**Evidence for Tear Faulting from new Constraints of the 23 October 2011 Mw 7.1 Van
(Turkey) Earthquake based on InSAR, GPS, Coastal Uplift and Field Observations**

Ahmet M. Akoğlu*, Sigurjón Jónsson, Teng Wang, Ziyadin Çakır, Ugur Dogan, Semih Ergintav,
Batuhan Osmanoglu, Guangcai Feng, Cengiz Zabcı, Alpay Özdemir, Ömer Emre

* Corresponding Author:
King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST),
Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia
(Now at: Istanbul Technical University, Department of Geology,
Ayazağa Yerleşkesi, Sarıyer 34469, Istanbul, Turkey)
akoglua@itu.edu.tr

39 **Abstract**

40 The 23 October 2011 Van earthquake (Mw 7.1) in eastern Turkey took place on a previously
41 unknown thrust fault, causing over 600 fatalities and extensive damage mainly in Van city and
42 the northern town of Erciş. Several coseismic models have already been published after the
43 earthquake using the available seismological and geodetic data. These studies generally agree
44 upon a coseismic rupture on a north-dipping E-W trending blind thrust fault comprising one or
45 two subparallel segments and the existence of a shallow slip deficit. Here, by introducing an
46 additional coseismic pixel-offset dataset from the TerraSAR-X satellite, we conclude that a
47 NNE-SSW trending left-lateral tear fault that bounds the rupture to the east also slipped both
48 during and after the mainshock, which is in agreement with both field observations and
49 postseismic GPS measurements. This has important implications for the seismic hazard analysis
50 of the region as the extent and geometry of the rupture that we infer differ significantly from
51 those previously proposed.

INTRODUCTION

The 23 October 2011 (13:41, GMT+2), Mw 7.1 Van earthquake was the first $M > 7$ event to occur in Turkey after the devastating Izmit and Düzce earthquakes of 1999, and it remains the largest instrumentally recorded thrust faulting event to strike the Turkish-Iranian plateau since the 1988 Ms 6.8 Spitak, Armenia earthquake (Fig. 1). Although the epicentral area has a rich history of civilization, not much was known from the active tectonics perspective before the earthquake. Causing a loss of >600 lives and extensive damage in Van and surrounding villages, the event resulted in an estimated economical loss of up to \$1.5 billion (Bevere et al., 2012). The official figures report 117,700 damaged housing units (Erdik et al., 2012), with around 10% of the damage in the town of Erciş to the north of Van Lake (Fig. 1).

Early focal mechanism solutions (Table 1) showed that the earthquake took place on a fault trending ENE-WSW and dipping either to the south or to the north, consistent with the distribution of aftershocks (Fig. 2). The nodal plane ambiguity could not be solved after extensive field studies as no convincing evidence of a clear surface rupture related with the main fault was found in the field. Some compressional deformation observed along a short distance crossing the Van-Erciş highway (Fig. 3) was attributed to a northward dipping main fault rupture (Emre et al., 2011). However, these might also have possibly been associated with back thrusting due to a primary rupture on a south-dipping fault resolved by various focal mechanism solutions (Fig. 1). Thus, it became evident that some key parameters of the earthquake such as the location and the dip and strike direction of the causative fault could not be constrained without using space-based geodetic techniques.

Being the largest of its kind in the instrumental era in the western part of the Turkish-Iranian plateau, the Van earthquake provides an opportunity to improve our understanding of the active

75 tectonics and continental deformation of eastern Turkey and its vicinity, and the nature of blind
76 faulting. The latter is particularly important since active blind faults still represent one of the
77 missing blocks of our current interpretation of seismic hazard models (Dolan et al., 2003). This is
78 simply because of the fact that earthquakes on concealed faults, especially with longer recurrence
79 intervals and with a weak surface morphology, are difficult to identify. During the last three
80 decades of the instrumental era several prominent blind faulting events on unknown-unstudied
81 faults occurred around the world causing extensive damage and loss of lives, with the 2010 Mw
82 7.0 Haiti earthquake being the most prominent example (Calais et al., 2010).

83 Several studies based on Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) observations of the
84 Van earthquake have already been published (Elliott et al., 2013; Fielding et al., 2013; Feng et
85 al., 2014; Moro et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Trasatti et al. 2016). All these studies are
86 however limited to coseismic Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) datasets only from the descending
87 orbit and far field Global Positioning System (GPS) data, which makes it difficult to constrain
88 both the geometry of the fault rupture(s) and the rake angle accurately, and hence the distribution
89 of reverse and strike slip components on the fault surface. There is also an important difference
90 particularly in the proposed fault geometries; while one group of researchers (Elliott et al., 2013;
91 Wang et al., 2015) proposes a two-fault model, others suggest a single segment. Nonetheless,
92 both group of studies agree on a deeply buried coseismic slip (10-22 km) on a north-dipping fault
93 and a lack of slip at shallower depths ($< 8\text{km}$). Furthermore, later studies focusing mainly on the
94 postseismic movements after the earthquake by Dogan et al. (2014) and Mackenzie et al. (2016)
95 brought into light the existence of another active fault to the south that cuts through the city
96 center of Van.

In order to validate whether a two-segment fault is the appropriate model for the Van earthquake and to improve the uncertainties in the source parameters that inevitably result from the use of only one type of SAR imaging geometry (Sudhaus & Jónsson, 2009); here in this study, we introduce an X-band SAR pixel-offset dataset that was neglected by the previous studies due to lack of coherence owing to its long temporal baseline (Table 2). This additional new SAR dataset from the German TerraSAR-X satellite captures the surface deformation from a different angle of view (i.e., ascending orbit). Added to that we also make use of data from near-field coseismic GPS stations as well as a set of coastal uplift measurements made along the shores of Lake Van, which should further help reducing model parameter trade-offs and uncertainties.

Our paper starts with a brief introduction of the seismotectonics of the region, followed by a description of the data and then we discuss the importance of adding ascending pixel offsets to our analysis. We conclude our study after making comparisons to the previously published coseismic models and discussing our inferences on the nature of the rupture.

SEISMOTECTONICS

Eastern Turkey is a part of a 2-km high plateau that results from the continental collision between the Arabian and Eurasian plates (Dewey et al., 1986) that started about middle-late Miocene (Şengör et al., 1985) with the closure of the Tethys Ocean. Based on theoretical studies and the direction of plate convergence later confirmed by GPS measurements (McClusky et al., 2000; Reilinger et al., 2006), the continental deformation was claimed by Şengör et al. (1985) and Dewey et al. (1986) to be driven by N-S compression and accommodated by postulated E-W trending reverse faults, NW-SW striking right-lateral strike-slip faults (e.g., North Anatolian and Çaldıran-Tabriz faults) and NE-SW trending left-lateral strike-slip faults (e.g., East Anatolian Fault). The GPS velocity field of Reilinger et al. (2006) indicates that up to 10 mm/yr of the

convergence between the Arabian and Eurasian plates varies rather smoothly within a wide region from the Bitlis Suture to the south to the Northeast Anatolian Fault to the north (Mackenzie et al., 2016; Fig. 1). This suggests a relatively low rate of strain accumulation on individual thrust faults which are distributed within this large region, which in turn implies long recurrence intervals for large earthquakes on them. This might explain the absence of thrust faults of any orientation in published active fault maps of the region, including the (now outdated) one of Turkish General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration (MTA, Şaroğlu et al., 1992), likely due to removal of surface expression of active faulting during long interseismic periods, and the absence of major thrust faulting events before the 2011 Van earthquake. Thrust faulting earthquakes in the region prior to the Van event were few, scattered and small, and thus claimed (Örgülü et al., 2003) to be the manifestation of their minor contribution to the ongoing plate convergence in an escape tectonics regime. The Van earthquake with its moment magnitude over 7 therefore, to some extent, was a surprise and thus has provided an opportunity to refine the models of active continental deformation in the Turkish-Iranian Plateau as explained earlier.

The first major earthquake to occur in the instrumental period off the North and East Anatolian faults, before the 2011 Van event, was the 1976 Mw 7.1 Çaldıran event that took place on the Çaldıran segment of the right-lateral West Azerbaijan-Turkey Shear Zone that runs about 500 km in NW-SW direction between Çaldıran in Turkey and Tabriz in Iran (Karimzadeh et al., 2013; Berberian 2014). The event produced a surface rupture of about 50 km (Toksöz et al., 1977; Selçuk et al., 2016).

The thickness of the seismogenic layer beneath this part of Eastern Anatolia is estimated to reach up to a depth of 20 km on the basis of seismological activity (Örgülü et al., 2003; Tan, 2004;

Pınar et al., 2007). After the Cornell-Kandilli Eastern Turkey Seismic Experiment (ETSE) project in the last decade (Türkelli et al., 2003), the region was also revealed to have the thinnest crust in the Eastern Anatolian Plateau (Zor et al., 2003).

