Molecular enhancement of heterogeneous CO₂ reduction

Dae-Hyun Nam^{1,6}, Phil De Luna^{1,2,6}, Alonso Rosas-Hernández^{3,4}, Arnaud Thevenon^{3,4}, Fengwang Li¹, Theodor Agapie^{3,4}, Jonas C. Peters^{3,4}, Osama Shekhah⁵, Mohamed Eddaoudi⁵ and Edward H. Sargent^{1*}

The electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction reaction (CO₂RR) addresses the need for storage of renewable energy in valuable carbon-based fuels and feedstocks, yet challenges remain in the improvement of electrosynthesis pathways for highly selective hydrocarbon production. To improve catalysis further, it is of increasing interest to lever synergies between heterogeneous and homogeneous approaches. Molecules adjacent to the active site provide additional binding interactions that may tune the stability of intermediates, improving catalytic performance by increasing Faradaic efficiency (product selectivity), as well as decreasing overpotential. We offer a forward-looking perspective on molecularly enhanced heterogeneous catalysis for CO₂RR. We discuss four categories of molecularly enhanced strategies: molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts, immobilized organometallic complex catalysts, reticular catalysts and metal-free polymer catalysts. We introduce present-day challenges in molecular strategies and describe a vision for CO₂RR electrocatalysis towards multi-carbon products. These strategies provide potential avenues to address the challenges of catalyst activity, selectivity and stability in the further development of CO₂RR.

The electrochemical carbon dioxide (CO₂) reduction reaction (CO₂RR) to fuels and chemical feedstocks, powered by renewable electricity, offers a pathway to long-term seasonal energy storage^{1,2}. In CO₂RR, electrocatalysts promote the conversion of CO₂ into value-added products such as carbon monoxide (CO), formate (HCOO⁻) or formic acid (HCOOH), methane (CH₄), ethylene (C₂H₄), and ethanol (C₂H₅OH). Today, metal-based heterogeneous catalysts commonly exhibit high activities for CO₂RR at low overpotentials^{1,3}. Nevertheless, key metrics—such as product selectivity, current density as a function of applied bias, the requirement of high CO₂ concentration in the source stream, and catalyst stability—are among the factors that need to be improved in the context of renewable energy applications.

In contrast to many heterogeneous catalysts, molecular catalysts offer the advantage of synthetic control over the steric and electronic properties in the vicinity of the active site. This in turn enables mechanistic studies to address structure–function relationships that then induce rational design of new catalyst structures towards improved performance. Homogeneous catalysts have been used in the context of CO₂RR for decades⁴. These systems have shown high selectivity, with near unity product Faradaic efficiency (FE), for CO or HCOO⁻ (HCOOH), and progress towards more reduced products such as CH₄ is emerging⁵.

There has been longstanding interest in the heterogeneous catalysis community to bridge concepts from homogeneous molecular catalysis to tune heterogeneous catalysts⁶. New product profiles can emerge when molecule-like catalysts are interfaced with solid supports⁷. An exciting example of this bridge is metalloenzymes,

which are able to mediate challenging multi-electron/proton reductive transformations at remarkably fast rates with very little applied bias⁸. This is a consequence of metalloenzyme structure, which has exploited multi-metallic active sites with ideally positioned secondary sphere interactions⁹. The catalysis research community is interested in defining and employing such design principles in artificial catalyst architectures¹⁰. Transferring such principles to molecularly enhanced heterogeneous catalysts represents an exciting opportunity for researchers. Introducing molecular approaches to heterogeneous CO₂RR catalysts may introduce new degrees of freedom that enable routes to enhance/alter product selectivity, increase catalyst mass activity, and lower the overpotential to drive a reaction at a desired rate. We use the term molecular approaches to refer to chemically modified electrodes—those that display motifs derived from molecular chemistry. We also note that controlling molecules in the electrolyte (water, cations and buffer species) is another critical dimension in CO₂RR, but it is a topic well-addressed elsewhere^{1,11}.

This Perspective discusses how molecular structures can be adapted to CO₂RR electrode materials. Four main strategies are described: molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts, immobilized organometallic complex catalysts, metal–organic framework (MOF) and covalent organic framework (COF) catalysts, and metal-free polymer catalysts (Fig. 1). Molecule-based approaches to improved heterogeneous catalysis have been discussed previously^{6,12,13}. This Perspective seeks to go beyond conceptualizing and classifying these ideas. Instead, it explores the extent to which the community has developed mechanistic pictures of why certain

¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ³Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA. ⁴Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA. ⁵Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering, Advanced Membranes and Porous Materials Center, Functional Materials Design, Discovery and Development Research Group, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Dae-Hyun Nam, Phil De Luna. *e-mail: ted.sargent@utoronto.ca

Fig. 1 | Molecularly enhanced heterogeneous CO₂RR electrocatalysts. Scope and role of molecules in heterogeneous CO₂RR, from surface functionalization to metal-free polymer catalysts. Approaches for molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts, organometallic complex catalysts, reticular chemistry-based MOF and COF catalysts, and metal-free polymer catalysts can be combined to offer further synergies with respect to products and catalyst operating conditions.

molecularly enhanced heterogeneous catalysts have improved performance; from there we speculate regarding new directions that could potentially result in further improvements in molecularly enhanced heterogeneous CO₂RR. Molecule type and the electrode structure determine the role of molecules in each approach (Fig. 2); examples are summarized for each strategy in Table 1.

Materials for molecular-enhanced CO₂RR

Please insert some text here.

Molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts.

Electrochemical CO₂RR is complex, with multiple proton-coupled electron transfer reactions depending on the nature of the product. It has been proposed that the overpotential is determined by the binding energies of intermediates associated with each of the multiple reaction steps along the pathway¹⁴ (Fig. 3). To move in the direction of programming reaction outcome, it is important to understand the relationship between the intermediates in proposed reaction pathways^{15–18}. Lowering overpotentials is difficult because the binding energy of one intermediate is typically correlated to others through thermodynamic scaling relations. Scaling relations are established by *d*-band theory, originating from the interaction

between adsorbed intermediate and metal *d*-states¹². For decreased overpotential and enhanced product selectivity of CO₂RR, strategies are required to break scaling relations and control the direction of reaction pathways toward a specific product.

