**Complete genome sequence of the endophytic bacterium *Cellulosimicrobium*
sp. JZ28 isolated from root endosphere of the perennial desert tussock-grass
*Panicum turgidum***

**Abdul Aziz Eida¹, Salim Bougouffa^{2,3}, Intikhab Alam², Maged M. Saad^{1*} and Heribert
Hirt^{1,4},**

¹DARWIN21, Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah
University of Science and Technology, 23955-6900 Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

²Computational Bioscience Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and
Technology, 23955-6900 Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

³BioScience Core Lab, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, 23955-6900
Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

⁴Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna, Dr. Bohrgasse 9, 1030 Vienna, Austria

***Corresponding author:**

Email: Maged.saad@kaust.edu.sa

Telephone: +966 (12) 808 2682

Abstract

Cellulosimicrobium sp. JZ28, a root endophytic bacterium from the desert plant *Panicum turgidum*, was previously identified as a plant growth promoting bacterium. The genome of JZ28 consists of a 4,378,193 bp circular chromosome, and contains 3,930 CDSs with an average GC content of 74.5%. Whole genome sequencing analysis revealed that JZ28 was closely related to *C. aquatile* 3bp. The genome harbors genes responsible for protection against oxidative, osmotic and salinity stresses, such as the production of osmoprotectants. It also contains genes with a role in the production of volatiles, such as hydrogen sulfide, which promote biotic and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. The presence of three copies of chitinase genes indicates a possible role of JZ28 as biocontrol agent against fungal pathogens while a number of genes for the degradation of plant biopolymers indicates potential application in industrial processes. Genome sequencing and mining of culture-dependent collections of bacterial endophytes from desert plants provide new opportunities for biotechnological applications.

Keywords

Genome sequence, plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB), endophyte, *Cellulosimicrobium* sp., desert microbes, DARWIN21.

Introduction

Microbial endophytes, and especially beneficial ones, have received great interest in agriculture for their imperative role in maintaining the health of plants by protecting them against pathogens or promoting their growth under abiotic stresses (Dimkpa et al. 2009; Lata et al. 2018; Lugtenberg et al. 2016). In 2001, Schumann et al. (2001) proposed the reclassification of the cellulolytic strain *Cellulomonas cellulans* as *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans*. Studies on species within this genus have been shown to possess the potential for application in agriculture as plant growth promoters or biocontrol agents. For example, *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* strain 191 produces high quantities of chitinase, a hydrolytic enzyme involved in the degradation of chitin in the cell walls of fungi, suggesting that this bacterial strain might be used for biocontrol against fungal pathogens (Fleuri et al. 2009). *C. cellulans* strain KUCr3 produces the phytohormone auxin/indole acetic acid (IAA), solubilizes phosphate and promotes the growth of green chilli plants and their tolerance to toxic hexavalent chromium (Chatterjee et al. 2009). *C. funkei* strains also possess high resistance against chromium, produce IAA, solubilize phosphate and promote growth of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and other crops under normal conditions and chromium toxicity (Karthik and Arulselvi 2017; Karthik et al. 2016). *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. strain S16, isolated from salt-affected rhizosphere soil, strongly inhibits growth of the three pathogenic fungi *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Verticillium dahliae* and *Botrytis cinerea*, produces IAA and siderophores (iron chelators), solubilizes phosphate, possesses chitinase and urease activity and promotes the elongation of barley stems (Nabti et al. 2014). This strain was also taxonomically close to *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. CH6 by 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis.

The application of beneficial microbes, such as plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPB), for promoting plant growth and alleviating abiotic stresses is well established (Glick 2012; Lugtenberg and Kamilova 2009; Vessey 2003). Although several mechanisms by which PGPB exert their effects on plants are known, the field of plant-microbe interactions remains largely undiscovered and the exact molecular mechanisms by which abiotic stress tolerance is induced remains largely unknown. The integration of omics data will advance our understanding of these mechanisms. In this study, we present the complete genome sequence of the PGPB *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. JZ28, previously isolated from the root endosphere of the perennial desert tussock-grass *Panicum turgidum* with salinity stress tolerance promoting abilities on *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Eida et al. 2018).

