

Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model plant research

Item Type	Article
Authors	Ghosh, Sreya; Watson, Amy; Gonzalez-Navarro, Oscar E.; Ramirez-Gonzalez, Ricardo H.; Yanes, Luis; Mendoza-Suárez, Marcela; Simmonds, James; Wells, Rachel; Rayner, Tracey; Green, Phon; Hafeez, Amber; Hayta, Sadiye; Melton, Rachel E.; Steed, Andrew; Sarkar, Abhimanyu; Carter, Jeremy; Perkins, Lionel; Lord, John; Tester, Mark A.; Osbourn, Anne; Moscou, Matthew J.; Nicholson, Paul; Harwood, Wendy; Martin, Cathie; Domoney, Claire; Uauy, Cristobal; Hazard, Brittany; Wulff, Brande B. H.; Hickey, Lee T.
Citation	Ghosh S, Watson A, Gonzalez-Navarro OE, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Yanes L, et al. (2018) Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model plant research. Nature Protocols. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0072-z.
Eprint version	Post-print
DOI	10.1038/s41596-018-0072-z
Publisher	Springer Nature
Journal	Nature Protocols
Rights	Archived with thanks to Nature Protocols
Download date	2024-03-13 09:50:00
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10754/629896

Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model plant research

- *Sreya Ghosh, *Amy Watson, Oscar E. Gonzalez-Navarro, Ricardo H. Ramirez-Gonzalez, Luis Yanes,
- 5 Marcela Mendoza-Suárez, James Simmonds, Rachel Wells, Tracey Rayner, Phon Green, Amber
- 6 Hafeez, Sadiye Hayta, Rachel E. Melton, Andrew Steed, Abhimanyu Sarkar, Jeremy Carter, Lionel
- 7 Perkins, John Lord, Mark Tester, Anne Osbourn, Matthew J. Moscou, Paul Nicholson, Wendy
- 8 Harwood, Cathie Martin, Claire Domoney, Cristobal Uauy, Brittany Hazard, Brande B. H. Wulff, Lee T.
- 9 Hickey

1

2 3 4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

1. Abstract

To meet the challenge of feeding a growing population, breeders and scientists are continuously looking for ways to increase genetic gain in crop breeding. One way this can be achieved is through 'speed breeding' (SB), which shortens the breeding cycle and accelerates research studies through rapid generation advancement. The SB method can be carried out in a number of ways, one of which involves extending the duration of a plant's daily exposure to light (photoperiod) combined with early seed harvest in order to cycle quickly from seed to seed, thereby reducing the generation times for some long-day (LD) or day-neutral crops. Here we present glasshouse and growth chamber-based SB protocols with supporting data from experimentation with several crop species. These protocols describe the growing conditions, including soil media composition, lighting, temperature and spacing, which promote rapid growth of spring and winter bread wheat, durum wheat, barley, oat, various members of the Brassica family, chickpea, pea, grasspea, quinoa and the model grass Brachypodium distachyon. Points of flexibility within the protocols are highlighted, including how plant density can be increased to efficiently scale-up plant numbers for single seed descent (SSD) purposes. Conversely, instructions on how to perform SB on a small-scale by creating a benchtop SB growth cabinet that enables optimization of parameters at a low cost are provided. We also outline the procedure for harvesting and germinating premature wheat, barley and pea seed to reduce generation time. Finally, we provide troubleshooting suggestions to avoid potential pitfalls.

Key words: Speed breeding, Rapid generation advancement, Photoperiod, Glasshouse, Growth

chamber, Growth cabinet, Wheat, Barley, Pea, Brachypodium, Quinoa, Oat, Brassica.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

2. Introduction To improve the productivity and stability of crops there is pressure to fast-track research and increase the rate of variety development. The generation time of most plant species represents a bottleneck in applied research programs and breeding, creating the need for technologies that accelerate plant development and generation turnover. Recently we reported protocols for 'speed breeding' (SB), which involve extending the photoperiod using supplementary lighting and temperature control, enabling rapid generation advancement in glasshouses with sodium vapour lamps (SVL) or growth chambers fitted with a mixture of metal halide and light-emitting diode (LED) lighting¹. By adopting a 22-hour photoperiod and controlled temperature regime, generation times were significantly reduced for spring bread wheat (Triticum aestivum), durum wheat (T. durum), barley (Hordeum vulgare), chickpea (Cicer arietinum), pea (Pisum sativum), canola (Brassica napus), the model grass, Brachypodium distachyon and the model legume, Medicago truncatula, in comparison to the field or a glasshouse with no supplementary light. Under the rapid growth conditions, plant development was normal, plants could be easily crossed (wheat and barley), and seed germination rates were high. We also demonstrated that SB can be used to accelerate gene transformation pipelines and adult plant phenotyping could be performed under SB conditions for traits such as flowering time, plant height, and disease resistance in wheat, leaf sheath glaucousness in barley, and pod shattering in canola¹. The use of extended photoperiod to hasten plant growth is not novel. Sysoeva et al. (2010)² provides an extensive review of the literature surrounding this subject, published within the last 90 years, which outlines successful attempts using spring wheat, barley, pea, chickpea, radish (Raphanus sativus), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), canola, flax (Linum usitatissimum), arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), apple (Malus domestica) and rose (Rosa x hybrida), among others. More recent examples of photoperiod manipulation to hasten flowering time of crop species include lentil (Lens culinaris)^{3,4}, pea (*P. sativum*), chickpea (*C. arietinum*), faba bean (*Vicia faba*), lupin (*Lupinus* angustifolius)⁵ and clover (*Trifolium subterraneum*)⁶. Here, we provide a standardised SB protocol for use in a glasshouse, or a growth chamber with additional data-supported modifications. We provide details for scaling-up plant numbers in the glasshouse, suitable for single seed descent (SSD) to generate large populations. Since plant species, indeed even cultivars within a species, are highly diverse in their response to photoperiod, a universal protocol for all plant species and traits is not possible. We therefore provide instructions for building a low-cost benchtop SB cabinet with controlled lighting and humidity monitoring,

suitable for small-scale research projects and trialling SB parameters. Notwithstanding, we have

observed that the protocols are flexible and can be tailored to fit a wide range of breeding or research objectives and crop species. By sharing these protocols, we aim to provide a pathway for accelerating crop research and breeding challenges.

Overview of the procedure

In this protocol, we describe how to implement SB in temperature-controlled glasshouses using supplementary LED lighting, which provides significant cost savings over traditional SVLs. The protocols have been tested in the UK and Australia, with lights from the same company, but with slightly different models. We also outline compatible soil mixes for various crops when growing them under these lighting regimes, along with advice for early harvest to reduce generation time further. We provide supporting data to demonstrate the suitability of these setups to significantly decrease the number of days to flowering and overall generation advancement for spring wheat, barley, canola, chickpea, pea, *B. distachyon*, *M. truncatula*, oat (*Avena strigosa*), grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus*) and quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*). We also include the design, step-by-step construction protocol, and operation of a small growth cabinet, which allows control over the light quality, intensity and photoperiod to help optimize the SB recipe for different crops and cultivars before implementing a large-scale glasshouse experiment.

Crop breeding programs commonly use SSD for several generations, on large numbers of segregating plants, to generate homozygous lines with fixed traits⁷. A glasshouse is often preferred for SSD because plant populations can be grown year-round. This process involves both a large investment in time as well as space within the glasshouse. Following the crossing of two homozygous lines, six generations of self-pollination are required to produce progeny that are 98.4% homozygous, which, at a rate of two generations per year, would take three years to complete. While only one or two seeds are needed from each plant to begin the next generation, plant researchers and breeders seek to maximise the number of plants within a restricted space. Plant density can be scaled-up under SB to enable concurrent rapid cycling of large plant populations, which is ideal for SSD programs. To demonstrate this, we evaluated spring wheat and barley sown at different plant densities in a glasshouse fitted with LED supplementary lighting. By comparing the physiological, morphological and yield parameters, we illustrate the normal development of these plants and highlight how this SB approach can save time and resources for SSD programs.

Development of the protocols

The SB concept was inspired by the efforts of NASA to grow crops in space, using an enclosed chamber and extended photoperiod⁸. In recognising the opportunity to more rapidly produce adult wheat and barley plants and allow faster selection and population development, SB became the norm in cereal research activities at the University of Queensland (UQ), Australia, thanks to Dr Ian Delacy and Dr Mark Dieters. The original protocol was first described and implemented for wheat⁹ and peanut (Arachis hypogaea)¹⁰. Variations of this protocol have been demonstrated to be an efficient system for rapid screening of wheat germplasm for adult plant resistance to various diseases¹¹⁻¹⁴ and also for pyramiding multiple disease resistance in barley¹⁵. The protocol has also been adapted for high-density plant production systems for SSD programs. The current SB protocol described in this paper was developed from the initial implementation described for wheat to include a two-hour dark period that improved plant health¹. This change was made following experiments in a controlled environment chamber at the John Innes Centre (JIC), UK, and was demonstrated to be suitable for accelerating research activities involving adult plant phenotyping, genetic structuring, and molecular studies like gene transformation in wheat and barley. It was further demonstrated to be suitable for rapid generation advancement for durum wheat (T. durum), pea, the model grass, B. distachyon and the model legume, M. truncatula, and could be scaled up in the SB glasshouse system at UQ, to be made suitable for rapid generation advancement of wheat, barley, canola and chickpea.

