO}_3^-$ transporter from Arabidopsis in complex with the $\text{NO}_3^-$ ion (Sun et al., 2014; PDB accession 4OH3). The amino acid sequences of 2XUT (488 residues) and 4OH3 (553 residues, $\text{NO}_3^-$ ion replaced by $\text{Cl}^-$ ion) were aligned with *NPF2.4* (503 and 528 residues) using the Local Meta-Threading Server (Wu and Zhang, 2007), Alignment Annotator (Gille et al., 2014), followed by manual alignment adjustments. The aligned sequences were used as input parameters to generate 3D models of *NPF2.4* using modeler 9v8 (Sali and Blundell, 1994) on a Linux station, running the Fedora 12 operating system. Three types of 3D models (using 2XUT or 4OH3 as the templates, the latter with and without Cl$^-$) were selected from 40 models based on their low value of the modeler 9v8 objective function and the most favorable DOPE energy scoring parameters (Shen and Sali, 2006). Images of structural models were generated in the PyMol Molecular Graphics System, version 1.3 (Schrödinger LLC).

**Generation of NPF2.4 Overexpression Lines and NPF2.4 AmiRNA Knockdown Lines**

#### AmiRNA NPF2.4

A Web microRNA designer (http://wmd2.weigelworld.org/cgi-bin/ mirnatools.pl; Schwab et al., 2006) was used to design two amiRNA constructs to knock down *NPF2.4* gene expression. Two 21-nt target sequences were identified from the *NPF2.4* coding sequence, allowing the generation of two independent amiRNA constructs. A set of primers (Supplementary Table S2) was used to incorporate the 21-nt amiRNA sequence into the generation of MIR319a vector (Schwab et al., 2006). The amiRNA constructs then were cloned into pCR8 and transferred to pTOOL2 (Roy et al., 2013) by an LR reaction (Invitrogen) and transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998; Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002).

35S:*NPF2.4
cDNA of *NPF2.4* was amplified using Platinum Taq (Invitrogen) and cloned into Gateway-enabled pCR8 entry vector (Invitrogen). *NPF2.4* was transferred from pCR8 to the pTOOL2 destination vector (Roy et al., 2013) using LR Clonase II (Invitrogen) and transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants using *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip transformation (Clough and Bent, 1998; Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002).

#### Promoter GUS Fusions

The primers 5'-TAGAGAAGAGACTATCATGAGG-3' (forward) and 5'-GGAGGTACTTTGACTTGTGTTTGAG-3' (reverse) were used to amplify 1.5 kb of the sequence upstream from the start codon of *NPF2.4*. This fragment was cloned into pCR8 and transferred by LR reaction into pMDCl62 to drive UidA expression. The destination vector was transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated method (Clough and Bent, 1998; Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002). Two-week-old T2 pro*NPF2.4*:UidA plants were stained for GUS activity for 1 h following the protocol described by Weigel and Glazebrook (2002). GUS activity was visualized using a Leica MZ16FA stereo microscope (Leica Microsystems). To prepare transverse sections of roots of pro*NPF2.4*:UidA plants, GUS-stained material was washed with milliQ water and fixed at 4°C overnight using 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 5% glutaraldehyde. Samples were washed twice with 1× PBS for 10 min each and embedded in 1% agarose. Tissue was dehydrated by 15-min incubations in an ethanol series of 50, 70, 90, and 100% (v/v). Samples were transferred to Technovit 7100 hydroxyethyl methacrylate resin solution with 2.5% polyvinyl alcohol and polymerized in thin-walled PCR tubes. Eight-micrometer-thick transverse root sections were stained for GUS activity for 1 h following the protocol described by Weigel and Glazebrook (2002).
sections were cover slipped in DFX mountant and imaged on a Leica ASLM compound microscope equipped with a DFC480 CCD camera.

To test the effect of the salt treatments on GUS activity, proNPF2.4::uidA plants were grown vertically on 0.5× MS media for 7 d before transferred onto 0.5× MS media containing 0, 75, or 150 mM NaCl for a 5-d salt treatment. Salt-treated plants were GUS stained for 1 h and examined under a Leica MZ16FA stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems). A fluorescent MUG assay was performed to quantify the GUS activity of proNPF2.4::uidA plants after the salt treatments following the protocol described by Peña (2004).