Materials and Methods

Growth conditions and genomic DNA extraction

Pure cultures of *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. JZ28 were stored in 20% glycerol at -80°C. JZ28 was grown on Tryptone Soya broth and agar (g/L: Bacto tryptone-15; Bacto soytone-5; NaCl-5; agar-15) at 28°C. Fresh bacterial cultures were used for total genomic DNA extraction using Sigma's GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Sigma Aldrich) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA quality and quantity were assessed by 0.7% agarose gel electrophoresis (35V, 12 h), NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Genome sequencing and assembly

DNA was size selected to 10 kb using the BluePippin™ Size-Selection System (Sage Science), following the “High-Pass™ DNA Size Selection of ~20kb SMRTbell™ Templates” manual. The SMRTbell™ template library was prepared according to the instructions from Pacific Biosciences’s “Procedure & Checklist - 20 kb Template Preparation using BluePippin™ Size-Selection System” guide. The SMRT cells were run at the KAUST Bioscience Core Labs on the PacBio RSII (Pacific Biosciences) sequencing platform using P6-C4 chemistry. Raw data from PacBio’s platform were assembled using the Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process v4 (HGAP4) (Chin et al. 2013) from PacBio’s SMRT Analysis pipeline v2.3.0.140936 patch 5. The assembly workflow can be broken down into three main steps: a preassembly step that mapped single pass reads to seed reads to generate consensus reads that were then quality trimmed. *De novo* assembly was done using the overlap layout consensus approach. The final step of consensus polishing used Quiver to reduce indels and base substitutions using quality scores embedded in the raw data. To determine whether assembled contigs are circular, dot plots were generated using Gepard (Krumsiek et al. 2007) for detecting overlaps at the peripheries. Overlaps were collapsed and the genome was closed using Minimus2 (Sommer et al. 2007). Finally, additional polishing rounds were performed using Quiver by applying quality scores from raw data to correct for indels and base substitutions where the output from one round was used as input to the next round.

Genome annotation

Genome annotation was carried out using the Automatic Annotation of Microbial Genomes (AAMG) which is an integrated module in the in-house INDIGO-Desert v1.1 pipeline (Alam et al. 2013). Briefly, gene prediction was done using prodigal v2.6.1 (Hyatt et al. 2010). Functional annotation was done using a multitude of tools and databases. InterProScan (Jones et al. 2014) was used to assign domain information, Gene Ontology (GO) terms and KEGG

pathways. Predicted genes were compared using BLAST against UniProt (<https://www.uniprot.org/>) for generic annotations and cross-references to COGs (Cluster of Orthologous Genes (COGs)). For annotation of gene function, genes were compared to KEGG database (Functional Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) (Kanehisa et al. 2016). RPS-BLAST (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2002) was used to identify conserved domains and COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups). Predicted genes were also BLAST-ed against NCBI-nr, UniProt and KEGG. Ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs), transfer RNAs (tRNAs) and other non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) were predicted using RNAmmer 1.2 (Lagesen et al. 2007), tRNAscan-SE 2.0 (Lowe and Eddy 1997), and Infernal software (Nawrocki and Eddy 2013), respectively. Function and pathway analysis was also performed using BlastKOALA web tool of KEGG database (Kanehisa et al. 2016). Identification of gene clusters responsible for the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites was performed using antiSMASH v.4.2.0 (Weber et al. 2015).