It has been proposed that organic additives or coatings, such as cysteamine¹⁹, thiols^{19,20}, amine^{20,21}, polypyrrole²², *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs)²³, 4-pyridylethylmercaptan (4-PEM)²⁴, glycine²⁵ and *N*-substituted tetrahydro-bipyridine^{26,27}, may control the binding energy of CO₂RR intermediates such as *COOH, *CO and *HCOO (Fig. 2a). These reports suggest that tuning the organics to impart desired selectivities is possible. Further studies addressing structure–function correlations are needed for improved control and for accessing new reaction products.

Molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts have been investigated for the CO₂-to-CO electroconversion, where the reaction pathway is affected by altering the binding energies of *COOH and *CO intermediates (Fig. 3a)—both are supposed to be critical intermediates along the CO pathway. For instance, it has been proposed, with support from density functional theory (DFT) studies, that anchoring cysteamine molecules to Ag nanoparticles (NPs) leads to an increase of the unpaired electron localization at the surface of the NPs¹⁹. This is believed to result in preferential

Table 1 | CO₂RR performance of molecular-additive-modified heterogeneous catalysts, organometallic complex catalysts, 3D reticular catalysts and polymer-based metal-free catalysts.

Approach	Material	Product FE (%)						Electrolyte	E versus RHE (V)	Ref.
		H ₂	CO	CH ₄	HCOO ⁻	C ₂ H ₄	C ₂ H ₅ OH			
Molecular additive modified heterogeneous catalysts	Pd		23		3			0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.57	23
	Pd + timtmb Me (NHC)		4		82			0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.57	
	Cu	42.8	1.7	20.2	4.7	12.4	7.2	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	26
	Cu + tetrahydro-bipyridine	15.5	1.8	1.0	6.5	40.5	30.6	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	
	Au		52					0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.7	21
	Au + OLA		73					0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.7	
	Co-C	95	5					0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.6	22
	Co-C + PPy	8	80					0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.6	
	Au	50	34		12.5			0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.02	24
	Au + 4-PEM	47	14		22.5			0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.05	
	Ag/C + OLA		92.6					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.75	20
	Ag/C + OA		87.8					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.75	
	Cu NW	76.2	0.7			5.9		0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.2	25
	Cu NW + Glycine									
		52.2	0.9			12.7		0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.2	
	Ag		70					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	19
	Ag + Cysteamine		83					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.72	
Organometallic catalysts	Cu phthalocyanine + CNT	34		66				0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.06	35
	Co phthalocyanine + CN + CNT	3.3	98					0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.63	37
	Mn (bipyridine) + CNT	45	35					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.1	34
	Cu porphyrin + C		10	27	17			0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.976	32
	Co protoporphyrin + graphite		60					0.1 M HClO ₄	-0.6	36
MOF/COF catalysts	HKUST-1	7	24			45		1 M KOH	-1.97	53
	Zn(NO ₃) ₂ ZIF-8		65					0.5 M NaCl	-1.8 (versus SCE)	81
	COF-M-Co	12	88					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.86	50
	Co porphyrin MOF	24	76					0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.7	48
Metal-free polymer catalysts	Polydopamine	12	7		77			0.1 M TBA-PF ₆	-0.86 (versus NHE)	54
	Polyethylenimine				87			0.1 M KHCO ₃	-1.8 (versus SCE)	57

OLA, oleylamine; PPy, polypyrrole; 4-PEM, 4-pyridylethylmercaptan; OA, oleic acid; TBA-PF₆, Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate.

film generated from the reductive coupling of *N*-arylpyridinium salts, which lowers the partial current densities for H₂ and CH₄, and increases selectivity for C₂ products²⁶. The modification of Cu surface with glycine is reported also to enhance C₂ hydrocarbon production compared with the case of bare Cu (Table 1)²⁵.

Surface modification of electrodes with organic compounds is a simple, inexpensive and versatile approach to tune the selectivity

profile of CO₂RR. Acquiring an increasingly detailed understanding of the interactions with the electrode and CO₂RR substrate/intermediates is especially important. In particular for Cu, several theoretical reports suggest that tuning the adsorption energy of *CCH and/or *CHCHOH intermediates allows control over selectivity between C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH (Fig. 3b). The added degree of freedom by organic modification of Cu electrodes can potentially

Fig. 3 | Examples of action modes of molecular approaches on heterogeneous CO_2RR catalysts. Theoretical CO_2 reduction mechanism with the proposed role of molecular additives in the stabilization of certain adsorbed intermediates^{15–18}. **a**, Reaction pathway for C_1 products (carbon monoxide, formic acid, methane) with the effect of molecules^{19–24,31}. **b**, Reaction pathway for C_2 products (ethylene, ethanol) with the effect of molecule²⁶. Figure adapted with permission from ref. ¹⁵, American Chemical Society; ref. ¹⁶, American Chemical Society; ref. ¹⁷, American Chemical Society; and ref. ¹⁸, Springer Nature Ltd.

be impactful to tune C_2 product selectivities. We envisage, beyond the above theoretical proposals or rationalizations, that the role of the molecules needs to be investigated further experimentally, such as by detecting CO_2RR intermediates using in situ/operando spectroscopies such as Raman, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and surface enhanced infrared absorption spectroscopy (SEIRAS). Recently, the effect of an *N*-arylpyridinium-derived molecular-additive layer on the Cu electrode has been investigated by using SEIRAS during CO_2RR ²⁸. It has been revealed that the molecular-additive layer can control the pH gradient or block the active sites according to the chemical structure of the *N*-arylpyridinium group. This approach will provide more insight to the research community.

Immobilized organometallic complex catalysts. Organometallic complexes, whose design is inspired by metalloenzyme active sites, such as those in CO dehydrogenase (CODH), feature a metal centre supported by a ligand framework that tunes the reactivity of the metal by affecting its local environment²⁹. The ligand and metal centre affect the complex's catalytic properties by the tuning of molecular geometry, electronic states and intermediate binding, as well as the second coordination sphere interactions, such as hydrogen

bonding and electrostatic interactions^{30,31}. Immobilization strategies have been investigated for a wide range of metal complexes, including ligand platforms such as porphyrins³², bipyridines^{33,34}, cyclams³⁵, protoporphyrin³⁶ and phthalocyanine³⁷ (Fig. 2b).

