Manuscript to Thin Solid Films

Strain Control of Phase Transition and Magnetic Property in Multiferroic BiFeO₃ Thin Films

Wanchao Zheng¹, Dongxing Zheng¹, Dong Li¹, Peng Li², Linxing Zhang³, Junlu Gong¹, Xin Pang¹, Chao Jin¹, Xixiang Zhang², and Haili Bai^{1,*}

¹*Tianjin Key Laboratory of Low Dimensional Materials Physics and Processing Technology, School of Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300350, People's Republic of China*

²*King Abdullah University of Science & Technology (KAUST), Physical Science and Engineering (PSE) Division, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia*

³*Institute of Advanced Materials and Technology, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China*

---

* Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: baihaili@tju.edu.cn

Abstract

BiFeO₃ (BFO), a room-temperature antiferromagnetic-ferroelectric multiferroic, is widely researched due to its potential applications for electric-field control of the magnetism. In this work, the strain control of the phase transition and magnetic properties in the BFO/LaAlO₃ heterostructures were investigated. The O *K* edge polarization-dependent X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) spectra show that the Fe 3*d* level splits into five levels, which proves that the FeO₅ pyramid is asymmetric in the highly strained tetragonal-like BFO. The spin canting induced by the asymmetric structure leads to the magnetic moment. Thus, an obvious magnetic signal in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films was observed by the Quantum Design magnetic property measurement system. With the increase of the BFO film thickness, the clamping effect induced by the substrate becomes weak, further leading to the BFO phase transition. The O *K* edge polarization-dependent XAS spectra demonstrate that the orbital reconstruction exists at the mixed BFO phase boundaries. Since the orbital reconstructions can induce the strong magnetic coupling, the magnetic order of the different BFO phases will be coupled with each other. It causes a variation of the magnetic property at the phase boundaries or in the BFO phases.

Keywords: Strain effect; Spin canting; Orbital reconstruction; Magnetic moment; Bismuth ferrite

PACS numbers: 68.35.Gy; 75.70.Tj; 75.25.Dk; 07.55.Jg; 77.55.Nv

1. Introduction

Multiferroics simultaneously possess varieties of ferroics, such as ferromagnetism, ferroelectricity and ferroelasticity [1, 2]. Apart from their intriguing properties, multiferroics could be applied in numerous fields, such as optoelectronics, spintronics and agile electromagnetics [3, 4]. The magnetoelectric coupling in multiferroics provides a chance to reverse magnetization by applying an electric field instead of a magnetic field, which would benefit the design of next generation information storage technology with fast speed and low energy cost [2, 5, 6]. BiFeO₃ (BFO) is the single phase multiferroics with coexisted room-temperature ferroelectricity (the Curie temperature $T_C=1103$ K) and antiferromagnetism (the Néel temperature $T_N=643$ K), which has attracted wide attentions [7, 8]. Through strain engineering, various phases such as rhombohedral bulk-like (*R*-), tetragonal-like (*T*-), and orthorhombic phases, can be attained in the BFO thin films, which show completely different magnetic properties and polarization vectors (*P*) [9–17]. With the different epitaxial strains, the BFO thin films can show different antiferromagnetic orders. With the lower compressive strain, the bulk-like cycloidal spin modulation exists in the BFO thin films, and with the higher strain (both compressive and tensile), the pseudo-collinear antiferromagnetism appears in the BFO thin films [18]. The $P_{R\text{-BFO}}$ in the *R*-BFO is $\sim 90\text{--}120$ $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ along the $[111]_{pc}$ direction, and the $P_{T\text{-BFO}}$ in the *T*-BFO is ~ 150 $\mu\text{C}/\text{cm}^2$ along the $[001]_{pc}$ direction [19–21]. In the BFO/LaAlO₃ (LAO), the structure of the BFO changes as the film thickness increases. However, the corresponding magnetic property in the *T*-BFO thin films has been rarely reported.

1 In this work, we investigate the strain modulation of the BFO phases and the
2 magnetic property in the *T*-BFO thin films with various thicknesses. The
3 polarization-dependent X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) spectra demonstrate
4 that the FeO₅ pyramid structure in the highly strained *T*-BFO is asymmetric, which
5 induces the spin canting and further leads to the magnetic moment. With the increase
6 of the film thickness, the orbital reconstruction between different BFO phases was
7 observed by the polarization-dependent XAS spectra, which causes the variation of
8 the magnetic order at the BFO phase boundaries or in the BFO phases.

9 **2. Experimental details**

10 The BFO thin films were fabricated on the LAO (001) substrates by
11 radio-frequency magnetron sputtering. The deposition temperature, the sputtering
12 power and the gas pressure were 650 °C, 40 W and 1.2 kg*m⁻¹*s⁻², respectively. The
13 flow ratio of the Ar and the O₂ is 5/4. The BFO thin films with different thicknesses
14 were acquired by changing the sputtering time. The sputtering time was 20, 50, 100,
15 150, 200 min and the deposition ratio was 0.85 nm/min. To avoid the volatilization of
16 the Bi element and the appearance of the Fe²⁺ in the BFO, the BFO thin films were
17 prepared by a Bi_{1.1}FeO₃ target and were cooled down to room temperature in pure O₂
18 atmosphere at 200 kg*m⁻¹*s⁻². The thicknesses of the BFO layer were measured by
19 the Dektak 6M surface profiler. The crystal structure was analyzed by X-ray
20 diffraction (XRD) (Cu *K*α radiation, λ=1.54056 Å, and Beijing Synchrotron Radiation
21 Facility, beamline 1W1A, λ=1.5491 Å), reciprocal space map (RSM, Beijing