FIELD OBSERVATIONS

Although different tectonic studies have been conducted in the region (Ketin, 1977; Aksoy and Tatar, 1990; Koçyiğit et al. 2001; Özkaymak, 2003; Dhont and Chorowicz, 2006), existence of an ENE–WSW trending thrust fault revealed by focal mechanism solutions of the 2011 Van earthquake that is capable of an $M_w > 7$ earthquake was not previously reported. Following the earthquake, field studies conducted by various groups did not reveal a clear prominent surface rupture either (Akyüz et. al., 2011; Emre et al., 2011; Doğan and Karakaş, 2013). However, some compressional features with throws of up to 10 cm high were observed on man-made structures (Fig. 3, red circles around Bardakçı) that are aligned along a 4-km long, EW oriented zone, ~13 km north of the Van city center. A clear example of this compressional deformation over a concrete irrigation channel is shown in Figure 4a where up to 7 cm of throw was observed. These compressional structures located to the south of the earthquake epicenter were interpreted as the surface trace of a north-dipping thrust fault that ruptured at depth during the earthquake. However, they might as well have been formed as a result of back thrusting associated with slip on a south dipping main fault. Thus, field observations alone failed to provide a confident solution to the nodal plane ambiguity in focal mechanisms.

In addition to the brittle surface deformation related to movement on the main fault, secondary deformational structures were also observed. As documented in detail by Akyüz et al. (2011) several instances of lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, slump and landslides are observed that were related to shaking and soil behavior.

An additional zone of deformation that was neglected by previous studies was the one reported by Doğan and Karakaş (2013) just to the west of Lake Erçek (Fig. 3, white dashed line). Named by the authors as the Kozluca Fault, the main features observed are tensional ground cracks that were interpreted as an evidence for left lateral motion. The zone extends for ~4 km on the surface with a strike of ~N5°-20°E.

DATASET USED

Coastal Uplift Measurements

Earthquakes causing coastal uplifts often form “white bands” of dead algae as a result of desiccation and their exposure to sun light along rocky coastlines, providing a distinctive marker suitable for quantifying the uplift (Ortlieb et al., 1996; Meghraoui et al., 2004; Hayes et al., 2010). Similarly, observations along the coast of Lake Van between Van and Erciş also revealed similar scenes marking the clear shoreline retreat as a result of lake floor uplift. These “white band” levels were measured by an MTA team two days after the earthquake (Emre et al., 2011) at nine different locations by measuring the vertical height difference from the lake water surface to the middle of the white colored band of dead algae on the rock surfaces (Fig. 4b). However, the exact type of algae at these observation sites was not studied in detail following the earthquake, increasing the uncertainty in the determination of the mean water level before the earthquake. Therefore these measurements should be taken as maximums. The lake water level reaches its minimum in September after a seasonal drop of ~25 cm. The tidal height variation of the lake surface is negligible. The maximum values measured by the MTA team reach up to 40 cm near the village of Dağönü and decrease towards the Erciş coast as expected from a reverse faulting on a northward-dipping fault (Fig. 5).

Coseismic GPS Measurements

Although continuous and campaign-type GPS benchmarks were present in the area before the Van earthquake, only a few of them were located within a distance of 50 km from the epicenter (Fig. 5). Ten benchmarks of the Turkish National Fundamental GPS Network (TFGN-99A) were reoccupied once for 8-10 hours about six days after the earthquake. Pre-earthquake GPS surveys were carried out two years before the event and the velocity field of Reilinger et al. (2006) was used to remove the secular motion. The GPS data processing is similar to Dogan et al. (2014). The network was adjusted by fixing the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) 2008.0, epoch 2011 coordinates of the ZECK station in Caucasia (Russia) from the Scripps Orbit and Permanent Array Center and coseismic displacements are calculated with respect to the same International GNSS Service station.

As shown in Figure 5 with 95% confidence ellipses, while the GPS vectors around the city show northward and downward displacements those in the north show southward and upward coseismic motion. The largest horizontal displacements reaching $\sim 30 \pm 0.18$ cm were observed north of the city's metropolitan area (i.e., at KAL2). Maximum subsidence of $\sim 30 \pm 0.55$ cm was observed at the VAAN station very close to the aforementioned compressional features seen on human-made structures. The subsidence and large northward displacement observed on this particular station demonstrate that it should be located on the footwall block of the fault, and thus helps us constrain the location of our model fault as it is not possible to pinpoint the exact location from InSAR data alone due to the lack of surface ruptures. This is consistent with the inference that surface deformations observed in the field north of Van city delineate the up-dip trace of the causative coseismic fault.

212 *InSAR Dataset*

213 The first available coseismic InSAR dataset came from the Cosmo-SkyMed (CSK) satellite of
214 Italian Space Agency (ASI) (Table 2 and Figure 6a). We processed the interferogram using the
215 GAMMA software (Werner et al., 2000). The post-event image was acquired only 4 hours after
216 the earthquake, so these InSAR data can be assumed to contain limited postseismic deformation.
217 The overall coherence of the interferogram is fairly high due to a short temporal baseline of only
218 12 days and sparsely vegetated surface cover of the area. However, some coherence is lost on
219 steep slopes and at high elevations, probably due to the imaging geometry and change in snow-
220 cover. Signal decorrelation also occurs along the zones of compressional surface deformation to
221 the west due to high fringe rate.

222 The main feature of the earthquake that can readily be seen in the CSK interferogram (Fig. 6b) is
223 a large deformation ellipse centered in between Hıdırköy and Otluca villages ~20 km north of
224 the Van city center, which reveals the typical doming effect of blind faulting that is not fully
225 apparent in present day topography, likely due to erosional processes and activation of
226 neighboring parallel faults. The full extent of the ellipse could not be captured due to a lack of
227 pre-earthquake images to the north. Nevertheless, its NE-SW trending long axis is clearly visible
228 and consistent with the strike of the fault planes estimated from seismology. However, this large
229 ellipse alone does not, does not provide a strong evidence for a north-dipping fault because
230 profiles perpendicular to the long axis of the dome, and hence, to the strike of the fault (Fig. 7a)
231 do not display a clear asymmetry (such as vergence in fault-related folds) that shows the
232 direction in which thrusting takes place (King and Vita-Finzi, 1981; Philip and Meghraoui,
233 1983). Supporting evidence for the north-dipping fault comes from the presence of a second lobe
234 to the south with a similar size but with much smaller amplitude (~13 cm). The opposite sign of

235 this displacement represents an increase in radar line-of-sight (LOS) meaning subsidence and/or
236 westward movement centered in the Van metropolitan area to the south. Thus, LOS
237 displacement profiles crossing the two lobes display an asymmetry between a larger hanging-
238 wall uplift in the north and smaller footwall subsidence to the south, typical of a steeply dipping
239 thrust fault (Parsons et al., 2006). Counting the fringes in the main lobe in the north (~60) and
240 assuming a pure reverse faulting for simplicity indicate an uplift with a LOS change of up to a
241 meter. The amount of uplift, however, has to be somewhat larger if one takes into account the
242 descending viewing geometry and the seismologically determined left-lateral slip component on
243 the north-dipping earthquake rupture. This is because while a reverse-slip component gives rise
244 to LOS range decrease (i.e., uplift) at the hanging wall, a left-lateral slip component results in a
245 westerly motion, that is, a range increase (i.e., away from the satellite) reducing the overall LOS
246 range decrease.

247 Another significant visual feature of the CSK interferogram is the transition between the
248 hanging-wall and footwall blocks that is marked clearly with a distinct change in the fringe rate
249 from north to south and a 4-5 km long right step. Such a bend or a step would not be expected
250 from a single segment thrust fault.

251 Apart from the two large lobes, there are various linear phase discontinuities visible in the
252 interferogram such as the ones shown in Figure 6c just north of the Van city center. These
253 discontinuities are the manifestations of triggered small-scale slip on faults above the thrust
254 front, a phenomenon commonly associated with large earthquakes (Wright et al., 2001).
255 Postseismic SAR imagery and GPS measurements show that some of these discontinuities
256 continue to slip aseismically after the earthquake (Dogan et al., 2014; Mackenzie et al., 2016).

257 A second set of coseismic InSAR data comes from two tracks of the European Space Agency's
258 C-band Envisat satellite (T121 and T394), which was operating in a drifting orbit mode during
259 the time of the earthquake (Miranda et al., 2010). The Envisat interferograms, calculated using
260 the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL)/Caltech ROI_pac software (Rosen et al., 2004), provide a
261 complete overview of the deformation field thanks to their spatial coverage (Fig. 8). The overall
262 pattern of deformation is the same as observed in the CSK interferogram. However, the data
263 present some disadvantages for modeling. The eastern track (T121), although coherent, provides
264 only partial information about the deformation pattern to the east of Lake Erçek as it only covers
265 part of the epicentral region. Nevertheless the interferogram on T121 has two partial lobes of
266 fringes for the uplifting (~23 fringes) and subsiding (~4 fringes) blocks, respectively.

