COMPARATIVE PHYLOGEOGRAPHY OF THREE HOST SEA ANEMONES IN
THE INDO-PACIFIC

Running Title: Phylogeography of host sea anemones

MADELEINE A. EMMS^{1,2}, PABLO SAENZ-AGUDELO³, EMILY C. GILES³,
REMY GATINS^{1,4}, GERRIT B. NANNINGA^{2,8}, ANNA SCOTT⁵, JEAN-PAUL A.
HOBBS⁶, ASHLEY J. FRISCH⁷, SUZANNE C. MILLS^{8,9}, RICARDO BELDADE^{8,10}
& MICHAEL L. BERUMEN¹

¹Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology,
Thuwal, 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia. ²Department of Zoology, University of
Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EJ, United Kingdom. ³Instituto de Ciencias
Ambientales y Evolutivas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, 5090000, Chile.
⁴Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa
Cruz, 115 McAllister Way, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA. ⁵National Marine Science
Centre and Marine Ecology Research Centre, School of Environmental Science and
Engineering, Southern Cross University, PO Box 4321, Coffs Harbour, New South
Wales 2450, Australia. ⁶School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University,
Perth, Western Australia, Australia. ⁷Reef HQ, Great Barrier Reef Marine Park
Authority, Townsville, Queensland 4810, Australia. ⁸PSL Université Paris: EPHE-
UPVD-CNRS, USR 3278 CRIOBE. ⁹Laboratoire d'Excellence "CORAIL", France.
¹⁰Estación Costera de Investigaciones Marinas and Center for Advanced Studies in
Ecology and Biodiversity, Las Cruces, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile,
Casilla 114-D, Santiago, CP 6513677, Chile.

Corresponding Author: Pablo Saenz-Agudelo: pablo.saenzagudelo@gmail.com

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the KAUST Office of Competitive Research Funds
(OCRF) under Award No. CRG-1-2012-BER-002 and baseline research funds to
M.L.B. We thank Dr. Vanessa Robitzsch Sierra (Universidad Austral de Chile) for her
generous help with the genetic analysis of the specimens. We also thank the staff at
the Bioscience CORE laboratory at King Abdullah University of Science and
Technology for their sequencing support. Lastly, we are grateful to Dream Divers and
many members of the Reef Ecology Lab at King Abdullah University of Science and
Technology, past and present, for their logistical support. All specimens from the Red
Sea and Djibouti were obtained in accordance with local regulations. For fieldwork in
the Maldives conducted during the first Maldives Reef Biodiversity Workshop, we
wish to thank the University of Milano-Bicocca Marine Research and High Education
Centre in Magoodhoo, the Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture, Republic of

42 Maldives and the community of Maghoodhoo, Faafu Atoll. Samples from the
43 Maldives were collected under research permit OTHR30-D/INDIV/2014/185.
44 Sampling at Papua New Guinea was undertaken under a research visa issued by the
45 government of Papua New Guinea. Verbal permissions were granted by Mrs. Cecilie
46 Benjamin (Chair of the Board, Mahonia Na Dari Research and Conservation Centre,
47 Kilu) and Mr. Thomas Koi (Village Elder and representative of the Local Marine
48 Management). Samples collected from the Great Barrier Reef were permitted by the
49 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (permits G13/35980.1, P02/0025-4.0 and
50 LHIMP/R/2014/001). Samples from Christmas Island were collected under exemption
51 number 2087. Samples from Moorea (French Polynesia) were collected under permit
52 N 1111/MCE/ENV issued by the Ministère de la Culture et de l'Environnement.

53

54 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

55

56 The multilocus genotype tables for each species have been included as supporting
57 information as one single excel file.

58

59

60

61 **Aim**

62 The mutualistic relationship between anemones and anemonefishes is one of the most
63 iconic examples of symbiosis. However, while anemonefishes have been extensively
64 studied in terms of genetic connectivity, such information is lacking entirely for host
65 sea anemones. Here, we provide the first information on the broad-scale population
66 structure and phylogeographic patterns of three species of host sea anemone,
67 *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla mertensii*, and *Entacmaea quadricolor*. We
68 evaluate if there is concordance in genetic structure across several distinct
69 biogeographic areas within the Indo-Pacific region and to what extent the observed
70 patterns may concur with those found for anemonefishes.

71 **Location**

72 Indo-Pacific, including the Red Sea.

73 **Taxon**

74 *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla mertensii*, and *Entacmaea quadricolor*

75 **Methods**

76 Microsatellite markers and a combination of statistical methods including Bayesian
77 clustering, Isolation by Distance (IBD), Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA),
78 and Principal Components Analysis (PCA) were used to determine population
79 structure. The congruence among distance matrices method (CADM) was used to
80 assess similarity in spatial genetic patterns among species.

81 **Results**

82 Significant population structure was identified in the three host anemone species.
83 Each species is likely composed of at least two genetic clusters corresponding to two
84 biogeographic regions, the Red Sea and the rest of the Indo-Pacific. Two of the three
85 anemone species seem to be experiencing admixture where the two main clusters
86 overlap (the Maldives). IBD analyses in the Red Sea revealed differences in gene flow
87 among species, suggesting more limited dispersal potential for *E. quadricolor* than for
88 *S. mertensii* and *H. magnifica*. Clonality is documented in *S. mertensii* for the first
89 time.

90 **Main conclusions**

91 This research documents the genetic population structure for three ecologically
92 important host sea anemones across the Indo-Pacific and provides valuable insights
93 regarding their biogeography and evolution. Specifically, we found high levels of
94 genetic divergence between populations across different biogeographic regions,
95 suggesting different evolutionary lineages within species. At the same time, common
96 geographic overlap of population structures suggests similar evolutionary histories
97 among all three species. Interestingly, the observed patterns are congruent to some
98 extent with structure reported for several anemonefish species, reflecting their close
99 ecological association.

100

101 **Keywords:** Actiniaria, biogeography, Cnidaria, connectivity, coral reef, gene flow,
102 Indo-Pacific, microsatellites, phylogeography, population genetics.

103

INTRODUCTION

The roles of historical, environmental, geological, and geographic barriers to gene flow in shaping a species' genetic diversity and ultimately its distribution, can be determined by comparing population structures between species with similar distributions and ecological niches (Bermingham & Moritz, 2002; Arbogast & Kenagy, 2008). For example, different species displaying similar patterns of spatial population structure suggests the presence of congruent evolutionary phylogeographic processes. Alternatively, differences in these patterns between species can provide evidence for the relative importance of individual life history strategies or variance in evolutionary histories among species that can be the result of different effects of historical events (Dawson, Louie, Barlow, Jacobs, & Swift, 2002; Crandall, Frey, Grosberg, & Barber, 2008; Hui et al., 2016).

The Indo-Pacific is a highly diverse biogeographical region that includes the Coral Triangle biodiversity hotspot, the Red Sea, and tropical waters of the Indian Ocean, as well as the central and western Pacific (Hui et al., 2016). It is a broad region with a complex geological history (Hall, 2002), and it encompasses different bodies of water that together represent a mosaic of environmental conditions, geographic settings, and oceanographic features (Bowen et al., 2016). Genetic surveys show that the population structure of Indo-Pacific species can coincide with known historical geological processes, geographical barriers or environmental gradients. For example, low sea levels during glaciations exposed the Sunda (southeast Asia) and Sahul (Australia-New Guinea) continental shelves (Voris, 2000) creating what is known as the Indo-Pacific barrier or the Sunda Shelf barrier (Randall, 1998; Rocha, Craig, & Bowen, 2007). Several studies in different marine fishes and invertebrates have shown a geographic concordance of population genetic structure with this historical barrier with populations in the Pacific being divergent from those in the Indian Ocean (reviewed in Ludt & Rocha, 2015; Crandall et al., 2019). More precisely, documented genetic breaks occur in the eastern Indian Ocean (Christmas Island, Cocos Keeling Islands, Indonesia), representing the division between Indian Ocean and Pacific populations. This division has been attributed to changes in sea-level during the Plio-Pleistocene which resulted in land bridges throughout Indonesia that effectively split Indo-Pacific groups into allopatric Indian and Pacific populations or species (Rocha et al., 2007; Gaither & Rocha, 2013). Similarly, the narrow, shallow Bab-el-Mandab strait (between the Red Sea and western Indian Ocean), has been considered an historical barrier to gene flow to numerous species that display genetic structure between populations in the Red Sea and in the Indian Ocean (Klausewitz, 1989; DiBattista et al., 2016). Yet, despite being widespread across the Indo-Pacific, there is no available information about the distribution of genetic diversity of sea anemones or the role played by historical/geological barriers.