1 Synchrotron Radiation Facility, beamline 1W1A) and transmission electron
2 microscopy (TEM). The TEM apparatus model is the Tecnai G2 F20 S-Twin. During
3 the process of the sample preparation, the sample was divided into two parts and stuck
4 face to face by the M-Bond 610. Then, the sample was grinded by the mechanical
5 thinning method. When the sample thickness along the normal of the cross section
6 was below 20 μm , the sample continued to be thinned by the Gatan691 Precision Ion
7 Polishing System. The thinning process was finished until the canting angle (the angle
8 between the cross section and the BFO thin film surface) changed from 10° to 4° .
9 Finally, the sample was observed by the TEM and the acceleration voltage of the
10 electronic gun was 200 kV. Surface morphologies were imaged by the Bruker
11 Multimode 8 atomic force microscopy (AFM). XAS spectra were performed at
12 beamline 4B9B of the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility. Polarization-dependent
13 XAS spectra were acquired by recording the surface sensitive total electron yield
14 current as a function of X-ray photon energy at beamline 12B-a of the National
15 Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory in Hefei, China. Hysteresis loops ($M-H$), thickness
16 dependent magnetization ($M-t$) and temperature dependent magnetization ($M-T$) were
17 measured using a Quantum Design magnetic property measurement system
18 (Superconducting Quantum Interference Device, SQUID). The $M-T$ curves were
19 measured after zero field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) under 0.03 T. X-ray
20 magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) spectra were carried out at beamline 08U1A of
21 Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility. The measurements were done under high
22 vacuum ($6.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$) at room temperature. The direction of the external

1 magnetic field and the incident beam was perpendicular to the surface of the samples.

2 **3. Results and discussion**

3 **3.1 Structural characterization**

4 Figure 1(a) shows the XRD patterns of the LAO substrate and the BFO thin films
5 with film thickness varying from 17 to 170 nm. In the XRD pattern of the
6 17-nm-thick BFO thin films, only the *T*-BFO (001), (002), (003) and (004) peaks
7 which are located at the 19.3°, 38.98°, 60.22° and 83.16° appear [22, 23], except for
8 the diffraction peaks from the LAO substrate. It suggests that the BFO thin films grow
9 on the LAO substrate with a (001) orientation and indicates that the high strain from
10 the substrate is applied on the BFO thin film [24]. With the increasing thickness, the
11 compressive strain driven by the LAO substrate gradually relaxes. In the XRD pattern
12 of the 85-nm-thick BFO thin films, the (001) diffraction peak of the *S'*_{tilt} phase
13 ($c \sim 0.42 \pm 0.03$ nm) is observed [25, 26], implying the coexistence of the *T*-BFO and
14 the *S'*_{tilt} phases. In the XRD pattern of the 170-nm-thick BFO thin films, the *R*-BFO
15 (001), (002) and (003) peaks which are located at the 22.56°, 45.84°, and 71.36°
16 appear [22, 24], demonstrating the existence of the *R*-BFO phase. These results reveal
17 that the *T*-BFO phase transforms into the other BFO phases (including intermediate
18 monoclinic (*S'*_{tilt}) and *R*-BFO phases) as the film thickness increases and all the BFO
19 phases have a (001) orientation.

20 To gain further insights into the structure of the BFO, RSM patterns of the (103)
21 diffraction condition were performed, as shown in Figs. 1(b) to 1(d). Figure 1(b)

1 shows four peaks, which correspond to the (103) diffraction peaks of the LAO
 2 substrate and the *T*-BFO. In the RSM measurement, since the reference plane is the
 3 LAO (103) plane, the peak at the $H=1$, $L=3$ is the (103) diffraction peaks of the LAO
 4 substrate. Due to the $c_{\text{LAO}} \sim 0.3789$ nm and $c_{\text{T-BFO}} \sim 0.4655$ nm [25], the peaks around
 5 the $H=0.99$, $L=2.5$ is the (103) diffraction peaks of the *T*-BFO. In Fig. 1(b), one peak
 6 shifts upward and another peak shifts downward with respect to the central peak. It
 7 demonstrates that the structure of the *T*-BFO is distorted with a small angle tilting
 8 along the [100] orientation. The monoclinic angle β extracted from the (103) RSM is
 9 $88.9^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ$, more than the reported value 88.12° [24, 25]. It demonstrates that the high
 10 compressive strain is applied to the *T*-BFO in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films. The
 11 overlapping of the (013) and the $(0\bar{1}3)$ peaks reflects that the *a*-axis is perpendicular
 12 to the *b*-axis. According to the RSM patterns, the lattice constants of the *T*-BFO were
 13 calculated as shown in the Tab. 1. These results confirm that the *T*-BFO in the
 14 17-nm-thick BFO thin films is the highly strained *T*-BFO. With the increase of the
 15 thickness, the *c*-axis lattice constants of the *T*-BFO increase and then almost remain
 16 unchanged. In the 170-nm-thick BFO thin films, the lattice constants are consistent
 17 with that of the previous reports [22, 24, 25, 27–29]. The monoclinic angles β
 18 extracted from the (103) RSM are $88.5^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ$ in the 85-nm-thick BFO thin films and
 19 $88.0^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ$ in the 170-nm-thick BFO thin films, respectively. The varied lattice
 20 constants and the decreased β indicate the transformation from the highly strained
 21 *T*-BFO to the *T*-BFO and the relaxation of the compressive strain. In Fig. 1(c), two
 22 diffraction peaks appear at the $H=0.955$, $L=2.465$ and $H=1.07$, $L=2.41$. It reveals that

1 the diffraction peaks are the (103) diffraction peaks of the $M_{C,tilt}$ [25]. With the
2 increase of the film thickness, the diffraction peak of the S'_{tilt} at the $H=1.095$, $L=2.67$
3 emerges in Fig. 1(d) [25, 30]. According to the XRD and RSM patterns, the highly
4 strained $T\text{-BFO} \rightarrow T\text{-BFO} \rightarrow M_{C,tilt} \rightarrow S'_{tilt} \rightarrow R\text{-BFO}$ phases transition occurs with the
5 increase of the film thickness.