267 Although the interferogram on track 394 covers most of the main deformation lobe in the
268 epicentral region, it has low coherence making it difficult to interpret and unwrap. The earliest
269 post-earthquake Envisat scene on track 394 covering the missing data points north of the CSK
270 interferogram was acquired about a month after the event (Table 2). Therefore, the interferogram
271 on this track contains a significant amount of postseismic deformation, as confirmed by the
272 analysis of postseismic SAR and GPS data (Dogan et al., 2014). The temporal difference, the
273 drift mode of the satellite and also the snow that started to fall in the region a couple of days after
274 the earthquake clearly add up as a significant loss of coherence in these data, impeding the
275 unwrapping of unconnected patches of phase values for the hanging wall block without
276 removing a preliminary model (Fig. 8b). In addition, the interferogram from T394 contains a set
277 of concentric fringes due to the aftershock of 9 November 2011 (Mw 5.7) in Van city (Fig. 8c)
278 that are also clearly evident in a separate descending postseismic TerraSAR-X interferogram

(Fig. 8d). Therefore, we were limited to using only the northern (around Erciř) portion of the data from track 394 for modeling.

As a result of the problems with the Envisat data and the fact that the CSK data do not contain the entire coseismic pattern, we attempted to use an additional coseismic dataset from the German X-band TerraSAR-X (TSX) satellite (Table 2). It is worth noting that this dataset is from an ascending orbit of the satellite. The post-event scene is from 8 days after the earthquake and, thus, should contain less postseismic deformation than the Envisat data. However, the large temporal baseline of 946 days between the pre- and post-earthquake scenes prevents the generation of a coherent interferogram due to strong temporal decorrelation. To overcome this problem, we make use of the technique described in Wang and Jónsson (2015) and measure coseismic offsets of stable pre-detected point-like scatterers, unlike the classical pixel-offset measurements that are calculated by cross-correlation of overlapping pixel windows. The precision and the accuracy of this new dataset are significantly better than those from classical pixel offset measurements (Wang and Jónsson, 2015). High-resolution SAR sensors, such as Cosmo-SkyMed and TerraSAR-X also provide useful pixel-offset measurements in the range direction as a possible, but often disregarded, substitute to unwrapped phase measurements. Although they contain the same LOS information as the phase values and are far less prone to possible coherence losses, range pixel offsets are generally not preferred due to their lower accuracy ($\sim$ one-tenth of the pixel size).

Measuring offsets of these stable point-like scatterers therefore enabled us to use of the otherwise discarded coseismic deformation information captured by the available ascending TSX pair. The maximum amount of LOS change recorded in the range direction reaches 1.4 m, around 50% higher than the range offsets of the CSK satellite which indicates the possibility of a left-lateral

movement on the fault that would contribute to the LOS decrease toward the satellite in an ascending flight configuration (Fig. 7). For the same reason, the TSX maximum lobe is shifted to the west compared with the CSK maximum values. Another important point to note is that the pixels with positive LOS change values can be observed until the Van city center to the south.

We also applied the new offset calculation technique for the CSK imagery and derived both range and azimuth offsets for this dataset (Fig. 9). Because the signal over the subsiding block from the unwrapped phase data is much clearer than the calculated range offsets we did not opt to use the latter in modeling. On the other hand, the range offsets were crucial in the process of verifying the unwrapped values of the phase data.

As seen in Figure 9, the azimuth offsets are much noisier than the range offsets, probably due to the fact that surface displacements in the azimuth direction (east-northeast) are much smaller than those in the range (overwhelmingly vertical) direction. We therefore used the azimuth offsets only for visual comparison.

MODELING

After simplifying the earth as a homogeneous and elastic half space, we can use the analytical solutions of Okada (1985) to relate our geodetic and coastal measurements with dislocations along rectangular planes buried in this space. To do so we assume constant material properties (Poisson's ratio of 0.25 and a rigidity modulus of 30 GPa) and model the geodetic measurements in two steps. In the first stage, a non-linear inversion is used to constrain the fault geometry assuming uniform slip on a single rectangular fault. Surface trace and strike of the fault are fixed in such a way that it coincides with the 4-km-long zone identified northwest of Van during field observations and is located north of the VAAN GPS station that shows subsidence. In the next step, the fault found is enlarged in both the strike and the dip directions and discretized into

smaller rectangular fault patches. Then, keeping the fault geometry fixed, a distributed slip model with a varying rake is found through a linear joint inversion of the InSAR (pixel offsets and unwrapped LOS displacements), GPS, and the coastal uplift measurements.

We use the Fast Non-Negative Least Squares (FNNLS) algorithm of Bro and de Jong (1997) to invert distributed slip on the fault patches. For dip-slip dominant earthquakes such as the Van event, the non-negativity could become a limiting factor since the rake is allowed to vary within only 90° degrees. To test this issue, we also followed the routine of Sudhaus and Jónsson (2009) and rotated the local in-plane coordinate axes to allow for rake variations for which both right and left lateral slip are allowed on patches this time between rakes of 45° and 135° degrees. While the rakes in the shallower parts of the fault stay within 0° - 90° range, the deeper parts of the fault tend to show right-lateral movements. As our geodetic datasets can not reliably resolve these deeper parts and the fit does not improve, we can assume that a non-negativity constraint does not bias our modeling results.

As previously discussed, we excluded the central and southern parts of the interferogram from Envisat's track 394 in the modeling due to the low coherence (hence unwrapping errors) and the surface deformation of the 9 November 2011 Mw 5.7 aftershock. The interferogram from track 121 provides essential constraints on the termination of the rupture to the east and the depth of the coseismic slip. To reduce the number of data points in modeling, the unwrapped interferograms were subsampled using the quad-tree algorithm (Jónsson et al., 2002). The TSX range offset measurements are uniformly downsampled. Even though the pixel offset dataset does not require unwrapping, there is a need to solve for its ambiguity, which was included as a term in the objective function during the inversion.

We restrict ourselves to using data from GPS sites that are inside a 160-km radius from the epicenter. In addition, because of the limited accuracy, vertical components of GPS displacements are assigned lower weights.

To estimate the uncertainties in our model parameters and the weight of each dataset we use a Monte-Carlo based analysis in which we perturb the SAR datasets using random synthetic correlated noise. First, we infer the noise characteristics of the datasets by calculating covariograms using data samples from far-field and non-deforming regions, where possible. In the case of CSK and TSX datasets, a preliminary deformation model is subtracted from the data. The 1D covariance models, which are fitted to the sample covariograms, are used to generate 100 perturbed datasets that are then inverted to get an understanding of the uncertainties of the model parameters (Sudhaus and Jónsson, 2009, 2011). It is worth noting that these uncertainties do not account for errors due to our assumptions in modeling and processing.

MODELING RESULTS

We started the modeling process with a single fault of uniform dip and slip. Initially the parameters were all set free. If only the CSK data are used, the strike and dip of the model fault are resolved as 70° and 54° , respectively. When data from the eastern Envisat frame (T121) are included with an equal weight, we find that the strike of the best-fitting fault increases to 76° , while its surface trace still matches the field observations northwest of Van, near Bardakçı. Addition of the TSX range data results in a southward shift of the model fault, probably due to the effect of the rapid postseismic movement in the first 8 days after the earthquake.

Therefore, we decided to fix the surface trace of the fault to match a line that follows the almost east-west-trending surface observations near Bardakçı, using a fixed strike of $N82^\circ E$ (east-west-

oriented black and white lines in Fig. 3). In addition, the weights of the Envisat and TSX datasets were lowered to reduce the influence of postseismic effects.

This new round of modeling also suggests that a single <35 km long blind thrust-faulting event, where majority of slip occurs between 10 and 20 km depths with a maximum slip value of 10 m occurring at a depth of 16 km, can adequately explain the majority of the deformation observed on the surface (Fig. 10). The slip on the fault decreases drastically to around 1 m at shallower depths in accordance with the lack of a clear surface rupture. The model has a seismic moment of 5.55×10^{19} Nm, which corresponds to a moment magnitude of 7.13, consistent with seismologically estimated values (Table 1). Average overall root mean square values are given in Table 2.

The overall slip distribution pattern resembles the previous studies despite the addition of the TSX SAR dataset from the opposite orbital viewpoint. We find a main lobe of reverse slip with an additional lobe to the west with a left-lateral strike-slip mechanism in line with earlier studies (Fig. 10a).