Geographic and environmental settings have also been documented as barriers to gene flow. For instance, the large geographic distances separating some Pacific islands, such as Moorea (French Polynesia), the Marquesas Islands, and Easter Island, represent geographic barriers to gene flow (Randall 1998; Rocha et al., 2007).

Barriers to gene flow have also been identified in the Red Sea, and these are linked to environmental gradients (Saenz-Agudelo et al. 2015). Several studies have shown correlations between genetic distance and these environmental gradients (Nanninga, Saenz-Agudelo, Manica, & Berumen, 2014; Giles, Saenz-Agudelo, Hussey, Ravasi, & Berumen, 2015; Sawall, Al-Sofyani, Banguera-Hinestroza, & Voolstra, 2014; Reimer et al., 2017). However, again, the role of geographic barriers in shaping the genetic diversity of sea anemones has not been examined.

Many marine species are endemic to the Indo-Pacific region and some of these have evolved symbiotic relationships, such as the iconic association between host sea anemones (Order Actiniaria) and anemonefishes (Genera *Amphiprion* and *Premnas*; Fautin & Allen, 1997; Allen, Drew, & Fenner, 2010). A number of studies report large-scale population genetic structure of anemonefishes (Timm & Kochzius, 2008; Nanninga et al., 2014; Dohna, Timm, Hamid, & Kochzius, 2015; Saenz-Agudelo et al., 2015; Steinberg et al., 2016; Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017; O'Donnell, Beldade, Mills, Williams, & Bernardi, 2017). In general these studies indicate that anemonefish species such as *Amphiprion bicinctus*, *Amphiprion ocellaris*, and *Amphiprion perideraion* display genetic structure that coincides with historical geographic barriers such as the Sunda shelf, the Strait of Bab Al Mandeb, between basins (Pacific Ocean and Indian Ocean) or between the eastern and western sides of the Indian Ocean. In contrast, there is a limited understanding of the dispersal abilities and population connectivity of their host sea anemones, and whether or not there are similarities in their genetic structure with that of anemonefishes. Resolving these knowledge gaps could shed light on the processes that have shaped their close ecological relationship.

Population structure, re-colonization, and replenishment of host sea anemones are influenced by dispersal and reproduction. Of the few reproduction studies that have been conducted, *Entacmaea quadricolor* and *Heteractis crispa* were found to be gonochoric, releasing their gametes in broadcast spawning events in the austral summer and autumn in subtropical Australia (Scott & Harrison, 2005, 2007, 2009). In the Red Sea, male *Stichodactyla mertensii* have been observed spawning on three consecutive days after a boreal spring full moon (Bouwmeester, Gatins, Giles, Sinclair-Taylor, & Berumen, 2016). In the laboratory, most *E. quadricolor* planulae metamorphose within two weeks, although they can remain free-swimming for at least two months (Scott & Harrison, 2007). This suggests that anemone larvae have the potential to travel large distances, potentially facilitating high levels of gene flow, though larval dispersal may be more restricted when asexual reproduction is common. *Heteractis magnifica* and *E. quadricolor* can reproduce asexually using longitudinal fission (Scott, 2017) and the resulting clones can form large assemblages of >100 individuals (Frisch et al., 2019).

In this study, we determine the broad-scale genetic structure and phylogeography of three host anemone species (*H. magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor*) and test for congruence in genetic structure among species and genetic divergence associated to putative barriers previously reported for other coral reef organisms. Our hypothesis is that given the large geographic distribution of these species, these anemones should display some degree of genetic structure across their distribution range and this structure should be concordant with the well-characterized biogeographic breaks and also with the genetic structure of the anemonefishes that these species host.

200 MATERIALS AND METHODS

201 *Heteractis magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor* are widely distributed
 202 across the Indo-Pacific and Red Sea. *H. magnifica* occupies the largest longitudinal
 203 range of the three species, from French Polynesia to East Africa and the Red Sea,
 204 while *S. mertensii* and *E. quadricolor* range from Micronesia and Melanesia to East
 205 Africa and the Red Sea (Fautin & Allen, 1992; Brolund, Tychsen, Nielsen, &
 206 Arvedlund, 2004; Gatins, Saenz-Agudelo, Scott, & Berumen, 2018). All three species
 207 are present from Australia to the Ryukyu Islands, with *E. quadricolor*'s distribution
 208 extending further north to Japan (Fautin & Allen, 1992). All three species can be
 209 found in extremely shallow waters around 1 m deep (Dunn, 1981) but maximum
 210 depths vary. *Heteractis magnifica* and *E. quadricolor* can inhabit mesophotic waters
 211 down to around 60 m (Brolund et al., 2004; Bridge, Scott, & Steinberg, 2012), while
 212 *S. mertensii* are limited to shallow waters of around 20 m (Dunn, 1981). Tentacle
 213 specimens were collected using dissecting scissors and forceps whilst SCUBA diving
 214 at 42 sites across the Indo-Pacific and Red Sea (Fig. 1 & Table 1). Specimens were
 215 placed in 2 ml vials and stored in 96% ethanol. The GPS coordinates of each anemone
 216 were also recorded.

217 DNA was extracted from 880 specimens using Qiagen's DNeasy Blood and
 218 Tissue Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. A total of 10 unique
 219 microsatellite markers were amplified for *H. magnifica*, 11 for *S. mertensii*, and 12 for
 220 *E. quadricolor* (Table S1, Supporting information). All forward sequences were
 221 labelled with a fluorescent dye (6-FAM, NED, PET, VIC). PCR conditions followed
 222 the Qiagen PCR Multiplex kit protocol with modifications as in Gatins et al. (2018); a
 223 total of 10 µL was used for each individual reaction mix, including 5 µL of Multiplex
 224 PCR MasterMix (Qiagen), 1 µL of primers (2 µM; see Table S1, Supporting
 225 information), 3.3 µL of water and 0.7 µL DNA (50-150 ng/µL). The thermocycler
 226 conditions for PCR amplifications were: 95 °C for 15 min, then 25 cycles of 94 °C for
 227 30 s, annealing at a locus-specific temperature (57/60 °C, see Table S1, Supporting
 228 information) for 90 s, and an extension at 72 °C for 60 s, with a final extension set at
 229 60 °C for 30 min. Further details regarding microsatellite and PCR protocols can be
 230 found in Gatins et al. (2018). Final PCR products of 10 µL were diluted with 130 µL
 231 MilliQ water before being sent for fragment size analysis using a GeneScan 500-LIZ
 232 size standard and an ABI 3730xl genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems, USA) in the
 233 Biosciences CORE laboratory at King Abdullah University of Science and
 234 Technology, Saudi Arabia. Genotyping was completed using Geneious v. 8.1.6
 235 (Kearse et al., 2012).

236 The final datasets consisted of 205 *H. magnifica* individuals, 122 *S. mertensii*
 237 individuals, and 249 *E. quadricolor* individuals (Table 1; samples with more than
 238 three missing loci were excluded). Clonality was investigated and corrected for by
 239 comparing multilocus genotypes in GenAlEx v.6.502 (Peakall & Smouse, 2012).
 240 Subsequent analyses were conducted using the corrected datasets, leaving only one
 241 individual per multi-locus genotype. GenePop v.4.2 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995;
 242 Rousset, 2008) was used to check for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium
 243 (HWE), and thus the presence of null alleles. Calculations of the inbreeding
 244 coefficient F_{IS} (Weir & Cockerham, 1984), deviations from HWE, and linkage
 245 disequilibrium in pairwise comparisons of all loci were also tested for using GenePop.
 246 Significance values were estimated using Markov chain methods (1000
 247 dememorizations, 100 batches, and 1000 iterations per batch) and were adjusted in R
 248 using the false discovery rate (fdr) method (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995; alpha =

249 0.05). Summary statistics, including allelic richness, expected and observed
250 heterozygosity, and fixation indices were calculated in GenAlEx. At some locations it
251 was not possible to obtain the minimum requirement of five specimens. We did not
252 estimate summary statistics for these sites to avoid biases associated with small
253 sample sizes.