6 To reflect the quality of the BFO thin films and the relationship between the BFO
7 phases and the thickness, the surface morphologies of the BFO thin films were
8 obtained by AFM. In Fig. 2(a), the atomically smooth morphology of the 17-nm-thick
9 BFO thin films is observed with the step height ($\Delta h \sim 0.92 \pm 0.03$ nm) of nearly two unit
10 cell as a result from the superposition of the atomic layer. With the increasing
11 thickness of the BFO thin film, a striped contrast gradually emerges due to the
12 different c -axis lattice parameters of various BFO phases [23], as shown in Figs.
13 2(b)–(d). The bright plateau areas comprise the $T\text{-BFO}$ and the $M_{C,tilt}$ phases while the
14 dark banded needle-like structures consist of the $R\text{-BFO}$ and the S'_{tilt} phases. It
15 reflects that the S'_{tilt} or the $R\text{-BFO}$ or both phases exist in the 43-, 85- and
16 170-nm-thick BFO thin films. However, there are no (00 l) peaks of the $R\text{-BFO}$ in the
17 XRD pattern of the 85-nm-thick BFO thin films, suggesting that the dark banded
18 needle-like structures in the 43- and 85-nm-thick BFO thin films represent the S'_{tilt} .
19 Due to the existence of the $R\text{-BFO}$ and the S'_{tilt} peaks in the XRD pattern of the
20 170-nm-thick BFO thin films, the dark banded needle-like structures in the
21 170-nm-thick BFO thin films represent the $R\text{-BFO}$ and the S'_{tilt} . These results reveal
22 the high quality of the BFO thin films, and the transformation of the $T\text{-BFO}$ phase to

1 the other phases (including the R -BFO, the S'_{tilt} and the $M_{C,tilt}$) with the relaxation of
2 the compressive strain.

3 To analyze the quality and the in-plane structure of the highly strained T -BFO,
4 TEM patterns of the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films were performed, as shown in Fig. 3.
5 The cross-sectional image in Fig. 3(a) confirms the thickness of the BFO thin films.
6 Figures 3(b) and (c) present the high resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the
7 BFO/LAO interfaces. In Fig. 3(b), some local interface defects are observed at the
8 BFO/LAO interface. Moreover, the positions of the Fe ion in BFO unit cells are
9 different for the region A and B. In order to clearly demonstrate this phenomenon, the
10 magnified images are shown in Figs. 3(d) and (e). In Fig. 3(d), the Fe ions in the left
11 part of the image are located at the upper-left of the BFO unit cell, but the Fe ions in
12 the right part of the image are located at the upper-inward (or upper-outward) part of
13 the BFO unit cell. Similarly, in Fig. 3(e), the Fe ions in the right part of the image are
14 located at the upper-right of the BFO unit cell, but the Fe ions in the left part of the
15 image are located at the upper-inward (or upper-outward) part of the BFO unit cell.
16 This phenomenon is related to the highly strained T -BFO structure. Since the lattice
17 constants $a \sim 0.382 \pm 0.002$ nm and $b \sim 0.379 \pm 0.002$ nm of the highly strained T -BFO are
18 different and the in-plane structure of the LAO is isotropic, both the [100] and [010]
19 orientations of the BFO can be parallel to the [100] orientation of the LAO. And it
20 demonstrates the in-plane structure disorder in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films.
21 Moreover, since the O ions in the BFO unit cell are located at face-centered position,
22 the positions of the O ions can be deduced according to the positions of the Bi ions. In

Figs. 3(d) and (e), the Fe ions are located at the off-centered position, indicating that the Fe ions deviate from the center of the FeO_5 pyramid.

3.2 *X-ray absorption spectroscopy spectra*

In order to further analyze the quality of the BFO thin films and the BFO structure, the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edge XAS spectra were performed, as shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4(a), the peaks in the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edge XAS spectra occur around 708.4, 709.4, 721.6 and 723 eV, demonstrating that the Fe valence state is +3 [31]. In the highly strained T -BFO, the T -BFO and the $M_{C,tilt}$, the Fe ions are in square pyramidal sites. In the S'_{tilt} and the R -BFO, the Fe ions are in the octahedral sites. In the $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, the Fe valence is also +3, and five of the eight Fe ions are in octahedral sites while the others are in tetrahedral sites [32]. Thus, the 723 eV peak shapes of the Fe L_2 edge XAS spectra for the T -BFO and the $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ are different. Figure 4(b) shows the XAS spectra of the Fe L_2 edge for the BFO thin films. Obviously, for the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films, the 723 eV peak shape of the Fe L_2 edge is distinctly different from that of the BFO samples with the $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ impurity [33]. It reveals the inexistence of the $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$. These results further confirm that only the BFO phases exist in the BFO thin films without the impurity phases. With the increase of the thickness, the 723 eV peak shapes of the Fe L_2 edge change. Since the measurement depth of the XAS is only several nanometers, the XAS is not deep enough to detect the orbital information of the inner layers. With the increase of the film thickness, the highly strained T -BFO phase transforms into the other phases (such as the R -BFO, the S'_{tilt} , the $M_{C,tilt}$ and the

1 *T*-BFO). Thus, as the film thickness increases, the XAS spectra of different BFO
2 phases overlap, causing the variation of the line shape in the XAS spectra.

3 As the Fe ions are surrounded by O ions in the BFO, the site of the Fe ions can
4 be determined by the polarization-dependent O *K* edge XAS spectra. Figure 5 shows
5 the 3*d* level splits for different site symmetries and the polarization-dependent O *K*
6 edge XAS spectra. In Fig. 5(a), the 3*d* level of single Fe ion is fivefold degenerated,
7 according to the ligand field theory. In octahedral symmetry, the fivefold degenerated
8 3*d* level splits into two levels of doublet *e_g* and triplet *t_{2g}*. Although the oxygen
9 octahedron slightly tilts in the *R*-BFO, the orbital anisotropy is minimal and the
10 fivefold degenerated 3*d* level still splits into two levels [34]. When the oxygen
11 octahedron is elongated along the *c*-axis, the oxygen octahedron transforms into the
12 FeO₅ pyramid. And it can cause the Jahn-Teller-type level splitting [35]. In the *T*-BFO,
13 the formation of the FeO₅ pyramid is induced by the displacement of the Fe ions
14 along the *c*-axis. According to the RSM and TEM patterns of the 17-nm-thick BFO
15 thin films, the structure of the highly strained *T*-BFO is distorted with a small angle
16 tilting along the <100> orientation, which will lead to the distortion of the FeO₅
17 pyramid. Further, the Fe ions shift to the upper-right of the FeO₅ pyramid, leading to
18 the elongation of the in-plane Fe-O bond length and the shrinkage of the out-of-plane
19 Fe-O bond length. The fivefold degenerated 3*d* level splits into five levels in the
20 highly strained *T*-BFO. Figure 5(b) shows the polarization-dependent O *K* edge XAS
21 spectra for the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films. The different spectra with the different
22 incoming light polarizations (*E*//*c* and *E*//*a*) indicate the strong orbital anisotropic.

