RESEARCH ARTICLE

Trifunctional soluble redox mediator enabled high-capacity and stable Li-O₂ batteries

Qi Xiong,^{a,b,†} Gang Huang,^{d,†} Xin-Bo Zhang^{a,c,*}

- [a] Q. Xiong^[†], Prof. X.-B. Zhang
State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130022, P. R. China
E-mail: xbzhang@ciac.ac.cn
- [b] Q. Xiong^[†]
Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials, Ministry of Education, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Jilin University, Changchun, 130022, P. R. China
- [c] Prof. X.-B. Zhang
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, P. R. China
- [d] Dr. G. Huang^[†]
Physical Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
- [†] These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract: Li-O₂ batteries with ultrahigh theoretical energy densities usually suffer from low practical discharge capacities and inferior cycling stability owing to the cathode passivation caused by insulating discharge products and by-products. Here, we firstly introduce a new kind of trifunctional ether-based redox mediator, 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (DBDMB), into the electrolyte to capture the reactive O₂^{•−} and alleviate the rigorous oxidative environment during cycling of Li-O₂ batteries. Thanks to the strong solvation effect of DBDMB towards Li⁺ and O₂^{•−}, it can not only reduce the formation of by-products (a high Li₂O₂ yield of 96.6%), but also promote the solution growth of large-sized Li₂O₂ particles, avoiding the passivation of cathode as well as enabling a large discharge capacity. More encouragingly, DBDMB makes the oxidation of Li₂O₂ and the decomposition of main by-products (Li₂CO₃ and LiOH) proceed in a highly effective manner, prolonging the stability of Li-O₂ batteries (243 cycles at 1000 mAh g^{−1} and 1000 mA g^{−1}). DFT calculations also unravel the intrinsic functional mechanism of DBDMB for facilitating the reversible O₂ involved redox reactions. Our strategy of using trifunctional electrolyte additive to capture reactive discharge intermediates with reduced formation of by-products, regulate solution growth of Li₂O₂, and co-oxidize Li₂O₂ and by-products, will open up a new avenue to promote the performance of Li-air batteries.

Introduction

Rechargeable aprotic Li-O₂ batteries with ultrahigh theoretical specific energy densities (3460 Wh/kg), which far exceed those of Li-ion batteries,^[1] have aroused worldwide attention.^[2] The typical electrochemistry of Li-O₂ batteries is based on the reaction between lithium metal and O₂ with the formation and decomposition of Li₂O₂ during the discharge and charge processes. There are two pathways for the growth of Li₂O₂ from intermediate O₂^{•−} having been proposed: surface mechanism and solution mechanism.^[3] Growth of Li₂O₂, a wide band-gap insulator,^[4] on the gas diffusion cathode by surface mechanism will passivate the cathode, thus leads to a low discharge capacity and early death of batteries.^[3a] In contrast, the growth of Li₂O₂ by solution mechanism will obtain large-sized Li₂O₂ toroids with a

higher discharge capacity.^[3a] Therefore, some solutions have been proposed to promote the Li-O₂ batteries discharge in the solution-mediated pathway, so as to unlock their ultrahigh energy densities. Among them, electrolytes with high donor number (DN) or acceptor number (AN), which can increase the solubility of Li⁺ or O₂^{•−}, prefer solution mechanism.^[3a, 5] Protic additives, such as water and phenol, can also trigger solution-mediated pathway by forming hydroperoxide.^[3a, 6] For O₂ reduction redox mediators (RMs), they can catalyze O₂ reduction and increase the concentration of O₂^{•−} in solution, resulting in remarkable improvement of discharge capacity.^[7] Although the solution mechanism can enlarge specific capacities, the complete decomposition of large-sized Li₂O₂ is really a tough task, due to the fact that the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) takes place at the inner interface of Li₂O₂ and cathode,^[8] making the Li₂O₂ far away from cathode leave over.

Recently, OER RMs, a kind of liquid catalysts for facilitating homogenous catalysis, have been developed.^[9] Unlike the directly electrochemical oxidation of Li₂O₂ by solid catalyst with charge transfer limitations, RM is firstly oxidized to its oxidation state (RM⁺) at the cathode, then, RM⁺ diffuses to the vicinity of Li₂O₂ particles to chemically oxidative decomposition of Li₂O₂ with the simultaneous recoverment of RM⁺ to its reduced form. This chemical oxidation of Li₂O₂ with the help of RM can enlarge the reaction region for Li₂O₂ decomposition and avoid the formation of reactive defective intermediate, Li₂xO₂, etc, as a result, improving the charge efficiency and reducing side reactions to a much low degree.^[9g, 10]