Phylogenetic analysis

For distinguishing between *Cellulosimicrobium* strains at species level, Digital DNA-DNA Hybridization (dDDH) and Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) calculations were performed. Pairwise BLAST-based Average Nucleotide Identity values (ANiB) were obtained using JSpecies (Richter et al. 2015). The genome sequence data were uploaded to the Type (Strain) Genome Server (TYGS), a free bioinformatics platform available under <https://tygs.dsmz.de>, for a whole genome-based taxonomic analysis (Meier-Kolthoff and Göker 2019). All pairwise comparisons among the set of genomes were conducted using GBDP and accurate intergenomic distances inferred under the algorithm 'trimming' and distance formula d5 (Meier-Kolthoff et al. 2013). 100 distance replicates were calculated each. Digital DDH values and confidence intervals were calculated using the recommended settings of the GGDC 2.1 (Meier-Kolthoff et al. 2013).

Sequence accession number

The data for bacterial genome assembly of JZ28 and sequencing were deposited in NCBI/DDBJ/EMBL database under the accession number CP017660, BioProject PRJNA345401, BioSample SAMN05828175. The annotations obtained by the in-house INDIGO pipeline are available through the KAUST library repository (<https://doi.org/10.25781/KAUST-6L345>).

Results and Discussion

PacBio sequencing generated 54,893 reads with a mean insert read length of 12,050 bp and an estimated genome coverage of 151X. Genome assembly generated a single circular chromosome of approximate size of 4.38 Mbp with a high G+C content of 74.69% (Table 1). High G+C content is a characteristic of free-living bacteria as opposed to obligate pathogens and symbionts (Rocha and Danchin 2002), possibly due to environments that are complex and constantly changing and where there is a higher chance of horizontal gene transfer (Mann and Chen 2010). The G+C content of JZ28 (74.69%) falls into the range of that reported for the *Cellulosimicrobium* genus (average G+C content: 74.5%). The INDIGO annotation resulted in 4,036 open reading frames (ORF) yielding a gene density of approximately 898 genes/Mbp. Approximately 97% (3,930) of the ORFs were protein coding sequences (CDS). In addition, there were three 16S-23S-5S rRNA operons, one extra 5S rRNA copy, 46 ncRNAs, and 51 tRNAs in JZ28's chromosome.

Table 1. Summary of JZ28 genome features.

Feature	Value
Genome Size (bp)	4,378,193

G+C content (%)	74.69
ORFs	4,036
Gene density (genes/Mbp)	897.63
CDSs	3,930
16S-23S-5S rRNA operons	3
ncRNAs	46
tRNAs	51

Taxonomic analysis of *Cellulosimicrbium* sp. JZ28 using ANIb similarity (Supplementary Table S1), dDDH values (Supplementary Table S2) and whole genome and 16S rDNA sequences (Fig. 1) revealed high similarity with other reference type strains. Although several of strains showed values of ANI and dDDH that exceeded the species cut-off (95% and 70%, respectively), *C. aquatile* 3bp showed the highest similarity with JZ28 with an ANIb value of 97.79% and dDDH value of 92.4% (formula d₆). Whole-genome based phylogenetic tree revealed clustering of JZ28 in a clade with several species, namely *C. aquatile* 3bp *C. funkei* NBRC 104118 and *C. terreum* JCM 15619 (Fig. 1A). Based on 16S rDNA sequences (Fig. 1B), phylogenetic tree showed clustering with *C. aquatile* 3bp and, thus, JZ28 is not a potentially new species.

AntiSMASH analysis identified four secondary metabolite regions, but only one cluster was similar to known clusters. This cluster was 100% similar to Alkylresorcinol (BGC0000282) and 75% similar to Desferrioxamine (BGC0001478) biosynthesis clusters. Alkylresorcinols are referred to as phenolic lipids that occur in plants, fungi and bacteria and have been associated with a range of biological activities such as antioxidant, cytotoxic, antimicrobial and signaling

properties (Baerson et al. 2010; Ortega et al. 2017; Stasiuk and Kozubek 2010). On the other hand, desferrioxamines are hydroxamate-type siderophores (Mawji et al. 2008; Schwabe et al. 2018). JZ28 was previously shown to survive in media under salt (5% NaCl) and osmotic stress (20% PEG 8,000) (Eida et al. 2018). In order to determine what genomic potential JZ28 has in terms of abiotic stress tolerance, a functional analysis (BlastKOALA) of the CDSs was performed and identified 1,742 genes (44.3%) with assigned functions.