Porphyrin- and phthalocyanine-based organometallic complexes have been studied as effective homogeneous catalysts for the CO_2RR in organic media⁴. The immobilization of these molecular catalysts enables the CO_2RR in aqueous media. For instance, Co phthalocyanine (CoPc) complex + carbon nanotubes (CNTs) hybrid material performed the selective electroreduction of CO_2 to CO with FE over 90% in aqueous potassium bicarbonate (KHCO_3) solution, showing a turnover frequency of 2.7 s^{-1} and a 10-h stability³⁷. Interestingly, beyond CO production, the immobilized Co protoporphyrin systems are also able to produce a trace amount of more reduced products such as CH_4 at lower pH³⁶.

With the aim of obtaining more reduced products, researchers have also explored Cu-based coordination compounds. For instance, when a Cu phthalocyanine (CuPc) complex was supported on multi-walled CNTs, the resulting hybrid material displayed a FE of 66% for the production of CH_4 in aqueous electrolyte³⁵. In situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) studies suggest that the CuPc

undergoes reversible structural and redox changes to form small, metallic copper particles with a size of ~ 2 nm. The molecular species appears to serve as a precursor to form Cu(0) that is the presumed active catalyst for CO₂RR.

Organometallic complexes are usually immobilized onto support materials (for example, graphite, graphene and CNTs) via interactions such as covalent bonding, π – π stacking interactions, electropolymerization and grafting^{13,33}. In these hybrid systems, the electrochemical and catalytic behaviours of the immobilized molecules resemble that of the corresponding homogeneous species, though some shifts of redox processes are often observed. The immobilized complexes act as the redox-active centres to mediate the activation of substrate (for example, CO₂) via its own oxidation or reduction processes. This behaviour is likely to be due to the weak electronic communications between the immobilized molecules and the support, resulting in a tunnelling barrier to electron transfers in these systems.

In contrast, the Surendranath group has recently reported molecular catalysts electronically linked to a graphitic electrode through conjugated aromatic pyrazine linkages. The authors found distinct electron transfer behaviours compared with those observed in weakly-immobilized systems^{38,39}. Unlike homogeneous molecular catalysts with stepwise electron transfer and substrate activation, this graphite conjugated catalyst (GCC) induces concerted electron transfer and substrate activation³⁸. The conductive pyrazine linkages also provide strong electronic coupling that minimize the potential drop between the electrode and the metal complex.

The metal active site in GCCs exists inside the electrical double layer. As the Fermi energy level of electrode (E_F) is shifted, the redox potential of the metal active centre is shifted together and there is no change in the driving force for electron transfer between graphite and metallic active centre in GCCs. No outer-sphere electron transfer or reduction of metal active centre is observed in GCCs^{38,39}. A potential drop between the GCC site and the solution was found, which induces ion transfers coupled with electron transfers between them³⁸. The applied potential modulates the driving force for substrate activation^{39,40}.

The electrolyte environment also has an effect on the catalytic behaviours of the immobilized molecular catalysts. In CO₂RR using bicarbonate (HCO₃[–]) electrolyte, there exists an equilibrium of CO₂ (aq) + H₂O $\leftrightarrow$ H⁺ (aq) + HCO₃[–] (aq)¹³. It has been reported that the CO₂RR using organometallic complexes with weak electronic coupling, such as CoPc on CNT, relies on both electron transfer and an ion–electron transfer step^{13,41}. In low [HCO₃[–]], electron transfer induces reduction from Co(II) to Co(I) and COO[–] adsorption at the Co centre. In high [HCO₃[–]], coupled ion–electron transfer becomes a rate-determining step, and HCO₃[–] acts as a proton donor. CO₂RR of GCCs is expected to proceed with coupled ion–electron transfer for substrate activation. It has been reported that strong electronic coupling enhances turnover frequency compared to weak electronic coupling⁴⁰.

An emerging class of materials that is receiving significant attention is single-atom catalysts (SACs). N-doped conducting carbon materials can provide a matrix for SACs where discrete metal centres are embedded within the N ligand field, mimicking the local environment of metallic centres in organometallic complexes⁴². SACs have proven to be successful materials to perform CO₂RR at lower overpotentials with high efficiencies for C₁ products. An example of DFT modelling has proposed that N-coordinated active metal centre promotes *COOH binding, leading to a high selectivity for C₁ products⁴³. The pyrolysis of MOF materials has also been employed for the fabrication of SACs, which has allowed for a fine control of the spatial separation among metal atomic sites with N coordination⁴⁴. In SACs, the metal atomic centre is directly incorporated within the carbon support, and these are expected to be close to the case of strong electronic coupling. Investigating the oxidation

states of the metal active centre by operando XAS will be helpful to understand the electronic coupling in SACs⁴⁵. Further research on CO₂RR mechanistic in the context of SACs is required.

Related to small molecule heterogenization, the immobilization of enzymatic catalysts represents a related emerging strategy for electrochemical CO₂RR. The potential for high selectivity and specificity for complex products at low applied bias is an important advantage of biocatalysts⁴⁶. Increasing the CO₂RR selectivity and activity for highly reduced products, accessing alternative reduction products, and increasing the stability of the protein under electrochemical conditions and electrolyte pH, are current challenges in this area.

Reticular chemistry-based MOFs and COFs catalysts. Reticular chemistry provides access to three-dimensional (3D) porous materials that can immobilize molecular catalysts at well-defined positions. MOFs (organic linkers and metal nodes) and COFs (covalently connected organic molecules) exhibit properties such as high surface area, tunable porosity, diversity in metal and functional groups, confinement effects and periodic metal arrangements⁴⁷. These properties make MOFs and COFs attractive for use as catalysts. Reticular systems have several properties suitable for their use as catalysts in CO₂RR^{47,48}. Coordinatively unsaturated metal sites, functionalized organic linkers and periodic defects may all serve as active sites⁴⁹. Certain MOFs and COFs can be integrated without immobilization anchoring materials. They promote the uniform distribution of the metal active centre without agglomeration (Fig. 2c). Because of their inherent pore confinement properties, these can produce local CO₂ concentration enhancement and this may allow for CO₂RR catalysis in dilute CO₂ environments.

Porphyrin-based MOFs and COFs have been widely investigated as CO₂RR electrocatalysts for C₁ products^{48,50}. Co porphyrin-based MOFs (Al₂(OH)₂TCPP-Co) exhibit high CO selectivity and activity, in which Co(I), formed by the reduction of Co(II) during CO₂RR, is supposed to be the formal catalytic active site⁴⁸. In another example, Co porphyrin-based COFs exhibit FE values up to 90% for CO with a turnover frequency of 9,400 h^{–1} (ref. 50). By exploiting a layer-by-layer MOF synthesis, two-dimensional (2D) MOF thin films on substrates have been studied, and reticular chemistry used to control the preferred orientation of the MOF^{51,52}.