However, the significance of RMs for promoting the decomposition of Li₂CO₃ and LiOH, main by-products in the operation of Li-O₂ batteries, has long been ignored. Despite glyme-based electrolytes are relatively more stable than other electrolytes,^[2b, 11] they are still susceptible to nucleophilic attack by reduced oxygen species, O₂^{•−},^[12] Li₂O₂.^[13] etc., generating Li₂CO₃ and LiOH and resulting in low Li₂O₂ yield of about 80%.^[14] Besides electrolytes, the usually adopted carbon-based cathodes are also vulnerable to reduced oxygen species, especially O₂^{•−}, easily leading to the generation of a monolayer of Li₂CO₃ at the interface of carbon-Li₂O₂.^[13b, 15] Since the intrinsic obstacles for oxidization of the redox-inert anions, OH[−] and CO₃^{2−}, are large,

RESEARCH ARTICLE

the electrochemical decomposition of LiOH and Li_2CO_3 is highly energy consumption and much more difficult than that of Li_2O_2 .^[16] Thus, the presence of LiOH and Li_2CO_3 can severely reduce the transport current,^[13b, 16] resulting in a high charge voltage, which in turn, further exacerbates side reactions. Once the active sites are covered by the gradually accumulated LiOH and Li_2CO_3 , the catalytic sites of cathode diminishes and the mass and charge transfer will be further limit, and eventually leading to the early death of Li-O₂ batteries. Therefore, in order to prolong the cycling stability of Li-O₂ batteries, a RM that only active for Li_2O_2 cannot meet these requirements, and it goes without saying that how critical a soluble catalyst is for decomposition of these by-products. Since the functions of RMs are determined by their intrinsic redox potentials, the current RMs are only with single or dual function, either facilitate the solution discharge process or promote the charge process. There are still no RMs that possess three functions than can simultaneously capture reactive intermediates to reduce parasitic reactions, regulate solution growth of Li_2O_2 , and co-oxidize Li_2O_2 and by-products.

In this work, for the first time, we introduce a new ether class of RM, 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (DBDMB), that can behave as an effective trifunctional soluble catalyst for boosting the performance of Li-O₂ batteries. Systematic studies have proved that DBDMB can capture Li^+ and reactive O_2^- , enabling the solution growth of large toroidal Li_2O_2 particles and avoiding the serious parasitic reactions of O_2^- towards solvent and cathode, thus giving a large discharge capacity and a high Li_2O_2 yield of 96.6%, which much higher than the usually ~80% Li_2O_2 yields of normal batteries. Moreover, the intrinsic suitable redox potential (4.20 V determined by cyclic voltammograms) of DBDMB can not only oxidize Li_2O_2 at a relatively low voltage, but also efficiently remove the main by-products, Li_2CO_3 and LiOH.

DFT calculations confirm that the electron-rich nature of O atoms is the premise for DBDMB oxidation at a suitable potential, while the electronic delocalization of DBDMB and DBDMB⁺ by p- π conjugation is the guarantee for their reversible conversion. As a result, the incorporation of DBDMB into the electrolyte increases the discharge capacity as well as contributes to a long cycling stability of Li-O₂ batteries.

Results and Discussion

Redox Properties of DBDMB

The redox properties of DBDMB were determined by cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements in a three-electrode electrochemical cell with 1 M LiCF_3SO_3 and 5 mM DBDMB dissolved in tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether (TEGDME) as electrolyte. To exclude the disturb of oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), CVs were carried out under O₂ atmospheres in a narrow potential window of 3.8–4.5 V. As indicated in Figure 1a, DBDMB shows a redox potential of 4.20 V, which is higher than the theoretical redox potential of Li-O₂ batteries (2.96 V), so it can enable Li_2O_2 to be oxidized during the charge process. Moreover, in Figure 1b, the Randles-Sevcik plot shows a diffusion control kinetic and the diffusion coefficient of DBDMB is calculated to be $3.95 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Most importantly, in Figure 1c, the ratio of cathodic and anodic peak current ($i_{\text{pc}}/i_{\text{pa}}$), measured by linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and chronoamperometry (CA), is 0.99, indicating the highly reversible redox conversion of DBDMB/DBDMB⁺ in O₂ atmosphere, which can be further confirmed by the bare current decay in the long-term CV measurement (Figure S1).