Genome analysis revealed the presence of genes encoding for potassium-uptake transporters, such as the Kdp-ATPase system (*kdpABCDE*) (Sleator and Hill 2002) and for glutamate transport (*gluABCD*) and biosynthesis (*gltBD*), which along with its potassium counter ions are involved in the primary response to osmotic stress in bacteria (Booth and Higgins 1990; Paul 2013). Genes involved in four of the five pathways for trehalose biosynthesis (*ostAB*, *treYZ*, *treS*, *treP*) (Paul et al. 2008; Strom and Kaasen 1993) and in proline biosynthesis (*proABC*) (Mandon et al. 2003) and uptake (*proVWX*) (Gul and Poolman 2013; Wood 1988) were also present (Supplementary Table S3). In addition, genes involved in detoxification systems and oxidative stress tolerance, such as glutathione peroxidase (*gpx*), gamma-glutamate-cysteine ligase (*gshA*, two copies), peroxiredoxin (*BCP*), superoxide dismutases (two copies of *SOD2*), hydrogen peroxide catalase (*katE*) and regulator of the superoxide radical response (*soxR*) were present (Fones and Preston 2012; Zhao and Drlica 2014). Other genes involved in stress tolerance, such as those encoding for antiporters (e.g. *nhaA*), molecular chaperones (e.g. *cspA*, *groEL*, *groES*, *dnaK*) and transcriptional repressors (e.g. *lexA*).

The bacterial production of volatile compounds has been shown to promote plant growth (Ryu et al. 2003). JZ28 harbors genes for acetoin and 2,3-butanediol production (*ilvH*, *ilvB*, *BDH*) (Taghavi et al. 2010). JZ28 also contains genes responsible for uptake of extracellular alkanesulfonate by the ABC transporter *ssuACB*, its conversion to sulfite by the two alkanesulfonate monooxygenases *ssuD* and *ssuE* and subsequently to the volatile compound hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) by the sulfite reductase/ferredoxin *sir*. In addition, the gene encoding cystathionine β synthase (*CBS*) which is also involved in H₂S production is also present in JZ28 (Supplementary Table S3) (Matoba et al. 2017; Rose et al. 2017). The volatile H₂S has been suggested to assist plants in alleviating abiotic stresses, such oxidative and salinity stress (Eida et al. 2019; Gotor et al. 2019).

JZ28 also possesses two recombinases/integrases (*xerC*, *xerD*), suggesting its ability to colonize the rhizosphere and root surfaces of plants (Martínez-Granero et al. 2005). Three copies of chitinases that break down chitin found in cell walls of fungi are also present, indicating a potential role for JZ28 as a biocontrol agent against pathogens. Finally, JZ28 contains key enzymes involved in the last step of the degradation of cellulose biopolymers called β-glucosidases (six copies of *bglX* and 4 copies of *bglB*), indicating its potential for industrial applications (Singh et al. 2015). Other enzymes involved in oligosaccharide breakdown were also present (Supplementary Table S3).

Acknowledgements

The work presented is part of the DARWIN21 project (<http://www.darwin21.org/>), with the objective to improve sustainable agriculture on arid lands by exploiting microbes isolated from

214 pioneer desert plants that are able to survive in extreme environmental conditions. The authors
215 would thank all members of Hirt lab, CDA management team and the Bioscience Core Labs in
216 KAUST for the technical assistance and for their help in many aspects of this work.