1 Based on the strict dipole selecting rules in polarization-dependent XAS spectra, the
 2 $E//c$ incoming light enhances the absorption to the O 2*p* states hybridized with the
 3 out-of-plane orbitals (d_{yz} , d_{zx} and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$) while the $E//a$ incoming light enhances
 4 the absorption to the O 2*p* states hybridized with the in-plane orbitals (d_{xy} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$)
 5 [34]. By comparing with the slope of the O *K* edge XAS spectra with the $E//a$ and the
 6 $E//c$ incoming light, we clearly identify the five distinguishable Fe 3*d* orbital states in
 7 the conduction band and the energy distribution of the Fe 3*d* orbital states is
 8 $d_{3z^2-r^2} > d_{x^2-y^2} > d_{zx} > d_{yz} > d_{xy}$, demonstrating that the Fe ions in the highly strained
 9 *T*-BFO are in the asymmetric FeO₅ pyramid. Therefore, a large spin canting angle is
 10 likely to be induced by the asymmetric FeO₅ pyramid, and further leads to a magnetic
 11 moment in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films. The schematic diagram of the magnetic
 12 moment in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films is shown in Fig. 6. Since the positions of
 13 the Fe ions are different, the orientation of the magnetic moment might be along the
 14 $[\bar{1}10]$ or $[\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$ orientation [7]. For the highly strained *T*-BFO with the same ordered
 15 structural arrangement, the vector sum of the magnetic vector is parallel to the
 16 out-of-plane direction, which leads to the out-of-plane net magnetic moment. At the
 17 interface of two highly strained *T*-BFO unit cells with the different ordered structural
 18 arrangement, the magnetic moment vector sum generates in-plane and out-of-plane
 19 magnetic moment components. Therefore, an obvious magnetic moment should be
 20 observed in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films.

21 With the increase of the BFO thin film thickness, the polarization-dependent O *K*
 22 edge XAS spectra obviously change. In Fig. 5(c), the Fe 3*d* orbital states are four

1 levels, and the energy distribution of the Fe 3d orbital states is
 2 $d_{x^2-y^2} > d_{3z^2-r^2} > d_{yz,zx} > d_{xy}$. This evident variation of the Fe 3d orbital states is
 3 derived from the Fe ions shifting, compared with the result of the 17-nm-thick BFO
 4 thin films. In the 43-nm-thick BFO thin films, the Fe ions shift to the center axis from
 5 the upper-right position in FeO₅ pyramid, as shown in Fig. 5(a). These results are
 6 consistent with that in previous report [34]. With the increase of the film thickness,
 7 the energy distribution of the Fe 3d orbital states in the 85-nm-thick BFO thin films is
 8 almost unchanged. According to the XRD and AFM data, the *T*-BFO, the $M_{C,tilt}$ and
 9 S'_{tilt} exist in the 85-nm-thick BFO thin film surface. In the S'_{tilt} , the *c*-axis lattice
 10 parameter decreases and the Fe ions shift largely along the *c*-axis, causing the
 11 decrease of the Coulombic force between the Fe ion and neighboring O ions along the
 12 *c*-axis. Furthermore, the energy of the $d_{yz,zx}$ orbital state decreases. Since the *T*-BFO,
 13 the $M_{C,tilt}$ and the S'_{tilt} exist in the 85-nm-thick BFO thin film surface, the $d_{yz,zx}$
 14 orbital state should show two peaks (~529.5 eV for the *T*-BFO and the $M_{C,tilt}$, lower
 15 energy <529.5 eV for the S'_{tilt}) in the O *K* edge XAS spectra with the *E*//*c* incoming
 16 light. However, these two peaks don't appear in Fig. 5(d). So it indicates the existence
 17 of orbital reconstruction between the $M_{C,tilt}$ and the S'_{tilt} . When the thickness of the
 18 BFO layer reaches 170 nm, the energy distribution of the Fe 3d orbital states hardly
 19 change. Combined with the XRD and AFM data, the *R*-BFO, the S'_{tilt} , the $M_{C,tilt}$ and
 20 the *T*-BFO exist in the sample surface. In the *R*-BFO, the XAS spectra with the
 21 different incoming light polarizations (*E*//*c* and *E*//*a*) are almost the same and show
 22 two peaks. One peak represents the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital states around 531 eV,

and another peak represents the d_{xy} , d_{yz} and d_{zx} orbital states around 530 eV [34]. But the characterized peaks of the *R*-BFO don't appear at ~530 eV in the XAS spectra with the $E//c$ incoming light. This phenomenon indicates the existence of orbital reconstruction between the *R*-BFO and the S'_{tilt} . Since the orbital reconstruction can induce the strong magnetic coupling [36], the magnetic order of the $M_{C,tilt}$ and the S'_{tilt} (or the S'_{tilt} and the *R*-BFO) will be coupled with each other. Furthermore, it leads to a variation of the magnetic order at the phase boundaries or in the BFO phases.

3.3 Magnetic characterization

To confirm the existence of the magnetic moment and analyze the magnetic property near the BFO phase boundaries, the $M-H$ curves for the BFO thin films with different thicknesses at 300 K were performed, as shown in Fig. 7(a). For the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films, the significant magnetic signal is observed in the $M-H$ curve. And the calculated average saturation magnetization is $(1.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$ A/m. In Fig. 7(b), an obvious unsaturation magnetization at low temperatures (below 50 K) is observed. Due to the $T_N=643$ K, the unsaturation magnetization at low temperatures doesn't result from the paramagnetic contribution of the BFO. With the increase of the temperature, the magnetization can reach saturation at 6 T, demonstrating that the magnetic moment might be related to the spin canting. Moreover, in Figs. 7(c) and 7(d), the clear splitting in the ZFC-FC curves and the obvious XMCD signal in the XMCD spectra also reveal the existence of the net magnetic moment in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films [37].