Comparison with other approaches

Perhaps the most well-known strategy to increase generation turnover is 'shuttle breeding', introduced by Dr Norman Borlaug in the 1950s at the international Centre for Maize and Wheat Improvement (CIMMYT), which enabled growing two generations per year by sowing wheat populations at field locations differing in altitude, latitude, and climate in Mexico¹⁶. There is also a long history of extensive efforts to accelerate plant growth of many species by manipulating photoperiod under artificial conditions, as briefly outlined above.

Supplementary lighting is not the only basis for rapid generation advance in plants. A common approach involves exerting physiological stress to trigger flowering and earlier setting of seed. This involves restricting plant growth area (by growing plants at high densities) or nutrient and water access¹⁷, accompanied by thinning of the plant canopy. Such a method is well-established and documented for rice¹⁸ and has also been demonstrated for pea (Supplementary Figure 1). Embryo rescue is another common feature in many rapid cycling methods where immature seed is harvested and induced to germinate on culture media, with or without the addition of plant growth regulators

133

134135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

(PGR), to negate the waiting time for seed to mature. Bermejo et al. (2016)¹⁹ used PGR in embryo culture media to promote germination of immature lentil seed to achieve 4 generations annually. Mobini et al. (2015)²⁰ sprayed lentil and faba bean plants with PGR to promote early flowering and applied embryo rescue with PGR-enriched agar media to achieve up to 8 and 6.8 generations per year, respectively. Application of PGR is not required for SB, which may be desirable considering the additional time and effort required for handling these and working out the logistics of their application at specific times. In addition, if a species-specific protocol is not available, extensive testing would be needed to optimise such applications. There are also examples of embryo rescue without PGR to shorten generation time. Zheng et al. (2013)²¹ and Yao et al. (2017)²² reported up to 8 generations per year for wheat and Zheng et al. (2013) ²¹ reported up to 9 generations per year for barley. Both Ochatt et al. (2002)²³ and Mobini and Warkentin (2016)⁵ reported up to 6.9 and 5.3 generations of pea per year respectively, and Roumet and Morin (1997)²⁴ reported 5 cycles per year in soybean (Glycine max L.), all with embryo rescue without PGRs. On the other hand, SB conditions without embryo rescue is capable of producing 6 generations per year for spring wheat, barley, chickpea and pea, and 4 generations per year for canola¹. Testing is needed for any plant species prior to implementation, but this approach is promising for other cereal, pulse and legume crops. Seed of wheat and barley produced under SB conditions can be harvested prematurely at two weeks post-anthesis, followed by a short period of drying and chilling to achieve high and uniform germination rates and healthy plants¹. Protocols involving embryo rescue are important and useful for breeding and research programs if the required infrastructure is available²⁵, particularly for species that are recalcitrant to other parameters used to accelerate generation advancement such as temperature or photoperiod manipulation²⁶⁻²⁸. In comparison, the SB protocols outlined here are less labour intensive, especially with large populations, and laboratory facilities are not required, making the protocols more accessible. Plant growth can also be promoted by increasing the CO₂ concentration. For example, for C₃ plants like rice and wheat, photosynthetic efficiency increases with increasing CO₂ levels, leading to an increase in biomass and early flowering. In fact, there are documented methods for rapid generation advance in rice that combine restricted root growth and canopy thinning with high CO2 concentration, followed by early harvest and embryo rescue to cut down generation times of many rice varieties²⁹. Doubled haploid (DH) technology, where haploid (n) embryos are rescued and undergo chromosome doubling (2n), is extensively and routinely used in the breeding of several crop species, thus reducing the number of generations required to achieve homozygous lines from six or more to just two generations³⁰. Despite this, DH technology has some disadvantages: it can be expensive, requires specialist skills, restricts recombination to a single round of meiosis, and has a variable success rate that may be genotype-dependant³¹. The approach can also be labour intensive for large populations, especially those requiring removal of the embryos from the seed coat. Notably, there is the potential for SB to further accelerate the production of DH lines by speeding up the crossing, plant regeneration and seed multiplication steps.

We have presented a design for building a low-cost benchtop growth cabinet to trial SB. Compared to other published protocols for self-made growth chambers^{32,33}, our design makes use of a more widely available control system using a Raspberry Pi and compatible sensors, with codes for the user interface (UI) freely available from GitHub (https://github.com/PhenoTIPI/SpeedSeed3/wiki). The cabinet was trialled for the 22-hour SB lighting, temperature and photoperiod regime (22 °C/17 °C (22 hours/2 hours)), and successfully reproduced the accelerated development of one rapid-cycling variety of each of wheat and pea (Supplementary Tables 1, 2). The component costs for constructing such a cabinet are provided in Supplementary Table 3).

Limitations of the approach

Different plant species can have markedly different responses when exposed to extended photoperiods. For long-day (LD) plants, time to flowering is often accelerated under extended photoperiods since the critical day length is generally exceeded. This is also the case with dayneutral plants, where flowering will occur regardless of the photoperiod. In contrast, short-day (SD) plants require the photoperiod to be below the critical daylength to flower³⁴, which could be at odds with SB conditions. However, there are exceptions and some species show a facultative response where, although flowering is promoted by a particular photoperiod, flowering will still occur in the opposite photoperiod. Furthermore, the time difference between being a SD or LD plant can be a matter of minutes³⁵. These factors highlight both a limitation of SB and a point of flexibility. In cases where the photoperiod response is unknown or complex in nature, experimentation of light and temperature parameters is required to optimise a SB strategy, for example, by using the benchtop growth cabinet. For instance, applying extended light prior to and following a shortened photoperiod to induce flowering, could hasten initial vegetative growth and accelerate maturity, respectively, thus producing an overall shorter generation time. Such an approach has been successfully applied to amaranth (Amaranthus spp. L), a SD species, where a 16-hour LD photoperiod was used to initiate strong vegetative growth after which plants were transferred to an 8-hour SD

photoperiod to induce flowering³⁶. The overall effect was a shorter lifecycle and ability to produce eight generations per year rather than two in the field. The need for vernalisation, such as in winter wheat, creates a situation similar to above. Young plants require chilling for a number of weeks to trigger the transition to flowering. Once the vernalisation requirement is met in winter wheat, exposing the plants to extended photoperiod is likely to accelerate growth^{37,38}. Overall, the 'SB recipe' is more straight forward and easier to implement for LD and day neutral species which do not require vernalisation. Experimentation and optimisation of parameters are highly recommended for each species.

The SB protocols presented here take place in an enclosed, artificial environment, which differs significantly from the field where eventual crop production may occur. While this is acceptable for many activities, such as crossing, SSD and screening for some simple traits¹, other activities, such as selection for adaptation in the target environment must still occur in the field. Nevertheless, programs alternating between SB and the field save time overall. The ability to shorten generation time further through early harvest of immature seed can interfere with the phenotyping of some seed traits. For this reason, in spring wheat breeding programs where dormant and non-dormant genotypes need differentiating, phenotyping grain dormancy under SB conditions is limited to only four generations per year⁹.

The initial investment to build a glasshouse or purchase a growth chamber with appropriate supplementary lighting and temperature control capabilities is substantial if these facilities are not already available. However, depending on the budget of the research or breeding program, the benefits may outweigh the costs. For instance, an economic analysis performed by Collard et al. (2017)³⁹ compared the rapid generation advance (i.e., no phenotypic selection at each generation) with the pedigree-based breeding method (i.e., with phenotypic selection at each generation) for rice and determined that rapid generation (achieved through restricted soil access and canopy thinning) was more cost-effective and advantages would be realized after one year even if new facilities were constructed. Nevertheless, most breeding programs have pre-existing glasshouse facilities that can be converted for SB applications, but careful selection of energy efficient lighting and temperature control systems are needed to minimise operating costs. Research activities often do not require the high plant numbers needed in breeding, so growth chambers are common. The cost of these start at tens of thousands of dollars, making them inaccessible for many projects and a barrier for implementing SB. In addition, the energy to provide extended supplementary lighting is significant. A cost-benefit analysis should be carried out to determine feasibility although there are

areas where cost-savings can be made. Supplemental LED lighting provides more efficient power usage and reduced heat than other lighting types, such as SVLs. An estimate of the maintenance and energy costs associated with LED lighting is provided in the supplementary material of Watson and Ghosh et al. (2018)¹. Investing in solar panels is another strategy to offset the increased energy costs, depending on availability and location.

The investment in SB needs to be weighed in terms of the potential benefits to variety development and research output. As with most technologies, determining the optimal way to integrate SB in a crop improvement program needs careful consideration and may require significant re-design or restructure to the overall program. Prior to implementing such changes, computer simulations are a good way to evaluate the different breeding programs incorporating SB.