217

218 **Funding and Conflict of Interest**

219 The work was funded by KAUST baseline research project BAS/1/1062-01-01 of H.H. The
220 authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
221 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

222

225 **Fig. 1 Genome Blast Distance Phylogeny (GBDP) tree of *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. JZ28 with**
226 **reference strains of related species based on whole-genome and 16S rDNA sequences.** Tree
227 inferred with FastME 2.1.6.1 from GBDP distances calculated from either genome sequences
228 (Lefort et al. 2015) (**A**) or from 16S rDNA gene sequences (**B**). The branch lengths are scaled in
229 terms of GBDP distance formula d_5 . The numbers above branches are GBDP pseudo-bootstrap
230 support values > 60% from 100 replications, with an average branch support of 84.2% (**A**) and
231 75.5% (**B**). The tree was rooted at the midpoint (Farris 1972). Type strains indicated by ^T.
232

References

- Alam I, Antunes A, Kamau AA, Ba alawi W, Kalkatawi M, Stingl U, Bajic VB (2013) INDIGO – INtegrated Data Warehouse of MIcrobial GenOMes with examples from the Red Sea extremophiles PLoS One 8:e82210 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082210>
- Baerson SR et al. (2010) Alkylresorcinol biosynthesis in plants Plant Signal Behav 5:1286-1289 doi:<https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.5.10.13062>
- Booth IR, Higgins CF (1990) Enteric bacteria and osmotic stress: Intracellular potassium glutamate as a secondary signal of osmotic stress? FEMS Microbiol Lett 75:239-246 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1990.tb04097.x>
- Chatterjee S, Sau GB, Mukherjee SK (2009) Plant growth promotion by a hexavalent chromium reducing bacterial strain, *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* KUCr3 World J Microb Biot 25:1829-1836 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-0084-5>
- Chin C-S et al. (2013) Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data Nat Methods 10:563 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2474>
- Dimkpa C, Weinand T, Asch F (2009) Plant–rhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions Plant, Cell Environ 32:1682-1694 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02028.x>
- Eida AA et al. (2019) Phylogenetically diverse endophytic bacteria from desert plants induce transcriptional changes of tissue-specific ion transporters and salinity stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana* Plant Sci 280:228-240 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.12.002>
- Eida AA, Ziegler M, Lafi FF, Michell CT, Voolstra CR, Hirt H, Saad MM (2018) Desert plant bacteria reveal host influence and beneficial plant growth properties PLoS One 13:e0208223 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208223>

256 Farris JS (1972) Estimating phylogenetic trees from distance matrices *The American Naturalist*
 257 106:645-668
 258 Fleuri LF, Kawaguti HY, Sato HH (2009) Production, purification and application of extracellular
 259 chitinase from *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* 191 *Braz J Microbiol* 40:623-630
 260 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822009000300026>
 261 Fones H, Preston GM (2012) Reactive oxygen and oxidative stress tolerance in plant pathogenic
 262 *Pseudomonas* *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 327:1-8 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02449.x)
 263 [6968.2011.02449.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02449.x)
 264 Glick BR (2012) Plant growth-promoting bacteria: Mechanisms and applications *Scientifica*
 265 2012:15 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.6064/2012/963401>
 266 Gotor C et al. (2019) Signaling by hydrogen sulfide and cyanide through post-translational
 267 modification *J Exp Bot* 70:4251-4265 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz225>
 268 Gul N, Poolman B (2013) Functional reconstitution and osmoregulatory properties of the ProU
 269 ABC transporter from *Escherichia coli* *Mol Membr Biol* 30:138-148
 270 doi:<https://doi.org/10.3109/09687688.2012.754060>
 271 Hyatt D, Chen G-L, LoCascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ (2010) Prodigal: Prokaryotic
 272 gene recognition and translation initiation site identification *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:119
 273 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119>
 274 Jones P et al. (2014) InterProScan 5: Genome-scale protein function classification *Bioinformatics*
 275 30:1236-1240 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031>
 276 Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M (2016) KEGG as a reference
 277 resource for gene and protein annotation *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:D457-D462
 278 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070>

279 Karthik C, Arulselvi PI (2017) Biotoxic effect of chromium (VI) on plant growth-promoting traits
 280 of novel *Cellulosimicrobium funkei* strain AR8 isolated from *Phaseolus vulgaris*
 281 rhizosphere Geomicrobiol J 34:434-442
 282 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2016.1219429>