254 For each species' dataset, the software Structure v. 2.3.4 (Pritchard, Stephens,
255 & Donnelly, 2000) was used to perform a Bayesian clustering analysis to estimate the
256 most likely number of genetic clusters or putative populations (K) given the genotypic
257 data. Parameters were set to use the admixture model with sampling location as prior
258 and correlated allele frequencies. Analyses were run with a burn-in period of 200,000
259 iterations, 500,000 MCMC repetitions, K set to the number of sites sampled, and five
260 runs for each value of K for each species. The resulting data were uploaded to
261 Structure Harvester (Earl, 2012) in order to summarize and visualize the change in the
262 mean log likelihood and Evanno's delta K for different population clusters (K).
263 CLUMPAK (Kopelman, Mayzel, Jakobsson, Rosenberg, & Mayrose, 2015) was then
264 used to create a visual representation of the population structure based on several
265 estimates of K, combining the data files (runs) from Structure. We used Principal
266 Component Analysis (PCA) to depict the overall genetic variability among
267 individuals, as an alternative to Structure that has no underlying assumptions to
268 identify genetic structures (Jombart, Devillard, & Balloux, 2010). To do this, we used
269 the `dudi.pca` function of the `ade4` package available in R (Chessel, Dufour, &
270 Thioulouse, 2004). An Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was performed in
271 GenAlEx (Peakall & Smouse, 2012) to quantify the magnitude of genetic variation
272 among the groups identified by structure and among locations. Our intention with this
273 analysis was to provide an indication of the magnitude of genetic divergence between
274 clusters identified by structure and compares it among species (Meirmans, 2015). The
275 same program was used to estimate pairwise F_{ST} (Wright, 1965), and F'_{ST} (Meirmans,
276 2006).

277 The congruence among distance matrices (CADM, Legendre & Lapointe,
278 2004) method was used to quantify the similarity in genetic structure patterns among
279 the three species. This was conducted using the R package 'ape' 3.0 (Paradis, Claude,
280 & Strimmer, 2004). Here, a coefficient of concordance among all matrices (Kendall's
281 W) is generated and ranges from zero to one. Zero indicates no concordance and one
282 indicates complete concordance (Kendall & Smith, 1939). A posteriori tests of
283 pairwise similarity among matrices (r_M) were then conducted. For tests of congruence,
284 distance matrices (F_{ST}) were generated for only the co-sampled sites where at least
285 three samples were collected for each of the three species ($n=4$ sites). The Holm
286 (1979) method was used to correct P-values following multiple testing.

287 Correlations between pairwise genetic differentiation and geographic distances
288 were estimated for each species (Isolation by Distance, IBD) in the Red Sea. We did
289 this to evaluate whether patterns of genetic structure differed among species at a
290 smaller scale. We ran this analysis in the Red Sea because this is the only region
291 where sample coverage was sufficient to compare IBD patterns among species. For
292 this analysis, we estimated pairwise genetic and geographic distance matrices for each
293 species for all sites that had at least five specimens (after clone correction). We used
294 F'_{ST} (Meirmans, 2006) for genetic distances, a standardized estimate of genetic
295 differentiation that accounts for genetic variation within populations and enables
296 comparisons among different datasets. We used shortest overwater distances in
297 kilometres for geographic distances. We explored IBD for each species using Mantel

tests with 10,000 permutations to test for significant correlations between distance matrices.

RESULTS

Clonality and allelic richness

We found evidence of clonality across all species despite no prior record of clonality in *S. mertensii*. *Heteractis magnifica* had the highest proportion of clones, with the highest rates in Moorea (French Polynesia) (13 out of 26; 50%) and at Red Sea sites (58 out of 120; 48%); clones were also present at Djibouti (2 out of 5; 40%). Two *S. mertensii* clone pairs were identified. One in Fi'ran (Red Sea) and a second pair in Djibouti. *Entacmaea quadricolor* clones were mostly found in the Indian Ocean (3 out of 7; 43%) and eastern/southeastern Australia (13 out of 77; 17%), as well as and one in Fsar (Red Sea) (Table 1). In general, *H. magnifica* clones were more common at the periphery of its distribution while *E. quadricolor* clones were found in the Indian Ocean and across the eastern Indo-Pacific.

Overall, after clone removal, there were no consistent deviations from HWE for any given locus in any of the three species. We found no consistent evidence for linkage between pairs of loci across multiple sites for any of the three species and thus all loci were kept for further analyses. Summary statistics across loci per sampling site are provided in Table S2 (Supporting information). Briefly, highest mean allelic richness across loci for *H. magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor* was found at Kimbe Island, Lizard Island, and Jazirat Burcan, respectively, while the lowest was found in Obhur and Dumsuq for *H. magnifica*, Abu Dauqa, Abu Madafi, and Dhi Dahaya for *S. mertensii*, and Abrolhos Island for *E. quadricolor*. Highest observed heterozygocities were in Moorea, Kimbe Island, and North Solitary Island, respectively, while lowest observed heterozygosities were in Fsar, Djibouti, and Kimbe Island.

Broad-scale genetic structure

Heteractis magnifica, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor* all formed at least two main genetic groups across the Indo-Pacific, and these groups were arranged geographically (Fig. 2). When K was set to 2, for all species, the Red Sea sites and Djibouti (when sampled) clustered together in one group (hereafter referred to as the Red Sea cluster). For all species the main genetic break coincided at the Maldives. Interestingly, *H. magnifica* and *S. mertensii* showed evidence of admixture at the Maldives between the two genetic groups while *E. quadricolor* did not (one sample clustered with specimens from the Indo-Pacific while the other specimens clustered together with the Red Sea). Further genetic structure was revealed when K was increased (Fig. 2). For *H. magnifica*, specimens from Moorea (French Polynesia) clustered as a separate group when K was set to 3 and 4, and the Maldives clustered as a separate (admixed) group when K was set to 4 (Fig. 2A). For *S. mertensii*, setting K to 3 suggested weak structure within the Red Sea (Gulf of Aqaba appeared different from other locations) and setting K to 4 resulted in clustered specimens from the Maldives and the Bismarck Sea with varying degrees of admixture (Fig. 2B). For *E. quadricolor*, setting K to 3 clustered together the specimens from Abrolhos Island (eastern Indian Ocean), the Bismarck Sea, and Lizard Island (north Great Barrier

Reef) in one group and specimens from Lord Howe Island (southeastern Australia) in another group. Specimens from the Keppel, Northwest, and Heron Islands (southern Great Barrier Reef) and North Solitary Island (eastern Australia) appear to have admixed genotypes from Lizard and Lord Howe Islands (with a major proportion being from the Lord Howe cluster). Interestingly, a few individuals from Keppel and North Solitary Islands also showed potential admixture with the Red Sea cluster. Setting K to 4 showed a clear isolation by distance pattern within Red Sea sites (Fig. 2C). Mean log likelihood and Evanno's Delta K plots for $K = 1$ to $K = 25$ from Structure runs can be found in Supporting information (Fig. S1). Structure barplots for $K = 5$ to $K = 8$ for all species can be found in Supporting Information (Fig. S2, Fig. S3 and Fig. S4). According to Evanno's Delta K the most likely number of clusters for all three species is 2.