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammograms of 5 mM DBDMB in 1 M LiCF_3SO_3 /TEGDME under O₂ atmosphere at (a) various scan rates and (b) corresponding Randles-Sevcik plot. (c) i_{pa} and i_{pc} values determined by current transients plot of LSV (100 mV s⁻¹) and CA technologies. (d) Cyclic voltammograms of electrolytes with/without 5 mM DBDMB under Ar or O₂ atmosphere at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹ in a wide potential window. (e) RRDE test of electrolytes with/without 5 mM DBDMB at scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ and ring potential of 3.5 V. (f) UV-Vis and (g) ¹H NMR spectra of DBDMB with/without O₂. (h) ⁷Li NMR spectra of electrolytes with different concentrations of DBDMB.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CV curves in an ORR involved potential window were also investigated (Figure 1d). The introduction of 5 mM DBDMB into the electrolyte obviously increases the current for ORR and facilitate the process of OER, which may be attributed to the DBDMB induced solution-mediated discharge mechanism and product decomposition. To verify the discharge process follow the solution mechanism, rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) tests were carried out. In the electrolyte without DBDMB, the disk and ring currents remain nearly unchanged at rotation speeds of 1600 and 2400 rpm (Figure 1e), which is accountable for the passivation of disk electrode by insulating and insoluble discharge products.^[17] However, when 5 mM DBDMB is introduced, the disk current raises significantly and the ring current decreases sharply to nearly zero (Figure 1e), indicating a solution-mediated discharge process occurs and the discharge intermediate (O_2^-) can be solvated by DBDMB. To demonstrate the capture ability of DBDMB to O_2^- , ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) and ^1H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were tested. As shown in Figure 1f, the blue shift of the maximum absorption peak of DBDMB indicates the conjugation degree of DBDMB has changed after it complexing with O_2^- . Moreover, the ^1H NMR spectra evidence the peak of DBDMB shift downfield (Figure 1g), confirming that O_2^- can be captured by DBDMB. Besides, ^7Li NMR spectra of the electrolytes with different concentrations of DBDMB were tested. The chemical shift of ^7Li NMR increases with the increase of DBDMB (Figure 1h), revealing that there is actually a strong interaction between Li^+ and DBDMB, that is, Li^+ can be solvated by DBDMB.^[5a, 18] On the basis of above analysis, the solvated effect of DBDMB towards O_2^- and Li^+ is the reason for

the accelerated ORR kinetic. Importantly, the CV curves experience slight change during 100 cycles (Figure S2), confirming the compelling reversibility of DBDMB/ DBDMB^+ even in the presence of strong oxidizer, Li_2O_2 , O_2^- , etc.

Functional Mechanism of DBDMB

Though a lot of RMs have been proposed in Li- O_2 batteries, their underlying functional mechanisms have been seldomly concerned. In order to unravel the inherent mechanism of DBDMB, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out by Gaussian 16 software.^[19] The solution-mediated discharge with DBDMB can be explained by Figure 2a-d and Tables S1,2. When O_2^- , Li^+ , LiO_2 and Li_2O_2 complex with DBDMB, the overall Gibbs free energy changes are -3.85, -0.92, 0.01 eV and 0.21 eV, respectively, which means that DBDMB can only solvate O_2^- and Li^+ , rather than LiO_2 and Li_2O_2 . So we can conclude that the solvation of Li^+ and O_2^- by DBDMB is the reason for the solution discharge, which is in line with the above experimental observations. It is important that the adsorption energy of DBDMB to O_2^- is much stronger than the reported value of -0.82 eV for absorbing O_2^- on carbon cathode,^[7b, 20] which can avoid O_2^- induced carbon degradation and facilitate the solution growth of Li_2O_2 . Moreover, DFT calculations also reveal that the complex of DBDMB: LiO_2 and DBDMB: Li_2O_2 is thermodynamically unstable, which means that when DBDMB: O_2^- further complex with Li^+ (equation 3), the formation of DBDMB: LiO_2 will automatically release LiO_2 and recover DBDMB (equation 4). Finally, the released LiO_2 will disproportionate into Li_2O_2 and O_2 (equation 5), and the small molecular of Li_2O_2 will tend to grow into large

Figure 2. The optimized structures and adsorption energy of complexes (a) DBDMB: O_2^- , (b) DBDMB: Li^+ , (c) DBDMB: LiO_2 and (d) DBDMB: Li_2O_2 . (e) The Gibbs free energy change of DBDMB, Li and O_2 at different redox states. The optimized structure of (f) DBDMB and (h) DBDMB $^+$. The surface electrostatic potential maps of (g) DBDMB and (i) DBDMB $^+$. (j) The proposed resonance structures of DBDMB $^+$.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

toroidals accompanied with the delayed passivation of cathode as well as increase of ORR current, which is consistent with our CV and RRDE observations. The Gibbs free energy changes of the above processes are shown in Figure 2e, and the detailed discharge process can be summarized by the following equations:

The optimized structures of DBDMB and DBDMB⁺ are shown in Figure 2f,h, Figure S3 and Tables S3,4. The C, O atoms of methoxy and benzene ring are coplanar, and the bond angles of C₅-O₁₀-C₃₇ (C₂-O₉-C₄₁) for DBDMB and DBDMB⁺ are 119.3° and 121.7° respectively (approximately 120°), illustrating that the O atoms adopt sp² hybrid configuration. This makes the p-orbitals of O atoms perpendicular to benzene ring and parallel with their π-orbitals, and the lone pair electrons of O atoms in p-orbital partially donate to the π-orbitals of benzene ring and form p-π conjugation structure. The electronic delocalization of DBDMB is confirmed by the surface electrostatic potential map (Figure 2g), revealing that the negative surface electrostatic potential area is mainly located on the benzene ring and O atoms. Due to the electron-rich O atoms and the nature of electronic delocalization, DBDMB will lose one electron at high oxidation potential and keep nearly identical structure except some slightly changes. As can be seen from Figure 2f,h, when DBDMB oxidized to DBDMB⁺, the bond length of O₁₀-C₅ (O₉-C₂) decreases from 1.37 Å to 1.32 Å, meaning that the interaction between O atoms and benzene ring is strengthened. The intensified conjugation effect is further confirmed by Mayer bond orders analysis using Multiwfn program

(Table S5).^[21] The results show that the oxidation of DBDMB to DBDMB⁺ make the bond orders of O₁₀-C₅ (O₉-C₂) increase from 1.03 to 1.21, indicating that the electrons sharing between O atom and benzene ring is increased. Furthermore, the atomic charges distribution on the atom of DBDMB and DBDMB⁺ were obtained by Natural Population Analysis (NPA).^[22] As shown in Table S6, when DBDMB loses one electron, the majority of positive charge discretely distributes on the O atoms and C atoms of benzene ring rather than focused on one of them, which is also confirmed by the surface electrostatic potential map of DBDMB⁺ with the positive surface electrostatic potential area mainly located on benzene ring and O atoms (Figure 2i), and the discretely distribution of positive charge revealed by the resonance structure of DBDMB⁺ (Figure 2j). The dispersed distribution of positive charge stabilizes DBDMB⁺ and allows it to be reversibly reduced, as a result, long-term CV operation presents an excellent reversibility (Figures S1,2). In conclusion, the capture of Li⁺ and O₂⁻ by the introduction of DBDMB can lead to the solution growth of Li₂O₂ and suppress the side reactions of electrolyte and electrode toward O₂⁻. Moreover, we shed light on that the electron-rich O atoms is the premise for the oxidation of DBDMB at suitable potential, and the effect of p-π conjugation of DBDMB and DBDMB⁺ is the guarantee for their reversible conversion.

Discharge/Charge Behaviors of Li-O₂ Batteries with DBDMB

The discharge/charge curves of the Li-O₂ batteries with or without 50 mM DBDMB are shown in Figure 3a. It is clear that the addition of DBDMB has almost no effect on the discharge plateau (~2.7 V), while plays a position role on the charge process, decreasing the charge plateau to around 3.97 V, much lower than that of the cell without DBDMB (4.40 V). To confirm whether the introduction of DBDMB will influence the typical Li-O₂

Figure 3. Discharge-charge curves of Li-O₂ batteries at a current density of 200 mA g⁻¹ with (a) capacity limitation of 1 mAh and (c) voltage limitation of 2.0-4.4 V. (b) XRD patterns of pristine, discharged and recharged cathodes with 50 mM DBDMB. SEM images of the cathodes after the 2nd full discharge to the cut-off voltage of 2.0 V (d) with DBDMB and (e) without DBDMB. (f) The yield of Li₂O₂ determined by TiOSO₄-based UV-vis spectroscopic titration with the discharge/charge capacity of 1 mAh. (g) Schematic illustration of the different Li₂O₂ growth pathways: surface mechanism and solution mechanism.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

electrochemistry or not, the cathodes at different states have been investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) measurements. As shown in Figure 3b, Figures S4,5, after discharge, toroids with sizes around 200 nm are homogeneously distributed on the porous cathode and can be identified as Li_2O_2 by XRD and FT-IR. The subsequent recharge process renders the complete decomposition of Li_2O_2 toroids, and thus the cathode recovers to its original morphology. These results certify that DBDMB does not change the electrochemical reactions of Li-O_2 batteries and permits highly reversible formation and decomposition of Li_2O_2 . In combination of the above CV results, the catalytic behavior of DBDMB towards Li_2O_2 can be described as follows: on charging, DBDMB is first oxidized to DBDMB^+ at the cathode, then DBDMB^+ diffuses to the vicinity of Li_2O_2 particles and chemically oxidizes Li_2O_2 with the simultaneous recovery of DBDMB^+ to its reduced form, DBDMB. These processes continuously happen until all Li_2O_2 entirely decompose.