283 Karthik C, Oves M, Thangabalu R, Sharma R, Santhosh SB, Indra Arulselvi P (2016)
 284 *Cellulosimicrobium funkei*-like enhances the growth of *Phaseolus vulgaris* by modulating
 285 oxidative damage under chromium(VI) toxicity J Adv Res 7:839-850
 286 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2016.08.007>

287 Krumsiek J, Arnold R, Rattei T (2007) Gepard: A rapid and sensitive tool for creating dotplots on
 288 genome scale Bioinformatics 23:1026-1028
 289 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm039>

290 Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rødland EA, Stærfeldt H-H, Rognes T, Ussery DW (2007) RNAmmer:
 291 Consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes Nucleic Acids Res 35:3100-3108
 292 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160>

293 Lata R, Chowdhury S, Gond SK, White Jr JF (2018) Induction of abiotic stress tolerance in plants
 294 by endophytic microbes Lett Appl Microbiol 66:268-276
 295 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12855>

296 Lefort V, Desper R, Gascuel O (2015) FastME 2.0: A comprehensive, accurate, and fast distance-
 297 based phylogeny inference program Mol Biol Evol 32:2798-2800
 298 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv150>

299 Lowe TM, Eddy SR (1997) tRNAscan-SE: A program for improved detection of transfer RNA
 300 genes in genomic sequence Nucleic Acids Res 25:955-964
 301 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955>

302 Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F (2009) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria Annu Rev Microbiol
 303 63:541-556 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162918>
 304 Lugtenberg BJJ, Caradus JR, Johnson LJ (2016) Fungal endophytes for sustainable crop
 305 production FEMS Microbiol Ecol 92 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw194>
 306 Mandon K, Østerås M, Boncompagni E, Trinchant JC, Spennato G, Poggi MC, Le Rudulier D
 307 (2003) The *Sinorhizobium meliloti* glycine betaine biosynthetic genes (betICBA) are
 308 induced by choline and highly expressed in bacteroids Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 16:709-
 309 719 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2003.16.8.709>
 310 Mann S, Chen Y-PP (2010) Bacterial genomic G+C composition-eliciting environmental
 311 adaptation Genomics 95:7-15 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2009.09.002>
 312 Marchler-Bauer A, Panchenko AR, Shoemaker BA, Thiessen PA, Geer LY, Bryant SH (2002)
 313 CDD: A database of conserved domain alignments with links to domain three-dimensional
 314 structure Nucleic Acids Res 30:281-283 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.1.281>
 315 Martínez-Granero F, Capdevila S, Sánchez-Contreras M, Martín M, Rivilla R (2005) Two site-
 316 specific recombinases are implicated in phenotypic variation and competitive rhizosphere
 317 colonization in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Microbiology 151:975-983
 318 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27583-0>
 319 Matoba Y, Yoshida T, Izuhara-Kihara H, Noda M, Sugiyama M (2017) Crystallographic and
 320 mutational analyses of cystathionine β -synthase in the H₂S-synthetic gene cluster in
 321 *Lactobacillus plantarum* Protein Sci 26:763-783 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3123>
 322 Mawji E et al. (2008) Hydroxamate siderophores: Occurrence and importance in the Atlantic
 323 Ocean Environ Sci Technol 42:8675-8680 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1021/es801884r>

