

# Importance of the Genetic Diversity within the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Complex for the Development of Novel Antibiotics and Diagnostic Tests of Drug Resistance

Claudio U. Köser,<sup>a,b</sup> Silke Feuerriegel,<sup>c</sup> David K. Summers,<sup>d</sup> John A. C. Archer,<sup>e</sup> and Stefan Niemann<sup>c</sup>

Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom<sup>a</sup>; Health Protection Agency, Cambridge, United Kingdom<sup>b</sup>; Molecular Mycobacteriology, Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany<sup>c</sup>; Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom<sup>d</sup>; and Computational Bioscience Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia<sup>e</sup>

**Despite being genetically monomorphic, the limited genetic diversity within the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) has practical consequences for molecular methods for drug susceptibility testing and for the use of current antibiotics and those in clinical trials. It renders some representatives of MTBC intrinsically resistant against one or multiple antibiotics and affects the spectrum and consequences of resistance mutations selected for during treatment. Moreover, neutral or silent changes within genes responsible for drug resistance can cause false-positive results with hybridization-based assays, which have been recently introduced to replace slower phenotypic methods. We discuss the consequences of these findings and propose concrete steps to rigorously assess the genetic diversity of MTBC to support ongoing clinical trials.**

Our understanding of the genetic diversity of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC), which encompasses a number of species that cause tuberculosis (TB), has witnessed two key transitions. Initially, the differentiation of clinical isolates of MTBC relied on a few characteristics, such as colony morphologies, or the ability to grow in the presence of certain chemicals or phages (68, 170). These methods had limited discriminatory powers and were replaced by molecular genotyping methods (IS6110, spoligotyping, and mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit-variable number tandem repeats [MIRU-VNTRs] [102]). Although these offered a higher resolution, they were still merely surrogates for the underlying genome diversity and ongoing evolution of MTBC. Over the past few years, however, researchers have been able to interrogate genomic diversity directly using either traditional Sanger sequencing or, more recently, whole-genome sequencing (143).

The results of these efforts have been discussed elsewhere and are beyond the scope of this minireview (2, 3, 5, 21, 22, 39, 43, 49, 58, 60, 62, 114, 145, 146). Instead, we will focus on aspects that are of immediate relevance to the development of novel antibiotics and diagnostic tests to detect drug resistance. Specifically, we will review the mounting evidence that the genetic diversity within MTBC, albeit limited (2), lies at the heart of both intrinsic and acquired drug resistance. This diversity has not always been considered in the past. By taking this into account, we propose improved standards to avoid these shortcomings in the future.

## KNOWN IMPACT OF GENETIC DIVERSITY

**Intrinsic differences in drug susceptibility.** The most significant impact of the genetic diversity of clinical MTBC isolates occurs when genetic changes result in intrinsic drug resistance. The clinical impact of intrinsic resistance will depend on the importance of the antibiotic and the frequency of the strains in question. The most prominent manifestation of this phenomenon concerns pyrazinamide (PZA), which is one of four first-line drugs used in combination with isoniazid (INH), rifampin (RIF), and ethambu-

tol (EMB). The inclusion of PZA in the regimen allows for treatment in 6 months, rather than 9 months (132).

*Mycobacterium bovis* is PZA resistant due to the H-to-D change at position 57 (H57D) change in *pncA* (*Rv2043c*) (76) and accounts for about 3.1% of human TB cases worldwide (107), whereas *Mycobacterium canettii* is resistant by an unknown mechanism and is generally limited to the Horn of Africa (49, 85, 149). It is believed that most patients will be cured even if infected with an intrinsically PZA-resistant strain, but more-detailed investigations are required to determine whether these patients are at a higher risk of TB relapse or accumulating further drug resistance (107). This is primarily due to the fact that patients in developing countries, where *M. bovis* and *M. canettii* are mostly found, do not have access to diagnostics that can identify the precise member of MTBC with which they are infected (49, 66, 107, 132, 148). Yet even in well-resourced countries, *M. bovis* is not always identified, which contributes to fatal outcomes in some cases (7). Moreover, clonal spread of resistant variants of *M. tuberculosis* can also have significant consequences in developed countries. For example, a recent study of PZA monoresistant *M. tuberculosis* strains, which account for 6.2% of TB cases among Canadian-born patients in Quebec, found that these patients had significantly worse clinical outcomes compared to patients infected with fully susceptible isolates (171).

Having been derived from *M. bovis*, the bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine strain, which is one of the oldest and most widely used vaccines worldwide and is also used for the treatment of bladder carcinoma (100), is intrinsically resistant to PZA and is also resistant to cycloserine (47, 48). The *cycA* (*Rv1704c*) G122S mutation is partially responsible for the latter intrinsic resistance

Published ahead of print 24 September 2012

Address correspondence to Claudio U. Köser, [cuk21@cam.ac.uk](mailto:cuk21@cam.ac.uk).

Copyright © 2012, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/AAC.01641-12

TABLE 1 Known mutations that cause false-positive results with commercial genotypic susceptibility assays

Antibiotic(s)	Gene	Mutation(s) <sup>a</sup>	Assays affected
Rifampin	<i>rpoB</i> ( <i>Rv0667</i> )	Q510Q caG/caA, F514F ttC/ttT, D516D gaC/gaT	Cepheid Xpert MTB/RIF, Hain GenoType MTBDR <i>plus</i> , INNO-LiPA RIF.TB, and Nipro LiPA
Fluoroquinolones	<i>gyrA</i> ( <i>Rv0006</i> )	T80A A90G	Hain GenoType MTBDR <i>sl</i> and Nipro LiPA

<sup>a</sup> The Q510Q caG/caA mutation was a change from caG to caA in the glutamine codon at position 510.

(32). Moreover, the more recently derived BCG strains display elevated MICs for isoniazid, presumably due to a mutation in *mmaA3* (*Rv0643c*), and some of these strains are cross-resistant to ethionamide (ETH), which in some countries is the preferred drug for treating BCG disease with presumed central nervous system involvement (15, 87, 136, 166). Fortunately, disseminated BCG is very rare and can be treated with either a high dose of INH or combination therapy (15).