The superior capability of DBDMB towards catalytic oxidation of Li_2O_2 can be further confirmed by the full discharge/charge curves of Li-O_2 batteries with/without DBDMB (Figure 3c). Since the Li-O_2 batteries used identical cathodes and anodes, the relative larger discharge capacity of the one with DBDMB can be attributed to its solvation of Li^+ and O_2^- induced solution growth of Li_2O_2 (Figure 3g), which has been demonstrated by above experimental observations and DFT calculations. The solution discharge process is further evidenced by the formation of large, red-blood-cell-like Li_2O_2 toroids with diameters of ~ 600 nm in the cell with DBDMB (Figure S6a), being different from the small flake-type Li_2O_2 formed on the cell without DBDMB (Figure S6b). Moreover, the reversible capacity of the cell with DBDMB is

almost same as the full discharge capacity (Figure 3c), indicating that DBDMB can efficiently catalyze Li_2O_2 decomposition even at a deep discharge state. In stark contrast, the charge capacity of Li-O_2 battery without DBDMB is much lower than the discharge capacity due to the loss of close contact between the cathode and Li_2O_2 . The undecomposed Li_2O_2 will passivate the cathode by burying the electrochemical active sites, which in turn seriously reduces the second discharge capacity (Figure 3c). With regard to the cell with DBDMB, instead of damping, the second discharge capacity doubles (two times of the first discharge capacity) along with the formation of particle-type Li_2O_2 with diameters up to 4–5 μm (Figure 3d and Figure S7). While for the cell without DBDMB, the size of the flake-type Li_2O_2 is even smaller than those of the 1st discharge cycle (Figure 3e), in line with the much smaller 2nd discharge capacity than the first one. The capacity increase phenomenon for the cell with DBDMB has never been reported before. The most possible reason is described as follows: the original structure of the cathode full of active sites is almost recovered after the 1st charge process, but there is still a small fraction of Li_2O_2 inevitably remaining due to the limitation of charge voltage. These residual Li_2O_2 can behave as seed crystals to allow solvated Li_2O_2 to nucleate on their surfaces and grow into large particles with greatly enhanced capacity.

The capture of reactive O_2^- by DBDMB can avoid the related parasitic reactions. In order to confirm this, the yield of Li_2O_2 for the two cells with the same discharge/charge capacity of 1 mAh was determined by TiOSO_4 -based UV-vis spectroscopic titration (Figure 3f and Figure S8). The battery with DBDMB exhibits a high Li_2O_2 generation efficiency of 96.6% and an extremely low residual rate of 0.97% after subsequent recharge process. However, the battery without DBDMB shows a relatively lower Li_2O_2 generation efficiency of 86.1% and a much higher residual

Figure 4. Charge profiles of the cathodes pre-loaded with (a) Li_2CO_3 and (e) LiOH with/without DBDMB at a limited capacity of 1000 mAh g^{-1} and a current density of 200 mA g^{-1} . XRD patterns of the pristine and recharged (b) Li_2CO_3 and (f) LiOH pre-loaded cathodes with/without DBDMB. SEM images of the pristine (c) Li_2CO_3 and (g) LiOH pre-loaded cathodes, and the corresponding recharged (d) Li_2CO_3 and (h) LiOH pre-loaded cathodes with DBDMB. (i) FT-IR spectra of the pristine/recharged Li_2CO_3 and LiOH pre-loaded cathodes. Nyquist plots of the EIS data of the pristine/recharged (j) Li_2CO_3 and (k) LiOH pre-loaded cathodes with DBDMB.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

rate of 30.9%. Less parasitic reaction products can also be proved by the ^1H NMR spectra in Figure S9, which point out that a much lower content of CH_3COOLi byproduct has been formed in the cell with DBDMB. Meanwhile, the homogenous and efficient catalytic ability of DBDMB make the cell show a low Li_2O_2 residual rate of 0.97%, which not only facilitate the cathode to recover to its original state, but also leave small Li_2O_2 crystals to act as nucleating centers for promoting solvated Li_2O_2 to grow into large particles together with a high discharge capacity. Previously, the design of RMs were only focused on facilitating the formation and decomposition of Li_2O_2 , no one have ever reported that a RM can capture O_2^- to reduce parasitic reaction products.