324 Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M (2013) Genome sequence-based species
 325 delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions BMC
 326 Bioinformatics 14:60 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60>
 327 Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M (2019) TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-
 328 the-art genome-based taxonomy Nat Commun 10:2182
 329 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3>
 330 Nabti E et al. (2014) Growth stimulation of barley and biocontrol effect on plant pathogenic fungi
 331 by a *Cellulosimicrobium* sp. strain isolated from salt-affected rhizosphere soil in
 332 northwestern Algeria Eur J Soil Biol 61:20-26
 333 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2013.12.008>
 334 Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR (2013) Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches
 335 Bioinformatics 29:2933-2935 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt509>
 336 Ortega MJ, Pantoja JJ, De los Reyes C, Zubía E (2017) 5-alkylresorcinol derivatives from the
 337 Bryozoan *Schizomavella mamillata*: Isolation, synthesis, and antioxidant activity Mar
 338 Drugs 15:344 doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/md15110344>
 339 Paul D (2013) Osmotic stress adaptations in rhizobacteria J Basic Microbiol 53:101-110
 340 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.201100288>
 341 Paul MJ, Primavesi LF, Jhurrea D, Zhang Y (2008) Trehalose metabolism and signaling Annu
 342 Rev Plant Biol 59:417-441 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092945>
 343 Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Oliver Glöckner F, Peplies J (2015) JSpeciesWS: a web server for
 344 prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison Bioinformatics
 345 32:929-931 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681>

346 Rocha EPC, Danchin A (2002) Base composition bias might result from competition for metabolic
 347 resources Trends Genet 18:291-294 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525\(02\)02690-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(02)02690-2)
 348 Rose P, Moore PK, Zhu YZ (2017) H₂S biosynthesis and catabolism: New insights from molecular
 349 studies Cell Mol Life Sci 74:1391-1412 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-016-2406-8>
 350 Ryu C-M, Farag MA, Hu C-H, Reddy MS, Wei H-X, Paré PW, Kloepper JW (2003) Bacterial
 351 volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:4927-4932
 352 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0730845100>
 353 Schumann P, Weiss N, Stackebrandt E (2001) Reclassification of *Cellulomonas cellulans*
 354 (Stackebrandt and Keddie 1986) as *Cellulosimicrobium cellulans* gen. nov., comb. nov Int
 355 J Syst Evol Microbiol 51:1007-1010 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-51-3-1007>
 356 Schwabe R, Anke MK, Szymańska K, Wiche O, Tischler D (2018) Analysis of desferrioxamine-
 357 like siderophores and their capability to selectively bind metals and metalloids:
 358 development of a robust analytical RP-HPLC method Res Microbiol 169:598-607
 359 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2018.08.002>
 360 Singh G, Verma AK, Kumar V (2015) Catalytic properties, functional attributes and industrial
 361 applications of β -glucosidases 3 Biotech 6:3 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0328-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0328-z)
 362 [z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0328-z)
 363 Sleator RD, Hill C (2002) Bacterial osmoadaptation: the role of osmolytes in bacterial stress and
 364 virulence FEMS Microbiol Rev 26:49-71 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00598.x)
 365 [6976.2002.tb00598.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2002.tb00598.x)
 366 Sommer DD, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL, Pop M (2007) Minimus: A fast, lightweight genome
 367 assembler BMC Bioinformatics 8:64 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-64>

368 Stasiuk M, Kozubek A (2010) Biological activity of phenolic lipids Cell Mol Life Sci 67:841-860
 369 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-009-0193-1>

370 Strom AR, Kaasen I (1993) Trehalose metabolism in *Escherichia coli*: Stress protection and stress
 371 regulation of gene expression Mol Microbiol 8:205-210
 372 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01564.x>

373 Taghavi S et al. (2010) Genome sequence of the plant growth promoting endophytic bacterium
 374 *Enterobacter* sp. 638 PLoS Genet 6:e1000943
 375 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000943>

376 Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers Plant Soil 255:571-586
 377 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026037216893>

378 Weber T et al. (2015) AntiSMASH 3.0—a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of
 379 biosynthetic gene clusters Nucleic Acids Res 43:W237-W243
 380 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv437>

381 Wood JM (1988) Proline porters effect the utilization of proline as nutrient or osmoprotectant for
 382 bacteria J Membr Biol 106:183-202 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01872157>

383 Zhao X, Drlica K (2014) Reactive oxygen species and the bacterial response to lethal stress Curr
 384 Opin Microbiol 21:1-6 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.06.008>

385