Even though a simple single segment fault model with its parameters close to seismological solutions can adequately explain most of the deformation on the surface, it raises some concerns: (1) the predicted fringe pattern on the subsiding footwall block does not match the CSK interferogram, for example, the predicted maximum subsidence occurs east of the one in the CSK interferogram (Fig. 10b), (2) the step-like transition between the hanging wall and footwall blocks in the CSK interferogram is left unexplained, and (3) as can be seen in Figure 9b [inset] and 10c (denoted with black arrows), the TSX range offsets south of Kozluca suggest a change in the character of the deformation with a clear transition of the pixel values from positive to negative (Fig. 10c). Although the TSX data include 8 days of postseismic motion after the

earthquake, the existence of this discontinuity clearly hints that the main fault should not extend through this region further to the east. A recent study of the aftershocks by Işık et al. (2017) identifies a clear cluster that extends in the north-south direction, indicating the presence of left-lateral strike-slip activity in the very same region; this implies the existence of a different kind of faulting (Figs 2c and 10d). A separate geodetic clue comes from the postseismic GPS study of Dogan et al. (2014), in which a station on the west coast of Lake Erçek (station VN07, Fig. 3) supports the change in character of the motion seen in the TSX range offsets. A final clue comes from the aforementioned field observations of Doğan and Karakaş (2013) around Kozluca village, to the west of Lake Erçek where surface fracturing was claimed to be associated with a coseismic left-lateral fault.

To be able to explain these features we opt to increase the complexity of the model by introducing a second fault that follows the fracture zone mapped by Doğan and Karakaş (2013). We fixed the location and strike ($N15^{\circ}E$) of the new segment using the information reported by the aforementioned paper and used a fixed a length of 5 km for the fault in the nonlinear step of the modeling. Except for its strike (fixed to $N82^{\circ}E$), the parameters of the main fault are set free again.

The final slip distribution due to this model is presented in Figure 11a. While the overall slip distribution along the main fault resembles the one in the single-fault scenario, the second fault shows mostly left-lateral strike-slip motion as expected from a tear/transform fault in a thrust-faulting environment. This agrees well with the focal mechanism solutions reported by Işık et al. (2017). However, the errors estimated from the Monte Carlo analysis show that our dataset cannot reliably resolve some of the parameters of this segment (Supplementary Fig. S3). This is mainly because there are almost no data on the eastern side of the tear fault due to the presence

of Lake Erçek. In addition to a fixed length, a dip of 60° , which is somewhat gentler than expected for a tear fault, is kept fixed as it better explains the fringe pattern in the footwall block.

DISCUSSION

The addition of a secondary fault clearly helps explain the features outlined in the previous section in addition to improving the overall fit to the data (Table 2). The left-lateral movement along the secondary fault shifts the maximum subsidence toward Lake Van, as observed in the CSK interferogram and the overall fringe pattern follows the original data quite well. The easternmost extent of the main fault is now consistent with the TSX range-offset data, which shows a clear discontinuity to the south of our second fault (toward Gedelova) that we interpret as range change occurring in the first 8 days following the earthquake (denoted with a black arrow in both Figs. 9b [inset] and 10c). Because of the improvement in the fit to the CSK data by the addition of this segment, we think that the surface tensional cracks that were reported by Doğan and Karakaş (2013) near Kozluca were most probably due to a simultaneous coseismic movement.

Elliott et al. (2013) was the first study to recognize the need for a second fault to explain the coseismic deformation by proposing a same-strike two-segment model (Fig. 12). While our main fault coincides with their western segment, their proposed eastern segment is not in agreement with the discontinuity that we observed in coseismic TSX range offsets south of Kozluca. It is clear that the morphology near Gedelova supports the existence of faulting as proposed by early field reports and then further discussed in their paper and later in the study of Mackenzie et al. (2016). However, our comparison tests using their published parameters show that the model

with a tear fault fits better to our CSK coseismic interferogram, eliminating the necessity of a second subparallel fault segment to the east.

Another possible scenario could be a three-fault model that considers a complex rupture including the two parallel reverse-fault segments proposed by the studies of Elliott et al. (2013) and Wang et al. (2015), and the tear fault at Kozluca. Though plausible, we have not favored this scenario due to the discontinuity seen in the TSX range offsets. As discussed earlier, the geomorphology indeed has clear clues for an east-west oriented thrust fault near Gedelova; however, it is unlikely for an active thrust segment here to cut through the structure seen in the TSX offsets. In addition, this complex scenario did not offer a better fit to our primary CSK dataset when compared to our two-fault model (Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Figures S4 and S5).

The existence of left-lateral tear faulting is also supported by the study of Işık et al. (2017) in which a clear cluster of aftershocks with strike-slip mechanisms is observed along a narrow zone that starts with the Kozluca fault to the south and continues to the north for ~30 km toward the northeastern corner of Lake Van (Fig. 10d). The left-lateral character of the activity indicates that the Kozluca fault is probably a part of a tear/transform fault in the region. The possibility of a second segment is also supported by seismological studies. Similarly, Konca (2015) points out the difference in rupture velocity and/or rise time of the two slip patches that could be due to rupturing of the main fault and the tear fault. Based on postseismic GPS data, Dogan et al. (2014) also suggest that towards the east the earthquake rupture veers to the north running through Lake Erçek, supporting the presence of the tear fault we propose here.

Similar settings where thrust faulting during an earthquake is accompanied by strike-slip movements on adjacent tear faults (or lateral ramps) have been known since the 1971 San

460 Fernando earthquake (Magistrale and Day, 1999). Other well-known examples of tear fault
461 ruptures are the 1980 El Asnam, 1988 Spitak, 1994 Northridge, 2003 Zemmouri, 2005 Kashmir
462 and 2008 Wenchuan earthquakes (King and Yielding, 1984; Philip et al., 1992; Belabbes et al.,
463 2009; Feng et al., 2017). Tear faults either act as a barrier to impede the rupture or instead help it
464 to jump to adjacent thrust segments. It is also possible that (like the Xiaoyudong fault in the
465 Wenchuan case) the Kozluca fault could be a remnant part of an old strike-slip fault that was
466 reactivated due to the rupture on the main Van fault. Further studies in the region might clarify
467 the nature and extent of this newly recognized structure, which acted as a barrier in the case of
468 the 2011 Van earthquake.

469 Fit to the near-field GPS stations is one area where our final model fails to improve on. As
470 reported by Dogan et al. (2014) and Mackenzie et al. (2016), the area between the main Van fault
471 and a splay fault to the south started deforming aseismically just after the earthquake. Using data
472 from InSAR and a network of postseismic GPS stations, Dogan et al. (2014) proposed the
473 existence of this splay and named it the Bostaniçi fault (Fig. 12). The near-field stations between
474 the main Van fault and the Bostaniçi fault (i.e. VAAN and KAL2) could only be visited 7 days
475 after the event; as can be seen in Figure 3, they were clearly under the influence of this rapid
476 postseismic motion. Whereas KALL continued to move northwards as in the coseismic period
477 (Fig. 5), VAAN and KAL2 started moving southwestwards in the same manner as the newly
478 occupied stations VN02, VN03 and VN04 which all reside on the hanging wall of the main Van
479 fault. Therefore, a discrepancy between our coseismic model and the GPS dataset is inevitable.
480 We experimented with higher weights for the GPS dataset, but this did not significantly improve
481 the fit. As in Dogan et al. (2014), an additional fault segment along the Bostaniçi fault is

482 necessary to explain the motion recorded by the GPS data. Instead of discarding this dataset; we
483 opted to use them by adopting significantly low weights in our modeling.

484 An early postseismic motion between Bardakçı and Bostaniçi is also evident in our coseismic
485 InSAR data as well. The proposed Bostaniçi fault also coincides with the discontinuities
486 observed in the coseismic CSK interferogram (Fig. 6c, black triangles). For the TSX data, the
487 residuals shown with a red arrow to the south of our main fault in Figures 10c and 11c, in which
488 positive values represent motion toward the satellite flying in an ascending orbit, suggest either
489 an uplift or a left-lateral movement of this block. The southern boundary of these residuals
490 coincides with the proposed Bostaniçi (Beyüzümü) fault. A later field study by Mackenzie et al.
491 (2016) verified the postseismic movement along the western section of this discontinuity towards
492 Lake Van. Both Dogan et al. (2014) and Mackenzie et al. (2016) agree on an overall model in
493 which the Bostaniçi fault joins with the main Van fault to the north at depth. Judging by the
494 distribution of the aftershocks in Figure 12, it is also possible that the 9 November 2011 Mw 5.7
495 event occurred on the offshore extension of the Bostaniçi fault. The eastern extent of this fault
496 and its relationship with our proposed Kozluca fault in the east is also unknown. Since the
497 Bostaniçi fault cuts through the Van city center, further geophysical and geological studies are
498 crucial to study the fault at depth. The most recent earthquake activity in Van city before the
499 2011 event occurred in 1945, when buildings in the city center were reported to suffer heavy
500 damage and collapses during the events that took place in the second half of that year (Lahn,
501 1946). Even though it is not clear, these events may have happened on a section of this splay
502 fault or on the shallower parts of the main Van fault; therefore, a thorough study is essential to
503 evaluate the seismic hazard to the city.