PCA provided similar results to Structure. For all species, Red Sea specimens clustered together with Djibouti and separately from the rest of the specimens. Denser clusters indicate less genetic variation among individuals compared to other locations. For *H. magnifica*, specimens from Moorea (French Polynesia) formed a distinct cluster from all other Pacific specimens, and specimens from the Maldives fell between the Bismarck Sea specimens and the Red Sea specimens (Fig. 3A). For *S. mertensii*, there is greater genetic variation between individuals outside the Red Sea with separation between specimens from the Bismarck Sea and the Pacific but with some degree of overlap. Specimens from the Indian Ocean fell in between the Red Sea cluster and the rest of the specimens but closer to the Pacific specimens (Fig. 3B). Finally, for *E. quadricolor*, there was separation between specimens from the Pacific and Indian Oceans, and the specimens from the Bismarck Sea fell in between these two groups with some specimens overlapping with each of these two groups (Fig. 3C). All *E. quadricolor* regions within the Indo-Pacific appeared to cluster almost equidistant to the Red Sea, with a few specimens from the Indian Ocean and the Pacific clustered within it, as expected from the Structure results.

Partitioning of genetic variation

Results from hierarchical AMOVAs that included two regions (the Red Sea and the rest of the Indo-Pacific) indicated that genetic variation (other than that within individuals; >43%) among regions differed considerably among species. The lowest variation among groups was for *H. magnifica* (14%; $F_{RT} = 0.143$), followed by *E. quadricolor* (22%; $F_{RT} = 0.220$) and finally *S. mertensii* (42%; $F_{RT} = 0.408$). Interestingly, the amount of genetic variation explained by differences among populations within groups did not display the same patterns as variation among regions. *Stichodactyla mertensii* displayed the lowest variation at this level (1.1%, $F_{RS} = 0.019$), followed by *E. quadricolor* (5%; $F_{SR} = 0.064$) and then *H. magnifica* (9.7%; $F_{RS} = 0.113$). Global F_{ST} values were lower for *H. magnifica* ($F_{ST} = 0.240$) and *E. quadricolor* ($F_{ST} = 0.270$) compared to *S. mertensii* ($F_{ST} = 0.419$) (Table 2).

In all three species, all pairwise F_{ST} comparisons that involved one site from the Red Sea and one from elsewhere were statistically greater than zero after fdr corrections (Table S3, Supporting information). Highest pairwise F_{ST} and F'_{ST} values were found between Obhur (Red Sea) and Moorea (French Polynesia) for *H. magnifica* ($F_{ST} = 0.384$ and $F'_{ST} = 0.749$, respectively), between Obhur and Lizard Island (northern Great Barrier Reef) and Abu Madafi (Red Sea) and Lizard Island for *S. mertensii* ($F_{ST} = 0.427$ and $F'_{ST} = 0.823$), and between Dhi Dahaya (Red Sea) and

392 Lord Howe Island (southeastern Australia) for *E. quadricolor* ($F_{ST} = 0.347$ and $F'_{ST} =$
393 0.736). Lowest F_{ST} and F'_{ST} values were consistently found between sites within the
394 Red Sea for all three species (Table S3, Supporting information).

395

396 *Congruence among genetic distances and Isolation By Distance in the Red Sea*

397 Concordance based on genetic distance (F_{ST}) was high and significant among
398 the three species ($W = 0.82$, $X^2 = 12.33$, adjusted $p = 0.011$). Pairwise similarity among
399 matrices tested a posteriori was also high, ranging from 0.657-0.771, but was only
400 significant for the comparison of *E. quadricolor* and *S. mertensii*. Given this, we can
401 only reject the null hypothesis of no concordance for *E. quadricolor* and *S. mertensii*
402 that, from this test, appear to display very similar patterns of genetic structure based
403 on F_{ST} . Comparing pairwise F'_{ST} values among species and as a function of
404 geographic distance in the Red Sea revealed differences among species (Fig. 4). Only
405 *E. quadricolor* displayed a positive correlation between genetic and geographic
406 distance within the Red Sea cluster (Fig. 4 & Table S4, Supporting information).

407

408 DISCUSSION

409 This study represents the first broad-scale study of the population genetics of
410 sea anemones that provide essential habitat for anemonefishes. Significant population
411 structure was identified in *H. magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor* across the
412 Indo-Pacific. Our data indicate the existence of at least two geographically segregated
413 genetic groups for all species, namely the Red Sea cluster and the rest of the Indo-
414 Pacific cluster. Interestingly, the major genetic break that separates these two clusters
415 coincides at the Maldives. Overall, our results indicate widespread connectivity of *H.*
416 *magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor* within the Red Sea cluster and to a lesser
417 extent within the rest of the Indo-Pacific. Below we compare and discuss the
418 differences in genetic structure among the three anemone species studied and discuss
419 how our findings complement our current understanding of the phylogeography and
420 population connectivity in the Indo-Pacific and Red Sea regions.

421 The abundance and location of clones varied among the three host anemone
422 species. *Heteractis magnifica* clones were restricted to the periphery of their ranges,
423 which agrees with Dunn (1981). These sites likely experience limited gene flow,
424 which may decrease the chances of successful larval recruitment and increase the
425 importance of asexual reproduction (Hoffmann, 1986; Eckert, 2001; Billingham,
426 Reusch, Alberto, & Serrão, 2003; Johannesson & André, 2006). In contrast, *E.*
427 *quadricolor* clones were found across their range including at central sites in the
428 Indian Ocean, which may be explained by Dunn's (1981) additional observation that
429 *E. quadricolor* clonality varies with depth rather than geographical location. As
430 reflected by our findings, a sea anemone's ability to undergo asexual reproduction is
431 species-specific and dependant on the ecological conditions found at each habitat
432 throughout a particular species' range (Sebens, 1980). In general, clusters of *H.*
433 *magnifica* and *E. quadricolor* appear to be common (Harriott, & Harrison, 1997;
434 Brolund et al., 2004; Richardson, Scott & Baird, 2015), and this seems to be
435 consistent with our results in terms of proportions of clones found. Neither asexual
436 reproduction nor clusters of several individuals have been documented before in *S.*
437 *mertensii*. Together with the low levels of clonality in *S. mertensii* reported in this
438 study it seems that this mode of reproduction is rare in this species.

439 We found that there is a significant congruence of patterns of genetic
 440 differentiation among species and that the Maldives (western/central Indian Ocean)
 441 represents a location of overlap or possible hybridization among distinct anemone
 442 populations or lineages (Sheppard et al., 2013). Previous studies have reported genetic
 443 discontinuity between the eastern and western Indian Ocean in different taxa
 444 including coral reef fishes (Bay, Choat, van Herwerden, & Robertson, 2004; Leray et
 445 al., 2010; Gaither et al., 2011; Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017) and invertebrates such as
 446 echinoderms (Vogler et al., 2012; Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016) and giant clams (Hui et
 447 al., 2016). Only one of these included samples from the Maldives (Vogler et al., 2012)
 448 and did not report overlap of different clades at these islands. Since none of the other
 449 studies sampled the Maldives it is not possible to confirm whether genetic
 450 discontinuity and the co-occurrence of different lineages at the Maldives is expected
 451 for other taxa. Other studies describe the presence of genetic discontinuities towards
 452 the eastern Indian Ocean (Christmas Island, Cocos Keeling Islands, Indonesia) for
 453 other coral reef species such as fishes, echinoderms, molluscs, and arthropods
 454 (reviewed in Ludt & Rocha, 2015; Crandall et al., 2019). However, most of the
 455 studies that document this break did not include specimens from the western Indian
 456 Ocean. Taken together, differences among studies are most likely due to the lack of
 457 consistency in sampling efforts among taxa and studies in this region, as pointed out
 458 by Crandall et al. (2019). This is a main limitation to draw general conclusions when
 459 comparing patterns of genetic structure that can only be resolved through better
 460 coordination among research groups. Our results are in agreement with previous
 461 studies and suggest that genetic exchange among eastern and western Indian Ocean
 462 might be limited by the presence of only a few islands that could facilitate
 463 connectivity via island hopping (Sheppard et al., 2013; Otwoma & Kochzius, 2016).
 464 The Maldives seems to be one of these important crossroads where different lineages
 465 meet. Yet, this hypothesis remains to be tested further as limited or ancient dispersal
 466 events are not the only possible processes that could have produced the observed
 467 genetic structure and our sampling size at several locations is small.