Catalytic Ability of DBDMB towards Li_2CO_3 and LiOH

The higher redox potential of DBDMB (4.20 V) than those of Li_2CO_3 and LiOH (3.82 and 3.39 V),^[9, 23] make it may possess the ability to oxidize decomposition of these main parasitic products for $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries. To verify this, the cathodes pre-loaded with commercial Li_2CO_3 and LiOH were prepared with mass loading restricted to $1000 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}_{\text{carbon}}$. As indicated in Figure 4a,e, with the help of 200 mM DBDMB, the cathodes pre-loaded with Li_2CO_3 and LiOH can be recharged to the pre-loaded capacity at the voltage plateaus of 4.12 V and 4.13 V, respectively, much lower than those of the charge plateaus of normal batteries (4.74 and 4.70 V), which with similar values reported by other groups^[24]. In order to determine whether these Li_2CO_3 or LiOH particles are removed or not, XRD patterns and SEM images of the cathodes at different states were investigated. From Figure 4b,f, we can see that there are still some residual peaks corresponding to Li_2CO_3 and LiOH existing when the cathodes are charged without DBDMB, in accordance with the observation of the remain of large particles in the recharged cathodes (Figure S10). However, when the cathodes are charged with DBDMB, none Li_2CO_3 and LiOH peaks and particles can be observed (Figure 4b,d,f,h), except leaves several micron hollow pits in the recharged cathodes, revealing that the introduction of DBDMB can overcome the high intrinsic barrier for oxidizing redox-inert anions, CO_3^{2-} and OH^- and permit the decomposition of Li_2CO_3 and LiOH at low voltages,

thus avoiding the high charge voltage induced degradation of glyme-based electrolyte and prolonging the battery lifetime.^[24b, 25] The catalytic activity of DBDMB towards Li_2CO_3 and LiOH has also been evidenced by FT-IR spectra with the disappearance of the characteristic IR absorbance peaks of Li_2CO_3 and LiOH after recharging (Figure 4i). Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) further confirm the catalytic Li_2CO_3 and LiOH decomposition ability of DBDMB (Figure 4j,k, Figure S11 and Table S7). The schematic illustration of the DBDMB assisted oxidative decomposition of Li_2O_2 , LiOH and Li_2CO_3 in $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries is shown in Figure 5a. This is the first RM that can simultaneously promote the charge process by co-decomposing Li_2O_2 , Li_2CO_3 and LiOH , and boost the discharge process by capturing O_2^- , demonstrating the trifunctional ability of our DBDMB.

Cycling Performance of $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ Batteries with DBDMB

With the help of experimental observations and DFT calculations, we can know that the incorporation of DBDMB can facilitate the solution discharge mechanism and reduce the formation of parasitic reaction products (Li_2CO_3 and LiOH) by capturing the reactive O_2^- , as well as promote almost full recovery of the active sites of cathode by efficiently co-decomposing Li_2O_2 and by-products, fulfilling all the strictest requirements for enabling $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries to deliver a long cycling life. Therefore, the cycling performances of $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries with/without DBDMB were tested to confirm this. As shown in Figure 5b-d, the battery with 200 mM DBDMB presents a long cycle lifetime of 243 cycles at a high current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} with a capacity limitation of 1000 mAh g^{-1} (Figure 5b), more than 10 times the lifespan of the battery without DBDMB (Figure 5c,d). Furthermore, even at a higher cut-off capacity of 3000 mAh g^{-1} , the cell with DBDMB can still normally run for 90 cycles, 30 times than that of the cell without DBDMB (Figure 5e-g), indicating that the DBDMB exactly plays positive role on boosting the lifetime of $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries and can satisfy the fast charge transfer kinetics requirement for cycling $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries at large rates and capacities.

Figure 5. (a) Schematic illustration of the oxidation reaction mechanism of DBDMB towards Li_2O_2 , LiOH and Li_2CO_3 in $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries. Cycling performance of the $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ batteries with/without DBDMB with a capacity limitation of (b-d) 1000 mAh g^{-1} and (e-g) 3000 mAh g^{-1} at a current density of 1000 mA g^{-1} .

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Conclusion

In summary, we have shown that DBDMB, a new class of ether-based redox mediator, is an effective trifunctional liquid catalyst for increasing the discharge capacity and prolonging the cycling stability of Li-O₂ batteries. With the addition of DBDMB, its strong adsorption ability toward Li⁺ and O₂⁻ promotes the solution growth of Li₂O₂ and avoids the reactive O₂⁻ induced parasitic reactions, thus, a large discharge capacity and a high Li₂O₂ yield of 96.6% are realized. Furthermore, the suitable redox potential of DBDMB (4.20 V) can not only oxidize Li₂O₂ easily, but also facilitate the decomposition of the main by-products (Li₂CO₃ and LiOH) of Li-O₂ batteries, which together enable the recovery of the active sites of cathode and contribute to a prolonged cycling stability. The detailed functional mechanisms of DBDMB have also been revealed by DFT calculations, which can help us comprehensively understand the system and carry out future in-depth research. The strategy of reducing parasitic reactions, and co-oxidizing Li₂O₂ and by-products (Li₂CO₃ and LiOH) through introducing solvating redox mediator will open a new door to boost the performance of Li-air batteries.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0206700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21725103, 51702314), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA21010210), the Jilin Province Science and Technology Development Plan Funding Project (20180101203JC), the K. C. Wong Education Foundation (GJTD-2018-09), the Changchun Science and Technology Development Plan Funding Project (19SS010) and the National & local united engineering lab for power battery. The Supercomputing USTC is acknowledged for computational support.