Like the splay to the south, the westernmost extent of the main Van fault rupture is also of importance. Recent underwater studies outlined by Özalp et al. (2016) propose that the main coseismic fault (Van fault) extends into Lake Van for about another 9 km. The closure pattern of the southernmost fringes of the CSK interferogram also hints of a possible extension of the fault into Lake Van. However, due to lack of deformation data to the west, we opt not to use a longer main fault to avoid slip artifacts.

While the occurrence of reverse and tear faulting is not surprising in a tectonic environment such as the Turkish-Iranian Plateau, its significance and role for the accommodation of the Arabian-Eurasian convergence has been a matter of debate (Copley and Jackson, 2006; Fielding et al., 2013). When compared with the well-known strike-slip structures in the region, the seismic hazard associated with them is poorly understood. The 2011 Van earthquake indicates that, in addition to strike-slip faults, thrust faults in the region such as the Gürpınar thrust to the south of Van (Fig. 12), could also be playing a major role in the accommodation of the convergence. The Van event and the lack of corresponding clear surface ruptures have also increased the awareness of hazards due to blind-faulting events in the region.

CONCLUSIONS

In line with the earlier published studies on the 2011 Van earthquake, the results of our work using satellite geodetic observations show that the event was caused primarily by a rupture along a north-dipping blind thrust fault. However, our model differs from earlier studies with the addition of a rupture along a tear fault to the west of Lake Erçek that pinpoints the eastern end of the rupture. Determining precisely where an earthquake rupture stops is an important parameter in seismic hazard analyses as high stress concentrations occur at the tip of the ruptures (King et al., 1994).

527 To better delineate the fault structure beneath the surface, detailed geological and geophysical
528 investigations in the future are necessary, leading to a better assessment of the seismic hazard
529 around the city of Van. The splay fault that runs through the city center and its connection with
530 the Kozluca fault should be studied in detail using a multidisciplinary approach.
531

DATA AND RESOURCES

Most figures in this article were prepared using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) software package (Wessel and Smith, 1998). The Cosmo SkyMed data are from the Italian Space Agency (ASI) projects 2142 and 2296; Envisat and TerraSAR-X data are from the Group on Earth Observations (GEO) SuperSites project (<http://supersites.earthobservations.org/van.php>, last accessed February 2012) .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Martin Mai (King Abdullah University of Science and Technology [KAUST]), Yann Klinger (Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris [IPG Paris]), Robert Reilinger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology [MIT]), Mustapha Meghraoui (Ecole et Observatoire des Sciences de la Terre [EOST], University of Strasbourg), Hannes Vasyura-Bathke (KAUST), Serdar Kalkavan (Devlet Su İşleri-General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works [DSİ] Ankara), and many others for sharing their insights. The authors also thank Diego Melgar and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments on the article. A high resolution digital elevation model (DEM) of the epicentral area is generously provided by Korhan Erturaç (Sakara University [SAÜ]). The research reported in this publication was supported by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST).

REFERENCES

- Aksoy, E., and Y. Tatar (1990). Van ili Doğu–Kuzeydoğu yöresinin strati- grafisi ve tektoniği, Doğa Turkish J. Eng. Environ. Sci. 14, 628–644 (in Turkish).
- Akyüz, S., C. Zabcı, and T. Sancar (2011). Preliminary report on the 23 October 2011 Van earthquake, Technical Report, İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi.
- Belabbes, S., C. Wicks, Z. Cakir, and M. Meghraoui (2009). Rupture parameters of the 2003 Zemmouri (Mw 6.8), Algeria, earthquake from joint inversion of interferometric synthetic aperture radar, coastal uplift, and GPS, J. Geophys. Res. 114, no. B3, doi: 10.1029/2008JB005912.
- Berberian, M. (2014). Earthquakes and Coseismic Surface Faulting on the Iranian Plateau, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Bevere, L., R. Enz, J. Mehlhorn, and T. Tomura (2012). Natural Catastrophes and Man-Made Disasters in 2011: Historic Losses Surface from Record Earthquakes and Floods, Sigma 2, SwissRe, Zurich, Switzerland.
- Bro, R., and S. De Jong (1997). A fast non-negativity-constrained least squares algorithm, J. Chemometrics 11, 392–401.
- Calais, E., A. Freed, G. Mattioli, F. Amelung, S. Jónsson, P. Jansma, S. H. Hong, T. Dixon, C. Prépetit, and R. Momplaisir (2010). Transpressional rupture of an unmapped fault during the 2010 Haiti earthquake, Nature Geosci. 3, no. 11, 794–799.
- Copley, A., and J. Jackson (2006). Active tectonics of the Turkish-Iranian plateau, Tectonics 25, no. 6, doi: 10.1029/2005TC001906.

571 Dewey, J. F., M. R. Hempton, W. S. F. Kidd, F. T. Saroglu, and A. M. C. Şengör (1986).
 572 Shortening of continental lithosphere: The neotectonics of Eastern Anatolia—A young collision
 573 zone, *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ.* 19, no. 1, 1–36.

574 Dhont, D., and J. Chorowicz (2006). Review of the neotectonics of the Eastern Turkish-
 575 Armenian plateau from geomorphic analysis of digital elevation model imagery, *Int. J. Earth Sci.*
 576 95, 34–49, doi: 10.1007/s00531-005-0020-3.

577 Doğan, B., and A. Karakaş (2013). Geometry of co-seismic surface ruptures and tectonic
 578 meaning of the 23 October 2011 Mw 7.1 Van earthquake (East Anatolian Region, Turkey), *J.*
 579 *Struct. Geol.* 46, 99–114.

580 Dogan, U., D. Ö. Demir, Z. Çakir, S. Ergintav, H. Ozener, A. M. Akoğlu, S. S. Nalbant, and R.
 581 Reilinger (2014). Postseismic deformation following the Mw 7.2, 23 October 2011 Van
 582 earthquake (Turkey): Evidence for aseismic fault reactivation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, no. 7,
 583 2334–2341.

584 Dolan, J. F., S. A. Christofferson, and J. H. Shaw (2003). Recognition of paleoearthquakes on
 585 the Puente Hills blind thrust fault, California, *Science* 300, no. 5616, 115–118.

586 Ekström, G., M. Nettles, and A. M. Dziewonski (2012). The Global CMT project 2004–2010:
 587 Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes, *Phys. Earth Planet. In.* 200/201, 1–9, doi:
 588 10.1016/j.pepi.2012.04.002.

589 Elliott, J. R., A. C. Copley, R. Holley, K. Scharer, and B. Parsons (2013). The 2011 Mw 7.1 Van
 590 (eastern Turkey) earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res.* 118, no. 4, 1619–1637.

591 Emre, Ö., T. Duman, S. Özalp, and H. Elmacı (2011). 23 Ekim 2011 Van Depremi Saha
592 Gözlemleri ve Kaynak Faya İlişkin Ön Değerlendirmeler, Technical Report, General Directorate
593 of Mineral Research and Exploration, Ankara, Turkey (in Turkish).

594 Erdik, M., Y. Kamer, M. Demircioğlu, and K. Şeşetyan (2012). 23 October 2011 Van (Turkey)
595 earthquake, *Nat. Hazards* 64, no. 1, 651–665.

596 Feng, G., S. Jónsson, and Y. Klinger (2017). Which fault segments ruptured in the 2008
597 Wenchuan earthquake and which did not? New evidence from near-fault 3D surface
598 displacements derived from SAR image offsets, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 107, no. 3, 1185–1200.

599 Feng, W., Z. Li, T. Hoey, Y. Zhang, R. Wang, S. Samsonov, Y. Li, and Z. Xu (2014). Patterns
600 and mechanisms of coseismic and postseismic slips of the 2011 Mw 7.1 Van (Turkey)
601 earthquake revealed by multi-platform synthetic aperture radar interferometry, *Tectonophysics*
602 doi: 10.1016/j.tecto.2014.06.011.

603 Fielding, E. J., P. R. Lundgren, T. Taymaz, S. Yolsal-Çevikbilen, and S. E. Owen (2013). Fault-
604 slip source models for the 2011 M 7.1 Van earthquake in Turkey from SAR interferometry, pixel
605 offset tracking, GPS, and seismic waveform analysis, *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 84, no. 4, 579–593.

606 Hayes, G. P., R. W. Briggs, A. Sladen, E. J. Fielding, C. Prentice, K. Hudnut, P. Mann, F. W.
607 Taylor, A. J. Crone, R. Gold, et al. (2010). Complex rupture during the 12 January 2010 Haiti
608 earthquake, *Nature Geosci.* 3, no. 11, 800–805.