1 Previously, Ramesh *et al.* reported that a large saturation magnetization
2 ($\sim 1.5 \times 10^5$ A/m) was in the *R*-BFO(70 nm)/SrTiO₃ and the saturation magnetization
3 decreased with the increasing of the thickness. It indicated that the magnetic moment
4 in the *R*-BFO thin films was related to the epitaxial strain [38]. In 2005, Eerenstein *et*
5 *al.* demonstrated that the magnetic moment could be induced by the Fe²⁺ [39]. Then,
6 Remash *et al.* confirmed that the magnetic moment might be related to the enhanced
7 canting angle, which could result from the epitaxial strain, oxygen vacancies or both
8 [40]. In 2011, Ramesh *et al.* reported that the highly distorted *R*-BFO in the mixed
9 phase BFO films had an enhanced saturation magnetization ($\sim 4.5 \times 10^4$ A/m), which
10 arose from a piezomagnetic effect [41]. Therefore, although the view that the
11 enhanced magnetic moment in the BFO thin films can be induced by the epitaxial
12 strain is controversial, many experimental results demonstrate that the epitaxial strain
13 can enhance the magnetic moment in the BFO thin films [42–44]. In this work, the
14 saturation magnetization $(1.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$ A/m in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films was
15 measured by the SQUID. Since the SQUID is a macroscopic measurement and it
16 detects the total magnetic signal of the sample, the magnetic moment mainly results
17 from the canted magnetic moment induced by the strain and the additional magnetic
18 moment induced by the structure disorder and defects. With the increase of the
19 thickness, the saturation magnetization decreases from 1.8×10^5 A/m to 1.3×10^4 A/m,
20 as shown in Fig. 7(a). It is related to the phase transformation and the decrease of the
21 spin canting angle, which is induced by the relaxation of the compressive strain. The
22 BFO phases in the 43-nm-thick BFO thin films consist of the highly strained *T*-BFO

1 and the T -BFO phases. Previous work has shown that there is no magnetic signal in
 2 the T -BFO phase [41]. Moreover, the spin canting angle decreases in the highly
 3 strained T -BFO phase due to the relaxation of the compressive strain. Thus, the
 4 saturation magnetization in the 43-nm-thick BFO thin films obviously decreases,
 5 compared to that in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films. The BFO phases in the
 6 85-nm-thick BFO thin film are the highly strained T -BFO, the T -BFO, the $M_{C,tilt}$ and
 7 the S'_{tilt} phases. According to the O K edge polarization-dependent XAS spectra, the
 8 orbital reconstruction exists between the $M_{C,tilt}$ and the S'_{tilt} phases. The magnetic
 9 order changes at the $M_{C,tilt}/S'_{tilt}$ boundary or in the $M_{C,tilt}$ (or the S'_{tilt}) phase, leading to
 10 the enhanced saturation magnetization in the 85- and 128-nm-thick BFO thin films.
 11 The BFO phases at the 170-nm-thick BFO thin film are the highly T -BFO, the T -BFO,
 12 the $M_{C,tilt}$, the S'_{tilt} and the R -BFO phases. In the R -BFO phase, a weak magnetic
 13 moment is induced by the structural distortions [8], and the magnitude of the magnetic
 14 moment is less than that of the S'_{tilt} [25, 30, 41]. So the saturation magnetization
 15 decreases in the 170-nm-thick BFO thin films. Since the BFO phase compositions in
 16 the 85-nm-thick BFO thin films are complex, the magnetic properties at the $M_{C,tilt}/S'_{tilt}$
 17 boundary or in the $M_{C,tilt}$ (or the S'_{tilt}) phase will still need to be investigated in future.

18 4. Conclusion

19 To summarize, we fabricated the 17-, 43-, 85-, 128-, 170-nm-thick BFO thin
 20 films on the LAO substrates by magnetron sputtering. In the 17-nm-thick BFO thin
 21 films, the phenomena that the [100] and [010] orientations of the BFO are parallel to

the [100] orientation of the LAO were observed by TEM. The polarization-dependent O K edge XAS spectra demonstrate the presence of the asymmetric FeO₅ pyramid, which causes the spin canting. And the spin canting can induce the magnetic moment. Thus, the magnetic signal in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films was observed by the SQUID. Moreover, the in-plane structure disorder and defects might cause additional magnetic moment. For $t \geq 43$ nm, the polarization-dependent O K edge XAS spectra demonstrate that the orbital reconstruction at the interface between the $M_{C,tilt}/S'_{tilt}$ boundary or (the S'_{tilt}/R -BFO boundary), further leading to the variation of the magnetic order.

Acknowledgements

H.L.B. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51772207 & 11434006). D.X.Z. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11704278). P.L. and X.X.Z. acknowledge the financial support from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Office of Sponsored Research (OSR) under the Award No. CRF-2015-SENSORS-2709 (KAUST). The authors acknowledge Professor Huanhua Wang and Associate Professor Yu Chen for valuable discussions. The authors acknowledge the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (1W1A and 4B9B beamlines, China), Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (08U1A beamline, China) and the National Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (12B-a beamline, China) of the Chinese Academy

of Sciences.

References

- [1] N. A. Hill, Why are there so few magnetic ferroelectrics?, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 104 (2000) 6694–6709.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/jp000114x>.
- [2] G. A. Smolenskii and I. E. Chupis, *Ferroelectromagnets*, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* 25 (1982) 475–493.
<https://doi.org/10.3367/UFNr.0137.198207b.0415>.
- [3] V. E. Wood and A. Austin, Possible applications for magnetoelectric materials, *Int. J. Magn* 5 (1974) 303–315.
- [4] I. Žutić, J. Fabian and S. D. Sarma, *Spintronics: fundamentals and applications*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 76 (2004) 323–410.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.323>.
- [5] M. Fiebig, Revival of the magnetoelectric effect, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 38 (2005) R123.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/38/8/r01>.
- [6] C. Binek and B. Doudin, *Magnetoelectronics with magnetoelectrics*, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 17 (2004) L39.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/17/2/L06>.
- [7] G. J. MacDougall, H. M. Christen, W. Siemons, M. D. Biegalski, J. L. Zarestky,