The most recently described manifestation of intrinsic resistance involves *M. canettii*, which, in addition to being intrinsically PZA resistant as discussed above, is also potentially resistant to the novel drug PA-824 due to an unknown mechanism (to be discussed in more detail below) (49, 55, 85, 149). Should the latter resistance be confirmed *in vivo*, the consequences for use of the proposed three-drug regimen of PA-824, moxifloxacin, and pyrazinamide, which is currently in phase 2 clinical trials (41), will depend on the local distribution of the MTBC strains. *M. canettii* is believed to be rare across the world, with the exception of the Republic of Djibouti where it accounts for approximately 11% of active TB cases, although more accurate data concerning its prevalence and spread are required (49, 69, 71, 85). Importantly, TB caused by *M. canettii* is clinically and radiologically indistinguishable from disease caused by the remaining members of the MTBC (85). As a result, empirical treatment with PA-824–moxifloxacin–pyrazinamide might not be effective in this part of the world. Whether this potential limitation also extends to delamanid (OPC-67683) and metronidazole is unclear (55).

In contrast to the above examples, the genetic diversity in MTBC can also render some strains more susceptible to antibiotics. The BCG strains that share the RD2 deletion are susceptible to macrolides *in vitro*, whereas all other members of MTBC are resistant against this class of antibiotics due to an intact copy of *ermMT* (*erm37*, *Rv1988*) (10, 26). Whether this difference can be exploited clinically is still open to debate (136). Similarly, the *gyrA* (*Rv0006*) T80A A90G double mutations result in hypersusceptibility to fluoroquinolones (FQs) (16, 23, 101, 126, 138). Last, it appears that *M. canettii* is more susceptible to the drug combination of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (also known as co-trimoxazole) than MTBC, which, until recently, had been falsely assumed to be intrinsically resistant against this pair of antibiotics (59, 85, 91, 92, 117, 160, 172).

**Differences in selected drug resistance.** In addition to the underpinning drug resistance that exists prior to the start of treatment, the genetic diversity within MTBC also affects the nature of resistance mutations selected during treatment (MTBC acquires resistance by chromosomal mutations rather than from a vast lateral gene pool). When analyzing INH resistance in different lineages, Gagneux et al. found that the Euro-American lineage was more likely to harbor the *katG* (*Rv1908c*) S315T mutation, whereas resistance in the Beijing lineage was associated with mutations elsewhere in the gene. In the Indo-Oceanic lineage, *inhA*

(*Rv1484*) promoter mutations were more common (61). In addition, the level of resistance conveyed by particular mutations can vary between different lineages (51). These and related findings (11, 75, 98) not only inform which mutations should be targeted by genotypic assays (see next section) but also highlight the clinical value of knowing the cause of drug resistance. Thus, low-level INH resistance caused by promoter mutations in *inhA* might be overcome with a high dose of the antibiotic, whereas this is not possible for the accompanying ETH cross-resistance. Conversely, when *katG* mutations are responsible for INH resistance, treatment with ETH remains an option (27). To allow for the practical application of these findings, Warren et al. have proposed a diagnostic algorithm based on the Hain GenoType MTBDR*plus* assay (112, 165).

**Confounding of genotypic susceptibility testing.** To overcome the limitations imposed by the low growth rate of MTBC, genotypic antibiotic susceptibility tests have been introduced and either have been endorsed by the World Health Organization or are currently under evaluation (11, 72, 73, 98, 119, 144). Given that these hybridization-based assays interrogate the DNA rather than the amino acid sequence, they detect both synonymous and nonsynonymous mutations, unless the genetic diversity in the target regions was taken into consideration for the design of the respective probes (4, 12, 24, 83, 155). For example, additional probes had to be included for the assay manufactured by Nipro Corp. to cover several neutral or silent mutations in *pncA* (13, 108, 144). This included the S65S mutation, which is shared by most but not all Delhi/CAS strains (151). In contrast, the A46A synonymous mutation, a marker for *M. canettii* (42, 49, 76, 85, 149), was not compensated for, thereby allowing the assay to rely on this mutation as a surrogate for the aforementioned intrinsic PZA resistance. Additional probes were not required for the *katG* assay developed by the same group, since their chosen probes do not cover the known polymorphisms within this gene (11).

In marked contrast, a synonymous mutation in *rpoB* (*Rv0667*) has been recently shown to cause false-positive resistance results for the key first-line antibiotic RIF in three commercial assays (Hain GenoType MTBDR*plus*, INNO-LiPA RIF.TB, and Cepheid Xpert MTB/RIF) (9). Even though this mutation (F514F) (Table 1) was found to be rare in Barcelona, Spain, with a frequency of only 1.4%, it still had a large effect on the positive predictive value of the Cepheid Xpert MTB/RIF assay, given that true RIF resistance is also rare in this region (two false-positive results compared to only six true-positive results) (111). The results of this assay therefore have to be analyzed with caution, particularly since RIF resistance has been used as a surrogate for INH (and hence multidrug) resistance, despite not being always appropriate (147, 157). Another synonymous mutation in *rpoB* (D516D) has the same effect with the aforementioned assay by Nipro Corp. (108), and Q510Q causes false-positive results with the Cepheid Xpert MTB/RIF (168) and, presumably, the other three assays discussed

above. Similarly, the A90G mutation in the hypersensitive *gyrA* double mutant (T80A A90G), alluded to in the previous section, does give a false-positive resistance result for the second-line FQs if analyzed using the Hain GenoType MTBDRsl test (Table 1) (16, 23, 126). The probe design in the assay by Nipro Corp. should result in the same limitation, although this remains to be confirmed experimentally (12, 108). Notably, T80A is a marker for the *M. tuberculosis* Uganda genotype, which was formerly known as *M. africanum* subtype II (36, 39, 71, 138). Consequently, the limitation of these tests appears to be confined to some strains of this genotype, but the precise frequency of this double mutation both among Uganda strains and the wider MTBC diversity has to be determined further.

The genetic diversity has also confounded several recent studies seeking to investigate the molecular basis of drug resistance (90, 91, 128). For example, Wang et al. had initially proposed that the *Rv2629* D64A mutation might be the missing resistance mechanism in about 5% of RIF-resistant strains which lack resistance mutations in *rpoB* (96, 164). However, Chakravorty et al. found that the overexpression of *Rv2629* does not result in RIF resistance (29). In addition, phylogenetic analyses showed that the D64A mutation is not associated with RIF resistance but instead constitutes a marker for the Beijing lineage (29, 74, 97, 115). As a result, an assay using high-resolution melting analysis that relies on this change has been developed to allow for the rapid identification of Beijing strains (8). The usefulness of this assay has recently been called into question by a report that identifies this mutation in non-Beijing strains as well (174).

In a similar way, we showed that the T202A mutation in *thyA* (*Rv2764c*) is a marker for the Latin American Mediterranean (LAM) lineage of *M. tuberculosis* which includes the KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) strain family which is endemic in South Africa (54, 78). Yet, contrary to two prior studies (103, 134), rather than rendering this lineage intrinsically resistant against *para*-aminosalicylic acid (PAS), the mutation is just a phylogenetic polymorphism (54, 57).