609 Irmak, T. S., B. Doğan, and A. Karakaş (2012). Source mechanism of the 23 October, 2011, Van
610 (Turkey) earthquake (Mw 7.1) and aftershocks with its tectonic implications, *Earth Planets*
611 *Space* 64, 991–1103, doi: 10.5047/eps.2012.05.002.

612 Işık, S. E., A. Ö. Konca, and H. Karabulut (2017). The seismic interactions and spatiotemporal
613 evolution of seismicity following the October 23, 2011 Mw 7.1 Van, eastern Anatolia
614 earthquake, *Tectonophysics* 702, 8–18, doi: 10.1016/j.tecto.2017.02.024.

615 Jónsson, S., H. A. Zebker, P. Segall, and F. Amelung (2002). Fault slip distribution of the 1999
616 Mw 7.2 Hector Mine earthquake, California, estimated from satellite radar and GPS
617 measurements, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 92, no. 4, 1377–1389.

618 Karimzadeh, S., Z. Cakir, B. Osmanoğlu, G. Schmalzle, M. Miyajima, R. Amiraslanzadeh, and
619 Y. Djamour (2013). Interseismic strain accumulation across the North Tabriz Fault (NW Iran)
620 deduced from InSAR time series, *J. Geodyn.* 66, 53–58.

621 Ketin, İ. (1977). Van Gölü ile İran sınırı arasındaki bölgede yapılan jeoloji gözlemlerinin
622 sonuçları hakkında kısa bir açıklama, *Türkiye Jeoloji Kurumu Bülteni* 20, 79–85 (in Turkish).

623 King, G., and G. Yielding (1984). The evolution of a thrust fault system: Processes of rupture
624 initiation, propagation and termination in the 1980 El Asnam (Algeria) earthquake, *Geophys. J.*
625 *Int.* 77, no. 3, 915–933.

626 King, G. C., R. S. Stein, and J. Lin (1994). Static stress changes and the triggering of
627 earthquakes, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 84, no. 3, 935–953. King, G. C. P., and C. Vita-Finzi
628 (1981). Active folding in the Algerian earthquake of 10 October 1980, *Nature* 292, no. 5818, 22–
629 26, doi:
630 10.1038/292022a0. Koçyiğit, A., A. Yilmaz, S. Adamia, and S. Kuloshvili (2001). Neotectonics
631 of east Anatolian Plateau (Turkey) and lesser Caucasus: Implications for transition from
632 thrusting to strike-slip faulting, *Geodin. Acta* 14, 177–195.

633 Konca, A. O. (2015). Rupture process of 2011 Mw 7.1 Van, eastern Turkey earthquake from
 634 joint inversion of strong-motion, high-rate GPS, teleseismic, and GPS data, *J. Seismol.* 19, no. 4,
 635 969–988.

636 Lahn, E. (1946). Van mintikası yer sarsıntıları hakkında not, *Maden Tetkik ve Arama Dergisi* 35,
 637 126–132 (in Turkish).

638 Mackenzie, D., J. R. Elliott, E. Altunel, R. T. Walker, Y. C. Kurban, J.-L. Schwenninger, and B.
 639 Parsons (2016). Seismotectonics and rupture process of the Mw 7.1 2011 Van reverse-faulting
 640 earthquake, eastern Turkey, and implications for hazard in regions of distributed shortening,
 641 *Geophys. J. Int.* 206, no. 1, 501–524, doi: 10.1093/gji/

642 ggw158. Magistrale, H., and S. Day (1999). 3D simulations of multi-segment thrust
 643 fault rupture, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 26, no. 14, 2093–2096. McClusky, S., S. Balassanian, A.
 644 Barka, C. Demir, S. Ergintav, I. Georgiev, O. Gurkan, M. Hamburger, K. Hurst, H. Kahle, et al.
 645 (2000). Global Positioning System constraints on plate kinematics and dynamics in the eastern
 646 Mediterranean and Caucasus, *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, no. B3,

647 5695–5719. Meghraoui, M., S. Maouche, B. Chemaa, Z. Cakir, A. Aoudia, A. Harbi, P.-J.
 648 Alasset, A. Ayadi, Y. Bouhadad, and F. Benhamouda (2004). Coastal uplift and thrust faulting
 649 associated with the Mw 6.8 Zemmouri (Algeria) earthquake of 21 May, 2003, *Geophys. Res.*
 650 *Lett.* 31, no. L19605, doi: 10.1029/2004GL020466.

651 Miranda, N., B. Duesmann, M. Pinol, D. Giudici, and D. D'Aria (2010). Impact of Envisat
 652 mission extension on SAR data, Technical Note, ESA, 12 October 2010.

653 Moro, M., V. Cannelli, M. Chini, C. Bignami, D. Melini, S. Stramondo, M. Saroli, M. Picchiani,
 654 C. Kyriakopoulos, and C. A. Brunori (2014). The October 23, 2011, Van (Turkey) earthquake
 655 and its relationship with neighbouring structures, *Sci. Rept.* 4, 3959, doi: 10.1038/srep03959.
 656 Okada, Y. (1985). Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space, *Bull.*
 657 *Seismol. Soc. Am.* 75, no. 4, 1135–1154.
 658 Örgülü, G., M. Aktar, N. Türkelli, E. Sandvol, and M. Barazangi (2003). Contribution to the
 659 seismotectonics of Eastern Turkey from moderate and small size events, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30,
 660 no. 24, 8040.
 661 Ortlieb, L., S. Barrientos, and N. Guzman (1996). Coseismic coastal uplift and coralline algae
 662 record in northern Chile: The 1995 Antofagasta earthquake case, *Quaternary Sci. Rev.* 15, nos.
 663 8/9, 949–960.
 664 Özalp, S., B. S. Aydemir, Ş. Olgun, B. Şimşek, H. Elmacı, M. Evren, Ö. Emre, M. B. Aydın, O.
 665 Kurtuluş, F. Öcal, et al. (2016). Van Gölü (Edremit Körfezi) Kuvaterner Çökellerinde Tektonik
 666 Deformasyonlar, *Doğu Anadolu, Türkiye, Maden Tetkik ve Arama Dergisi* 153, 45–62, doi:
 667 10.19076/mta.65720 (in Turkish).
 668 Özkaymak, Ç. (2003). Van Şehri Yakın Çevresinin Aktif Tektonik Özellikleri, M.Sc. Thesis,
 669 Yüzüncü Yıl University, Faculty of Sciences, Van, Turkey, 76 (in Turkish).
 670 Parsons, B., T. Wright, P. Rowe, J. Andrews, J. Jackson, R. Walker, M. Khatib, M. Talebian, E.
 671 Bergman, and E. R. Engdahl (2006). The 1994 Sefidabeh (eastern Iran) earthquakes revisited:
 672 New evidence from satellite radar interferometry and carbonate dating about the growth of an
 673 active fold above a blind thrust fault, *Geophys. J. Int.* 164, no. 1, 202–217.

674 Philip, H., and M. Meghraoui (1983). Structural analysis and interpretation of the surface
 675 deformations of the El Asnam earthquake of October 10, 1980, *Tectonics* 2, no. 1, 17–49, doi:
 676 10.1029/ TC002i001p00017.

677 Philip, H., E. Rogozhin, A. Cisternas, J. C. Bousquet, B. Borisov, and A. Karakhanian. (1992).
 678 The Armenian earthquake of 1988 December 7: Faulting and folding, neotectonics and
 679 palaeoseismicity, *Geophys. J. Int.* 110, no. 1, 141–158.

680 Pınar, A., Y. Honkura, K. Kuge, M. Matsushima, N. Sezgin, M. Yılmaz, and Z. Ögütçü (2007).
 681 Source mechanism of the 2000 November 15 Lake Van earthquake (Mw 5.6) in eastern Turkey
 682 and its seismotectonic implications, *Geophys. J. Int.* 170, no. 2, 749–763.

683 Reilinger, R. E., S. McClusky, P. Vernant, S. Lawrence, S. Ergintav, R. Çakmak, H. Özener, F.
 684 Kadirov, I. Guliev, R. Stepanyan, et al. (2006). GPS constraints on continental deformation in
 685 the Africa-Arabia-Eurasia continental collision zone and implications for the dynamics of plate
 686 interactions, *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, V05411, doi: 10.1029/2005JB004051.

687 Rosen, P. A., S. Hensley, G. Peltzer, and M. Simons (2004). Updated repeat orbit interferometry
 688 package released, *Eos Trans. AGU* 85, 47. Şaroğlu, F., Ö. Emre, and İ. Kuşçu (1992). Active
 689 Fault Map of Turkey,
 690 General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration (MTA),
 691 Ankara, Turkey. Selçuk, A. S. (2016). Evaluation of the relative tectonic activity in the eastern
 692 Lake Van basin, East Turkey, *Geomorphology* 270, 9–21.