Experimental Design

To set-up an effective SB system, certain factors require careful consideration. These include:

- a) Lighting requirements: Many lighting sources are appropriate for SB, including SVLs and LEDs¹. Even incandescent lighting has been shown to accelerate flowering in clover⁶. However, selection should be based on the space available, plant species and energy resources. For example, LED lighting may be preferred due to its energy efficiency although simple incandescent lighting may be suitable within a smaller area, with sufficient cooling to counteract the higher heat output. Plant species may also differ in their response to the different spectra of wavelengths emitted by different lighting sources so this should be carefully considered. The lighting setup for glasshouses and growth chambers detailed in this protocol can act as a starting point but is by no means the final conditions that may be optimum for another situation. The protocols outlined here have been successful for the species trialled but a modified approach may be more suitable for another crop. We recommend mining existing literature and studies on suitable light spectra (particularly with regard to blue to red ratios, red to far-red ratios, and the proportional level of UV light that may be introduced into the system) for the crop and trait of interest.
- b) Initial light calibrations: Requirements in terms of light quality and intensity for a particular species, cultivar of that species, and desired phenotype, should be determined prior to application on a large scale or use within an experiment. Several 'dummy' or 'test' growth cycles are recommended to initially assess the rate of growth and quality of the plants so that alterations can be made to enable optimal outcomes. For this purpose, we recommend starting

with the benchtop growth cabinet option – the costs of which are low enough to build several and trial, in parallel, different light-combinations, photoperiods and temperatures to determine the optimal conditions to implement on a larger scale, such as a glasshouse, for your crop and trait.

- c) Germplasm: As detailed above, not all plant species (or indeed cultivars within a species) are amenable to extended photoperiod. Care should therefore be exercised in selection of the germplasm to be grown under SB and appropriate modifications implemented to ensure optimal conditions for each species.
- d) **End-use requirements:** The intended end-use of the resultant plants can affect all aspects of the initial set-up of the SB protocol, such as glasshouse space and sowing density. For example, within an SSD program large numbers of plants are grown within a defined space, so an appropriate sowing density needs to be determined. Conversely, a small number of plants needed for a research experiment under variable lighting parameters is more appropriate for a small growth chamber experiment with flexible settings.
- e) **Control conditions**: Before beginning a SB experiment, it is important to have replicates of your germplasm growing under the conditions you would normally use in your breeding program or institute. This will allow you to directly compare plant growth parameters (including generation time), operational costs (e.g. electricity) and plant quality. For popular varieties grown for many generations in the field or glasshouses, the control data may be readily available.

3. Materials

Reagents

a) Soil

Soil mixtures which have previously been shown to work for certain crops in SB conditions are provided in <u>Table 1</u>. Details of the soil mixture composition can be found in <u>Supplementary</u> Tables 4, 5 and 6.

Table 1 | List of soil mixes that have been demonstrated to be compatible for speed breeding using our protocols.

Species	Compatible soil mixes
Bread wheat (T. aestivum)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix, UQ Compost Mix
Durum wheat (<i>T. durum</i>)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix, UQ Compost Mix
Barley (H. vulgare)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix, UQ Compost Mix
Pea (P. sativum)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix
Chickpea (C. arietinum)	UQ Compost Mix
Brassica rapa	JIC Cereal Compost Mix
Brassica oleracea	JIC Cereal Compost Mix
Canola (Brassica napus)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix, UQ Compost Mix
Quinoa (<i>C. quinoa</i>)	JIC Peat and Sand Mix
Oat (A. strigosa)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix
Grasspea (L. sativus)	JIC Cereal Compost Mix
Brachypodium distachyon	JIC Cereal Compost Mix, 50% JIC Cereal Compost Mix
	+ 50% JIC Peat and Sand Mix
Medicago	JIC Cereal Compost Mix

b) Nutrient feed

Depending on the size of the pots and the type of soil, the plants may need a nutrient feed. If the pots are small (~100 ml), a single or fortnightly application of a liquid nutrient feed should be considered to prevent the plant leaves from turning yellow prematurely with concomitant reduced vigour and seed set. In the JIC glasshouses and growth chambers, we have successfully used Solufeed 1-1-1 from Vitax (http://www.vitaxgrower.co.uk/product/vitafeeds/) for wheat growing in high density trays.

Critical: Due to the rapid growth of plants under SB, fertiliser application and swift amelioration of nutrient deficiencies are of utmost importance. Appropriate slow-release fertiliser within the soil media is recommended for growth to maturity, and maintenance of soil pH is important to avoid restriction of nutrient absorption; e.g. a pH that is too acidic can inhibit calcium uptake. Foliar fertiliser applications may be required for rapid access of nutrients to the leaves although some level of calcium deficiency is common. See Supplementary Figure 2 for common symptoms of calcium deficiency. In our experience, for wheat, barley and *Brachypodium*, symptoms are more common at early growth stages during the period of prolific vegetative growth and are relieved at later growth stages. See Troubleshooting (Section 6) for specific suggestions on calcium applications.

Equipment

- The sections below describe the equipment needed for different SB purposes:
- Section a: Provides information to set up SB in an existing plant growth chamber or controlled
 environment room (CER). This section outlines the core "recipe" for programing an existing growth
 room to set up SB conditions.
- **Section b:** Provides details for the design and construction of a small benchtop cabinet for SB, which may be used for small-scale pilot trials before investing in a larger system, such as a glasshouse. The cabinet has a footprint of 0.225 m² and comfortably accommodates eight 1 L square pots.
 - **Section c:** Provides details for setting up SB in a glasshouse using LED lamps for supplementary lighting. Its efficacy is demonstrated for a range of crop species, along with some examples of how single-seed descent for wheat and barley can be carried out. The LED supplemental lighting within glasshouses at JIC (UK) and UQ (Australia), were supplied by the same company, Heliospectra (Göteborg, Sweden). Details of both setups are provided, along with the results of experiments carried out at both locations.

Section a) Speed breeding setup

i) *Lights*: We have shown in our previous studies¹, that any light that produces a spectrum which reasonably covers the photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) region (400-700 nm), with particular focus on the blue, red and far-red ranges, is suitable to use for SB. The referenced study has several examples of these spectra, and similar examples of possible SB spectra are provided here. An appropriate spectral range can be achieved through LEDs, or a combination of LEDs and other lighting sources (e.g. halogen lamps), or in the case of a glasshouse, by simply supplementing the ambient lighting with LEDs or SVLs. We highly recommend that measurements of the light spectrum are taken prior to commencement of the SB experiment.

In addition to controlling the light quality, we recommend a photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) of approximately 450-500 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at plant canopy height. Slightly lower or higher PPFD levels are also suitable. Crops species vary in their response to high irradiance. However, the suggested level of 450-500 μ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ has been demonstrated to be effective for a range of crop species¹.

- ii) *Photoperiod*: We recommend a photoperiod of 22 hours with 2 hours of darkness in a 24-hour diurnal cycle. Continuous light is another option, but our experience has shown that the dark period slightly improves plant health. Gradually increasing light intensity to mimic dawn and dusk states should be done, if possible, but is not vital. In our previous paper, we have also provided an example where an 18-hour photoperiod was sufficient to achieve faster generation times for wheat, barley, oat and triticale¹.
- iii) *Temperature*: The optimal temperature regime (maximum and minimum temperatures) should be applied for each crop. A higher temperature should be maintained during the photoperiod, while a fall in temperature during the dark period can aid in stress recovery. At UQ, a 12 hour 22 °C / 17 °C temperature cycling regime with the 2 hours of darkness occurring within the 12 hours of 17 °C has proven successful (Speed breeding II)¹. In contrast, a temperature cycling regime of 22 °C / 17 °C for 22 hours light and 2 hours dark, respectively, is used at JIC (Speed breeding I)¹. In both scenarios, the generation times of all crops were successfully accelerated and comparable. In the controlled environment chamber in which this was demonstrated, the temperature was ramped up and down similarly to the lights, but this was subsequently found to not be of particular importance.
- *iv) Humidity*: Most controlled environment chambers have limited control over humidity but a reasonable range of 60-70% is ideal. For crops that are more adapted to drier conditions, a lower humidity level may be advisable.

365 Section b) Benchtop growth cabinet 366 To construct your low cost growth cabinet the following components are required. 367 Hardware 368 12 V, 50 A DC power supply 600 W (Amazon, cat. no. B072M7P7QJ) 369 12 V to 5 V, 3 A DC/DC converter module (Amazon, cat. no. B00G890MIC) 370 USB extension cable – 30 cm (Amazon, cat. no. B002M8RVKA) 371 Ethernet extension cable – 30 cm (Amazon, cat. no. B077V421QH) 372 Arduino UNO (Amazon, cat. no. B00CGU1VOG) 373 Raspberry Pi 3 model B (CPC, cat. no. 2525225) • 374 Raspberry Pi display 7 inch touchscreen (CPC, cat. no. 2473872) • 375 Arduino base shield v2 – SeeedStudio (CPC, cat. no. SC13822) 376 **Cabinet structure** 377 Aluminium composite panel, 757 X 307 X 3 mm, quantity = 6 (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP027-03) 378 Aluminium composite panel, 757 X 357 X 3 mm (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP027-03) 379 Aluminium composite panel, 757 X 107 X 3 mm (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP027-03) 380 Aluminium composite panel, 757 X 757 X 3 mm (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP027-03) • 381 PVC foam board, 757 X 157 X 3 mm, quantity = 2 (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP015-03) • 382 PVC foam board, 757 X 141 X 3 mm (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP015-03) 383 PVC foam board, 757 X 307 X 3 mm, quantity = 2 (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP015-03) 384 Perspex clear acrylic sheet, 757 X 307 X 3 mm (Cut Plastics, cat. no. CP001-03) 385 OpenBeam, 1000 mm, quantity = 4 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4451-900) • 386 OpenBeam, 750 mm, quantity = 13 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4451-750) • 387 OpenBeam, 300 mm, quantity = 10 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4451-300) 388 Corner bracket – MakerBeam, quantity = 4 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4446-013) 389 L-joining plate – OpenBeam, quantity = 36 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4450-003) 390 T-joining plate – OpenBeam, quantity = 2 (Technobots Online, cat. no. 4450-004) 391 **Lighting system** 392 Full spectrum grow light LED bulb, quantity = 16 (Amazon, cat. no. 071J3BC1W) 393 E27 lamp holder, quantity = 16 (Sinolec Components, cat. no. E27-SD04-2) • 394 Solid state relay – grove SeedStudio (Mouser, cat. no. 713-103020004) 395 Temperature and humidity control system 396 12 V, 10 A thermoelectric cooler, quantity = 3 (Amazon, cat. no. B01M2ZBBVM) 397 Temperature and humidity sensor pro-grove SeeedStudio (CPC, cat. no. MK00343) 398 Relay – grove SeedStudio, quantity = 4 (CPC, cat. no. MK00330)