## NEW STANDARDS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

**Data presentation.** Past studies have not always fully exploited the data they gathered on the genetic diversity within MTBC, given that their primary focus lay elsewhere. For instance, most clinical studies of TB focus on elucidating the basis of drug resistance. Although synonymous mutations could affect mRNA stability, no such mutation has ever been implicated in drug resistance in MTBC. Consequently, some studies have not reported or specified synonymous mutations at all or have listed them separately from the nonsynonymous mutations (25, 130, 131, 153). This precludes readers from determining whether the mutation in question is a secondary mutation, which frequently accompanies complete loss-of-function mutations in nonessential genes. The same dilemma arises with studies that focus exclusively on synonymous mutations for phylogenetic purposes (18). Crucially, the way in which data are presented means that, where multiple genes are involved in resistance to the same antibiotic, it is not always clear which mutations occur together in the same strain. As a result, it cannot be determined whether an individual mutation is necessary and sufficient for resistance.

In order to use all of the above-mentioned information in the most effective way, we propose that future sequence-based studies should include all mutations detected in their supplementary data

or in GenBank, even if their focus is on only a subset of sequence changes. For studies of drug resistance mutations, the format of these supplements should be similar to that used by the Tuberculosis Drug Resistance Mutation Database (TBDRaMDB) (see below) (139).

**Data analysis.** The association between the LAM lineage, including the KZN strain family, and the *thyA* T202A mutation discussed earlier went unreported for methodological reasons. In late 2007, the Broad Institute sequenced the genomes of three KZN strains (KZN 4207, KZN 1435, and KZN 605), all of which contained the *thyA* T202A mutation (79, 86). However, mutations were called relative to F11, another LAM strain with the T202A mutation (complete *M. tuberculosis* F11 genome [GenBank accession no. CP000717.1]), and consequently, this mutation was filtered out. For the same reason, Ioerger et al. also failed to recognize the mutation in strains KZN 4207, KZN 2475, and KZN 506 (78). Only Das et al. (37), who analyzed the former trio of KZN strains independently, used H37Rv as a reference strain. Yet, they did not establish the link with PAS resistance in their discussion (37).

To be clear, the method used by the Broad Institute and Ioerger et al. was the most appropriate for their particular interest, namely, to quantify the differences between closely related strains. Indeed, the normalization of sequence data against a close relative allows for the discovery of changes in areas that are deleted in more distantly related strains. However, mutations should also be called relative to the genome of the *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv laboratory strain (34), thereby anchoring the sequence data in the larger body of MTBC experimental data (89). This issue of normalization also partially explains why two errors in *rpsL* (*Rv0682*) and *gidB* (*Rv3919c*), two streptomycin resistance-mediating genes, were not addressed until recently (28, 89).

**Data dissemination.** To date, several hundred papers have reported sequencing MTBC strains to determine the genetic basis of drug resistance. However, until recently, no centralized database existed to collect this information. The TBDRaMDB has been an important step toward addressing this shortcoming, although the stringent criteria for inclusion of papers have meant that many mutations remain to be added (139). Nonetheless, the strength of the database is that it does not merely house a list of mutations. Instead, it includes additional information such as which other mutations coincide with a particular mutation, the frequency of the mutation in question, and the geographic location of the study.

A second notable development that is of particular relevance to identify changes of phylogenetic relevance for intrinsic resistance is the continued development of the Broad TB database (TBDB) (63). In addition to offering a user-friendly browser to analyze several near-complete genomes, it houses the data of the *M. tuberculosis* Phylogeographic Diversity Sequencing Project. This project resequenced 31 strains selected in a systematic manner to cover the various lineages and species of MTBC (35, 36, 63, 71). Importantly, these data should provide a sound basis for future studies to avoid the branch collapse that affected some of the prior studies of MTBC diversity (6, 62, 115, 146). Indeed, this resource has already acted as a catalyst by identifying some phylogenetic markers within resistance genes (36). In addition, it highlighted the link between antibiotic resistance and antigenic variation. Specifically, the plethora of nonsense and frameshift mutations reported for *thyA* (*Rv1694*), which encodes a dual-function 2'-O-

methyltransferase and hemolysin (129), not only results in resistance to capreomycin and viomycin but also abolishes the translation of known epitopes in this protein (35, 80, 95, 104, 105, 123).

Yet, the effect on resistance of many more changes remains to be explored. Perhaps the most remarkable genetic difference to be investigated is the 350-kb duplication identified recently in some Beijing/W strains (44). This duplication encompasses almost 8% of all genes (*Rv3128c-Rv3427c*) and is potentially relevant for eight antibiotics. First, it was recently shown that promoter-up mutations of *whiB7* (*whmC*, *Rv3197A*), which encodes a transcriptional activator, result in cross-resistance to kanamycin and streptomycin (173). It seems plausible that a gene duplication might have a similar effect and lead to an increase in transcription. However, preliminary results indicated that the MICs for both drugs were not significantly different (44). Second, the overexpression of *mfpA* (*Rv3361c*) results in FQ resistance (53, 70). Again, preliminary results have fortunately ruled out this possibility for the Beijing strains with the duplication (44). The same applies to the duplication of the racemase *Alr* (*Rv3423c*), the target of cycloserine (17, 44). Third, MICs for isoxyl, an antibiotic which was used in the 1960s for the treatment of TB and has since then emerged as a potential candidate for the treatment of drug-resistant TB, might be affected due to the duplication of *DesA3* (*Rv3229c*), a stearoyl coenzyme A  $\Delta^9$ -desaturase required for oleic acid biosynthesis that is inhibited by this drug (46, 88, 116, 125, 163). Fourth and last, the effect of the duplication of *fbtA* (*Rv3261*) and *fbtB* (*Rv3262*) on susceptibility to the three nitroimidazoles (metronidazole, delamanid, and PA-824), which are currently in phase 2 and 3 clinical trials, has to be clarified (33, 65). Given that both genes are required in activation, rather than encoding the target, of both drugs, intrinsic resistance is not expected. Moreover, the duplication includes a number of additional genes which in different lineages are essential *in vitro* or are required for optimal growth during infection or survival within macrophages (93, 133, 140, 141). Consequently, these genes might not be good candidates for future antibiotics.

**Experimental design.** For practical reasons, new drugs are usually tested against a small number of strains during the pretrial phase (77). Similarly, clinical trials sample only a limited portion of the global MTBC diversity, thereby potentially missing intrinsic drug resistance (55). To address this possibility for BTZ043, a benzothiazinone (99, 154), a promising drug candidate, Pasca et al. (120) found that 240 clinical isolates from three hospitals in Europe and one in Russia were equally susceptible to the antibiotic. Although this study was a step in the right direction, it sampled only a part of the MTBC diversity. Similarly, the phase 2a trials of PA-824 and delamanid included only *M. tuberculosis* as the sole MTBC representative, and therefore, it remains unclear whether *M. canettii* can be treated with these antibiotics (40, 41).