Recently, it was revealed that undercoordinated Cu sites in HKUST-1 could be afforded by detaching the carboxylate moieties. According to the degree of thermal calcination at a specific temperature, the degree of Cu dimer distortion towards an asymmetric structure was controlled. This Cu dimer control in the secondary building unit induced the formation of coordinate structure-controlled Cu clusters. Distortion of the Cu dimer resulted in the formation of Cu clusters with lower Cu–Cu coordination numbers, and an overall catalyst material that exhibited a C₂H₄ FE of 45%⁵³.

Despite these initial promising results, research on MOFs and COFs CO₂RR electrocatalysts is still nascent and currently shows relatively lower current density than conventional metal heterogeneous catalysts. A major challenge for MOFs is their low electrical conductivity, which limits the ability for charges to be carried to the active sites within the periodic structure. Continued efforts to enhance electrical conductivity, robustness under high pH electrolyte, durability at negative reduction potential, generation of C_{2–22} products, and substrate interfacing are needed for MOF and COF catalysts to rival the performance of state-of-the-art heterogeneous electrocatalysts. As efforts to address the limitations presented above continue, MOF and COF materials will be an attractive platform for the next generation of CO₂RR electrocatalysts⁴⁷.

Polymer-based metal-free catalysts. Metal-free conjugated and conductive polymers have been used directly as CO₂RR electrocatalysts^{54–56}. Semiconducting polymers, such as polypyrrole (62%

for CH_3COOH , 41% for HCOOH and 2% HCHO) and polyaniline (78% for CH_3COOH and 12% for HCOOH), were applied as heterogeneous electrocatalysts using a $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}/\text{LiClO}_4/\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ electrolyte^{55,56}. It was proposed that CO_2 adsorption occurs via hydrogen bonding interactions. Polydopamine (PDA), which contains significantly more hydrogen bonding sites per repeating unit, has shown selectivity for C_1 products⁵⁴ (Fig. 2d). It was proposed that these conductive polymers activate CO_2 sequentially at the carbonyl and amide groups. This catalyst exhibited CO FE over 80% and showed stability over 16 h in non-aqueous electrolytes⁵⁴. In another example, the amine moieties of polyethylenimine were proposed to stabilize CO_2RR intermediates via hydrogen bonding interactions and therefore promote CO_2 -to- HCOO^- conversion on N-doped CNT⁵⁷ (Fig. 2d). Adsorbed CO_2 is reduced to $\text{CO}_2^{\bullet-}$ at the N site, and stabilized via hydrogen bonding in polyethylenimine. It has been proposed that bonded $\text{CO}_2^{\bullet-}$ is protonated and forms HCOO^- (ref. 57).

Graphene and CNTs have been actively studied as different types of metal-free carbon-based CO_2RR electrocatalysts. Studies related to catalytic activity as a function of N doping (pyridinic, graphitic, pyrrolic N) and B doping have been carried out, and most have shown the production of CO and HCOO^- to be favoured (graphitic N-doped CNT: 90% for CO⁵⁸, N-doped graphene: 73% for HCOO^- (ref. 59)). It has been reported that N-doped graphene quantum dots, which contain a higher density of pyridinic N-edge sites, exhibited 31% C_2H_4 FE⁶⁰. DFT calculations point to the importance of N-doped edges in converting CO_2 into C_2 products⁶¹. Research in carbon-based materials should be more than cautious, because trace metals such as Ni, Fe, Mn and Cu can be present as impurities in carbon-based materials such as graphite, graphene oxide and CNTs. Specifically, Cu in graphene oxide has been reported to promote CH_4 formation in CO_2RR ⁶². Furthermore, by taking advantage of the reduction of dissolved Cu ions in the electrolyte, CH_4 production can be optimized⁶². This reveals that trace metals, even at low concentrations, can be effective in tuning the CO_2RR activity of carbon-based materials.

Metal-free catalysts for CO_2RR are appealing given the low-cost of such materials and the potential diversity of structure and reactivity available. However, challenges include the synthesis of well-defined, functionalized polymers suitable for structure–function studies to gain insight into the mechanism(s) of CO_2RR . Features that would be of interest to explore in this context are the molecular weight, dispersity and monomer content of the polymer⁶³. This could be achieved, for example, by targeting bifunctional copolymers made of semiconducting blocks for electron delivery and blocks designed to activate CO_2 through weak secondary interactions.

Future directions and strategies

Here we turn to new approaches that rely on the molecular enhancement of heterogeneous catalysts to address challenges in CO_2RR . Activity amplification and product selectivity increase are essential for practical applications. A significant aspect in the implementation of these molecular approaches is the need for systematic structure–function studies that provide insight into the nature of the active species and mechanism(s) of catalysis. These studies are essential for the rational improvement of the catalyst systems.

Second coordination sphere interactions. With inspiration from enzyme active sites, control of interactions of intermediates with groups in close proximity can increase activity and selectivity for CO_2RR ⁶⁴. Secondary coordination sphere interactions with motifs such as pendant amine donors⁶⁵, hydrogenase mimics⁶⁶ and hangman porphyrins⁶⁷ have received attention for electrocatalysts. Designing pendant groups that can engage as hydrogen bonding and Lewis acid interactions is expected to have significant effects on catalysis (Fig. 4a). Lewis acids can help to shift the redox potential

for CO_2RR by promoting CO_2 binding and activation⁹. In Fig. 4b, solid-state structure (left, protein data bank (PDB): 4UDX) and schematic representation (right) of the CO_2 -bound NiFe-CODH active site explain the role of different interactions in CO_2 activation: redox active metal, Lewis acidic metal and hydrogen bonding with functionalized pendant groups⁶⁴. The correct positioning of groups that can interact with intermediates in CO_2RR relative to the electrode surface is a significant challenge for this approach, but will be instrumental for reactivity.