- 1 S. Liang, E. Dagotto and S. E. Nagler, Antiferromagnetic transitions in
2 tetragonal-like BiFeO₃, Phys. Rev. B 85 (2012) 100406.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.100406>.
- 4 [8] C. Ederer and N. A. Spaldin, Weak ferromagnetism and magnetoelectric
5 coupling in bismuth ferrite, Phys. Rev. B 71 (2005) 060401.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.060401>.
- 7 [9] J. H. Lee, K. Chu, A. A. Ünal, S. Valencia, F. Kronast, S. Kowarik, J. Seidel and
8 C. H. Yang, Phase separation and electrical switching between two isosymmetric
9 multiferroic phases in tensile strained BiFeO₃ thin films, Phys. Rev. B 89 (2014)
10 140101.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.89.140101>.
- 12 [10] Z. Chen, Y. Qi, L. You, P. Yang, C. W. Huang, J. Wang, T. Sritharan and L. Chen,
13 Large tensile-strain-induced monoclinic M_B phase in BiFeO₃ epitaxial thin films
14 on a PrScO₃ substrate, Phys. Rev. B 88 (2013) 054114.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.054114>.
- 16 [11] J. C. Yang, Q. He, S. J. Suresha, C. Y. Kuo, C. Y. Peng, R. C. Haislmaier, M. A.
17 Motyka, G. Sheng, C. Adamo, H. J. Lin, Z. Hu, L. Chang, L. H. Tjeng, E.
18 Arenholz, N. J. Podraza, M. Bernhagen, R. Uecker, D. G. Schlom, V. Gopalan, L.
19 Q. Chen, C. T. Chen, R. Ramesh and Y. H. Chu, Orthorhombic BiFeO₃, Phys.
20 Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 247606.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.247606>.
- 22 [12] H. M. Christen, J. H. Nam, H. S. Kim, A. J. Hatt and N. A. Spaldin,

- 1 Stress-induced R - M_A - M_C - T symmetry changes in BiFeO₃ films, Phys. Rev. B
2 83 (2011) 144107.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.144107>.
- 4 [13] H. Toupet, F. Le Marrec, C. Lichtensteiger, B. Dkhil and M. G. Karkut, Evidence
5 for a first-order transition from monoclinic α to monoclinic β phase in BiFeO₃
6 thin films, Phys. Rev. B 81 (2010) 140101.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.140101>.
- 8 [14] D. Mazumdar, V. Shelke, M. Iliev, S. Jesse, A. Kumar, S. V. Kalinin, A. P.
9 Baddorf and A. Gupta, Nanoscale switching characteristics of nearly tetragonal
10 BiFeO₃ thin films, Nano. Lett. 10 (2010) 2555–2561.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl101187a>.
- 12 [15] H. Liu, K. Yao, P. Yang, Y. Du, Q. He, Y. Gu, X. Li, S. Wang, X. Zhou and J.
13 Wang, Thickness-dependent twinning evolution and ferroelectric behavior of
14 epitaxial BiFeO₃ (001) thin films, Phys. Rev. B 82 (2010) 064108.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.064108>.
- 16 [16] R. J. Zeches, M. D. Rossell, J. X. Zhang, A. J. Hatt, Q. He, C. H. Yang, A.
17 Kumar, C. H. Wang, A. Melville, C. Adamo, G. Sheng, Y. H. Chu, J. F. Ihlefeld,
18 R. Erni, C. Ederer, V. Gopalan, L. Q. Chen, D. G. Schlom, N. A. Spaldin, L. W.
19 Martin and R. Ramesh, A strain-driven morphotropic phase boundary in BiFeO₃,
20 Science 326 (2009) 977–980.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177046>.
- 22 [17] H. Béa, B. Dupé, S. Fusil, R. Mattana, E. Jacquet, B. Warot-Fonrose, F. Wilhelm,

1 A. Rogalev, S. Petit, V. Cros, A. Anane, F. Petroff, K. Bouzehouane, G. Geneste,
 2 B. Dkhil, S. Lisenkov, I. Ponomareva, L. Bellaiche, M. Bibes and A. Barthélémy,
 3 Evidence for room-temperature multiferroicity in a compound with a giant axial
 4 ratio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102 (2009) 217603.
 5 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.217603>.

6 [18] D. Sando, A. Agbelele, D. Rahmedov, J. Liu, P. Rovillain, C. Toulouse, I. C.
 7 Infante, A. P. Pyatakov, S. Fusil, E. Jacquet, C. Carrétéro, C. Deranlot, S.
 8 Lisenkov, D. Wang, J. M. Le Breton, M. Cazayous, A. Sacuto, J. Juraszek, A. K.
 9 Zvezdin, L. Bellaiche, B. Dkhil, A. Barthélémy and M. Bibes, Crafting the
 10 magnonic and spintronic response of BiFeO₃ films by epitaxial strain, *Nat.*
 11 *Mater.* 12 (2013) 641–646.
 12 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3629>.

13 [19] M. D. Rossell, R. Erni, M. P. Prange, J. C. Idrobo, W. Luo, R. J. Zeches, S. T.
 14 Pantelides and R. Ramesh, Atomic structure of highly strained BiFeO₃ thin films,
 15 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (2012) 047601.
 16 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.047601>.

17 [20] J. X. Zhang, Q. He, M. Trassin, W. Luo, D. Yi, M. D. Rossell, P. Yu, L. You, C.
 18 H. Wang, C. Y. Kuo, J. T. Heron, Z. Hu, R. J. Zeches, H. J. Lin, A. Tanaka, C. T.
 19 Chen, L. H. Tjeng, Y. H. Chu and R. Ramesh, Microscopic origin of the giant
 20 ferroelectric polarization in tetragonal-like BiFeO₃, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 107 (2011)
 21 147602.
 22 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.147602>.