To control for this factor in a systematic manner, well-characterized reference collections encompassing representatives of all major MTBC genotypes and species must be tested *in vitro* and *in vivo*, given that even the differences among the various stocks of the H37Rv laboratory strains can be significant (38, 89). The identity of the clinical isolates in these collections should be confirmed using multiple deletions or single-nucleotide polymorphisms rather than traditional techniques to avoid the pitfalls of homoplasy (1, 36, 52, 62, 84, 109, 135, 142). It was such an approach

that allowed us to raise the possibility of intrinsic drug resistance of *M. canettii* against PA-824 (55).

The same concerns apply to studies seeking to elucidate the resistance mechanism of current drugs. In this context, phenotypic susceptibility results must be analyzed with care, as these results can be flawed (14, 81, 121, 122, 156, 158). Particularly when genotypic and phenotypic results are inconsistent for PZA, the experiment should be repeated, with different techniques if possible, to avoid false associations (31, 106). The most prominent example is probably the T47A mutation in *pncA*, which was first reported for the aforementioned Beijing/W family in a large outbreak in New York City, New York, in the 1990s (19, 150) and leads to increased MICs close to the resistance breakpoint, resulting in poor reproducibility of susceptibility testing (45, 56, 82, 110, 149, 152, 167).

Furthermore, associations between mutations and drug resistance should also be confirmed by alternative techniques. These include the generation of isogenic mutants via allelic exchange (67, 137, 161), *in vitro* selection experiments (127), crystal structures (124) and, where possible, the direct measurement of the activity of the enzyme in question (16, 94, 118, 126). Moreover, association studies of mutations with the treatment outcome of patients are required (169).

In practice, the strains available from TDR Tuberculosis Strain Bank and the genomes from TBDB should provide a valuable resource to control for intrinsic drug resistance (50, 63, 64, 162). However, the discovery of a major duplication in some Beijing strains highlights the importance of establishing additional collections, especially with strains from countries such as India that are highly diverse but have been given less attention than other lineages (30, 44). The same limitations apply to our understanding of the population structure of *M. africanum* WA1 (WA1 stands for West African 1) strains. RD711 has been regarded traditionally as a marker for this lineage (62), as reaffirmed recently in a review by de Jong et al. (39). However, five *M. africanum* WA1 strains that lack this deletion have been described in a different collection (76, 159). Consequently, RD711 appears merely to define a sublineage of *M. africanum* WA1, rather than the lineage as a whole, possibly leading to an underestimate of the prevalence of *M. africanum* WA1 (76, 159). Moreover, a further subclassification of this phylogenetic lineage into WA1a and WA1b is warranted but is not reflected by those strains sequenced so far for TBDB (55, 63).

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The shortcomings of some past studies should act as cautionary tales to ensure that in the future, sequence data are used to its fullest extent. This is especially important given the increasing impact of whole-genome sequencing on the study of TB (115). The MTBC population structure should be assessed rigorously when evaluating new antibiotics and diagnostic methods to control for the possibility of intrinsic drug resistance and false-positive resistance results. Ideally, this should occur before as well as throughout clinical trials (113). Last, it is imperative that researchers are committed to the dissemination of their results via the available databases and structure their data accordingly. Similarly, drug companies should make their antibiotics available for testing by researchers.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank F. Drobniewski and T. Kirikae for helpful discussions relating to this topic. C. U. Köser was a recipient of a Gates Cambridge Scholarship and received additional funding from the Cambridge Philosophical Society, the Cambridge European Trust, and Clare Hall, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

We have no conflict of interests to declare.