693 Selçuk, A. S., M. K. Erturaç, and S. Nomade (2016). Geology of the Çaldıran fault, eastern
 694 Turkey: Age, slip rate and implications on the characteristic slip behaviour, *Tectonophysics* 680,
 695 155–173.

696 Şengör, A. M. C., N. Görür, and F. Şaroğlu (1985). Strike-slip faulting and related basin
697 formation in zones of tectonic escape: Turkey as a case study, in *Strike-Slip Deformation, Basin*
698 *Formation, and Sedimentation*, K. T. Biddle and N. Christie-Blick (Editors), Vol. 37, Society of
699 Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 227–264 (in
700 honor of J. C. Crowell).

701 Sudhaus, H., and S. Jónsson (2009). Improved source modelling through combined use of InSAR
702 and GPS under consideration of correlated data errors: Application to the June 2000 Kleifarvatn
703 earthquake, Iceland, *Geophys. J. Int.* 176, 389–404, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2008.03989.x.

704 Sudhaus, H., and S. Jónsson (2011). Source model for the 1997 Zirkuh earthquake (Mw 7.2) in
705 Iran derived from JERS and ERS InSAR observations, *Geophys. J. Int.* 185, 676–692.

706 Tan, O. (2004). *Kafkasya, Doğu Anadolu ve Kuzeybatı İran Depremlerinin Kaynak*
707 *Mekanizması Özellikleri ve Yırtılma Süreçleri*, Ph.D. Thesis, İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi,
708 İstanbul (in Turkish).

709 Toksöz, M. N., E. Arpat, and F. Şaroğlu (1977). East Anatolia earthquake of 24 November,
710 1976, *Nature* 270, 423–425.

711 Trasatti, E., C. Tolomei, G. Pezzo, S. Atzori, and S. Salvi (2016). Deformation and related slip
712 due to the 2011 Van earthquake (Turkey) sequence imaged by SAR data and numerical
713 modeling, *Rem. Sens.* 8, no. 6, 532.

714 Türkelli, N., E. Sandvol, E. Zor, R. Gok, T. Bekler, A. Al-Lazki, H. Karabulut, S. Kuleli, T.
715 Eken, C. Gurbuz, et al. (2003). Seismogenic zones in eastern Turkey, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30, no.
716 24, doi: 10.1029/2003GL018023.

717 Wang, C., X. Ding, Q. Li, X. Shan, W. Zhu, B. Guo, and P. Liu (2015). Coseismic and
718 postseismic slip models of the 2011 Van earthquake, Turkey, from InSAR, offset-tracking, MAI,
719 and GPS observations, *J. Geodyn.* 91, 39–50, doi: 10.1016/j.jog.2015.08.006.

720 Wang, T., and S. Jónsson (2015). Improved SAR amplitude image offset measurements for
721 deriving three-dimensional coseismic displacements, *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Appl. Earth Observ.*
722 *Remote Sens.* doi: 10.1109/JSTARS.2014.2387865.

723 Werner, C. L., U. Wegmüller, T. Strozzi, and A. Wiesmann (2000). Gamma SAR and
724 interferometric processing software, *Proc. of the ERS-Envisat Symposium*, Gothenburg, Sweden,
725 16–20 October 2000.

726 Wessel, P., and W. H. F. Smith (1998). New, improved version of Generic Mapping Tools
727 released, *Eos Trans. AGU* 79, no. 47, 579, doi: 10.1029/98EO00426.

728 Wright, T., E. Fielding, and B. Parsons (2001). Triggered slip: Observations of the 17 August
729 1999 Izmit (Turkey) earthquake using radar interferometry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 28, no. 6, 1079–
730 1082.

731 Zor, E., E. Sandvol, C. Gürbüz, N. Türkelli, D. Seber, and M. Barazangi (2003). The crustal
732 structure of the East Anatolian plateau from receiver functions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30, no. 24,
733 8044.

734

735 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

736 **Ahmet M. Akoğlu**

737 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST),
738 Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia
739 (Now at: Istanbul Technical University, Department of Geology,
740 Ayazağa Yerleşkesi, Sarıyer 34469, Istanbul, Turkey)
741 akoglua@itu.edu.tr

742

743 **Sigurjón Jónsson**

744 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST),
745 Thuwal 23955, Saudi Arabia
746 sigurjon.jonsson@kaust.edu.sa

747

748 **Teng Wang**

749 Nanyang Technological University, Earth Observatory of Singapore (EOS)
750 50 Nanyang Avenue, Block N2-01a-15, 639798, Singapore)
751 wang.teng@ntu.edu.sg

752

753 **Ziyadin Çakır**

754 Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Mines, Department of Geology
755 Ayazağa Yerleşkesi, Sarıyer 34469, Istanbul, Turkey
756 ziyadin.cakir@itu.edu.tr

757

758 **Ugur Dogan**

759 Yıldız Technical University, Department of Geomatics,
760 Davutpaşa Yerleşkesi, Esenler 34220, İstanbul, Turkey
761 dogan@yildiz.edu.tr

762

763 **Semih Ergintav**

764 Boğaziçi University, Kandilli Observatory and Earthquake Research Institute, Department of
765 Geodesy
766 Çengelköy 34684, İstanbul, Turkey
767 semih.ergintav@boun.edu.tr

768

769 **Batuhan Osmanoğlu**

770 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
771 Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
772 batuhan.osmanoglu@nasa.gov

773

774 **Guangcai Feng**

775 Central South University, School of Geosciences and Info-Physics
776 Changsha 410083, China
777 fredgps@csu.edu.cn

778

779

780 **Cengiz Zabcı**
781 Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Mines, Department of Geology
782 Ayazağa Yerleşkesi, Maslak, Sarıyer 34469, İstanbul, Turkey
783 zabcı@itu.edu.tr
784
785 **Alpay Özdemir**
786 Yıldız Technical University, Department of Geomatics,
787 Davutpaşa Yerleşkesi, Esenler 34220, İstanbul, Turkey
788 alpayoz@yildiz.edu.tr
789
790 **Ömer Emre**
791 Fugro Sial Ltd., Turkey
792 Farabi Sokak 40/4, 06680, Ankara, Turkey
793 o.emre@fugro.com
794

TABLES

Table 1: Source parameters of the mainshock from seismology and geodesy based studies. Average rake values for our models are given inside parantheses (USGS: U.S. Geological Survey, GCMT: Global Centroid Moment Tensor Catalogue, Ekström et al., 2012).

		Strike (°)	Dip (°)	Rake (°)	Mo (10 ¹⁹ Nm)	Mw
Seismology-based	USGS Centroid Moment	272 80	19 71	101 86	9.937	7.3
	USGS Body Wave Moment Tensor	255 101	50 43	73 109	6.398	7.1
	USGS WPhase	241 106	51 49	58 123	5.598	7.1
	GCMT	246 103	38 58	60 112	6.27	7.1
	Irmak et al. (2012)	246	46	59	5.53	7.13
Geodesy-based	Fielding et al. (2013)	259	42.5	-	5.84	7.13
	Elliott et al. (2013) (two fault model)	254 254	40 55	64 93	4.8	7.1
	Moro et al. (2014)	252	50.3	74.4		7.12
	Feng et al. (2014)	261.3	49	88	4.19	7.03
	Wang et al. (2015)	253 253	40 54	Free	6.08	7.19
	Trasatti et al. (2016)	263	50	72	5.5	7.1
	This Study (single fault)	262	54	Free (42.58)	5.55	7.13
	This Study (two fault model)	262 195	56 60	Free (34.06)	6.1	7.16

802 **Table 2:** Dataset used in this study and related misfits (Bp, perpendicular baseline; dT, temporal baseline; rms, root mean square;
803 CSK, Cosmo-SkyMed; ENV, Envisat; TSX, TerraSAR-X; GPS, Global Positioning System).