12 V cooling fan, 50 mm (Amazon, cat. no. B00HPKC5MO)
 Software

• Arduino IDE (v1.8.5, https://www.arduino.cc/en/Main/Software)

Section c) LED-supplemented glasshouse setup

- Glasshouse: A well-located glasshouse with the required space and sufficient ambient lighting. We recommend fitting a temperature control system and programmable lights. Controllable blinds are also optional if blocking out high irradiance on very sunny days is required.
- ii. **LED lamps**: While any kind of lighting system can be used to supplement the ambient lighting in the glasshouse, we recommend LED lamps above all because of the significant savings these provide in terms of maintenance and energy consumption. The glasshouse-based SB experiments detailed in our previous paper¹ were based on SVLs, but we have obtained similar results with LED-lighting at both UQ and JIC. The lighting system configuration, make and model of the lights for both locations are provided in Equipment setup.
- iii. **SSD trays**: For demonstration, at UQ, three seedling tray types with increasing sowing densities were used. The dimensions and volumes are given in Supplementary Table 7. The soil media composition is given in Supplementary Table 4.

Caution: Energy tariffs can vary according to the time of day, depending on peak energy usage patterns in the location. Substantial savings can be achieved by programming the dark period to coincide with the energy tariff imposed during peak electricity consumption.

Additional equipment needed:

- i. PAR meter: The PAR is measured in either PPFD or Lux. Any off-the-shelf PAR meter can be used, as long as it provides PPFD levels and relative wavelength composition. We used the MK350S Spectrometer from UPRtek and the Spectrum Genius Essence Lighting Passport light sensor from AsenseTek Inc. (Taiwan) at JIC and UQ, respectively.
- ii. **Energy meter**: This allows measuring the energy consumption for lighting and temperature maintenance thereby providing insight into SB operational costs. Any off-the-shelf energy meter can be used for this purpose. To obtain energy consumption data for both the lights employed and the Controlled Environment Rooms (CERs) at JIC, we utilised a clamp-on

Current Transformer meter with the capacity to store and download data. The instrument provided half hourly readings and as such was highly accurate in determining energy costs

Equipment setup

In this section, we provide detailed protocols for the SB setups discussed in the previous section.

a) Benchtop growth cabinet

- **Hardware:** Connect the display to the Raspberry Pi using the provided cables as instructed by the manufacturer. The Arduino connects to the Raspberry Pi via USB ports. Sensors and relay modules are connected using the Grove system (SeedStudio).
- **Cabinet structure:** Assemble the beam profile using the joining plates. Slide the panels, boards and sheets before fully assembling each side.
- **Lighting system:** The photoperiod with the full-spectrum LED light bulbs is controlled by a solid-state relay connected to the Arduino microcontroller. Sixteen 57 mm diameter holes need to be drilled in one of the 757 x 307 x 3 mm aluminium composite panels, to fit the E27 lamp holders. The lamp holders are then inserted and wired in parallel.
- Temperature and humidity system: Pre-assembled thermoelectric cooling modules are used to simplify the construction of the benchtop growth cabinet. These are composed of fans, aluminium heat sinks, and Peltier elements. The cooling modules are controlled by relays connected to the Arduino. Airflow is used to control the humidity, *i.e.* the humidity sensor will trigger the 12 V fan to circulate air from outside the cabinet in order to reduce the humidity inside.
- Software installation and setup: The speed breeding cabinet is controlled by three main subsystems: The arduino micro controller that monitors and controls the environment according to a desired optimal; a python daemon that stores the current conditions and reads the expected conditions from a MongoDB database and; a graphical interface written in ReactJS that allows the users to set up the expected conditions in a 24-hour range.

The circuit diagram for making the connections are provided in Supplementary Figure 3 and a photograph of the assembled cabinet is provided in Supplementary Figure 4. The cabinet has an available area of 0.225 m². For the lamps we have used, the spectrum is provided in Supplementary Figure 5, with the light levels in PPFD (Photosynthetic Photon Flux Density) being on an average about 120 μ mol·m^{-2·s}·s⁻¹ at 16 cm above the base where the pots are kept, and about 320 μ mol·m^{-2·s}·s⁻¹ and 220 μ mol·m^{-2·s}·s⁻¹ from a 10 cm and 20 cm distance respectively from the top of the cabinet where the lights are situated. The energy consumption of the mini cabinet is 6.24 kWh per day.

NOTE: A step-by-step guide for constructing the cabinet and installing the software is available at https://github.com/PhenoTIPI/SpeedSeed3/wiki, along with troubleshooting tips.

Caution: The construction of the cabinet requires the use of sharp cutting and drilling tools that may cause physical injury if handled improperly. Many steps involve electrical components, which can cause fire if operated without being earthed. Ensure all necessary safety steps are followed and use personal protective equipment when constructing the cabinet.

b) LED-supplemented glasshouse

<u>Table 2</u> provides the lighting arrangement in two glasshouse configurations. Both setups have been demonstrated to successfully support SB for the species listed.

Table 2 | LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setups for speed breeding at JIC and UQ

	John Innes Centre,		University of Qu	ieensland,	
	United Kingdom		Australia		
LED lamp make	LX602C LED Grov	w Lights from	E602G LED Grow	E602G LED Grow Lights from	
and model	Heliospectra (Gö	iteborg, Sweden).	Heliospectra (Gö	iteborg, Sweden).	
	More information can be found at:		More information	More information can be found at:	
	https://www.he	liospectra.com/led-	https://www.he	liospectra.com/led-	
	grow-lights/lx60/		grow-lights/e60	<u>/</u>	
Glasshouse area	66.4 m ²		30 m ²		
No. of fitted	No of lights in 25 Holiography		No. of lights in	8 Heliospectra	
	No. of lights in	25 Heliospectra		·	
lights and	the given area	LX602C lights	the given area	E602G lights	
arrangement	Distance 244 cm		Distance	155 cm	
	between lights		between lights		
	and bench		and bench		
	Distance	144 cm (LICOR	Distance	95 cm from	
	between lights	sensor, kept	between lights	approximately	
	and plant	approximately at	and plant	the spike-height	
	canopy/sensor plant canopy		canopy/ sensor	of a tall, adult	
		height)		wheat plant.	

	Approximate	100 cm	Approximate	60 cm
	distance of		distance of	
	canopy from		canopy from	
	bench surface		bench surface	
	Schematic	Supplementary	Schematic	Supplementary
		Figure 6		Figure 7
Light level	These fixtures ca	n be programmed	These fixtures ar	re not
monitoring and	to emit custom s	spectra and light	programmable a	and have a fixed
programmability	intensities.		spectrum and in	tensity.
Lighting regime	Two similar com	partments within	Photoperiod of 2	22 hours, followed
and PPFD levels	the same glassho	ouse were set up	by 2 hours of da	rkness.
	with two differe	nt photoperiod	The PPFD values and spectrum at	
	regimes:		various distances from the lights	
	i) 22 hours of	light, followed by	are provided in S	Supplementary
	2 hours of darkness		Table 9 and Supplementary Figure	
	ii) 16 hours of light, followed by		9.	
	8 hours of d	larkness		
	The PPFD values	and spectrum at		
	various distance	s from the lights		
	are provided in S	Supplementary		
	Table 8 and Supp	olementary Figure		
	8.			
Temperature	20 °C as the max	kimum	22 °C as the max	kimum
Regime	temperature to I	be operative during	temperature to	be operative for 12
	the photoperiod	(16 or 22 hours	hours during the photoperiod.	
	depending on th	e photoperiod		
	regime, see above).			
	15 °C as the min	imum temperature	17 °C as the min	imum temperature
	to be operative of	during the dark	to be operative of	during the dark
	period (8 or 2 ho	ours depending on	period (2 hours)	
	photoperiod reg	ime, see above).		

Heating/Cooling system

Heating: gas-fired central heating Cooling: Cooling fans that go off when the temperature goes above a set-point.