## REFERENCES

- Abadia E, et al. 2010. Resolving lineage assignment on *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates classified by spoligotyping with a new high-throughput 3R SNPs based method. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 10:1066–1074.
- Achtman M. 2008. Evolution, population structure, and phylogeography of genetically monomorphic bacterial pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 62:53–70.
- Achtman M. 2012. Insights from genomic comparisons of genetically monomorphic bacterial pathogens. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 367:860–867.
- Akpaka PE, Baboolal S, Clarke D, Francis L, Rastogi N. 2008. Evaluation of methods for rapid detection of resistance to isoniazid and rifampin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates collected in the Caribbean. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46:3426–3428.
- Alexander KA, et al. 2010. Novel *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex pathogen, *M. mungi*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 16:1296–1299.
- Alland D, et al. 2003. Modeling bacterial evolution with comparative-genome-based marker systems: application to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* evolution and pathogenesis. *J. Bacteriol.* 185:3392–3399.
- Allix-Béguec C, et al. 2010. Importance of identifying *Mycobacterium bovis* as a causative agent of human tuberculosis. *Eur. Respir. J.* 35:692–694.
- Alonso M, et al. 2011. A novel method for the rapid and prospective identification of Beijing *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains by high-resolution melting analysis. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 17:349–357.
- Alonso M, et al. 2011. Isolation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains with a silent mutation in *rpoB* leading to potential misassignment of resistance category. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49:2688–2690.
- Andini N, Nash KA. 2006. Intrinsic macrolide resistance of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex is inducible. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50:2560–2562.
- Ando H, et al. 2010. Identification of *katG* mutations associated with high-level isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:1793–1799.
- Ando H, et al. 2011. Evaluation of a line probe assay for the rapid detection of *gyrA* mutations associated with fluoroquinolone resistance in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 60:184–188.
- Ando H, et al. 2010. Pyrazinamide resistance in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates in Japan. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 16:1164–1168.
- Ångeby K, Juréen P, Kahlmeter G, Hoffner SE, Schön T. 2012. Challenging a dogma: antimicrobial susceptibility testing breakpoints for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 90:693–698.
- Arend SM, van Soolingen D. 2011. Low level INH-resistant BCG: a sheep in wolf's clothing? *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 52:89–93.
- Aubry A, et al. 2006. Novel gyrase mutations in quinolone-resistant and -hypersusceptible clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: functional analysis of mutant enzymes. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 50:104–112.
- Awasthy D, Bharath S, Subbulakshmi V, Sharma U. 2012. Alanine racemase mutants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* require D-alanine for growth and are defective for survival in macrophages and mice. *Microbiology* 158:319–327.
- Baker L, Brown T, Maiden MC, Drobniewski F. 2004. Silent nucleotide polymorphisms and a phylogeny for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10:1568–1577.
- Bifani PJ, et al. 1996. Origin and interstate spread of a New York City multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clone family. *JAMA* 275:452–457.
- Reference deleted.
- Borrell S, Gagneux S. 2011. Strain diversity, epistasis and the evolution of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 17:815–820.
- Brisse S, Supply P, Brosch R, Vincent V, Gutierrez MC. 2006. “A re-evaluation of *M. prototuberculosis*”: continuing the debate. *PLoS Pathog.* 2:e95. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020095.
- Brossier F, Veziris N, Aubry A, Jarlier V, Sougakoff W. 2010. Detection by GenoType MTBDRsl test of complex mechanisms of resistance to second-line drugs and ethambutol in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:1683–1689.
- Brown TJ, Herrera-Leon L, Anthony RM, Drobniewski FA. 2006. The use of macroarrays for the identification of MDR *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 65:294–300.
- Brzostek A, et al. 2004. Molecular characterisation of streptomycin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains isolated in Poland. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 8:1032–1035.
- Buriánková K, et al. 2004. Molecular basis of intrinsic macrolide resistance in the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 48:143–150.
- Caminero JA, Sotgiu G, Zumla A, Migliori GB. 2010. Best drug treatment for multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 10:621–629.
- Casali N, et al. 2012. Microevolution of extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in Russia. *Genome Res.* 22:735–745.
- Chakravorty S, et al. 2008. Rifampin resistance, Beijing-W clade—single nucleotide polymorphism cluster group 2 phylogeny, and the Rv2629 191-C allele in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46:2555–2560.
- Chatterjee A, et al. 2010. Strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from western Maharashtra, India, exhibit a high degree of diversity and strain-specific associations with drug resistance, cavitory disease, and treatment failure. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:3593–3599.
- Chedore P, Bertucci L, Wolfe J, Sharma M, Jamieson F. 2010. Potential for erroneous results indicating resistance when using the Bactec MGIT 960 system for testing susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to pyrazinamide. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:300–301.
- Chen JM, Uplekar S, Gordon SV, Cole ST. 2012. A point mutation in *cycA* partially contributes to the D-cycloserine resistance trait of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccine strains. *PLoS One* 7:e43467. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043467.
- Choi KP, Bair TB, Bae YM, Daniels L. 2001. Use of transposon Tn5367 mutagenesis and a nitroimidazopyran-based selection system to demonstrate a requirement for *fbtA* and *fbtB* in coenzyme F(420) biosynthesis by *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG. *J. Bacteriol.* 183:7058–7066.
- Cole ST, et al. 1998. Deciphering the biology of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from the complete genome sequence. *Nature* 393:537–544.
- Comas I, et al. 2010. Human T cell epitopes of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are evolutionarily hyperconserved. *Nat. Genet.* 42:498–503.
- Comas I, Homolka S, Niemann S, Gagneux S. 2009. Genotyping of genetically monomorphic bacteria: DNA sequencing in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* highlights the limitations of current methodologies. *PLoS One* 4:e7815. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007815.
- Das S, Yennamalli RM, Vishnoi A, Gupta P, Bhattacharya A. 2009. Single-nucleotide variations associated with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* KwaZulu-Natal strains. *J. Biosci.* 34:397–404.
- De Groote MA, et al. 2012. Importance of confirming data on the *in vivo* efficacy of novel antibacterial drug regimens against various strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:731–738.
- de Jong BC, Antonio M, Gagneux S. 2010. *Mycobacterium africanum*—review of an important cause of human tuberculosis in West Africa. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 4:e744. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000744.
- Diacon AH, et al. 2011. Early bactericidal activity of delamanid (OPC-67683) in smear-positive pulmonary tuberculosis patients. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 15:949–954.
- Diacon AH, et al. 2012. 14-day bactericidal activity of PA-824, bedaquiline, pyrazinamide, and moxifloxacin combinations: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 380:986–993.
- Djelouadji Z, Raoult D, Daffé M, Drancourt M. 2008. A single-step sequencing method for the identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex species. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2:e253. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000253.
- Djelouadji Z, Raoult D, Drancourt M. 2011. Palaeogenomics of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex.