Keywords: Solution mechanism • Redox mediator • 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene • Trifunctional • DFT calculation

- [1] a) K. M. Abraham, Z. Jiang, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1996**, *143*, 1-5; b) T. Ogasawara, A. Debart, M. Holzapfel, P. Novak, P. G. Bruce, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1390-1393.
- [2] a) Z. Peng, S. A. Freunberger, Y. Chen, P. G. Bruce, *Science* **2012**, *337*, 563-566; b) D. Aurbach, B. D. McCloskey, L. F. Nazar, P. G. Bruce, *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16128; c) T. Liu, X.-L. Feng, X. Jin, M.-Z. Shao, Y.-T. Su, Y. Zhang, X.-B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 18240-18245; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 18408-18413; d) C. Xia, C. Y. Kwok, L. F. Nazar, *Science* **2018**, *361*, 777-781; e) J. L. Ma, F. L. Meng, Y. Yu, D. P. Liu, J. M. Yan, Y. Zhang, X. B. Zhang, Q. Jiang, *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 64-70; f) Z. Zhu, X. Shi, G. Fan, F. Li, J. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 19021-19026; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 19197-19202; g) M. Asadi, B. Sayahpour, P. Abbasi, A. T. Ngo, K. Karis, J. R. Jokisaari, C. Liu, B. Narayanan, M. Gerard, P. Yasaee, X. Hu, A. Mukherjee, K. C. Lau, R. S. Assary, F. Khalili-Araghi, R. F. Klie, L. A. Curtiss, A. Salehi-Khojin, *Nature* **2018**, *555*, 502-506; h) G. Cong, W. Wang, N. C. Lai, Z. Liang, Y. C. Lu, *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *18*, 390-396; i) X.-Y. Yang, X.-L. Feng, X. Jin, M.-Z. Shao, B.-L. Yan, J.-M. Yan, Y. Zhang, X.-B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 16411-16415; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 16563-16567; j) Z. Zhang, S. Wu, C. Yang, L. Zheng, D. Xu, R. Zha, L. Tang, K. Cao, X. G. Wang, Z. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 17782-17787; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 17946-17951.
- [3] a) N. B. Aetukuri, B. D. McCloskey, J. M. Garcia, L. E. Krupp, V. Viswanathan, A. C. Luntz, *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 50-56; b) A. C. Luntz, V. Viswanathan, J. Voss, J. B. Varley, J. K. Nørskov, R. Scheffler, A. Speidel, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *4*, 3494-3499.
- [4] a) F. Tian, M. D. Radin, D. J. Siegel, *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 2952-2959; b) O. Gerbig, R. Merkle, J. Maier, *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 3129-3133.
- [5] a) C. M. Burke, V. Pande, A. Khetan, V. Viswanathan, B. D. McCloskey, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2015**, *112*, 9293-9298; b) D. Sharon, D. Hirsberg, M. Salama, M. Afri, A. A. Frimer, M. Noked, W. Kwak, Y. K. Sun, D. Aurbach, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 5300-5307.
- [6] a) Y. Qiao, S. Wu, J. Yi, Y. Sun, S. Guo, S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 4960-4964; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 5042-5046; b) X. Gao, Z. P. Jovanov, Y. Chen, L. R. Johnson, P. G. Bruce, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6539-6543; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 6639-6643.
- [7] a) X. Gao, Y. Chen, L. Johnson, P. G. Bruce, *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 882-888; b) Y. Zhang, L. Wang, X. Zhang, L. Guo, Y. Wang, Z. Peng, *Adv. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1705571; c) Y. Ko, H. Park, J. Kim, H. D. Lim, B. Lee, G. Kwon, S. Lee, Y. Bae, S. K. Park, K. Kang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2019**, *29*, 1805623; d) P. Zhang, L. Liu, X. He, X. Liu, H. Wang, J. He, Y. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 6263-6270.
- [8] J. Wang, Y. Zhang, L. Guo, E. Wang, Z. Peng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 5201-5205; *Angew. Chem.* **2016**, *128*, 5287-5291.