Temperature monitoring and control: Glasshouse temperature monitoring is carried out through TomTech (TomTech UK Ltd) which is a glasshouse specific business management system.

Heating and cooling: a 240 kW chilled water system that uses insulated aspirated temperature controller sensors with air handling units to each room with heaters and chilled water valves.

Temperature monitoring and control: Glasshouse temperature automatically controlled using a business management system running on an Innotech system using Magellan Builder (Brisbane, Australia). The temperatures are controlled to ± 1 °C.

Critical: Weather and ambient light varies by location and season, especially at higher latitudes. Thus, for the glasshouse setups listed here, the light spectrum is determined not just by the presence of the LED lights but also by the ambient light. To ensure reproducibility, consider setting up your experiment in a way that mitigates these environmental variables. For example, use programmable lights that allow intensity modification based on sensor feedback, or controllable blinds to regulate photoperiod. Provision of a short dark-period is recommended for optimum plant health. We highly recommend setting up a temperature monitoring and control system.

A summary of the crops for which we have successfully demonstrated a shortening of generation time using SB, including information on which specific SB setups were used, and where the reader can find more information on the key growth stages and other growth parameters of the crop grown under those conditions is provided in <u>Table 3</u>.

Table 3 | A list of speed breeding protocols that have been demonstrated for different species along with pointers for locating the associated data.

501

	Demonstrated SB conditions and associated data		
Species	This protocol	Watson and Ghosh et	Other
	This protocol	al., 2018	Other
Spring wheat	JIC-GH-LED ¹	UQ-GH-SVL ³	
T. aestivum	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Tables	
	10 - 18)	11, 15, 21, 28, 30, 31)	
	UQ-GH-LED ²	CER-JIC ⁴	
	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Tables	
	19 and 20)	2, 5-8, 19, 27, 34-36)	
Winter wheat	JIC-GH-LED		
T. aestivum	(Supplementary Tables		
	21 - 23)		
Durum wheat	JIC-GH-LED		Alahmad et al.,
T. durum	(Supplementary Tables		2018
	14 - 18)		
Spring barley	JIC-GH-LED	UQ-GH-SVL	
H. vulgare	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Tables	
	24 - 26)	12, 16, 20, 22, 29, 30,	
	UQ-GH-LED	32)	
	(Supplementary Tables	CER-JIC	
	27 and 28)	(Supplementary Tables	
		3, 6, 37, 38)	
Canola	JIC-GH-LED	UQ-GH-SVL	
Brassica napus	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Tables	
	29 - 33)	13, 17, 23, 25, 30, 39)	
Brassica rapa	JIC-GH-LED		
	(Supplementary Tables		
	29 - 33)		
Brassica oleracea	JIC-GH-LED		
	(Supplementary Tables		
	29 - 33)		
Pea	JIC-GH-LED	CER-JIC	

P. sativum	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Table	
	34 and 35)	10)	
Cusana	·		
Grasspea	JIC-GH-LED		
L. sativus	(Supplementary Tables		
	36 - 38)		
Medicago		CER-JIC	
		(Supplementary Table	
		9)	
Brachypodium	JIC-GH-LED	CER-JIC	
distachyon	(Supplementary Tables	(Supplementary Table	
	39, 40)	4)	
Quinoa	JIC-GH-LED		
C. quinoa	(Supplementary Tables		
	41 - <u>43</u>)		
Oat	JIC-GH-LED		
A. strigosa	(Supplementary Tables		
	44 - 46)		
Chickpea		UQ-GH-SVL	
C. arietinum		(Supplementary Tables	
		14, 18, 24, 26, 30)	
Peanut			O'Connor et al.,
A. hypogaea			2013 ¹⁰
Amaranth			Stetter et al.,
Amaranthus spp.			2016 ³⁶

¹ **JIC-GH-LED**: LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, JIC, UK (described in this paper, Equipment Setup Section c).

² **UQ-GH-LED**: LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia (described in this paper, Equipment Setup Section c).

³ **UQ-GH-SVL**: SVL-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia (described in Methods section: Speed Breeding II¹).

⁴ **CER-JIC**: Controlled Environment Room, JIC, UK (described in Methods section: Speed Breeding I¹).

4. Procedure

a) Preparing seed for sowing:

To increase germination efficiency some seeds may need a pre-treatment either by cold stratification (prolonged imbibition in the cold) or scarification (physical or chemical weakening of the seed coat). The requirements for germination pre-treatments are specific for each species, and accessions of that species, and should be determined on an individual basis. Dormant spring wheat and barley seed can be imbibed on moistened filter paper in a Petri dish for 24 hours and then chilled at 4 °C for approximately three days (longer times may be required depending on the level of dormancy). The seeds can then be left at room temperature for one to three days to germinate prior to transferring to soil. If pre-treatment is not required, the seed can be germinated in a Petri dish on moistened filter paper before transferring to soil. In a large-scale scenario, seeds can be directly sown into high density trays and placed in a cold-room, then trays can be moved to the growing environment in the glasshouse. If a pre-treatment is not required, seed may be sown directly into soil in the glasshouse/growth chamber.

Caution: If seeds germinate in a Petri dish and become too well established (i.e. develop green leaves) before transplanting to soil, the shift to SB conditions, especially the presence of intense light, can shock the plants, resulting in a strong hypersensitive response and possibly death. Take care to prick them out early, or if they are already established, transfer them to soil and place a mesh over the plants to reduce light intensity while they adapt to the new environmental conditions.

b) Monitoring key growth stages, growth parameters, and phenotyping:

To enable comparison to normal development, monitor the key growth stages of the plants. For many crops, defined growth stages have been published; for example, cereal crops⁴⁰, canola⁴¹, quinoa⁴² and legumes⁴³. Take note of the heading times and earliest time point to harvest viable seeds. We also advise monitoring the height and general physiology of the plants.

NOTE: Experiments performed in Section c, LED-supplemented glasshouse setup at the JIC, UK, involved a SB glasshouse compartment as detailed above (i.e. 22 h day length), and a twin compartment with a 16 h day length to measure the effect and value of increased day length. Growth parameters and harvest times are provided for both lighting regimes where available.

For wheat and barley, we have also previously demonstrated how SB conditions do not interfere with the phenotyping of a number of key traits¹, and how variations of the SB protocol can be used to rapidly screen wheat and barley for resistance to a number of major diseases or disorders (<u>Table 4</u>).

Table 4 | Protocols for phenotyping diseases and disorders under speed breeding conditions.

Disease / disorder	Species	Reference
Chaire a mush	Carina a chant	Protonius et al. (2000). Acta Blades athelesis et
Stripe rust	Spring wheat	Pretorius et al. (2000). Acta Phytopathologica et
(<i>Puccinia striiformis</i> f. sp.	(T. aestivum)	Entomologica Hungarica, 35(1-4), 359-364 ⁴⁴
tritici)		
		Hickey et al. (2012). Plant Breeding, 131(1), 54-
		61 ¹⁴
Leaf rust	Spring wheat	Pretorius et al. (2000). Acta Phytopathologica et
(Puccinia recondita f. sp.	(T. aestivum)	Entomologica Hungarica, 35(1-4), 359-364 ⁴⁴
tritici, "brown rust")		
(<i>Puccinia triticina</i> , "black		Riaz et al. (2016). Plant Methods, 12, 17 ¹⁴
rust")		
Yellow spot / Tan spot	Spring wheat	Dinglasan et al. (2016). Euphytica, 209(3), 693-
(Pyrenophora tritici-	(T. aestivum)	70712
repentis)		
Leaf rust	Barley	Hickey et al. (2017). Euphytica, 213(3), 64 ¹⁵
(Puccinia hordei)	(H. vulgare)	
Net form net blotch		
(<i>Pyrenophora teres</i> f. sp.		
teres)		
Spot form net blotch		
(Puccinia teres f. sp.		
maculate)		
Spot blotch		
(Cochliobolus sativus)		

Stem rust	Spring wheat	Riaz and Hickey (2017). Wheat Rust Diseases:
(Puccinia graminis f. sp.	(T. aestivum)	Methods and Protocols (Vol. 1659, pp. 183-196) ⁴⁵
tritici)		
Crown rot	Durum wheat	Alahmad et al. (2018). Plant Methods, 14(1), 3611
(Fusarium	(T. durum)	
pseudograminearum)		
Pre-harvest sprouting	Spring wheat	Hickey et al. (2009). Euphytica 168, 303-310 ⁹
	(T. aestivum)	
Pod shattering	Canola	Watson and Ghosh et al. (2018). Nature Plants,
	(B. napus)	4(1), 23-29 ¹

c) Seed harvesting:

Shortened generation times can also be achieved in some species by harvesting premature seed. This usually involves waiting until the seeds have set in the plant (indicated by filled seed in spikes for wheat, or filled pods for legumes), then either increasing the temperature or withholding water from the plant to hasten seed ripening and drying. After a week of this stress application, seeds may be harvested.

NOTE: For experiments performed in the third protocol setup (Section c, LED-supplemented glasshouse) at the JIC, UK, early harvest times are provided for both lighting regimes where available. If not indicated, the harvest time outlined is for harvest at physiological maturity.