468 We can only speculate as to why there was no genetic admixture in *E.*
 469 *quadricolor* at the Maldives. Our data are limited (only four specimens), but suggest
 470 that both lineages coexist in the same place. It is possible that for *E. quadricolor*,
 471 these two clusters are the result of lineages that may have achieved reproductive
 472 isolation while ongoing gene flow is still possible for *H. magnifica* and *S. mertensii*.
 473 In this sense, IBD results indicate that *E. quadricolor* has more limited dispersal than
 474 *H. magnifica* and *S. mertensii* within the Red Sea. However, given our uneven
 475 sampling design among species, these results should be interpreted with caution.
 476 These differences could be explained either by variation in pelagic larval duration
 477 among species or variation in relative species abundance. *Entacmaea quadricolor*
 478 larvae can settle 48 hours after spawning; yet, they can remain in the plankton for up
 479 to 57 days (Scott & Harrison, 2007). However, little is known about larval dispersal
 480 of the other two species and no information is available regarding relative abundances
 481 of these anemones in the regions we sampled. Assuming that population connectivity
 482 is mostly driven by larval exchange, and that differences in abundance of these three
 483 species are negligible it appears that *H. magnifica* and *S. mertensii* larvae may have
 484 higher dispersal potential than *E. quadricolor* larvae. This higher restriction in gene
 485 flow might have facilitated the appearance of reproductive isolation among *E.*
 486 *quadricolor* clades. However, these hypotheses require further investigation.

487 The genetic structure and evolutionary history of host anemones and
 488 anemonefishes should be inextricably linked due to the obligate nature of the

symbiosis for the anemonefishes. We found at least three cases where there are similarities in the genetic structure of anemonefishes and that of their host anemones that warrant discussion. First, a previous study also employing microsatellite markers has reported isolation by distance and environment within the Red Sea for *Amphiprion bicinctus* (Nanninga et al., 2014), which inhabits *H. magnifica*, *E. quadricolor*, *S. mertensii*, and to a lesser extent *Heteractis crispa* and *Heteractis aurora*. IBD has been reported for other anemonefishes (Pinsky, Montes, Jr., & Palumbi, 2010; Pinsky et al., 2017) and has been attributed to the relatively short pelagic larval duration of these species (aprox. 12d). Here, only *E. quadricolor* displayed IBD in the Red Sea suggesting a shorter PLD compared to the other anemones and perhaps similar to the PLD of *A. bicinctus*. Second, deep genetic differences between the eastern and western Indian Ocean have been reported for *Amphiprion akallopisos* (Huyghe & Kochzius, 2017), which inhabits both *S. mertensii* and *H. magnifica*. This coincides with our results, however the lack of samples of *A. akallopisos* from the Maldives leaves the question open as to whether these two lineages of *A. akallopisos* meet at the Maldives as seems to be the case for the three anemone species in this study. Third, it has been shown that populations of the only anemonefish species present in Moorea (French Polynesia), *Amphiprion chrysopterus*, appear to be clearly different from populations elsewhere (Litsios, Pearman, Lanterbecq, Tolou, & Salamin, 2014). This coincides with our finding that the population of *H. magnifica* from Moorea is highly divergent from other *H. magnifica* populations. Similarly, moderate genetic structure in *E. quadricolor* was found between Lord Howe Island (located in southeastern Australia and to which *Amphiprion mccullochi* is endemic), and locations along the Great Barrier Reef (where its closest relative *Amphiprion akindynos* is commonly found) (van der Meer, Jones, Hobbs, & van Herwerden, 2012). As pointed out previously, there is limited geographic overlap between our study and previous studies of broad scale genetic structure in anemonefishes that prevent us from making thorough comparisons, but our results do suggest that there are some similarities in terms of genetic structure among anemonefishes and their host sea anemones.

Studies of population genetics in the Indo-Pacific region have demonstrated variation in patterns of genetic differentiation across a broad range of species, with evidence of several genetic breaks including between the Indian and Pacific Oceans, between the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean, and between sub-regions of the Indian Ocean (reviewed in Crandall et al., 2019). Here, we studied the broad-scale population genetics of host anemone species for the first time and identified distinct genetic groups with deep divergences at least between the Red Sea and the rest of the Indo-Pacific region and possibly also in Moorea. These deep divergences suggest possible species complexes within these species, which has also been suggested at least for *E. quadricolor* in a recent phylogenetic reconstruction of the clownfish hosting sea anemones (Titus et al., 2019). Overall, the patterns of population structure documented here are similar across *H. magnifica*, *S. mertensii*, and *E. quadricolor*, suggesting shared evolutionary processes. These divergences coincide with the Western Indian / Western Indo-Pacific barrier and the Central Indo-Pacific / Eastern Indo-Pacific biogeographic barriers and are most likely the result of complex changes involving larval connectivity and population sizes associated with Pleistocene sea-level fluctuations (Ludt & Rocha, 2015). The incongruence of our findings compared to other coral reef associated taxa that display genetic discontinuities elsewhere (such as the Sunda shelf) is most likely associated to differences among species linked to genetic drift (Crandall et al., 2019). Within the identified groups, connectivity is

539 relatively high for all species, but seems to be more restricted in *E. quadricolor* than
540 in the other two species, at least in the Red Sea. However, our results need to be
541 interpreted with caution because our sampling scheme was limited in terms of the
542 number of samples per location and the congruence of sampling sites among different
543 anemone species. Clearly further studies are needed to elucidate the role of
544 evolutionary forces and demographic history in shaping the genetic structure of
545 populations of these three sea anemones. We hope that our results serve as a road map
546 to further develop these questions regarding the drivers of evolution and population
547 structure of host sea anemones.
548

549 TABLES

550 Table 1. Summary of specimens for *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla mertensii*,
551 and *Entacmaea quadricolor* across the Indo-Pacific, including number of specimens
552 successfully genotyped (N) and number of unique genotypes following clone
553 correction (N_{cc}). Latitude (Lat) and longitude (Lon) are expressed in decimal degrees.
554

Site code	Site Name	Region	Lat, Lon	<i>Heteractis magnifica</i>		<i>Stichodactyla mertensii</i>		<i>Entacmaea quadricolor</i>	
				N	N _{cc}	N	N _{cc}	N	N _{cc}
1	Gulf of Aqaba	North Red Sea	28.185, 34.638					5	5
2	Jazirat Burcan	North Red Sea	27.910, 35.065					24	24
3	An Numan	North Red Sea	27.139, 35.751	3	3			14	14
4	Nuwayshziyah	North Red Sea	26.624, 36.095					20	20
5	Mashabi	North Red Sea	25.582, 36.549	1	1			11	11
6	Abu Matari	North Red Sea	24.723, 37.151					1	1
7	Yanbu	North Red Sea	24.150, 37.675	3	1	13	13	5	5
8	Qita' Al-Girsh	Central Red Sea	22.436, 39.001	2		11	11	28	28
9	Shib Nazar	Central Red Sea	22.331, 38.863	2	1	7	7		
10	Fsar	Central Red Sea	22.227, 39.030	20	14			11	10
11	Abu Madafi	Central Red Sea	22.074, 38.778			6	6	1	1
12	Obhur	Central Red Sea	21.671, 38.844	8	5	25	25	3	3
13	South Reef (Al-Lith)	Central Red Sea	19.876, 40.114	7	3				
14	Lagoon2	South Red Sea	19.559, 40.055	1	1				
15	Abu Dauqa	South Red Sea	19.209, 40.109	1	1	5	5		
16	Dorish	South Red Sea	18.506, 40.670	3	3	4	4		
17	Sumayr	South Red Sea	17.787, 41.442	1	1	4	4		
18	Mamali Kabir	South Red Sea	17.605, 41.671			2	2		
19	Joey's Bluff	South Red Sea	17.476, 41.786	4	3	1	1		
20	Fi'ran	South Red Sea	17.177, 42.205			2	1		
21	Ghurab	South Red Sea	17.109, 42.069	2	1	2	2		
22	Baghlah	South Red Sea	16.980, 41.385	7	1	3	3		
23	Dhi Dahaya	South Red Sea	16.875, 41.440	7	4	5	5	7	7
24	Duraka	South Red Sea	16.860, 42.322	25	5				
25	Zahrat Durakah	South Red Sea	16.840, 42.305			1	1	3	3
26	Mazagnef	South Red Sea	16.592, 42.335	3	2				
27	Hindiya	South Red Sea	16.577, 42.240	4	3				
28	Dumsuq	South Red Sea	16.518, 42.041	11	5	1	1		
29	Djibouti	Gulf of Aden	12.221, 43.439	5	3	8	7		
30	Maldives	Indian Ocean	3.090, 72.976	6	6	3	3	4	4
31	Christmas Island	Indian Ocean	-10.460, 105.629			3	3		
32	Abrolhos Island	Indian Ocean	-28.790, 113.863					7	7
33	Tuare	Bismarck Sea	-5.087, 150.190	1	1	4	4	21	20
34	Kapeppa	Bismarck Sea	-5.091, 150.202					2	2
35	Kimbe Island	Bismarck Sea	-5.203, 150.374	51	51	5	5	5	5
36	Lizard Island	Coral Sea	-14.673, 145.451	1	1	7	7	3	3
37	Keppel Islands	Coral Sea	-23.155, 150.956					9	9
38	Northwest Island	Coral Sea	-23.280, 151.748					13	13
39	Heron Island	Coral Sea	-23.461, 151.934					6	6
40	North Solitary Island	Coral Sea	-29.925, 153.390					7	5
41	Lord Howe Island	Tasman Sea	-31.530, 159.077					39	28
42	Moorea	Pacific Ocean	-17.539, -149.830	26	13				
Total individuals				205	133	122	120	249	234