- [21] J. Li, J. Wang, M. Wuttig, R. Ramesh, N. Wang, B. Ruetter, A. P. Pyatakov, A. K. Zvezdin and D. Viehland, Dramatically enhanced polarization in (001), (101), and (111) BiFeO₃ thin films due to epitaxial-induced transitions, Appl. Phys. Lett. 84 (2004) 5261–5263.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1764944>.
- [22] P. S. Sankara Rama Krishnan, A. N. Morozovska, E. A. Eliseev, Q. M. Ramasse, D. Kepaptsoglou, W. I. Liang, Y. H. Chu, P. Munroe and V. Nagarajan, Misfit strain driven cation inter-diffusion across an epitaxial multiferroic thin film Interface, J. Appl. Phys. 115 (2014) 054103.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4862556>.
- [23] S. Nakashima, T. Uchida, K. Doi, K. Saitoh, H. Fujisawa, O. Sakata, Y. Katsuya, N. Tanaka and M. Shimizu, Strain evolution of epitaxial tetragonal-like BiFeO₃ thin films on LaAlO₃ (001) substrates prepared by sputtering and their bulk photovoltaic effect, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 55 (2016) 101501.
<https://doi.org/10.7567/JJAP.55.101501>.
- [24] Z. Chen, Z. Luo, C. Huang, Y. Qi, P. Yang, L. You, C. Hu, T. Wu, J. Wang, C. Gao, T. Sritharan and L. Chen, Low-symmetry monoclinic phases and polarization rotation path mediated by epitaxial strain in multiferroic BiFeO₃ thin films, Adv. Funct. Mater. 21 (2011) 133–138.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201001867>.
- [25] A. R. Damodaran, C. W. Liang, Q. He, C. Y. Peng, L. Chang, Y. H. Chu and L. W. Martin, Nanoscale structure and mechanism for enhanced electromechanical

1 response of highly strained BiFeO₃ thin films, *Adv. Mater.* 23 (2011) 3170–3175.
2 <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201101164>.

3 [26] H. Dixit, C. Beekman, C. M. Schlepütz, W. Siemons, Y. Yang, N. Senabulya, R.
4 Clarke, M. Chi, H. M. Christen and V. R. Cooper, Understanding strain-induced
5 phase transformations in BiFeO₃ thin films, *Adv. Sci.* 2 (2015) 1500041.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201500041>.

7 [27] J. Kreisel, P. Jadhav, O. Chaix-Pluchery, M. Varela, N. Dix, F. Sánchez and J.
8 Fontcuberta, A phase transition close to room temperature in BiFeO₃ thin films,
9 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 23 (2011) 342202.
10 <https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/23/34/342202>.

11 [28] H. J. Liu, C. W. Liang, W. I. Liang, H. J. Chen, J. C. Yang, C. Y. Peng, G. F.
12 Wang, F. N. Chu, Y. C. Chen, H. Y. Lee, L. Chang, S. J. Lin and Y. H. Chu,
13 Strain-driven phase boundaries in BiFeO₃ thin films studied by atomic force
14 microscopy and X-ray diffraction, *Phys. Rev. B* 85 (2012) 014104.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.85.014104>.

16 [29] D. Sando, B. Xu, L. Bellaiche and V. Nagarajan, A multiferroic on the brink:
17 uncovering the nuances of strain-induced transitions in BiFeO₃, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*
18 3 (2016) 011106.
19 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4944558>.

20 [30] C. Beekman, W. Siemons, T. Z. Ward, M. Chi, J. Howe, M. D. Biegalski, N.
21 Balke, P. Maksymovych, A. K. Farrar, J. B. Romero, P. Gao, X. Q. Pan, D. A.
22 Tenne and H. M. Christen, Phase transitions, phase coexistence, and

- 1 piezoelectric switching behavior in highly strained BiFeO₃ films, *Adv. Mater.* 25
2 (2013) 5561–5567.
3 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.201302066>.
- 4 [31] V. R. Singh, V. K. Verma, K. Ishigami, G. Shibata, Y. Yamazaki, A. Fujimori, Y.
5 Takeda, T. Okane, Y. Saitoh, H. Yamagami, Y. Nakamura, M. Azuma and Y.
6 Shimakawa, Enhanced ferromagnetic moment in Co-doped BiFeO₃ thin films
7 studied by soft X-ray circular dichroism, *J. Appl. Phys.* 114 (2013) 103905.
8 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4821024>.
- 9 [32] J. M. D. Coey, Noncollinear spin arrangement in ultrafine ferrimagnetic
10 crystallites, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 27 (1971) 1140–1142.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.27.1140>.
- 12 [33] H. Béa, M. Bibes, S. Fusil, K. Bouzehouane, E. Jacquet, K. Rode, P. Bencok and
13 A. Barthélémy, Investigation on the origin of the magnetic moment of BiFeO₃
14 thin films by advanced X-ray characterizations, *Phys. Rev. B* 74 (2006) 020101.
15 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.74.020101>.
- 16 [34] K. T. Ko, M. H. Jung, Q. He, J. H. Lee, C. S. Woo, K. Chu, J. Seidel, B. G. Jeon,
17 Y. S. Oh, K. H. Kim, W. I. Liang, H. J. Chen, Y. H. Chu, Y. H. Jeong, R. Ramesh,
18 J. H. Park and C. H. Yang, Concurrent transition of ferroelectric and magnetic
19 ordering near room temperature, *Nat. Commun.* 2 (2011) 567.
20 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1576>.
- 21 [35] K. I. Kugel' and D. I. Khomskiĭ, The Jahn-Teller effect and magnetism transition
22 metal compounds, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* 25 (1982) 231–256.

- 1   <https://doi.org/10.1070/PU1982v025n04ABEH004537>.
- 2   [36] P. Yu, J. S. Lee, S. Okamoto, M. D. Rossell, M. Huijben, C. H. Yang, Q. He, J. X.
- 3   Zhang, S. Y. Yang, M. J. Lee, Q. M. Ramasse, R. Erni, Y. H. Chu, D. A. Arena,
- 4   C. C. Kao, L. W. Martin and R. Ramesh, Interface ferromagnetism and orbital
- 5   reconstruction in $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ heterostructures, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105
- 6   (2010) 027201.
- 7   <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.027201>.
- 8   [37] X. Marti, V. Skumryev, C. Ferrater, M. García-Cuenca, M. Varela, F. Sánchez and
- 9   J. Fontcuberta, Emergence of ferromagnetism in antiferromagnetic TbMnO_3 by
- 10   epitaxial strain, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 96 (2010) 222505.
- 11   <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3443714>.
- 12   [38] J. Wang, J. B. Neaton, H. Zheng, V. Nagarajan, S. B. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland,
- 13   V. Vaithyanathan, D. G. Schlom, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, K. M. Rabe, M.
- 14   Wuttig and R. Ramesh, Epitaxial BiFeO_3 multiferroic thin film heterostructures,
- 15   *Science* 299 (2003) 1719–1722.
- 16   <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1080615>.
- 17   [39] W. Eerenstein, F. D. Morrison, J. Dho, M. G. Blamire, J. F. Scott and N. D.
- 18   Mathur, Comment on "epitaxial BiFeO_3 multiferroic thin film heterostructures",
- 19   *Science* 307 (2005) 1203a.
- 20   <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1105422>.
- 21   [40] J. Wang, A. Scholl, H. Zheng, S. B. Ogale, D. Viehland, D. G. Schlom, N. A.
- 22   Spaldin, K. M. Rabe, M. Wuttig, L. Mohaddes, J. Neaton, U. V. Waghmare, T.