Caution: Freshly harvested seed may display dormancy. See troubleshooting (Section 5) for more details on how to overcome this issue.

d) Monitoring energy use:

At the end of one cycle, review the energy costs for your SB system. This is particularly useful to evaluate the generation time vs cost trade-off where multiple conditions have been tested concurrently (e.g. different day lengths). For the LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup in JIC, there were two rooms set up concurrently with 16-hour and 22-hour photoperiods. The energy calculations for running each of these setups per month is given in Supplementary Table 47, along with a comparison of how much it would cost to run a similar setup with Sodium Vapour Lamps.

5. Troubleshooting

Table 5 | Suggested solutions to common issues under speed breeding.

Problem	Possible Reason	Solution
Plants exhibit tip-burn	Calcium deficiency – common	Apply a liquid fertiliser
necrosis. The leaves curl	in accelerated growth.	containing calcium as a foliar
inward or outward, and may		spray early in growth to
have small, circular		control any developing
depressions or "bubbles"		deficiency. This may be a 1%
(Supplementary Figure 2).		calcium nitrate solution
		applied 2-3 times per week or
		as part of another broad-
		spectrum fertiliser.
		Acidic soil can interfere with
		calcium uptake – adding
		dolomite to the soil can reduce
		acidity if the base soil mix
		tends to a lower pH.
Initially curling and death of	Copper deficiency – common	Apply a liquid fertiliser
young leaf-tips and down the	in accelerated growth.	containing copper as a foliar
leaf blade. Young leaves may		spray early in growth to
also not emerge properly and		control any developing
form loops or twists. Later,		deficiency.
spike top can wither, turn		
white and fail to produce		Alkaline or waterlogged soil
grain. Spikes may also become		can affect copper uptake – do
twisted into curls		not over-water or add
(Supplementary Figure 10).		excessive dolomite when
		ameliorating calcium
		deficiency as described above.
Young leaves appear striped	Iron deficiency.	Apply a liquid fertiliser
with interveinal yellowing		containing iron as a foliar
(Supplementary Figure 11).		spray early in growth to

		control any developing
		deficiency.
Plants are weak and spindly or	These are possible symptoms	Apply a liquid fertiliser with a
suffering chlorosis.	of a range of nutrient	broad range of nutrients to the
	deficiencies.	soil and as a foliar spray.
Seeds do not germinate.	Seed harvested too early and	Harvest seed slightly later.
	are not viable.	
	Seeds are dormant.	Store the seeds for a few
		additional days or weeks
		before trying again.
		Alternatively, cold stratify the
		seed at 4-5 °C for several days
		and/or treat with a low
		concentration (~0.5 ppm) of
		gibberellic acid (GA3) by
		dipping the seeds into the
		solution or spraying.
Plants did not cycle much	The optimum conditions for	Make adjustments for
faster than in the glasshouse	rapid generation advancement	temperature, light intensity,
with no supplemental lights	have not been reached for the	light quality and/or day length.
and/or in field conditions,	crop.	
even though they are LD or		
day neutral plants.	The particular genotype may	Try other genotypes to explore
	be recalcitrant to SB.	if it is a genotype- or species-
		specific issue.
LD or day neutral plants do not	Vernalisation needed.	Depending on the species,
flower.		vernalise the plants for up to 8
		weeks at 4 to 10 °C.

irradiance.

6. Anticipated Results As demonstrated in our previous study, under SB conditions with a 22-hour photoperiod, it should be possible to produce up to 6 generations per year in spring wheat and barley and up to 4 and 4.5 generations per year in canola and chickpea, respectively¹. However, it is important to remember that results are highly dependent on the crop species and can vary greatly between cultivars. The light quality, duration of the photoperiod and temperature regime also impact the extent to which the generation time is reduced. It should also be noted that ambient sunlight strength and duration will vary with location and season, thus resulting in differences in rate of development. These factors, in addition to basic growing conditions, such as soil type, can be manipulated to obtain the optimal parameters for the crop of interest. The various protocols outlined above are designed to facilitate this process. The self-made, bench-top speed breeding cabinet will facilitate identification of conditions that enable rapid-cycling of wheat and pea, and by extension, the other crops listed (Supplementary Figure 4). We demonstrated the efficacy of this cabinet design by growing rapid-cycling varieties of pea (P. sativum cv. JI 2822) and wheat (T. aestivum cv. USU Apogee) and showing the shortened time from seed to seed, without compromising the viability of early harvested seed (Supplementary

Tables 1, 2). This is comparable with data from our previous study where we evaluated the same

pea variety (JI 2822) under SB conditions using a commercial CER.

The time taken for reproductive development to occur for a range of crop species under the LED-fitted, SB glasshouse (JIC, UK) is provided in <u>Table 6</u>. Two extended photoperiods are represented to give an approximate expectation of the rapid development of these species under SB, and to give the reader an idea of what a 6-hour difference in photoperiod can produce in a range of crops and cultivars. The much slower rate of development under control or regular glasshouse conditions without supplemental lighting was reported for some of these species in our previous study¹. Plants grown under SB can be expected to look healthy (<u>Figure 1</u>) with minor reductions in seed set (refer to <u>Table 3</u> in order to view the related data for the crop of interest) and spike size (<u>Supplementary Figure 12</u>) or pod size (<u>Supplementary Figures 13 and 14</u>). In some crop species, the SB conditions can produce a slight reduction in height and/or internode length. In our experience, while working on *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum*, we found the plants grown under SB produced leaves with much smaller surface areas. Occasionally, micronutrient deficiencies manifest themselves because of the rapid growth and change in soil pH – some of these issues (particularly for wheat and barley) are highlighted in the Troubleshooting section. Despite efforts to optimise soil composition, there may be a cultivar that responds very poorly to the long-photoperiod and high

We have previously demonstrated that wheat, barley and canola plants grown under SB are suitable for crossing and phenotyping a range of adult plant traits¹. That said, complex phenotypes such as yield and abiotic stress resilience (heat or drought stress) are best evaluated in the field, particularly for breeding objectives. We have also demonstrated how SB can be combined with transformation of barley to speed up the process of obtaining transformed seeds¹.

Table 6 | Mean days to anthesis¹ under speed breeding using LED-supplemented glasshouses at JIC, UK. All plants had a temperature cycle regime of 22 hours at 22 °C and 2 hours at 17 °C to coincide with the light and dark period, respectively.

Species	Associated data	Photoperiod	Mean days to flowering ¹
Spring wheat		22 h	49.6 ± 5.0
T. aestivum	Supplementary Tables 10-18, 19, 20	16 h	62.5 ± 4.3
Winter wheat	Supplementary Tables 21 - 23	22 h	105.4 ± 1.7
T. aestivum	Supplementary Tables 21 - 25	16 h	115.4 ± 1.9
Durum wheat	Supplementary Tables 14 - 18	22 h	46 ± 1.9 ²
T. durum	Supplementary Tables 14 - 10	16 h	53.7 ± 1.0 ²
Spring barley	Supplementary Tables 24 - 28	22 h	38.4 ± 13.9
H. vulgare	Supplementary Tables 24 - 26	16 h	46.6 ± 12.1
Canola	Supplementary Tables 29 - 33	22 h	34.5 ± 0.7^3
Brassica napus		16 h	45.0 ± 0.0
Brassica rapa	Supplementary Tables 29 - 33	22 h	36.5 ± 2.5 ³
Бгиззіси тири		16 h	41.0 ± 3.7
Brassica oleracea	Supplementary Tables 29 - 33	22 h	49.2 ± 1.8 ³
Brassica orciacca	Supplementary Tubles 25 35	16 h	61.2 ± 2.3
Pea	Supplementary Tables 34, 35	22 h	32.2 ± 5.3 ⁴
P. sativum	Supplementary rapies 54, 55	16 h	42.9 ± 5.3
Grasspea	Supplementary Tables 36 - 38	22 h	31 ³ ±
L. sativus	Supplementary rubles so so	16 h	ND
Brachypodium	Supplementary Tables 39, 40	22 h	31.5 ± 5.2
distachyon	Supplementary Tables 39, 40	16 h	44.0 ± 5.2
Quinoa	Supplementary Tables 41 - 43	22 h	54.6 ⁵ ± 0.6
C. quinoa	Supplementary radies 41 45	16 h	61.1 ± 4.6
Oat	Supplementary Tables 44 - 46	22 h	52 ± 0.0

A. sativa	16 h	66 ± 0.0
		İ

628 ¹Days to flowering/anthesis (GS65) from sowing⁴⁰.

²Days to 50% ear emergence from sowing (GS55).

³Days to first flower opening from sowing.

629

630

631

632

633634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

⁴Days to the first flower bud from sowing.

⁵Days to anthesis (growth stage 6 according to BBCH scale⁴²).