- bacterium tuberculosis*: epidemic bursts with a degrading genome. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 11:641–650.
44. Domenech P, Kolly GS, Leon-Solis L, Fallow A, Reed MB. 2010. Massive gene duplication event among clinical isolates of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* W/Beijing family. *J. Bacteriol.* 192:4562–4570.
  45. Dormandy J, et al. 2007. Discrepant results between pyrazinamide susceptibility testing by the reference BACTEC 460TB method and *pncA* DNA sequencing in patients infected with multidrug-resistant W-Beijing *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains. *Chest* 131:497–501.
  46. Dover LG, et al. 2007. EthA, a common activator of thiocarbamide-containing drugs acting on different mycobacterial targets. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51:1055–1063.
  47. Durek C, Rüsçh-Gerdes S, Jocham D, Böhle A. 1999. Interference of modern antibacterials with bacillus Calmette-Guerin viability. *J. Urol.* 162:1959–1962.
  48. Durek C, Rüsçh-Gerdes S, Jocham D, Böhle A. 2000. Sensitivity of BCG to modern antibiotics. *Eur. Urol.* 37(Suppl 1):21–25.
  49. Fabre M, et al. 2010. Molecular characteristics of “*Mycobacterium canettii*” the smooth *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* bacilli. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 10:1165–1173.
  50. Fears R, Kaufmann S, Ter Meulen V, Zumla A, EASAC Working Group. 2010. Drug-resistant tuberculosis in the European Union: opportunities and challenges for control. *Tuberculosis (Edinb.)* 90:182–187.
  51. Fenner L, et al. 2012. Effect of mutation and genetic background on drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:3047–3053.
  52. Fenner L, et al. 2011. “Pseudo-Beijing”: evidence for convergent evolution in the direct repeat region of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS One* 6:e24737. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024737.
  53. Ferber D. 2005. Protein that mimics DNA helps tuberculosis bacteria resist antibiotics. *Science* 308:1393.
  54. Feuerriegel S, et al. 2010. Thr202Ala in *thyA* is a marker for the Latin American Mediterranean lineage of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex rather than *para*-aminosalicylic acid resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:4794–4798.
  55. Feuerriegel S, et al. 2011. Impact of *fgd1* and *ddn* diversity in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex on *in vitro* susceptibility to PA-824. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55:5718–5722.
  56. Feuerriegel S, et al. 2012. Sequence analysis for detection of first-line drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains from a high-incidence setting. *BMC Microbiol.* 12:90. doi:10.1186/1471-2180-12-90.
  57. Fivian-Hughes AS, Houghton J, Davis EO. 2012. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* thymidylate synthase gene *thyX* is essential and potentially bifunctional, while *thyA* deletion confers resistance to *p*-aminosalicylic acid. *Microbiology* 158:308–318.
  58. Ford C, et al. 2012. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* - heterogeneity revealed through whole genome sequencing. *Tuberculosis* 92:194–201.
  59. Forgacs P, et al. 2009. Tuberculosis and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:4789–4793.
  60. Gagneux S. 2012. Host-pathogen coevolution in human tuberculosis. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 367:850–859.
  61. Gagneux S, et al. 2006. Impact of bacterial genetics on the transmission of isoniazid-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2:e61. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020061.
  62. Gagneux S, Small PM. 2007. Global phylogeography of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and implications for tuberculosis product development. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 7:328–337.
  63. Galagan JE, et al. 2010. TB database 2010: overview and update. *Tuberculosis (Edinb.)* 90:225–235.
  64. Gessler D, et al. 2006. Public health. A National Tuberculosis Archive. *Science* 311:1245–1246.
  65. Ginsberg AM. 2010. Drugs in development for tuberculosis. *Drugs* 70:2201–2214.
  66. Goh KS, Legrand E, Sola C, Rastogi N. 2001. Rapid differentiation of “*Mycobacterium canettii*” from other *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex organisms by PCR-restriction analysis of the *hsp65* gene. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39:3705–3708.
  67. Goude R, Amin AG, Chatterjee D, Parish T. 2009. The arabinosyltransferase EmbC is inhibited by ethambutol in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:4138–4146.
  68. Grange JM, et al. 1977. Comparison of strains of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from British, Ugandan and Asian immigrant patients: a study in bacteriophage typing, susceptibility to hydrogen peroxide and sensitivity to thiophen-2-carbonic acid hydrazide. *Tubercle* 58:207–215.
  69. Gutierrez MC, et al. 2005. Ancient origin and gene mosaicism of the progenitor of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS Pathog.* 1:e5. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0010005.
  70. Hegde SS, et al. 2005. A fluoroquinolone resistance protein from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that mimics DNA. *Science* 308:1480–1483.
  71. Hershberg R, et al. 2008. High functional diversity in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* driven by genetic drift and human demography. *PLoS Biol.* 6:e311. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060311.
  72. Hillemann D, Rüsçh-Gerdes S, Richter E. 2007. Evaluation of the GenoType MTBDRplus assay for rifampin and isoniazid susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains and clinical specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 45:2635–2640.
  73. Hillemann D, Rüsçh-Gerdes S, Richter E. 2009. Feasibility of the GenoType MTBDRsl assay for fluoroquinolone, amikacin-capreomycin, and ethambutol resistance testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains and clinical specimens. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47:1767–1772.
  74. Homolka S, Köser C, Archer J, Rüsçh-Gerdes S, Niemann S. 2009. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms in Rv2629 are specific for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genotypes Beijing and Ghana but not associated with rifampin resistance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47:223–226.
  75. Homolka S, et al. 2010. Unequal distribution of resistance-conferring mutations among *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium africanum* strains from Ghana. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 300:489–495.
  76. Huard RC, et al. 2006. Novel genetic polymorphisms that further delineate the phylogeny of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *J. Bacteriol.* 188:4271–4287.
  77. Hurdle JG, et al. 2008. A microbiological assessment of novel nitrofuranyl-amides as anti-tuberculosis agents. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 62:1037–1045.
  78. Ioerger TR, et al. 2009. Genome analysis of multi- and extensively-drug-resistant tuberculosis from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *PLoS One* 4:e7778. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007778.
  79. Jassal M, Bishai WR. 2009. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 9:19–30.
  80. Johansen SK, Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Douthwaite S. 2006. Capreomycin binds across the ribosomal subunit interface using *tlyA*-encoded 2'-O-methylations in 16S and 23S rRNAs. *Mol. Cell* 23:173–182.
  81. Johnson R, et al. 2006. Ethambutol resistance testing by mutation detection. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 10:68–73.
  82. Juréen P, Werngren J, Toro JC, Hoffner S. 2008. Pyrazinamide resistance and *pncA* gene mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 52:1852–1854.
  83. Kim BJ, et al. 1997. Mutations in the *rpoB* gene of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* that interfere with PCR-single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis for rifampin susceptibility testing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35:492–494.
  84. Kim EY, Nahid P, Hopewell PC, Kato-Maeda M. 2010. Novel hot spot of IS6110 insertion in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:1422–1424.
  85. Koeck JL, et al. 2011. Clinical characteristics of the smooth tubercle bacilli ‘*Mycobacterium canettii*’ infection suggest the existence of an environmental reservoir. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 17:1013–1019.
  86. Koenig R. 2007. Tuberculosis. Few mutations divide some drug-resistant TB strains. *Science* 318:901–902.
  87. Kolibab K, Derrick SC, Morris SL. 2011. Sensitivity to isoniazid of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG strains and BCG disseminated disease isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49:2380–2381.
  88. Korduláková J, et al. 2007. Isoxyl activation is required for bacteriostatic activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51:3824–3829.
  89. Köser CU, Niemann S, Summers DK, Archer JA. 2012. Overview of errors in the reference sequence and annotation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* H37Rv, and variation amongst its isolates. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 12:807–810.
  90. Köser CU, Summers DK, Archer JA. 2011. Comment on: Isoniazid and rifampicin resistance-associated mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from Yangon, Myanmar: implications for rapid molecular testing. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 66:686–687.
  91. Köser CU, Summers DK, Archer JA. 2010. Role of the dihydrofolate reductase DfrA (Rv2763c) in trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (co-