555 Table 2. Analysis of molecular variance results for *Heteractis magnifica*,
556 *Stichodactyla mertensii*, and *Entacmaea quadricolor* sampled from across the Indo-
557 Pacific. Sites with less than five specimens were not included in this analysis. Sites
558 were also assigned to one of two regions prior to analysis, the Red Sea (here including
559 Djibouti), and the rest of the Indo-Pacific.

Source of Variation	d.f.	SS	Variance	% of	F-statistic
<i>Heteractis magnifica</i>					
Among regions	2	75.8	0.519	14.3%	$F_{RT} = 0.143$
Among sites within regions	4	40.1	0.352	9.7%	$F_{SR} = 0.113$
Among individuals within sites	92	268.6	0.162	4.5%	$F_{ST} = 0.240$
Within individuals	99	257.0	2.596	71.6%	$F_{IS} = 0.059$
Total	197	641.6	3.629	100%	$F_{IT} = 0.285$
<i>Stichodactyla mertensii</i>					
Among regions	1	72.4	1.651	41.8%	$F_{RT} = 0.408$
Among sites within regions	8	30.2	0.046	1.1%	$F_{SR} = 0.019$
Among individuals within sites	81	239.1	0.600	14.8%	$F_{ST} = 0.419$
Within individuals	91	159.5	1.753	43.3%	$F_{IS} = 0.255$
Total	181	501.3	4.050	100%	$F_{IT} = 0.567$
<i>Entacmaea quadricolor</i>					
Among regions	2	249.7	1.029	22.1%	$F_{RT} = 0.220$
Among sites within regions	14	134.9	0.235	5.0%	$F_{SR} = 0.064$
Among individuals within sites	200	762.8	0.414	8.9%	$F_{ST} = 0.270$
Within individuals	217	648.0	2.986	64.0%	$F_{IS} = 0.121$
Total	433	1795.5	4.664	100%	$F_{IT} = 0.359$

560

561

562 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

563 Figure 1. Numbered sampling sites (42) for *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla*
564 *mertensii*, and *Entacmaea quadricolor* across the Indo-Pacific. Individual anemones
565 were sampled opportunistically, so not every species was sampled at each site. Size of
566 points is proportional to sample sizes. Specific information regarding the number of
567 specimens collected per site and other geographic information such as longitude and
568 latitude can be found in Table 1. Colours of points represent regions: Red Sea (blue),
569 Gulf of Aden (red), Indian Ocean (green), Bismarck Sea (purple), and Pacific Ocean
570 (orange). Photos of the three anemones are included, but it should be noted that
571 multiple morphotypes of *Entacmaea quadricolor* exist. Map created using an
572 equatorial projection centered on a prime Meridian with equally spaced straight
573 meridians and equal-area.

574

575 Figure 2. Structure output for *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla mertensii*, and
576 *Entacmaea quadricolor* sampled from across the Indo-Pacific, for $K = 2$ to 4. Sites
577 are arranged from northwest to southeast. Numeric codes for each site correspond to
578 the codes used in Table 1. Major oceanographic regions are also indicated in a
579 coloured bar at the bottom of each graph for reference: Red Sea (blue), Gulf of Aden
580 (red), Indian Ocean (green), Bismarck Sea (purple), and Pacific Ocean (orange).

581

582 Figure 3. Results of Principal Components Analyses performed on the genetic data
583 from (a) *Heteractis magnifica*, (b) *Stichodactyla mertensii*, and (c) *Entacmaea*
584 *quadricolor* sampled from across the Indo-Pacific. Points are coloured according to
585 the oceanographic region of their origin. Major oceanographic regions are indicated in
586 the legend at the top: Red Sea (RS), Gulf of Aden (GA), Indian Ocean (IO), Bismarck
587 Sea (BS), and Pacific Ocean (PO). The values indicated along each axis correspond to
588 the percentage of inertia explained by the corresponding axis.

589

590 Figure 4. Scatterplots from the Isolation by Distance analysis comparing the pairwise
591 matrices of overwater geographic distance (in hundreds of km) and standardized
592 genetic distance (F'_{ST}) between sites with five or more *Heteractis magnifica* (yellow),
593 *Stichodactyla mertensii* (light blue), and *Entacmaea quadricolor* (grey) specimens,
594 within the Red Sea.

595

596

597

598 REFERENCES

- 599 Allen, G. R., Drew, J., & Fenner, D. (2010). *Amphiprion pacificus*, a new species of
600 anemonefish (Pomacentridae) from Fiji, Tonga, Samoa, and Wallis Island. *Aqua*,
601 *International Journal of Ichthyology*, 16, 129–139.
- 602 Arbogast, B. S., & Kenagy, G. J. (2001). Comparative phylogeography as an integrative
603 approach to historical biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28, 819–825.
604 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00594.x>
- 605 Bay, L. K., Choat, J. H., van Herwerden, L., & Robertson, D. R. (2004). High genetic
606 diversities and complex genetic structure in an Indo-Pacific tropical reef fish
607 (*Chlorurus sordidus*): Evidence of an unstable evolutionary past? *Marine Biology*,
608 144, 757–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-003-1224-3>
- 609 Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and
610 powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series*
611 *B (Methodological)*, 57, 289–300. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x)
612 [6161.1995.tb02031.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x)
- 613 Bermingham, E., & Moritz, C. (1998). Comparative phylogeography: Concepts and
614 applications. *Molecular Ecology*, 7, 367–369. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00424.x)
615 [294x.1998.00424.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00424.x)
- 616 Billingham, M. R., Reusch, T. B. H., Alberto, F., & Serrão, E. A. (2003). Is asexual
617 reproduction more important at geographical limits? A genetic study of the seagrass
618 *Zostera marina* in the Ria Formosa, Portugal. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 265,
619 77–83. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps265077>
- 620 Bouwmeester, J., Gatins, R., Giles, E. C., Sinclair-Taylor, T. H., & Berumen, M. L. (2016).
621 Spawning of coral reef invertebrates and a second spawning season for scleractinian
622 corals in the central Red Sea. *Invertebrate Biology*, 135, 273–284.
623 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ivb.12129>
- 624 Bowen, B. W., Gaither, M. R., DiBattista, J. D., Iacchei, M., Andrews, K. R., Grant, W. S., ...
625 Briggs, J. C. (2016). Comparative phylogeography of the ocean planet. *Proceedings*
626 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, 7962–7969.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602404113>
- 628 Bridge, T., Scott, A., & Steinberg, D. (2012). Abundance and diversity of anemonefishes and
629 their host sea anemones at two mesophotic sites on the Great Barrier Reef, Australia.
630 *Coral Reefs*, 31, 1057–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-012-0916-x>
- 631 Brolund, T. M., Tychsen, A., Nielsen, L. E., & Arvedlund, M. (2004). An assemblage of the
632 host anemone *Heteractis magnifica* in the northern Red Sea, and distribution of the
633 resident anemonefish. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United*
634 *Kingdom*, 84, 671–674. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315404009737h>
- 635 Chessel, D., Dufour, A. B., & Thioulouse, J. (2004). The ade4 package—I: One-table
636 methods. *R News*, 4, 5–10.
- 637 Crandall, E. D., Frey, M. A., Grosberg, R. K., & Barber, P. H. (2008). Contrasting
638 demographic history and phylogeographical patterns in two Indo-Pacific gastropods.
639 *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 611–626. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03600.x>
- 640 Crandall, E. D., Riginos, C., Bird, C. E., Liggins, L., Trembl, E., Beger, M., ... Gaither, M. R.
641 (2019). The molecular biogeography of the Indo-Pacific: Testing hypotheses with
642 multispecies genetic patterns. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 28, 943–960.
643 <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12905>
- 644 Dawson, M. N., Louie, K. D., Barlow, M., Jacobs, D. K., & Swift, C. C. (2002). Comparative
645 phylogeography of sympatric sister species, *Clevelandia ios* and *Eucyclogobius*
646 *newberryi* (Teleostei, Gobiidae), across the California Transition Zone. *Molecular*
647 *Ecology*, 11, 1065–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01503.x>