- 1 Zhao and R. Ramesh, Response to comment on “epitaxial BiFeO₃ multiferroic
2 thin film heterostructures”, Science 307 (2005) 1203b.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1103959>.
- 4 [41] Q. He, Y. H. Chu, J. T. Heron, S. Y. Yang, W. I. Liang, C. Y. Kuo, H. J. Lin, P. Yu,
5 C. W. Liang, R. J. Zeches, W. C. Kuo, J. Y. Juang, C. T. Chen, E. Arenholz, A.
6 Scholl and R. Ramesh, Electrically controllable spontaneous magnetism in
7 nanoscale mixed phase multiferroics, Nat. Commun. 2 (2011) 225.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1221>.
- 9 [42] C.-J. Cheng, C. Lu, Z. Chen, L. You, L. Chen, J. Wang and T. Wu,
10 Thickness-dependent magnetism and spin-glass behaviors in compressively
11 strained BiFeO₃ thin films, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98 (2011) 242502.
12 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3600064>.
- 13 [43] S. Ryu, J.-Y. Kim, Y.-H. Shin, B.-G. Park, J. Y. Son and H. M. Jang, Enhanced
14 magnetization and modulated orbital hybridization in epitaxially constrained
15 BiFeO₃ thin films with rhombohedral symmetry, Chem. Mater. 21 (2009)
16 5050–5057.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1021/cm9014496>.
- 18 [44] J. Wu, G. Kang, H. Liu and J. Wang, Ferromagnetic, Ferroelectric, and fatigue
19 behavior of (111)-oriented BiFeO₃/(Bi_{1/2}Na_{1/2})TiO₃ lead-free bilayered thin films,
20 Appl. Phys. Lett. 94 (2009) 172906.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3127519>.
- 22

1 **Table**

2 **Table 1** Lattice constants $a_{T\text{-BFO}}$, $b_{T\text{-BFO}}$, and $c_{T\text{-BFO}}$ for the t -nm-thick BFO thin
3 films.

t (nm)	$a_{T\text{-BFO}}$ (nm)	$b_{T\text{-BFO}}$ (nm)	$c_{T\text{-BFO}}$ (nm)	$c_{T\text{-BFO}}/a_{T\text{-BFO}}$
17	0.382 ± 0.002	0.379 ± 0.002	0.454 ± 0.001	1.19
85	0.381 ± 0.002	0.375 ± 0.002	0.465 ± 0.001	1.22
170	0.384 ± 0.002	0.375 ± 0.002	0.466 ± 0.001	1.21

4

Figure captions

Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of the 17-, 85-, 170-nm-thick BFO thin films and the LAO (001) substrate. RSM of the (103) reflection of (b) 17-, (c) 85-, and (d) 170-nm-thick BFO thin films.

Fig. 2 Surface morphologies of the (a) 17-, (b) 43-, (c) 85-, and (d) 170-nm-thick BFO thin films. The inset shows the height data of the labeled region.

Fig. 3 (a) TEM cross-sectional images at the BFO(17 nm)/LAO interface. (b) and (c) HRTEM images at the BFO(17 nm)/LAO interface. (d) and (e) Magnified patterns of the region A and region B respectively. The yellow ball is the Bi ion and the red ball is the Fe ion.

Fig. 4 (a) XAS spectra at the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edge for the BFO thin films with different thicknesses. (b) Zoomed area of (a) next to the Fe L_2 edge for the BFO thin films.

Fig. 5 (a) Local structure of the transition metal oxide and the 3d level splits in different site symmetries. The ball represents different elements as follows: the red ball Fe ion, blue ball O ion, and yellow ball Bi ion. (b-e) Polarization-dependent XAS spectra at O K edge for the 17-, 43-, 85- and

170-nm-thick BFO thin films. The blue line represents the O *K* edge XAS with the *E*//*a* incoming light. The red line represents the O *K* edge XAS with the *E*//*c* incoming light. The histograms represent the slope of polarization-dependent XAS at O *K* edge [*E*//*a* (blue column) and *E*//*c* (red column)]. The black filled diamond represents the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital state. The empty diamond represents the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital state. The empty lower triangle represents the d_{zx} orbital state. The empty upper triangle represents the d_{yz} orbital state. The black dot represents the d_{xy} orbital state.

Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of the magnetic moment in the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films (corresponding to the Fig. 3(e)), the $\vec{m}_1$, $\vec{m}_2$, $\vec{m}_3$, $\vec{m}_4$, $\vec{m}_5$ and $\vec{m}_6$ represent the magnetic vectors of the highly strained *T*-BFO, and the $\vec{m}_{n1}$, $\vec{m}_{n2}$ and $\vec{m}_{n3}$ are the vector sum of the magnetic vector.

Fig. 7 (a) *M*–*H* curves for the 17-, 43-, 85-, 128-, 170-nm-thick BFO thin films at 300 K. The inset shows the *M*–*t* curve. (b) *M*–*H* curves for the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films at different temperatures. (c) ZFC–FC curves of the 17-nm-thick BFO thin film after the subtraction of the LAO substrate response. The range of temperature is from 5 K to 390 K and the external magnetic field is 0.03 T. (d) Fe *L*_{2,3} edge XAS and XMCD spectra for the 17-nm-thick BFO thin films at room temperature. The blue line represents the Fe *L*_{2,3} edge XAS (μ.) with the left circularly polarized (LCP) incoming light. The red line represents the Fe *L*_{2,3}

- 1 edge XAS (μ_+) with the right circularly polarized (RCP) incoming light. The
- 2 green line represents the Fe $L_{2,3}$ edge XMCD spectra, which is the difference
- 3 value between the μ_- and the μ_+ .