Tandem catalysis. Coupling of multiple catalysts that perform successive steps in CO_2RR has been employed in molecular systems⁶⁸. This approach can be used to perform CO_2RR to CO at more positive potentials with a molecular catalyst, followed by subsequent reduction of CO to multi-carbon products on a Cu electrode. Overall, such an approach provides access to a system that performs the reduction of CO_2 to C_{2+} species with lower overpotentials⁶⁹. Alternatively, a molecular catalyst could be employed to perform orthogonal chemistry (Fig. 4c). For example, the catalytic dimerization or oligomerization of C_2H_4 could result in a C_4 , C_6 or a statistical distribution of C_{2n} olefins, depending on the type of catalyst employed⁷⁰ (Fig. 4d). A Ni-based MOF has been applied for carbon upgrading from C_2H_4 to 1-butene (C_4H_8) (ref. 71). Access to catalysts for tandem chemistry that are compatible with electrochemistry on Cu may represent a significant opportunity.

Control of proton inventory. The chemistry of CO_2 in aqueous systems has the additional complication of non-redox reactivity due to the pH-dependent equilibrium among dissolved CO_2 , HCO_3^- , and carbonate (CO_3^{2-}). Although a neutral pH electrolyte is advantageous to avoid carbonate formation, C_{2+} production on Cu electrodes is favoured in microenvironments in which the pH is high⁷², which limits the concentration of protons at the electrode and translates to more C–C coupling chemistry. Therefore, in addition to electron transfer, the important aspect of controlling the selectivity profile of CO_2RR is the proton transfer^{73,74} (Fig. 4e). For example, DFT calculations have offered an explanation of pH in determining C_1 and C_2 product pathways at the well-defined Cu (111) surface⁷² (Fig. 4f). In real catalysts, the electrode surface structure, as well as mass transport, will affect CO_2RR , and computational insight needs to be accompanied by further experiment investigations. This approach has already been proven to be effective for controlling proton transfer in the oxygen reduction reaction with supported molecular Cu electrocatalysts with a hybrid bilayer membrane⁷⁵. Analogously, a membrane-like layer generated by molecular additives on Cu could control the flow of protons and thereby improve CO_2RR selectivity for C_{2+} products. A challenge here will be the development of appropriate preparation methodologies to avoid blocking of active sites on the Cu catalyst.

Selective inhibition. Molecular additives can serve as promoters of certain reaction pathways or as inhibitors. Towards targeting C_{2+} products, selective inhibitors for the generation of CH_4 and other C_1 by-products are desirable (Fig. 4g). For example, the tetrahydrobipyridine molecules with low steric hindrance in the *para* position of the phenyl ring (flat structure; Fig. 4h) have been shown to enhance CO_2RR towards C_{2+} products, while *tert*-butyl substitution increases H_2 generation and decreases C_{2+} products²⁶. This shows that the steric profile of the pyridinium additive can affect the CO_2RR product reaction pathway²⁶. Proposed mechanisms for the effect on selectivity include selective inhibition of sites primarily responsible for CH_4 formation, the activation of sites responsible for C_{2+} formation, and inhibition of proton transfer (via a pH gradient). The development of a range of additives that result in low C_1 production, as well as more detailed insights into the fundamental basis of such selectivity, remain areas of much interest.

Fig. 4 | Future challenges for molecular strategies. **a**, Second coordination sphere interaction. **b**, Schematic of a multi-metallic metalloenzyme active site with secondary sphere interactions. **c**, Tandem catalyst for a multi-carbon product. **d**, Example of ethylene oligomerization. **e**, Control of proton inventory during electrochemical CO₂RR. **f**, CO₂RR pathway according to local pH. **g**, Selective inhibition for product selectivity enhancement. **h**, Example of a molecule for selective inhibition of methane and hydrogen. **i**, Stabilization of electrode by molecular additive. **j**, Example of polydopamine-based stability enhancement of Cu. Figure reproduced with permission from ref. ⁶⁴, American Chemical Society (**b**); ref. ⁸², Elsevier (**d**); and ref. ⁷², American Chemical Society (**f**); and adapted with permission from ref. ²⁶, American Chemical Society (**h**); and ref. ⁷⁶, Wiley (**j**).

Stabilization of electrode microstructure. It has been established that certain Cu crystal facets and morphologies favour C_{22} products³. Surface reorganization during electrocatalysis can affect CO_2 RR selectivity. Molecular additives and coatings may serve as ligands to stabilize the surface of the electrode against severe reconstruction²⁷ (Fig. 4i). When the Cu surface was functionalized with polydopamine, surface reorganization was prevented during CO_2 RR (Fig. 4j). Polydopamine led to highly stable CO_2 RR to CH_4 by Cu over 14 h without a decrease in current density, while CO_2 RR by bare Cu ceased within 3 h (ref. ⁷⁶). Amine groups in polydopamine may assist in the protonation of *CO at the Cu surface. Polymer-based metal surface modification thus can contribute not only to stability, but also to high selectivity towards the formation of specific CO_2 RR products. Furthermore, for the utilization of discrete Cu active sites displaying mononuclear, dinuclear Cu or oxo-bridged Cu in CO_2 RR, the development of robust molecular catalysts is desirable for both practical applications and mechanistic studies.

Challenges

At present, most mechanistic studies regarding the role of molecules in CO_2 RR using molecularly augmented heterogeneous catalysts are based on the binding energy between intermediates and the electrode surface, and rely on calculation. To deepen understanding of CO_2 RR among molecular-augmented heterogeneous catalysts, fundamental studies of the electrochemical electrode/electrolyte interface, electron transfer kinetics and mass transport of chemical species such as CO_2 (aq), HCO_3^- , CO_3^{2-} and OH^- near the electrode require experimental elucidation^{77,78}. There is a lack of knowledge about the effect of surface coverage, the chemical state of molecules, the real structure of additive molecules during reaction, and the electroactive surface area of additive-modified electrodes. Investigating the effects of molecules on the CO_2 RR of heterogeneous catalysts will take into account electron–proton coupled/decoupled pathways, the surface structure of modified electrodes, the interfacial electric field and transport limitations imposed by the surface molecular layer.