- trimoxazole) resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:4951–4952. (Reply, 54:4952.)
92. Köser CU, Veerapen-Pierce RN, Summers DK, Archer JA. 2010. Role of mutations in dihydrofolate reductase DfrA (Rv2763c) and thymidylate synthase ThyA (Rv2764c) in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* drug resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:4522–4523. (Reply, 54:4523–4525.)
  93. Lamichhane G, et al. 2003. A postgenomic method for predicting essential genes at subsaturation levels of mutagenesis: application to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100:7213–7218.
  94. Lau RW, et al. 2011. Molecular characterization of fluoroquinolone resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: functional analysis of *gyrA* mutation at position 74. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55:608–614.
  95. Leung KL, et al. 2010. Usefulness of resistant gene markers for predicting treatment outcome on second-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 109:2087–2094.
  96. Louw GE, et al. 2009. A balancing act: efflux/influx in mycobacterial drug resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:3181–3189.
  97. Louw GE, Warren RM, van Helden PD, Victor TC. 2009. Rv2629 191A/C nucleotide change is not associated with rifampicin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* 47:500–501.
  98. Luo T, et al. 2010. Selection of mutations to detect multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in Shanghai, China. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:1075–1081.
  99. Makarov V, et al. 2009. Benzothiazinones kill *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by blocking arabinan synthesis. *Science* 324:801–804.
  100. Malhotra P, Farber BF. 2011. Isoniazid resistance among *Bacillus Calmette Guerin* strains: implications on bladder cancer immunotherapy related infections. *Can. J. Urol.* 18:5671–5675.
  101. Malik S, Willby M, Sikes D, Tsodikov OV, Posey JE. 2012. New insights into fluoroquinolone resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: functional genetic analysis of *gyrA* and *gyrB* mutations. *PLoS One* 7:e39754. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039754.
  102. Mathema B, Kurepina NE, Bifani PJ, Kreiswirth BN. 2006. Molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis: current insights. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 19:658–685.
  103. Mathys V, et al. 2009. Molecular genetics of *para*-aminosalicylic acid resistance in clinical isolates and spontaneous mutants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:2100–2109.
  104. Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Shinnick TM. 2005. Molecular analysis of cross-resistance to capreomycin, kanamycin, amikacin, and viomycin in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49:3192–3197.
  105. Maus CE, Plikaytis BB, Shinnick TM. 2005. Mutation of *tlyA* confers capreomycin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49:571–577.
  106. McCammon MT, et al. 2005. Detection by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of *pncA* mutations associated with pyrazinamide resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from the United States-Mexico border region. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49:2210–2217.
  107. Michel AL, Müller B, van Helden PD. 2010. *Mycobacterium bovis* at the animal-human interface: a problem, or not? *Vet. Microbiol.* 140:371–381.
  108. Mitarai S, et al. 2012. Comprehensive multicenter evaluation of a new line probe assay kit for identification of *Mycobacterium* species and detection of drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50:884–890.
  109. Mokrousov I, et al. 2010. *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG-Russia clinical isolate with noncanonical spoligotyping profile. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 48:4686–4687.
  110. Morlock GP, et al. 2000. Phenotypic characterization of *pncA* mutants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44:2291–2295.
  111. Moure R, Martín R, Alcaide F. 2011. Silent mutation in *rpoB* detected from clinical samples with rifampin-susceptible *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49:3722.
  112. Müller B, et al. 2011. *inhA* promoter mutations: a gateway to extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in South Africa? *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 15:344–351.
  113. Nahid P, et al. 2010. Influence of *M. tuberculosis* lineage variability within a clinical trial for pulmonary tuberculosis. *PLoS One* 5:e10753. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010753.
  114. Namouchi A, Didelot X, Schock U, Gicquel B, Rocha EP. 2012. After the bottleneck: genome-wide diversification of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex by mutation, recombination, and natural selection. *Genome Res.* 22:721–734.
  115. Niemann S, et al. 2009. Genomic diversity among drug sensitive and multidrug resistant isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with identical DNA fingerprints. *PLoS One* 4:e7407. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0007407.
  116. Nishida CR, Ortiz de Montellano PR. 2011. Bioactivation of antituberculosis thioamide and thiourea prodrugs by bacterial and mammalian flavin monooxygenases. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 192:21–25.
  117. Ong W, Sievers A, Leslie DE. 2010. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and sulfamethoxazole susceptibility. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:2748. (Reply, 54:2748–2749.)
  118. Pantel A, et al. 2011. DNA gyrase inhibition assays are necessary to demonstrate fluoroquinolone resistance secondary to *gyrB* mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55:4524–4529.
  119. Parrish N, Carrol K. 2008. Importance of improved TB diagnostics in addressing the extensively drug-resistant TB crisis. *Future Microbiol.* 3:405–413.
  120. Pasca MR, et al. 2010. Clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in four European hospitals are uniformly susceptible to benzothiazinones. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 54:1616–1618.
  121. Pasipanodya J, Srivastava S, Gumbo T. 2012. New susceptibility breakpoints and the regional variability of MIC distribution in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:5428.
  122. Pasipanodya JG, Srivastava S, Gumbo T. 2012. Scientific and patient care evidence to change susceptibility breakpoints for first-line anti-tuberculosis drugs. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:706–707.
  123. Perdigão J, et al. 2010. Genetic analysis of extensively drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains in Lisbon, Portugal. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:224–227.
  124. Petrella S, et al. 2011. Crystal structure of the pyrazinamidase of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: insights into natural and acquired resistance to pyrazinamide. *PLoS One* 6:e15785.
  125. Phetsuksiri B, et al. 2003. Unique mechanism of action of the thiourea drug isoxyl on *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:53123–53130.
  126. Piton J, et al. 2010. Structural insights into the quinolone resistance mechanism of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA gyrase. *PLoS One* 5:e12245. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012245.
  127. Plinke C, et al. 2010. *embCAB* sequence variation among ethambutol-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates without *embB306* mutation. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:1359–1367.
  128. Projahn M, et al. 2011. Polymorphisms in isoniazid and prothionamide resistance genes of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 55:4408–4411.
  129. Rahman A, Srivastava SS, Sneha A, Ahmed N, Krishnasastri MV. 2010. Molecular characterization of *tlyA* gene product, Rv1694 of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a non-conventional hemolysin and a ribosomal RNA methyl transferase. *BMC Biochem.* 11:35. doi:10.1186/1471-2091-11-35.
  130. Ramaswamy SV, et al. 2000. Molecular genetic analysis of nucleotide polymorphisms associated with ethambutol resistance in human isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 44:326–336.
  131. Ramaswamy SV, et al. 2003. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes associated with isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47:1241–1250.
  132. Reddington K, et al. 2011. Novel multiplex real-time PCR diagnostic assay for identification and differentiation of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Mycobacterium canettii*, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49:651–657.
  133. Rengarajan J, Bloom BR, Rubin EJ. 2005. Genome-wide requirements for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* adaptation and survival in macrophages. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102:8327–8332.
  134. Rengarajan J, et al. 2004. The folate pathway is a target for resistance to the drug *para*-aminosalicylic acid (PAS) in mycobacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* 53:275–282.
  135. Reyes JF, Chan CH, Tanaka MM. 2012. Impact of homoplasy on variable numbers of tandem repeats and spoligotypes in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 12:811–818.
  136. Ritz N, et al. 2009. Susceptibility of *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccine

- strains to antituberculous antibiotics. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:316–318.
137. Safi H, Sayers B, Hazbon MH, Alland D. 2008. Transfer of *embB* codon 306 mutations into clinical *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains alters susceptibility to ethambutol, isoniazid, and rifampin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 52:2027–2034.
  138. Sandegren L, et al. 2011. Genomic stability over 9 years of an isoniazid resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* outbreak strain in Sweden. *PLoS One* 6:e16647. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016647.
  139. Sandgren A, et al. 2009. Tuberculosis drug resistance mutation database. *PLoS Med.* 6:e2. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000002.
  140. Sassetti CM, Boyd DH, Rubin EJ. 2003. Genes required for mycobacterial growth defined by high density mutagenesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 48:77–84.
  141. Sassetti CM, Rubin EJ. 2003. Genetic requirements for mycobacterial survival during infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100:12989–12994.
  142. Schürch AC, et al. 2011. Preferential deletion events in the direct repeat locus of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49:1318–1322.
  143. Schürch AC, van Soolingen D. 2012. DNA fingerprinting of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: from phage typing to whole-genome sequencing. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 12:602–609.
  144. Sekiguchi J, et al. 2007. Development and evaluation of a line probe assay for rapid identification of *pncA* mutations in pyrazinamide-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 45:2802–2807.
  145. Smith NH. 2006. A re-evaluation of *M. prototuberculosis*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2:e98. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020098.
  146. Smith NH, Hewinson RG, Kremer K, Brosch R, Gordon SV. 2009. Myths and misconceptions: the origin and evolution of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7:537–544.
  147. Smith SE, Kurbatova EV, Cavanaugh JS, Cegielski JP. 2012. Global isoniazid resistance patterns in rifampin-resistant and rifampin-susceptible tuberculosis. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:203–205.
  148. Somoskovi A, et al. 2009. “*Mycobacterium canettii*” isolated from a human immunodeficiency virus-positive patient: first case recognized in the United States. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47:255–257.
  149. Somoskovi A, et al. 2007. Sequencing of the *pncA* gene in members of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex has important diagnostic applications: identification of a species-specific *pncA* mutation in “*Mycobacterium canettii*” and the reliable and rapid predictor of pyrazinamide resistance. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 45:595–599.
  150. Sreevatsan S, Pan X, Zhang Y, Kreiswirth BN, Musser JM. 1997. Mutations associated with pyrazinamide resistance in *pncA* of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex organisms. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 41:636–640.
  151. Stavrum R, Myneedu VP, Arora VK, Ahmed N, Grewal HM. 2009. In-depth molecular characterization of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from New Delhi—predominance of drug resistant isolates of the ‘modern’ (TbD1) type. *PLoS One* 4:e4540. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004540.
  152. Stoffels K, Mathys V, Fauville-Dufaux M, Wintjens R, Bifani P. 2012. Systematic analysis of pyrazinamide-resistant spontaneous mutants and clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:5186–5193.
  153. Syre H, Øvreås K, Grewal HM. 2010. Determination of the susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to pyrazinamide in liquid and solid media assessed by a colorimetric nitrate reductase assay. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 65:704–712.
  154. Trefzer C, et al. 2010. Benzothiazinones: prodrugs that covalently modify the decaprenylphosphoryl- $\beta$ -D-ribose 2'-epimerase DprE1 of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132:13663–13665.
  155. Van Der Zanden AG, Te Koppele-Vije EM, Vijaya Bhanu N, Van Soolingen D, Schouls LM. 2003. Use of DNA extracts from Ziehl-Neelsen-stained slides for molecular detection of rifampin resistance and spoligotyping of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41:1101–1108.
  156. Van Deun A, et al. 2009. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains with highly discordant rifampin susceptibility test results. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 47:3501–3506.
  157. Van Rie A, et al. 2012. False-positive rifampicin resistance on Xpert® MTB/RIF: case report and clinical implications. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:206–208.
  158. Van Rie A, et al. 2001. Analysis for a limited number of gene codons can predict drug resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a high-incidence community. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39:636–641.
  159. Vasconcelos SE, et al. 2010. Distinct genotypic profiles of the two major clades of *Mycobacterium africanum*. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 10:80. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-10-80.
  160. Vilchèze C, Jacobs WR, Jr. 2012. The combination of sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, and isoniazid or eifampin is bactericidal and prevents the emergence of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:5142–5148.
  161. Vilchèze C, et al. 2006. Transfer of a point mutation in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis inhA* resolves the target of isoniazid. *Nat. Med.* 12:1027–1029.
  162. Vincent V, et al. 2012. The TDR Tuberculosis Strain Bank: a resource for basic science, tool development and diagnostic services. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:24–31.
  163. Wang C, Hickey AJ. 2010. Isoxyl aerosols for tuberculosis treatment: preparation and characterization of particles. *AAPS PharmSciTech* 11:538–549.
  164. Wang Q, et al. 2007. A newly identified 191A/C mutation in the *Rv2629* gene that was significantly associated with rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J. Proteome Res.* 6:4564–4571.
  165. Warren RM, et al. 2009. The clinical relevance of mycobacterial pharmacogenetics. *Tuberculosis (Edinb.)* 89:199–202.
  166. Watts MR, et al. 2011. Implications of isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacillus Calmette-Guérin used for immunotherapy in bladder cancer. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 52:86–88.
  167. Werngren J, et al. 2012. Reevaluation of the critical concentration for drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* against pyrazinamide using wild-type MIC distributions and *pncA* gene sequencing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56:1253–1257.
  168. Williamson DA, et al. 2012. An evaluation of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay and detection of false-positive rifampicin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 74:207–209.
  169. Williamson DA, et al. 2012. Clinical failures associated with *rpoB* mutations in phenotypically occult multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:216–220.
  170. Yates MD, Collins CH, Grange JM. 1982. “Classical” and “Asian” variants of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated in South East England 1977–1980. *Tubercle* 63:55–61.
  171. Yee DP, Menzies D, Brassard P. 2012. Clinical outcomes of pyrazinamide-mono-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in Quebec. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* 16:604–609.
  172. Young LS. 2009. Reconsidering some approved antimicrobial agents for tuberculosis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53:4577–4579.
  173. Zaunbrecher A, Campbell P, Sultana R, Murray M, Posey J. 2010. Mutations in a transcriptional activator of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lead to cross resistance of kanamycin and streptomycin, p 60. Abstr. 31st Annu. Cong. Eur. Soc. Mycobacteriol., 4 to 7 July 2010, Bled, Slovenia.
  174. Zhang JX, et al. 2010. Association among the *Rv2629* gene, *rpoB* gene, RFP resistance and Beijing genotype in *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 4:1575–1580.