In breeding programs, SSD is often an important step in cultivar development that requires highdensity plantings. The SB protocols provided for glasshouses are ideal for SSD programs, particularly cereal crops. Increasing sowing density under SB can enable rapid cycling of many lines with healthy plants and viable seed. Figure 2 shows an example of the plant condition, spike lengths and seed sizes that could be expected at various sowing densities in SB. Under the UQ-GH-LED protocol, at a density of 1000 plants/m², up to 6 generations of wheat and barley can be expected per year (Supplementary Table D and E). At higher densities, plant height and seed numbers can be reduced due to the greater competition and low soil volume. Despite this, even at the highest sowing density shown here, all plants produced a spike with at least enough seed to perform SSD, and in most cases many more. Large differences in the speed of development can be achieved by extending the photoperiod from 16 to 22 hours. Under the JIC-GH-LED protocol, spring and durum wheat were over ten days faster in development with an additional 6 hours of photoperiod. Table 7 provides the approximate development times for several cereal crops at a range of sowing densities, appropriate for intensive SSD. The SSD SB protocol was performed under two extended photoperiod and temperature regimes at either JIC, UK, or UQ, Australia. These results demonstrate that plants can be grown at high densities under SB conditions to produce plants suitable for effective and resourceefficient generation turnover in SSD programs.

Table 7 | Mean days to reproductive stages³⁻⁵ of single seed descent (SSD) sowing densities under speed breeding using the JIC-GH-LED¹ or UQ-GH-LED² protocol. JIC-GH-LED protocol used a temperature cycle regime of 22 h at 22 °C and 2 h at 17 °C to coincide with light and dark times, respectively. The UQ-GH-LED protocol used a temperature cycle regime of 12 h at 22 °C and 12 h at 17 °C.

Species	Protocol	Sowing density	Photoperiod	Mean days to reproductive stage
Spring wheat T. aestivum	JIC-GH-LED ¹	96-cell (560 plants/m²)	22 h	45.0 ± 0.0 ³
		96-cell (560 plants/m²)	16 h	58.0 ± 0.0 ³
	UQ-GH-LED ²	30-cell (300 plants/m²)	22 h	31.3 ± 0.7 ⁴
		64-cell (640 plants/m²)	22 h	30.0 ± 0.0 ⁴
		100-cell (1000 plants/m²)	22 h	31.0 ± 0.0 ⁴
Tetraploid wheat T. durum	JIC-GH-LED	96-cell (560 plants/m²)	22 h	42.0 ± 0.0 ³
		96-cell (560 plants/m²)	16 h	50.0 ± 0.0 ³
Spring barley H. vulgare	UQ-GH-LED	30-cell (300 plants/m²)	22 h	27.3 ± 1.2 ⁵

64-cell (640 plants/m²)	22 h	24.7 ± 0.3 ⁵
100-cell (1000 plants/m²)	22 h	24.0 ± 0.6 ⁵

- 658 ¹ JIC-GH-LED: LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, JIC, UK (described in this paper, Equipment
- 659 Setup Section c).

- ² **UQ-GH-LED**: LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia (described in this paper,
- 661 Equipment Setup Section c).
- ³ Days to 50% ear emergence from sowing (GS55)⁴⁰.
- 663 ⁴ Days to mid-anthesis (GS65) from sowing.
- ⁵ Days to awn-peep (GS49) from sowing.




Figure 1. Accelerated plant growth and development under speed breeding (22 hour photoperiod conditions) (left) compared to standard long-day conditions (16 hour photoperiod) (right) in LED-

supplemented glasshouses at John Innes Centre, UK. a, Winter growth-habit wheat (*T. aestivum* cv. Crusoe) at 112 days after sowing (DAS), including 12 days of growth under 16-hour photoperiod conditions followed by 56 days of vernalisation at 6 °C with 8 hour photoperiod; **b**, Spring wheat (*T. aestivum* cv. Cadenza) at 57 DAS; **c**, Spring barley (*H. vulgare* cv. Manchuria) at 35 DAS; (scalebar is 20 cm for a, b, c) **d**, Grasspea (*L. sativus* cv. Mahateora) at 35 DAS (red arrows indicate position of flowers); **e**, *B. distachyon* (accession Bd21) at 34 DAS; **f**, Pea (*P. sativum* accession JI 2822) at 34 DAS; (scalebar is 20 cm for d, e, f) **g**, Quinoa (*C. quinoa* accession QQ74) at 58 DAS; **h**, *Brassica oleracea* (line DH1012) at 108 DAS; **i**, *Brassica napus* (line RV31) at 87 DAS; **j**, *Brassica rapa* (line R-0-18 87) at 87 DAS; **k**, Diploid Oat (*A. strigosa* accession S75) at 52 DAS (scalebar is 60 cm for g, h, i, j). All plants were sown in October or November 2017, except for the quinoa, which was sown in February 2018.




Figure 2 | Single seed descent sowing densities of wheat (spring and durum) and barley under LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup at JIC, UK and UQ, Australia. Durum wheat (*T. durum* cv. Kronos) grown under the LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, JIC, UK, in 96-cell trays: **a**, Forty-three days after sowing under 16-hour photoperiod; **b**, Forty-three days after sowing under 22-hour photoperiod; **c**, Seventy-nine days under 16-hour photoperiod; **d**, Seventy-nine days under 22-hour photoperiod. Scale bar is 20 cm. Spring wheat (*T. aestivum* cv. Suntop) grown under LED-Supplemented Glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia, at 37 days after sowing: **e**, plants in a 30-cell tray; **f**, plants in a 64-cell tray; **g**, plants in a 100-cell. Barley (*H. vulgare* cv. Commander) grown under LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia, at 34 days after sowing: **h**, plants in a 30-cell tray; **i**, plants in a 64-cell tray; **j**, plants in a 100-cell. Scale bar is 20 cm. Mature spikes of spring wheat (*T*.

aestivum cv. Suntop) grown under LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia: k, plants in a 30-cell tray; l, plants in a 64-cell tray; m, plants in a 100-cell. Mature spikes of barley (H. vulgare cv. Commander) grown under LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia: n, plants in a 30-cell tray; o, plants in a 64-cell tray; p, plants in a 100-cell. Scalebar is 3 cm. Mature seeds of spring wheat (T. aestivum cv. Suntop) grown under LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia: q, plants in a 30-cell tray; r, plants in a 64-cell tray; s, plants in a 100-cell. Mature seeds of barley (H. vulgare cv. Commander) grown under LED-Supplemented glasshouse setup, UQ, Australia: t, plants in a 30-cell tray; u, plants in a 64-cell tray; v, plants in a 100-cell. Scalebar is 1 cm.

709 **7.** References

- 710 1. Watson, A. et al. Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and 711 breeding. Nature Plants, 1 (2018).
- 712 2. Sysoeva, M.I., Markovskaya, E.F. & Shibaeva, T.G. Plants under continuous light: a review.
- 713 Plant Stress 4, 5-17 (2010).
- 714 3. Croser, J.S. et al. Time to flowering of temperate pulses *in vivo* and generation turnover *in*
- 715 vivo–in vitro of narrow-leaf lupin accelerated by low red to far-red ratio and high intensity in
- the far-red region. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC) 127, 591-599 (2016).
- Mobini, S.H., Lulsdorf, M., Warkentin, T.D. & Vandenberg, A. Low red: Far-red light ratio
- 718 causes faster *in vitro* flowering in lentil. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 96, 908-918
- 719 (2016).
- 720 5. Mobini, S.H. & Warkentin, T.D. A simple and efficient method of *in vivo* rapid generation
- technology in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology Plant 52,
- 722 530-536 (2016).
- 723 6. Pazos-Navarro, M., Castello, M., Bennett, R.G., Nichols, P. & Croser, J. *In vitro*-assisted single-
- seed descent for breeding-cycle compression in subterranean clover (*Trifolium*
- subterraneum L.). Crop and Pasture Science 68, 958-966 (2017).
- 726 7. Knott, D. & Kumar, J. Comparison of early generation yield testing and a single seed descent
- 727 procedure in wheat breeding. Crop Science 15, 295-299 (1975).
- 728 8. Wheeler, R. et al. NASA's biomass production chamber: a testbed for bioregenerative life
- support studies. Advances in Space Research 18, 215-224 (1996).
- 730 9. Hickey, L.T. et al. Grain dormancy in fixed lines of white-grained wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)
- grown under controlled environmental conditions. Euphytica 168, 303-310 (2009).
- 732 10. O'Connor, D. et al. Development and application of speed breeding technologies in a
- 733 commercial peanut breeding program. Peanut Science 40, 107-114 (2013).
- 734 11. Alahmad, S. et al. Speed breeding for multiple quantitative traits in durum wheat. Plant
- 735 Methods 14, 36 (2018).
- 736 12. Dinglasan, E., Godwin, I.D., Mortlock, M.Y. & Hickey, L.T. Resistance to yellow spot in wheat
- 737 grown under accelerated growth conditions. Euphytica 209, 693-707 (2016).
- 738 13. Riaz, A., Periyannan, S., Aitken, E. & Hickey, L. A rapid phenotyping method for adult plant
- resistance to leaf rust in wheat. Plant Methods 12 (2016).
- 740 14. Hickey, L.T. et al. Rapid phenotyping for adult-plant resistance to stripe rust in wheat. Plant
- 741 Breeding 131, 54-61 (2012).
- 742 15. Hickey, L.T. et al. Speed breeding for multiple disease resistance in barley. Euphytica 213
- 743 (2017).