Given the complexity of these systems, gaining fundamental insight into their function is likely to require concerted multidisciplinary efforts including areas of catalysis, synthesis, spectroscopy and theory. There exist challenges related to lifetime, activity, selectivity and identity of the active sites. While the ability to tailor the microenvironment (the local environment near the metal active site) of hybrid catalyst structures may lead to improved selectivities and rates through carefully designed interactions, a major concern of such structures is that they can degrade/evolve such that the original rational design has been lost⁷⁹. For the stability of heterogenized molecular catalysts, aggregation, leaching and demetallation must be prevented¹³. Covalent grafting by small molecules between an organometallic complex and an electrode can enhance the stability of immobilized organometallic complexes⁸⁰. Research on heterogenized molecular catalyst stability will benefit from in situ/operando spectroscopy. In particular, in situ/operando XAS provides a probe of oxidation states and the local coordination environment of the metal centre in an organometallic complex, and MOF and COF heterogeneous catalysts during reaction. ^{13}C labelling experiments must be performed to ensure that the reduced carbon products are derived from CO_2 rather than via degradation of the catalyst or additives. Conductivity is another major challenge that needs to be addressed. Molecular-based approaches can only be effective if the electron from the conductive substrate can travel to the tailored active site.

Conclusion and outlook

This Perspective has highlighted the potential of applying molecular strategies to enhance heterogeneous electrochemical CO_2 RR. These strategies can, at least in principle, offer precise control of catalyst

active sites. The use of well-defined molecules for metal surface modification can control the binding energy of CO_2 RR intermediates, and thereby lead to product selectivity control by breaking scaling relations. A distinct advantage of molecular enhancement approaches is that structure–function relationships can be more precisely defined. Such relationships can provide mechanistic insights essential to rational catalyst improvement. Molecularly enhanced heterogeneous CO_2 RR catalysts offer the potential to overcome major challenges in tunability and stability as a frontier opportunity for CO_2 RR electrocatalysis.

Received: 16 January 2019; Accepted: 8 January 2020;

References

- Hori, Y. In *Modern Aspects of Electrochemistry* (eds. Vayenas, C. G., White, R. E. & Gamboa-Aldeco, M. E.) 89–189 (Springer, 2008).
- Thang-Dinh, C. et al. CO_2 electroreduction to ethylene via hydroxide-mediated copper catalysis at an abrupt interface. *Science* **360**, 783–787 (2018).
- Nitopi, S. et al. Progress and perspectives of electrochemical CO_2 reduction on copper in aqueous electrolyte. *Chem. Rev.* **119**, 7610–7672 (2019).
- Benson, E. E., Kubiak, C. P., Sathrum, A. J. & Smieja, J. M. Electrocatalytic and homogeneous approaches to conversion of CO_2 to liquid fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **38**, 89–99 (2009).
- Qiao, J., Liu, Y., Hong, F. & Zhang, J. A review of catalysts for the electroreduction of carbon dioxide to produce low-carbon fuels. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 631–675 (2014).
- Cui, X., Li, W., Ryabchuk, P., Junge, K. & Beller, M. Bridging homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysis by heterogeneous single-metal-site catalysts. *Nat. Catal.* **1**, 385–397 (2018).
- Copéret, C. et al. Bridging the gap between industrial and well-defined supported catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 6398–6440 (2018).
- Armstrong, F. A. & Hirst, J. Reversibility and efficiency in electrocatalytic energy conversion and lessons from enzymes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, 14049–14054 (2011).
- Fessler, J., Jeoung, J.-H. & Dobbek, H. How the $[NiFe_2S_4]$ cluster of CO dehydrogenase activates CO_2 and NCO^- . *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **54**, 8560–8564 (2015).
- Thomas, J. M., Raja, R. & Lewis, D. W. Single-site heterogeneous catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **44**, 6456–6482 (2005).
- Singh, M. R., Kwon, Y., Lum, Y., Ager, J. W. & Bell, A. T. Hydrolysis of electrolyte cations enhances the electrochemical reduction of CO_2 over Ag and Cu. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 13006–13012 (2016).
- Li, Y. & Sun, Q. Recent advances in breaking scaling relations for effective electrochemical conversion of CO_2 . *Adv. Energy Mater.* **6**, 1600463 (2016).
- Corbin, N., Zeng, J., Williams, K. & Manthiram, K. Heterogeneous molecular catalysts for electrocatalytic CO_2 reduction. *Nano Res.* **12**, 2093–2125 (2019).
- Peterson, A. A. & Nørskov, J. K. Activity descriptors for CO_2 electroreduction to methane on transition-metal catalysts. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 251–258 (2012).
- Kortlever, R., Shen, J., Schouten, K. J. P., Calle-Vallejo, F. & Koper, M. T. M. Catalysts and reaction pathways for the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **6**, 4073–4082 (2015).
- Xiao, H., Cheng, T. & Goddard, W. A. Atomistic mechanisms underlying selectivities in C_1 and C_2 products from electrochemical reduction of CO on Cu(111). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 130–136 (2017).
- Lum, Y., Cheng, T., Goddard, W. A. & Ager, J. W. Electrochemical CO reduction builds solvent water into oxygenate products. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 9337–9340 (2018).
- Liu, X. et al. pH effects on the electrochemical reduction of $CO(2)$ towards C_2 products on stepped copper. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 32 (2019).
- Kim, C. et al. Achieving selective and efficient electrocatalytic activity for CO_2 reduction using immobilized silver nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 13844–13850 (2015).
- Kim, C. et al. Insight into electrochemical CO_2 reduction on surface-molecule-mediated Ag nanoparticles. *ACS Catal.* **7**, 779–785 (2017).
- Zhao, Y., Wang, C., Liu, Y., MacFarlane, D. R. & Wallace, G. G. Engineering surface amine modifiers of ultrasmall gold nanoparticles supported on reduced graphene oxide for improved electrochemical CO_2 reduction. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **8**, 1801400 (2018).
- Mun, Y. et al. A novel strategy to develop non-noble metal catalyst for CO_2 electroreduction: Hybridization of metal-organic polymer. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **236**, 154–161 (2018).
- Cao, Z. et al. Chelating N-heterocyclic carbene ligands enable tuning of electrocatalytic CO_2 reduction to formate and carbon monoxide: Surface organometallic chemistry. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **57**, 4981–4985 (2018).