NPF2.4::GFP Fusion Constructs and Visualization of Fluorescence in Plants

To determine the subcellular localization of NPF2.4 in planta, GFP6 was fused to either the 5′- and 3′-end of NPF2.4 and used for constructing GFP::NPF2.4 and NPF2.4::GFP. The coding sequence of NPF2.4 was inserted into the destination vectors pMDC44 and pMD83 (Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003) to generate the necessary vectors. The fusion vectors were transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0 plants using Agrobacterium-mediated method (Clough and Bent, 1998; Weigel and Glazebrook, 2002). T2 transgenic plants were germinated on 0.5× MS media under hygromycin selection. Ten days after the germination, plants were stained with 10 μg/mL propidium iodide for 5 min and rinsed with deionized water. Plasmolysis was conducted on a slide by applying 10% (w/v) Suc solution on to the roots of GFP6-expressing plants. GFP (excitation, 488 nm; emission, 505–530 nm) and propidium iodide (PI) (excitation, 543 nm; emission long-pass, 560 nm) fluorescence were visualized using a LSM5 PASCAL laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss) running PASCAL imaging software (version 3.2 SP2; Carl Zeiss).

Arabidopsis Mesophyll Cell Transient Transformation

To determine the subcellular localization of NPF2.4 in Arabidopsis protoplasts, YFP was fused to the 5′-end of NPF2.4 by cloning the coding sequence of NPF2.4 into the destination vector pattR-YFP (Subramanian et al., 2006). A protein known to be targeted to the plasma membrane (ROP11) was used as a positive control (cCFP::ROP11; Molenidjik et al., 2008). Protoplast isolation from Arabidopsis mesophyll cells and polyethylene glycol-mediated transient transformation were conducted using the protocols described by Yoo et al. (2007) to introduce the fusion vector. CFP (excitation, 436 nm; emission, 470–535 nm) and YFP (excitation, 514 nm; emission, 525–610 nm) fluorescence was visualized using a LSM5 PASCAL laser scanning microscope running PASCAL imaging software (version 3.2 SP2).

Electrophysiology

Two-Electrode Voltage Clamping

A pGEMHE-DEST destination vector (Shelden et al., 2009) was developed for heterologous expression of NPF2.4 in Xenopus laevis oocytes. cRNA was transcribed from this vector using the mMESSAGE mACHINE kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer’s instructions and injected into the following (Munro et al., 2012). Two-electrode voltage clamping was performed following Roy et al. (2008). Osmolarities of all solutions were adjusted using mannitol (Sigma) to 240–260 mOsmol/kg (Fiske 210 Micro-Sample Osmometer: Advancered Instruments). Membrane currents were recorded in solutions containing 5 mM MES, 2 mM Ca-gluconate ± NMDG-Cl (or NaCl), or NMDG-NO3 (or NaNO3) as indicated. Data were acquired and analyzed using pClamp 8.2 (Axon Instruments). Experiments were repeated using oocytes harvested from different X. laevis surgeries.

Isotope Uptake Assay (36Cl– and 22Na+)

X. laevis oocytes microinjected with either NPF2.4 cRNA or water were prepared for 36Cl– and 22Na+ uptake. Oocytes were incubated in CT-free ND96 media (96 mM sodium isethionate, 2 mM potassium gluconate, 1.8 mM calcium gluconate, 1 mM magnesium gluconate, 5 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate, and 5% gentamycin, pH 7.4) for 120 min 2 d after injection. Oocytes were then incubated in uptake buffer (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM Ca-gluconate, 2 mM K-glucconate, 5 mM MES, and 240 mM Osmol kg−1 water, pH 7.5) supplemented with 1 μCi/mL of NaCl (Radiochemical Centre Limited) or 2 μCi/mL 22NaCl (Perkin-Elmer) for 1 h. The oocytes were washed twice with ice-cold uptake buffer, which did not contain 36Cl– or 22Na+, and each individual oocyte transferred into 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tubes containing 20 μL of 0.1 μM nitric acid and macerated by pipetting or transferred to scintillation vials containing 200 μL of 10% SDS and left overnight. Four milliliters of scintillation fluid was added to each scintillation vial. Radioactivity, counts per minute, of 36Cl– or 22Na+ was measured using a Tri-Carb liquid scintillation counter (Perkin-Elmer) or a Beckman Coulter LS6500 scintillation counter.

Elemental Analysis of Cl–, Na+, K+, and NO3–

Concentration of Cl–, Na+, K+, and NO3– was determined in the whole shoot of Arabidopsis seedlings. The shoot was weighed, freeze-dried, and ground into a powder. To determine Arabidopsis shoot and X. laevis oocyte Cl– concentrations, approximately 10 to 20 mg of material was digested in 2 mL of 1% nitric acid at 80°C overnight and 10 oocytes were digested in 1 mL of 1% nitric acid at 75°C for 2 h. Cl– content was analyzed using a chloride analyzer (Sherwood Scientific model 926) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The NO3– assay described by Kamphake et al. (1967) was used for the determination of the NO3– concentration in plant tissue. To examine Na+ and K+ in plants or oocytes, the youngest fully expanded leaf or incubated oocytes were harvested and digested in 1% nitric acid at 80°C overnight for plant tissues or 75°C for 2 h for oocytes following Byrt et al. (2014). Samples were diluted and measured relative to appropriate standard solutions using a model 420 flame photometer (Sherwood Scientific).

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession number NM_114439.
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