- [9] a) Y. Chen, S. A. Freunberger, Z. Peng, O. Fontaine, P. G. Bruce, *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 489-494; b) D. Sun, Y. Shen, W. Zhang, L. Yu, Z. Yi, W. Yin, D. Wang, Y. Huang, J. Wang, D. Wang, J. B. Goodenough, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8941-8946; c) B. J. Bergner, A. Schurmann, K. Peppeler, A. Garsuch, J. Janek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 15054-15064; d) Q. Zhao, N. Katyal, I. D. Seymour, G. Henkelman, T. Ma, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 12553-12557; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 12683-12687; e) D. Kundu, R. Black, B. Adams, L. F. Nazar, *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2015**, *1*, 510-515; g) Z. Liang, Y. C. Lu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 7574-7583; h) W.-J. Kwak, D. Hirschberg, D. Sharon, M. Afri, A. A. Frimer, H.-G. Jung, D. Aurbach, Y.-K. Sun, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 2334-2345; i) Y. Ko, H. Park, K. Lee, S. J. Kim, H. Park, Y. Bae, J. Kim, S. Y. Park, J. E. Kwon, K. Kang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5376-5380; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 5414-5418.
- [10] R. Black, J. H. Lee, B. Adams, C. A. Mims, L. F. Nazar, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 392-396; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 410-414.
- [11] N. Feng, P. He, H. Zhou, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2016**, *6*, 1502303.
- [12] a) R. S. Assary, J. Lu, P. Du, X. Luo, X. Zhang, Y. Ren, L. A. Curtiss, K. Amine, *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 51-55; b) S. A. Freunberger, Y. Chen, N. E. Drewett, L. J. Hardwick, F. Barde, P. G. Bruce, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8609-8613; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 8768-8772.
- [13] a) R. Younesi, M. Hahlin, F. Björefors, P. Johansson, K. Edström, *Chem. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 77-84; b) B. D. McCloskey, A. Speidel, R. Scheffler, D. C. Miller, V. Viswanathan, J. S. Hummelshøj, J. K. Nørskov, A. C. Luntz, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *3*, 997-1001.
- [14] a) J. J. Xu, Q. C. Liu, Y. Yu, J. Wang, J. M. Yan, X. B. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1606552; b) B. D. McCloskey, A. Valery, A. C. Luntz, S. R. Gowda, G. M. Wallraff, J. M. Garcia, T. Mori, L. E. Krupp, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, *4*, 2989-2993; c) B. Zhou, L. Guo, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, L. Ma, W. H. Zhang, Z. Fu, Z. Peng, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1701568.
- [15] M. M. Ottakam Thotiyil, S. A. Freunberger, Z. Peng, P. G. Bruce, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 494-500.
- [16] C. Ling, R. Zhang, K. Takechi, F. Mizuno, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 26591-26598.
- [17] D. G. Kwabi, M. Tulodziecki, N. Pour, D. M. Itkis, C. V. Thompson, Y. Shao-Horn, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 1204-1212.
- [18] C. Chen, X. Chen, X. Zhang, L. Li, C. Zhang, T. Huang, A. Yu, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6*, 7221-7226.
- [19] G. W. T. M. J. Frisch, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT 2016.
- [20] J. J. Xu, Z. W. Chang, Y. Wang, D. P. Liu, Y. Zhang, X. B. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 9620-9628.
- [21] a) T. Lu, F. Chen, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2012**, *33*, 580-592; b) I. Mayer, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1983**, *97*, 270-274; c) I. Mayer, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **1984**, *26*, 151-154.
- [22] a) A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *83*, 735-746; b) T. Lu, F. Chen, *Acta Phys. -Chim. Sin.* **2012**, *28*, 1-18.
- [23] P. Tan, Z. H. Wei, W. Shyy, T. S. Zhao, X. B. Zhu, *Energy & Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 1783-1793.
- [24] a) S. Yang, P. He, H. Zhou, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 1650-1654; b) S. Meini, N. Tsiouvaras, K. U. Schwenke, M. Piana, H. Beyer, L. Lange, H. A. Gasteiger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 11478-11493.
- [25] a) D. Geng, N. Ding, T. S. Hor, S. W. Chien, Z. Liu, Y. Zong, *Chem. Asian J.* **2015**, *10*, 2182-2189; b) H. Beyer, S. Meini, N. Tsiouvaras, M. Piana, H. A. Gasteiger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 11025-11037.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Table of Contents

A new kind of trifunctional ether-based redox mediator, DBDMB, is introduced into the electrolyte to capture reactive discharge intermediates (O_2^-) with reduced formation of by-products, regulate solution growth of Li_2O_2 , and co-oxidize Li_2O_2 and by-products (Li_2CO_3 and LiOH), then Li-O₂ batteries with large capacity and long cycling stability have been achieved.