- 648 DiBattista, J. D., Choat, J. H., Gaither, M. R., Hobbs, J.-P. A., Lozano-Cortés, D. F., Myers,
649 R. F., ... Berumen, M. L. (2016). On the origin of endemic species in the Red Sea.
650 *Journal of Biogeography*, 43, 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12631>
- 651 Dohna, T. A., Timm, J., Hamid, L., & Kochzius, M. (2015). Limited connectivity and a
652 phylogeographic break characterize populations of the pink anemonefish, *Amphiprion*
653 *perideraion*, in the Indo-Malay Archipelago: Inferences from a mitochondrial and
654 microsatellite loci. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 1717–1733.
655 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1455>
- 656 Dunn, D. F. (1981). The clownfish sea anemones: Stichodactylidae (Coelenterata: Actiniaria)
657 and other sea anemones symbiotic with pomacentrid fishes. *Transactions of the*
658 *American Philosophical Society*, 71, 3–115. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1006382>
- 659 Earl, D. A. (2012). STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing
660 STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics*
661 *Resources*, 4, 359–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7>
- 662 Eckert, C. G. (2001). The loss of sex in clonal plants. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 15, 501–520.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016005519651>
- 664 Fautin, D. G., & Allen, G. R. (1992). *Field guide to anemone fishes and their host sea*
665 *anemones*. Perth: Western Australian Museum.
- 666 Fautin, D. G., & Allen, G. R. (1997). *Anemone fishes and their host sea anemones: A guide*
667 *for aquarists and divers* (Rev. ed.). Perth: Western Australian Museum.
- 668 Frisch, A. J., Hobbs, J.-P. A., Hansen, S. T., Williamson, D. H., Bonin, M. C., Jones, G. P., &
669 Rizzari, J. R. (2019). Recovery potential of mutualistic anemone and anemonefish
670 populations. *Fisheries Research*, 218, 1–9.
671 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2019.04.018>
- 672 Gaither, M. R., Bowen, B. W., Bordenave, T.-R., Rocha, L. A., Newman, S. J., Gomez, J. A.,
673 ... Craig, M. T. (2011). Phylogeography of the reef fish *Cephalopholis argus*
674 (Epinephelidae) indicates Pleistocene isolation across the Indo-Pacific barrier with
675 contemporary overlap in the coral triangle. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 11 (189).
676 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-189>
- 677 Gaither, M. R., & Rocha, L. A. (2013). Origins of species richness in the Indo-Malay-
678 Philippine biodiversity hotspot: Evidence for the centre of overlap hypothesis.
679 *Journal of Biogeography*, 40, 1638–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12126>
- 680 Gatins, R., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Scott, A., & Berumen, M. L. (2018). Development and
681 characterization of new polymorphic microsatellite markers in four sea anemones:
682 *Entacmaea quadricolor*, *Heteractis magnifica*, *Stichodactyla gigantea*, and
683 *Stichodactyla mertensii*. *Marine Biodiversity*, 48, 1283–1290.
684 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-016-0576-0>
- 685 Giles, E. C., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Hussey, N. E., Ravasi, T., & Berumen, M. L. (2015).
686 Exploring seascape genetics and kinship in the reef sponge *Stylissa carteri* in the Red
687 Sea. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 2487–2502. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1511>
- 688 Hall, R. (2002). Cenozoic geological and plate tectonic evolution of SE Asia and the SW
689 Pacific: Computer-based reconstructions, model and animations. *Journal of Asian*
690 *Earth Sciences*, 20, 353–431. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-9120\(01\)00069-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1367-9120(01)00069-4)
- 691 Hoffmann, R. J. (1986). Variation in contributions of asexual reproduction to the genetic
692 structure of populations of the sea anemone *Metridium senile*. *Evolution*, 40, 357–
693 365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1986.tb00477.x>
- 694 Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scandinavian*
695 *Journal of Statistics*, 6, 65–70. Retrieved from JSTOR.
- 696 Hui, M., Kraemer, W. E., Seidel, C., Nuryanto, A., Joshi, A., & Kochzius, M. (2016).
697 Comparative genetic population structure of three endangered giant clams (Cardiidae:

698 *Tridacna* species) throughout the Indo-West Pacific: implications for divergence,
699 connectivity and conservation. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, 82, 403–414.
700 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyw001>

701 Huyghe, F., & Kochzius, M. (2017). Highly restricted gene flow between disjunct populations
702 of the skunk clownfish (*Amphiprion akallopisos*) in the Indian Ocean. *Marine*
703 *Ecology*, 38, e12357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12357>

704 Johannesson, K., & André, C. (2006). Life on the margin: Genetic isolation and diversity loss
705 in a peripheral marine ecosystem, the Baltic Sea. *Molecular Ecology*, 15, 2013–2029.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02919.x>

707 Jombart, T., Devillard, S., & Balloux, F. (2010). Discriminant analysis of principal
708 components: A new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations.
709 *BMC Genetics*, 11 (94). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-94>

710 Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... Drummond,
711 A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform
712 for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 1647–1649.
713 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>

714 Kendall, M. G., & Smith, B. B. (1939). The problem of m rankings. *Annals of Mathematical*
715 *Statistics*, 10, 275–287. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177732186>

716 Klausewitz, W. (1989). Evolutionary history and zoogeography of the Red Sea ichthyofauna.
717 In W. Büttiker & F. Krupp (Eds.), *Fauna of Saudi Arabia* (Vol. 10, pp. 310–337).
718 Basle, Switzerland: Pro Entomologia.