24. Fang, Y. & Flake, J. C. Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ at functionalized Au electrodes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 3399–3405 (2017).
25. Xie, M. S. et al. Amino acid modified copper electrodes for the enhanced selective electroreduction of carbon dioxide towards hydrocarbons. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **9**, 1687–1695 (2016).
26. Han, Z., Kortlever, R., Chen, H.-Y., Peters, J. C. & Agapie, T. CO₂ reduction selective for C₂ products on polycrystalline copper with N-substituted pyridinium additives. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **3**, 853–859 (2017).
27. Thevenon, A., Rosas-Hernández, A., Peters, J. C. & Agapie, T. In-situ nanostructuring and stabilization of polycrystalline copper by an organic salt additive promotes electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction to ethylene. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **58**, 16952–16958 (2019).
28. Ovalle, V. J. & Waagele, M. M. Understanding the impact of N-Arylpyridinium ions on the selectivity of CO₂ reduction at the Cu/ electrolyte interface. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **123**, 24453–24460 (2019).
29. Francke, R., Schille, B. & Roemelt, M. Homogeneously catalyzed electroreduction of carbon dioxide—Methods, mechanisms, and catalysts. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 4631–4701 (2018).
30. Chapovetsky, A. et al. Pendant hydrogen-bond donors in cobalt catalysts independently enhance CO₂ reduction. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **4**, 397–404 (2018).
31. Göttle, A. J. & Koper, M. T. M. Determinant role of electrogenerated reactive nucleophilic species on selectivity during reduction of CO₂ catalyzed by metalloporphyrins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 4826–4834 (2018).
32. Weng, Z. et al. Electrochemical CO₂ reduction to hydrocarbons on a heterogeneous molecular Cu catalyst in aqueous solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 8076–8079 (2016).
33. Willkomm, J. et al. Grafting of a molecular rhenium CO₂ reduction catalyst onto colloid-imprinted carbon. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2**, 2414–2418 (2019).
34. Reuillard, B. et al. Tuning product selectivity for aqueous CO₂ reduction with a Mn(bipyridine)-pyrene catalyst immobilized on a carbon nanotube electrode. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **139**, 14425–14435 (2017).
35. Weng, Z. et al. Active sites of copper-complex catalytic materials for electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 415 (2018).
36. Shen, J. et al. Electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to carbon monoxide and methane at an immobilized cobalt protoporphyrin. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8177 (2015).
37. Zhang, X. et al. Highly selective and active CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts based on cobalt phthalocyanine/carbon nanotube hybrid structures. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14675 (2017).
38. Jackson, M. N. et al. Strong electronic coupling of molecular sites to graphitic electrodes via pyrazine conjugation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 1004–1010 (2018).
39. Jackson, M. N., Kaminsky, C. J., Oh, S., Melville, J. F. & Surendranath, Y. Graphite conjugation eliminates redox intermediates in molecular electrocatalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **141**, 14160–14167 (2019).
40. Kaminsky, C. J., Wright, J. & Surendranath, Y. Graphite-Conjugation Enhances Porphyrin Electrocatalysis. *ACS Catal.* **9**, 3667–3671 (2019).
41. Zhu, M., Ye, R., Jin, K., Lazowski, N. & Manthiram, K. Elucidating the reactivity and mechanism of CO₂ electroreduction at highly dispersed cobalt phthalocyanine. *ACS Energy Lett.* **3**, 1381–1386 (2018).
42. Chen, Y. et al. Single-atom catalysts: Synthetic strategies and electrochemical applications. *Joule* **2**, 1242–1264 (2018).
43. Pan, Y. et al. Design of single-atom Co–N₅ catalytic site: A robust electrocatalyst for CO₂ reduction with nearly 100% CO selectivity and remarkable stability. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 4218–4221 (2018).
44. Sun, T., Xu, L., Wang, D. & Li, Y. Metal organic frameworks derived single atom catalysts for electrocatalytic energy conversion. *Nano Res.* **12**, 2067–2080 (2019).
45. Gu, J., Hsu, C.-S., Bai, L., Chen, H. M. & Hu, X. Atomically dispersed Fe³⁺ sites catalyze efficient CO₂ electroreduction to CO. *Science* **364**, 1091–1094 (2019).
46. Reda, T., Plugge, C. M., Abram, N. J. & Hirst, J. Reversible interconversion of carbon dioxide and formate by an electroactive enzyme. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **105**, 10654–10658 (2008).
47. Diercks, C. S., Liu, Y., Cordova, K. E. & Yaghi, O. M. The role of reticular chemistry in the design of CO₂ reduction catalysts. *Nat. Mater.* **17**, 301–307 (2018).
48. Kornienko, N. et al. Metal–organic frameworks for electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **137**, 14129–14135 (2015).
49. Jiao, L., Wang, Y., Jiang, H.-L. & Xu, Q. Metal–organic frameworks as platforms for catalytic applications. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1703663 (2017).
50. Lin, S. et al. Covalent organic frameworks comprising cobalt porphyrins for catalytic CO₂ reduction in water. *Science* **349**, 1208–1213 (2015).
51. Diercks, C. S. et al. Reticular electronic tuning of porphyrin active sites in covalent organic frameworks for electrocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 1116–1122 (2018).
52. De Luna, P. et al. Metal–organic framework thin films on high-curvature nanostructures toward tandem electrocatalysis. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **10**, 31225–31232 (2018).
53. Nam, D.-H. et al. Metal–organic frameworks mediate Cu coordination for selective CO₂ electroreduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 11378–11386 (2018).
54. Coskun, H. et al. Biofunctionalized conductive polymers enable efficient CO₂ electroreduction. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1700686 (2017).
55. Aydin, R. & Köleli, F. Electrocatalytic conversion of CO₂ on a polypyrrole electrode under high pressure in methanol. *Synth. Met.* **144**, 75–80 (2004).
56. Köleli, F., Röpke, T. & Hamann, C. H. The reduction of CO₂ on polyaniline electrode in a membrane cell. *Synth. Met.* **140**, 65–68 (2004).
57. Zhang, S. et al. Polyethylenimine-enhanced electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to formate at nitrogen-doped carbon nanomaterials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 7845–7848 (2014).
58. Xu, J. et al. Revealing the origin of activity in nitrogen-doped nanocarbons towards electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide. *ChemSusChem* **9**, 1085–1089 (2016).
59. Wang, H., Chen, Y., Hou, X., Ma, C. & Tan, T. Nitrogen-doped graphenes as efficient electrocatalysts for the selective reduction of carbon dioxide to formate in aqueous solution. *Green. Chem.* **18**, 3250–3256 (2016).
60. Wu, J. et al. A metal-free electrocatalyst for carbon dioxide reduction to multi-carbon hydrocarbons and oxygenates. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13869 (2016).
61. Zou, X. et al. How nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots catalyze electroreduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons and oxygenates. *ACS Catal.* **7**, 6245–6250 (2017).
62. Lum, Y. et al. Trace levels of copper in carbon materials show significant electrochemical CO₂ reduction activity. *ACS Catal.* **6**, 202–209 (2016).
63. Gentekos, D. T. & Fors, B. P. Molecular weight distribution shape as a versatile approach to tailoring block copolymer phase behavior. *ACS Macro Lett.* **7**, 677–682 (2018).
64. Buss, J. A., VanderVelde, D. G. & Agapie, T. Lewis acid enhancement of proton induced CO₂ cleavage: bond weakening and ligand residence time effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140**, 10121–10125 (2018).
65. Helm, M. L., Stewart, M. P., Bullock, R. M., DuBois, M. R. & DuBois, D. L. A synthetic nickel electrocatalyst with a turnover frequency above 100,000⁻¹ for H₂ production. *Science* **333**, 863–866 (2011).
66. Zhao, Y., Cao, X. & Jiang, L. Bio-mimic multichannel microtubes by a facile method. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 764–765 (2007).
67. McGuire, R. Jr et al. Oxygen reduction reactivity of cobalt(ii) hangman porphyrins. *Chem. Sci.* **1**, 411–414 (2010).
68. Huff, C. A. & Sanford, M. S. Cascade catalysis for the homogeneous hydrogenation of CO₂ to methanol. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 18122–18125 (2011).
69. Morales-Guio, C. G. et al. Improved CO₂ reduction activity towards C₂+ alcohols on a tandem gold on copper electrocatalyst. *Nat. Catal.* **1**, 764–771 (2018).
70. Hulea, V. Toward platform chemicals from bio-based ethylene: heterogeneous catalysts and processes. *ACS Catal.* **8**, 3263–3279 (2018).
71. Metzger, E. D., Brozek, C. K., Comito, R. J. & Dincă, M. Selective dimerization of ethylene to 1-butene with a porous catalyst. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2**, 148–153 (2016).
72. Xiao, H., Cheng, T., Goddard, W. A. & Sundaraman, R. Mechanistic explanation of the pH dependence and onset potentials for hydrocarbon products from electrochemical reduction of CO on Cu (111). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 483–486 (2016).
73. Wuttig, A., Yaguchi, M., Motobayashi, K., Osawa, M. & Surendranath, Y. Inhibited proton transfer enhances Au-catalyzed CO₂-to-fuels selectivity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, E4585–E4593 (2016).
74. Weinberg, D. R. et al. Proton-coupled electron transfer. *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 4016–4093 (2012).
75. Barile, C. J. et al. Proton switch for modulating oxygen reduction by a copper electrocatalyst embedded in a hybrid bilayer membrane. *Nat. Mater.* **13**, 619–623 (2014).
76. Liu, H. et al. Polydopamine functionalized Cu nanowires for enhanced CO₂ electroreduction towards methane. *ChemElectroChem* **5**, 3991–3999 (2018).
77. Chen, S., Liu, Y. & Chen, J. Heterogeneous electron transfer at nanoscopic electrodes: importance of electronic structures and electric double layers. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 5372–5386 (2014).
78. Raciti, D., Mao, M. & Wang, C. Mass transport modelling for the electroreduction of CO₂ on Cu nanowires. *Nanotechnology* **29**, 44001 (2017).
79. Limburg, B., Bouwman, E. & Bonnet, S. Molecular water oxidation catalysts based on transition metals and their decomposition pathways. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **256**, 1451–1467 (2012).
80. Marianov, A. N. & Jiang, Y. Covalent ligation of Co molecular catalyst to carbon cloth for efficient electroreduction of CO₂ in water. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **244**, 881–888 (2019).
81. Wang, Y., Hou, P., Wang, Z. & Kang, P. Zinc imidazolate metal–organic frameworks (ZIF-8) for electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO. *ChemPhysChem* **18**, 3142–3147 (2017).
82. Agapie, T. Selective ethylene oligomerization: recent advances in chromium catalysis and mechanistic investigations. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **255**, 861–880 (2011).

Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported financially by the Ontario Research Fund: Research Excellence Program (ORF-RE-RE08-034) and CIFAR Bio-Inspired Solar Energy Program (FL-000719). This work was also supported by the Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis, a DOE Energy InnovationHub, supported through the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy under award no. DESC0004993, and was also based on work supported by the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) Office of Sponsored Research (OSR) under award no. OSR-2018-CPF-3665-03. P.D.L. acknowledges the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support in the form of a Canada Graduate Scholarship and A.T. acknowledges the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Action H2020-MSCA-IF-2017 (793471).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence should be addressed to E.H.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© Springer Nature Limited 2020

QUERY FORM

Nature Materials	
Manuscript ID	[Art. Id: 610]
Author	Dae-Hyun Nam

AUTHOR:

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript. Please answer by making the requisite corrections directly in the e-proofing tool rather than marking them up on the PDF. This will ensure that your corrections are incorporated accurately and that your paper is published as quickly as possible.

Query No.	Nature of Query
Q1:	Affiliation 3 has been split into two for each institute/department, according to our normal style. Is that correct, or is 'Joint Center for Artificial Photosynthesis and Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering' one institute?
Q2:	We either use abbreviations or full names for affiliations (not both), so we've removed the abbreviations.
Q3:	Please check your article carefully, coordinate with any co-authors and enter all final edits clearly in the eproof, remembering to save frequently. Once corrections are submitted, we cannot routinely make further changes to the article.
Q4:	Note that the eproof should be amended in only one browser window at any one time; otherwise changes will be overwritten.
Q5:	Author surnames have been highlighted. Please check these carefully and adjust if the first name or surname is marked up incorrectly. Note that changes here will affect indexing of your article in public repositories such as PubMed. Also, carefully check the spelling and numbering of all author names and affiliations, and the corresponding email address(es).
Q6:	Note we don't use italics for emphasis.
Q7:	Our style dictates that there must be some text between headings and subheadings. Please insert some text where indicated under the 'Materials for molecular-enhanced CO2RR' heading.
Q8:	For Fig. 3, do you have more detail about which parts of the figure were adapted from which refs?
Q9:	In the sentence beginning 'A challenge here', methodotologies was changed to methodologies — ok?
Q10:	Do the changes to the sentence beginning 'In particular, in situ/operando XAS' retain the intended meaning?
Q11:	Refs 81 and 82 have been swapped because refs that are only cited in tables come before those that are only cited in figure captions.