- 744 16. Ortiz, R. et al. High yield potential, shuttle breeding, genetic diversity, and a new
- international wheat improvement strategy. Euphytica 157, 365-384 (2007).
- 746 17. Wada, K.C. & Takeno, K. Stress-induced flowering. Plant Signaling & Behavior 5, 944-947
- 747 (2010).
- 748 18. Beredo, J. et al. Use of a rapid generation advance (RGA) system for IRRI's irrigated breeding
- 749 pipeline. (2016).
- 750 19. Bermejo, C., Gatti, I. & Cointry, E. *In vitro* embryo culture to shorten the breeding cycle in
- 751 lentil (Lens culinaris Medik). Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture (PCTOC) 127, 585-590
- 752 (2016).
- 753 20. Mobini, S.H., Lulsdorf, M., Warkentin, T.D. & Vandenberg, A. Plant growth regulators
- improve *in vitro* flowering and rapid generation advancement in lentil and faba bean. In
- 755 Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology Plant 51, 71-79 (2015).
- 756 21. Zheng, Z., Wang, H., Chen, G., Yan, G. & Liu, C. A procedure allowing up to eight generations
- of wheat and nine generations of barley per annum. Euphytica 191, 311-316 (2013).
- 758 22. Yao, Y., Zhang, P., Liu, H., Lu, Z. & Yan, G. A fully *in vitro* protocol towards large scale
- 759 production of recombinant inbred lines in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Plant Cell, Tissue
- 760 and Organ Culture (PCTOC) 128, 655-661 (2017).
- Ochatt, S. et al. New approaches towards the shortening of generation cycles for faster
- breeding of protein legumes. Plant Breeding 121, 436-440 (2002).
- Roumet, P. & Morin, F. Germination of immature soybean seeds to shorten reproductive
- 764 cycle duration. Crop science 37, 521-525 (1997).
- 765 25. Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, G. & Ma, Z. An integrated breeding technology for accelerating
- generation advancement and trait introgression in cotton. Plant breeding 130, 569-573
- 767 (2011).
- 768 26. Velez-Ramirez, A.I. et al. A single locus confers tolerance to continuous light and allows
- substantial yield increase in tomato. Nature communications 5, 4549 (2014).
- 770 27. Gebologlu, N., Bozmaz, S., Aydin, M. & Çakmak, P. The role of growth regulators, embryo
- age and genotypes on immature embryo germination and rapid generation advancement in
- tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). African Journal of Biotechnology 10, 4895-4900
- 773 (2011).
- 774 28. Bhattarai, S.P., de la Pena, R.C., Midmore, D.J. & Palchamy, K. *In vitro* culture of immature
- seed for rapid generation advancement in tomato. Euphytica 167, 23-30 (2009).
- 776 29. Tanaka, J., Hayashi, T. & Iwata, H. A practical, rapid generation-advancement system for rice
- 777 breeding using simplified biotron breeding system. Breeding Science 66, 542-551 (2016).

- 778 30. De La Fuente, G.N., Frei, U.K. & Lubberstedt, T. Accelerating plant breeding. Trends in Plant
- 779 Science 18, 667-672 (2013).
- 780 31. Dwivedi, S.L. et al. Haploids: Constraints and opportunities in plant breeding. Biotechnology
- 781 Advances 33, 812-829 (2015).
- 782 32. Katagiri, F. et al. Design and construction of an inexpensive homemade plant growth
- 783 chamber. PloS One 10, e0126826 (2015).
- 784 33. Tran, T.M. & Braun, D.M. An inexpensive, easy-to-use, and highly customizable growth
- chamber optimized for growing large plants. Current Protocols in Plant Biology, 299-317
- 786 (2017).
- 787 34. Thomas, B. & Vince-Prue, D. Photoperiodism in plants. (Academic Press, 1996).
- 788 35. Jackson, S.D. Plant responses to photoperiod. New Phytologist 181, 517-531 (2009).
- 789 36. Stetter, M.G. et al. Crossing methods and cultivation conditions for rapid production of
- segregating populations in three grain amaranth species. Frontiers in plant science 7, 816
- 791 (2016).
- 792 37. Evans, L. Short day induction of inflorescence initiation in some winter wheat varieties.
- 793 Functional Plant Biology 14, 277-286 (1987).
- 794 38. Davidson, J., Christian, K., Jones, D. & Bremner, P. Responses of wheat to vernalization and
- 795 photoperiod. Crop and Pasture Science 36, 347-359 (1985).
- 796 39. Collard, B.C. et al. Revisiting rice breeding methods—evaluating the use of rapid generation
- 797 advance (RGA) for routine rice breeding. Plant Production Science 20, 337-352 (2017).
- 798 40. Zadoks, J.C., Chang, T.T. & Konzak, C.F. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals.
- 799 Weed Research 14, 415-421 (1974).
- 800 41. Sylvester-Bradley, R. A code for stages of development in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.).
- 801 Asp Appl Biol 6, 399-418 (1984).
- 802 42. Sosa-Zuniga, V., Brito, V., Fuentes, F. & Steinfort, U. Phenological growth stages of quinoa
- (Chenopodium quinoa) based on the BBCH scale. Annals of Applied Biology 171, 117-124
- 804 (2017).
- 805 43. Fehr, W.R., Caviness, C.E., Burmood, D.T. & Pennington, J.S. Stage of development
- descriptions for soybeans, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill. Crop Science 11, 929-931 (1971).
- 807 44. Pretorius, Z.A., Park, R.F. & Wellings, C.R. An accelerated method for evaluating adult-plant
- resistance to leaf and stripe rust in spring wheat. Acta Phytopathologica et Entomologica
- 809 Hungarica 35, 359-364 (2000).
- 810 45. Riaz, A. & Hickey, L.T. in Wheat Rust Diseases: Methods and Protocols, Vol. 1659. (ed. S.
- 811 Periyannan) 183-196 (2017).

8. Acknowledgements

812

813814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

We wish to acknowledge the support of the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) strategic programmes Designing Future Wheat (BB/P016855/1), Molecules from Nature (BB/P012523/1), Understanding and Exploiting Plant and Microbial Metabolism (BB/J004561/1), Food and Health (BB/J004545/1); and Food Innovation and Health (BB/R012512/1), and also support from the Gatsby Charitable Foundation. The benchtop cabinet was supported by an OpenPlant Fund grant from the joint Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council/ BBSRC-funded OpenPlant Synthetic Biology Research Centre grant BB/L014130/1. SG was supported by a Monsanto Beachell-Borlaug International Scholarship and the 2Blades Foundation, AS by the BBSRC Detox Grasspea project (BB/L011719/1) and the John Innes Foundation, AW by an Australian Post-graduate Award and the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) Industry Top-up Scholarship (project code GRS11008), MMS by CONACYT-I2T2 Nuevo León (grant code 266954 / 399852), LTH by an Australian Research Council Early Career Discovery Research Award (project code DE170101296). We acknowledge Matthew Grantham and David Napier from Heliospectra for their help in the choice of LED lights, Luis Hernan and Carolina Ramírez from Newcastle University for their support and advice in the design of the benchtop cabinet, Carol Moreau from the John Innes Centre and Jaya Ghosh from the University of Bedfordshire for help with the pea and grasspea experiments, respectively, and the JIC and UQ Horticulture Services for plant husbandry and their support in scaling up SB in glasshouses.

833

834

835

836

837838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848849

850

851852

853

854

855

856

857

9. Author Information Authors that contributed equally – Sreya Ghosh and Amy Watson Affiliations -Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, Centre for Crop Science, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia - Amy Watson, Lee T. Hickey John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom - Sreya Ghosh, Ricardo H. Ramirez-Gonzalez, James Simmonds, Rachel Wells, Tracey Raynor, Amber Hafeez, Sadiye Hayta, Rachel E. Melton, Andrew Steed, Abhimanyu Sarkar, Jeremy Carter, Lionel Perkins, John Lord, Paul Nicholson, Wendy Harwood, Anne Osbourn, Cathie Martin, Claire Domoney, Cristobal Uauy, Brande B. H. Wulff Earlham Institute, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom - Luis Yanes The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom - Phon Green, Matthew J. Moscou King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering Division, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia - Mark Tester Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, United Kingdom - Oscar E. Gonzalez-Navarro, Brittany Hazard Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom - Marcela Mendoza-Suárez

Contributions – SG and AW drafted the manuscript and oversaw many of the experiments. LTH and BBHW contributed to design of experiments and manuscript writing. AW designed and implemented the SSD protocol for wheat and barley in the LED-supplemented glasshouse at UQ. SG, OEGN, RHRG, LY and MMS designed, constructed, programmed and tested the benchtop growth cabinet. JC performed the energy consumption calculations for the LED-supplemented glasshouse at JIC. For the LED-supplemented glasshouse at JIC, JS performed the experiments for wheat including the SSDs, RW for brassicas, REM for oats, SH for additional wheat cultivars, PG for barley, TR for pea, AH for quinoa, AS (Sarkar) for grasspea and AS (Steed) for *Brachypodium*. JL, LP, CD, MJM, WH, AO, CM, CU, BH, MT, PN, BBHW and LTH contributed intellectually to the experiments and/or the writing of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript prior to submission.

Competing Interests – All the authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Corresponding author – All correspondence should be addressed to Brande Wulff (brande.wulff@jic.ac.uk) or Lee Hickey (l.hickey@uq.edu.au).