804

Dataset	Track	Dates	Bp (m)	dT (days)	Postseismic Period Captured	Inc. Angle (°)	Wave length (cm)	Orbit	Data points	Weight (%)	RMS Single Fault (m)	RMS Two Faults (m)
CSK	-	10/10/2011 23/10/2011	193	13	4 hours	29	X(3.1)	Desc	678	74	0.0629	0.0341
ENV	394	22/07/2011 19/11/2011	221	120	27 days	41	C(5.6)	Desc	53	3	0.0207	0.0232
ENV	121	05/11/2010 31/10/2011	138	360	8 days	41	C(5.6)	Desc	365	16	0.0427	0.0501
TSX	69	29/03/2009 31/10/2011	-40	946	8 days	33.2	X(3.1)	Asc	354	5	0.1453	0.1533
GPS	-	-	-	-	7 days	-	-	-	10	1.7	0.1054	0.0765
Coastal Uplifts	-	-	-	-	2 days	-	-	-	9	0.3	0.0945	0.0941

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Map of the Van region showing known active faults (black lines from Şaroğlu et al., 1992), shaded topography (from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission [SRTM] 90 m data), and focal mechanisms of several earthquakes. Solutions for the 2011 Van mainshock by different research groups/institutions are shown in orange, whereas green and red ones show solutions for a few aftershocks and previous events, respectively. The black star indicates the epicenter of the Van earthquake as determined by the Kandilli Observatory. The white, red, and black rectangles represent the Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) scene coverage of Envisat (T394 and 121), Cosmo-SkyMed (CSK), and TerraSAR-X satellites, respectively. The blue dashed rectangle is the area shown in Figure 3. The region marked with a black dashed line around Van represents the city center where most of the population is settled. The gray line connecting Van and Erciş is the highway mentioned in the Introduction. (Inset) Study area in the context of Eurasia–Arabia convergence, where the blue dot shows the location of Spitak, Armenia (AN, Anatolian plate; AR, Arabian plate; BZS, Bitlis Zagros suture; CA, Cyprus arc; DSF, Dead Sea fault; EAF, East Anatolian fault; EU, Eurasian plate; NAF, North Anatolian fault; NEAF, Northeast Anatolian fault).

Figure 2. Aftershock activity for the first two days from the relocated catalog of Işık et al. (2017). Gray lines show the active faults from the former Turkish General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration (MTA) catalog of Şaroğlu et al. (1992). (a) Activity in the first 4 hr with the red rectangle representing the CSK scene coverage. (b) Activity during the rest of the day with (c) showing the activity on the second day.

Figure 3. Map of the epicentral area (black star shows the epicenter) marked with a blue dashed rectangle in Figure 1. Blue triangles represent part of the sites where coastal uplift was measured. The gray line is the Van–Erciş highway. The red dots are the locations where deformation on man-made structures was observed during the reconnaissance studies of Akyüz et al. (2011). The continuous part of the black line drawn between Lake Van and Erçek represents the zone where compressional structures were observed on the surface after the earthquake. The eastern dashed half of the same line represents the verdict of MTA researchers (Emre et al., 2011) as the continuation of the fault on the surface after an analysis of the surface morphology (via air photos, etc.). White dashed lines represent surface discontinuities reported by Doğan and

Karakaş (2013). White arrows show the postseismic Global Positioning System (GPS) movement as reported by Dogan et al. (2014).

Figure 4. (a) Photo showing an example of the surface deformation observed on man-made structures where around 7 cm of vertical displacement was measured on an irrigation channel (Location 2 at Akyüz et al., 2011, coordinates: 43.269319°, 38.578798°). Photo is toward the south. (b) Coastal uplift of ~40 cm on the coast near Dağönü village measured two days after the event (photo from Emre et al., 2011).

Figure 5. Coastal uplifts (Emre et al., 2011), coseismic GPS measurements and their related model predictions are shown with light blue, black, magenta and red (green for the vertical component) arrows, respectively. The model predictions are for the two-fault model shown in Figure 11. Yellow rectangles represent the surface projection of our proposed Van and Kozluca faults.

Figure 6. (a) Cosmo-SkyMed interferogram of 11–23 October 2011. Each fringe represents 1.5 cm of displacement toward the satellite. The black star shows the earthquake epicenter. (b) Enlarged view of the epicentral region showing the unwrapped line of sight (LOS) change, with positive values indicating movement of the ground up toward the satellite. (c) Enlarged view of the larger Van area. Black triangles represent the fringe discontinuities mentioned in the Discussion section.

Figure 7. Comparison of the predicted LOS changes along profiles of the two-segment model (Fig. 11a) with (a) the CSK range offsets and (b) TerraSAR-X (TSX) range offsets, which were calculated at identified stable point-like scatterers. Profiles A–A' and B–B' are shown in Figure 9. Red triangles show the surface up-dip projection of the main fault. NNW, north-northwest; SSW, south-southwest; NW, northwest; SE, southeast.

Figure 8. (a) Mosaic of Envisat descending interferograms from tracks 121 and 394 that provide complete coverage of the coseismic deformation field. Here, every fringe represents 2.83 cm of displacement toward the satellite. (b) Enlarged view of the epicentral area of track 394. Coseismic fringes are mostly disconnected due to clear loss of coherence. (c) Enlarged view of the Envisat track 394 interferogram showing concentric fringes of the 9 November aftershock in Van city. (d) Surface deformation of the same aftershock captured by TerraSAR-X descending interferogram (9–20 November 2011). Note the disturbance of the fringes along a northeast–

southwest-trending zone (indicated by white triangles) passing through the Van railway ferry port, which results from the reactivation of aseismic slip on a secondary fault.

Figure 9. (a,b) Range and (c,d) azimuth pixel offsets from X-band, high-resolution SAR scenery of CSK and TerraSAR-X satellites, calculated on stable point-like scatterers. The two offshore points to the north (in b,d) are on the Adır Island. White dashed lines represent the profiles shown in Figure 7. Black dashed lines represent surface discontinuities reported by Doğan and Karakaş (2013). The dashed rectangle in (b) is the area shown in the inset.

Figure 10. Modeling results for the single-segment model. (a) Slip distribution on the fault. (b) Data-model comparison of CSK-Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) data (rewrapped to 5 cm). (c) Data-model comparison of TSX SAR pixel offsets. (d) Focal mechanism solutions of aftershocks from the study of Işık et al. (2017). Blue and gray focal mechanism plots represent the strike-slip and dip-slip events, respectively. The red rectangle shows the border of the Cluster II of Işık et al. (2017). The yellow rectangle is the surface projection of our single-segment model fault.

Figure 11. Modeling results for the two-segment model. (a) Slip distribution on the faults. The black dashed rectangle is the outline of the uniform-slip model for the main fault. (b) Data-model comparison of CSK-InSAR data (rewrapped to 5 cm). (c) Data-model comparison of TSX range offsets.

Figure 12. Overview of the study area showing the surface projection of our proposed Van and Kozluca faults (yellow dashed rectangles) with the previous single-fault model of Fielding et al. (2013) (blue dashed rectangle) and the two-segmented fault model of Elliott et al. (2013) (green dashed rectangles). Solid parts of these three rectangles represent the up-dip surface trace of the model faults. Similar to Figure 3, red dots are the locations where deformation of man-made structures was observed during the reconnaissance studies of Akyüz et al. (2011). Dashed gray lines show the proposed main faults from the study of Dogan et al. (2014) in the north and the proposed Bostaniçi fault in the south. The solid part of the red line representing the Bostaniçi fault is the same as Dogan et al. (2014), whereas we propose in this study that it possibly extends northeast toward the Kozluca fault (red dashed line). The Gürpınar thrust is modified from Selçuk (2016). The focal mechanism and the gray circles representing the aftershock activity near the 9 November 2011 Mw 5.7 event are from Işık et al. (2017).

899 **Figure 2**

900
901

902 **Figure 3**

903
904

905 **Figure 4**

(a)

(b)

906

907

908 **Figure 5**

909
910

911 **Figure 6**

912

913

914 **Figure 7**

915

916

917 **Figure 8**

918
919

929 **Figure 12**

930
931

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Tables

Table S1. rms values for the two-fault and three-fault models.

Dataset	Two-Fault Model (m)	Three-Fault Model (m)
CSK	0.0341	0.0442
ENV (track 394)	0.0232	0.0240
ENV (track 121)	0.0501	0.0439
TSX	0.1533	0.1300
GPS	0.0765	0.0804
Coastal uplifts	0.0941	0.1099

Figures

Figure S1. Comparison of the descending mode Envisat InSAR data from tracks (a) 394 and (b) 121 with the predicted values from the two-segment model presented in Figure 11 in the main article. The line of sight (LOS) changes are rewrapped to 5 cm. As discussed in the main article, only the northern portion of the frame from track 394 is used in modeling.

Figure S2. Fault parameter trade-offs for the main segment presented in Figure 11 in the main article. Blue dots in the scatter plots represent the best-fit solution for each of the 100 perturbed datasets. The correlation coefficients are displayed at the lower right side of the panes. The histograms in the bottom row show the distribution for each fault parameter with the red vertical lines indicating the 95% confidence interval bounds.

Figure S3. Fault parameter trade-offs for the secondary segment presented in Figure 11 in the main article. Blue dots in the scatter plots represent the best-fit solution for each of the 100 perturbed datasets. The correlation coefficients are displayed at the lower right side of the panes. The histograms in the bottom row show the distribution for each fault parameter with the red vertical lines indicating the 95% confidence interval bounds.

Figure S4. Slip distribution for the three-fault model.

Figure S5. Data-model comparison of Cosmo-SkyMed (CSK)-InSAR data (rewrapped to 5 cm). Purple lines are the up-dip projections of the faults.

961 **Figure S3**

962

963

965 **Figure S4**

966

967

968 **Figure S5**

969