719 Kopelman, N. M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N. A., & Mayrose, I. (2015).
720 Clumpak: A program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population
721 structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 15, 1179–1191.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12387>

723 Legendre, P., & Lapointe, F.-J. (2004). Assessing congruence among distance matrices:
724 Single-malt Scotch whiskeys revisited. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of*
725 *Statistics*, 46, 615–629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2004.00357.x>

726 Leray, M., Beldade, R., Holbrook, S. J., Schmitt, R. J., Planes, S., & Bernardi, G. (2010).
727 Allopatric divergence and speciation in coral reef fish: The three-spot dascyllus,
728 *Dascyllus trimaculatus*, species complex. *Evolution*, 64, 1218–1230.
729 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00917.x>

730 Litsios, G., Pearman, P. B., Lanterbecq, D., Tolou, N., & Salamin, N. (2014). The radiation of
731 the clownfishes has two geographical replicates. *Journal of Biogeography*, 41, 2140–
732 2149. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12370>

733 Ludt, W. B., & Rocha, L. A. (2015). Shifting seas: The impacts of Pleistocene sea-level
734 fluctuations on the evolution of tropical marine taxa. *Journal of Biogeography*, 42,
735 25–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12416>

736 Meirmans, P. G. (2006). Using the AMOVA framework to estimate a standardized genetic
737 differentiation measure. *Evolution*, 60, 2399–2402. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2006.tb01874.x>

739 Meirmans, P. G. (2015). Seven common mistakes in population genetics and how to avoid
740 them. *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 3223–3231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13243>

741 Nanninga, G. B., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Manica, A., & Berumen, M. L. (2014). Environmental
742 gradients predict the genetic population structure of a coral reef fish in the Red Sea.
743 *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 591–602. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12623>

744 O'Donnell, J. L., Beldade, R., Mills, S. C., Williams, H. E., & Bernardi, G. (2017). Life
745 history, larval dispersal, and connectivity in coral reef fish among the Scattered
746 Islands of the Mozambique Channel. *Coral Reefs*, 36, 223–232.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1495-z>

Otwoma, L. M., & Kochzius, M. (2016). Genetic population structure of the coral reef sea star *Linckia laevigata* in the western Indian Ocean and Indo-West Pacific. *PLOS ONE*, 11, e0165552. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165552>

Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: an R package for analyses of phylogenetics and evolution. *Bioinformatics*, 20, 289–290.

Peakall, P. E., & Smouse, R. (2012). GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—An update. *Bioinformatics*, 28, 2537–2539.

Pinsky, M. L., Montes, Jr., H. R., & Palumbi, S. R. (2010). Using isolation by distance and effective density to estimate dispersal scales in anemonefish. *Evolution*, 64, 2688–2700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01003.x>

Pinsky, M. L., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Salles, O. C., Almany, G. R., Bode, M., Berumen, M. L., ... Planes, S. (2017). Marine dispersal scales are congruent over evolutionary and ecological time. *Current Biology*, 27, 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.10.053>

Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155, 945–959.

Randall, J. E. (1998). Zoogeography of shore fishes of the Indo-Pacific region. *Zoological Studies*, 37, 227–268.

Raymond, M., & Rousset, F. (1995). GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, 86, 248–249.

Reimer, J. D., Herrera, M., Gatins, R., Roberts, M. B., Parkinson, J. E., & Berumen, M. L. (2017). Latitudinal variation in the symbiotic dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium* of the common reef zoantharian *Palythoa tuberculosa* on the Saudi Arabian coast of the Red Sea. *Journal of Biogeography*, 44, 661–673. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12795>

Richardson, D. L., Harriott, V. J., & Harrison, P. L. (1997). Distribution and abundance of giant sea anemones (Actiniaria) in subtropical eastern Australian waters. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 48, 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1071/mf96020>

Rocha, L. A., Craig, M. T., & Bowen, B. W. (2007). Phylogeography and the conservation of coral reef fishes. *Coral Reefs*, 26, 501–512. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-007-0261-7>

Rousset, F. (2008). Genepop'007: A complete re-implementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 8, 103–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x>

Saenz-Agudelo, P., DiBattista, J. D., Piatek, M. J., Gaither, M. R., Harrison, H. B., Nanninga, G. B., & Berumen, M. L. (2015). Seascape genetics along environmental gradients in the Arabian Peninsula: Insights from ddRAD sequencing of anemonefishes. *Molecular Ecology*, 24, 6241–6255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13471>

Sawall, Y., Al-Sofyani, A., Banguera-Hinestroza, E., & Voolstra, C. R. (2014). Spatio-temporal analyses of *Symbiodinium* physiology of the coral *Pocillopora verrucosa* along large-scale nutrient and temperature gradients in the Red Sea. *PLOS ONE*, 9, e103179. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103179>

Scott, A. (2017). Sea Anemones. In R. Calado, I. Olivotto, O. M. Planas, & G. J. Holt (Eds.), *Marine Ornamental Species Aquaculture* (pp. 437–456). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119169147.ch21b>

Scott, A., & Baird, A. H. (2015). Trying to find Nemo: Low abundance of sea anemones and anemonefishes on central and southern mid-shelf reefs in the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Biodiversity*, 45, 327–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-014-0245-0>

Scott, A., & Harrison, P. L. (2005). Synchronous spawning of host sea anemones. *Coral Reefs*, 24, 208–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-0488-0>

- 798 Scott, A., & Harrison, P. L. (2007). Embryonic and larval development of the host sea
799 anemones *Entacmaea quadricolor* and *Heteractis crispa*. *The Biological Bulletin*,
800 213, 110–121. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25066627>
- 801 Scott, A., & Harrison, P. L. (2009). Gametogenic and reproductive cycles of the sea anemone,
802 *Entacmaea quadricolor*. *Marine Biology*, 156, 1659–1671.
803 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-009-1201-6>
- 804 Sebens, K. P. (1980). The regulation of asexual reproduction and indeterminate body size in
805 the sea anemone *Anthopleura elegantissima* (Brandt). *The Biological Bulletin*, 158,
806 370–382. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1540863>
- 807 Sheppard, C. R. C., Bowen, B. W., Chen, A. C., Craig, M. T., Eble, J., Fitzsimmons, N., ...
808 Yesson, C. (2013). British Indian Ocean Territory (the Chagos Archipelago): Setting,
809 Connections and the Marine Protected Area. In C. R. C. Sheppard (Ed.), *Coral Reefs*
810 *of the United Kingdom Overseas Territories* (pp. 223–240).
811 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-5965-7_17
- 812 Steinberg, R., van der Meer, M., Walker, E., Berumen, M. L., Hobbs, J.-P. A., & van
813 Herwerden, L. (2016). Genetic connectivity and self-replenishment of inshore and
814 offshore populations of the endemic anemonefish, *Amphiprion latezonatus*. *Coral*
815 *Reefs*, 35, 959–970. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-016-1420-5>
- 816 Timm, J., & Kochzius, M. (2008). Geological history and oceanography of the Indo-Malay
817 Archipelago shape the genetic population structure in the false clown anemonefish
818 (*Amphiprion ocellaris*). *Molecular Ecology*, 17, 3999–4014.
819 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03881.x>
- 820 Titus, B. M., Benedict, C., Laroche, R., Gusmão, L. C., Van Deusen, V., Chiodo, T., ...
821 Rodríguez, E. (2019). Phylogenetic relationships among the clownfish-hosting sea
822 anemones. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 139, 106526.
823 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106526>.
- 824 van der Meer, M. H., Jones, G. P., Hobbs, J.-P. A., & van Herwerden, L. (2012). Historic
825 hybridization and introgression between two iconic Australian anemonefish and
826 contemporary patterns of population connectivity. *Ecology and Evolution*, 2, 1592–
827 1604. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.251>
- 828 Vogler, C., Benzie, J., Barber, P. H., Erdmann, M. V., Ambariyanto, Sheppard, C. R. C., ...
829 Wörheide, G. (2012). Phylogeography of the crown-of-thorns starfish in the Indian
830 Ocean. *PLOS ONE*, 7, e43499. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043499>
- 831 Voris, H. K. (2000). Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: Shorelines, river
832 systems and time durations. *Journal of Biogeography*, 27, 1153–1167.
833 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2000.00489.x>
- 834 Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population
835 structure. *Evolution*, 38, 1358–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1984.tb05657.x>
- 837 Wright, S. (1965). The interpretation of population structure by F-statistics with special
838 regard to systems of mating. *Evolution*, 19, 395–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1965.tb01731.x>

840 BIOSKETCH

841 Madeleine A. Emms is interested in studying population structure,
842 connectivity, and demography in coral reef systems. All other co-authors are broadly
843 interested in the ecology, evolution and conservation of coral reefs. PSA and MLB
844 conceived and designed the study. RAG and PSA carried out the laboratory work.
845 MAE, ECG, and PSA analysed the data. MAE and PSA led the writing of the

846 manuscript with assistance from ECG and MLB. All authors contributed tissue
847 specimens and contributed to the manuscript.

848

849

850 Figure 1

851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864

866

867

868

869

870 Figure 3

871

872

873 Figure 4

874