Plant Immunity - The MTI-ETI model and beyond

Hanna Alhoraibi^{1Ψ}, Jean Bigeard^{2-3Ψ}, Naganand Rayapuram¹, Jean Colcombet²⁻³
and Heribert Hirt^{1*}

¹Center for Desert Agriculture, Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering
Division, 4700 King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, 23955-
6900, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

²Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay IPS2, CNRS, INRA, Université Paris-Sud,
Université Evry, Université Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 630, 91405 Orsay, France

³Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay IPS2, Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris-Cité,
Bâtiment 630, 91405 Orsay, France

^ΨThese authors contributed equally to this manuscript

***Correspondence:** heribert.hirt@kaust.edu.sa

Keywords: Microbe-associated molecular patterns/Effectors/MAMP-triggered
Immunity/Effector-triggered Immunity/Signaling

Abstract

In plant-microbe interactions, a pathogenic microbe initially has to overcome preformed and subsequently induced plant defenses. One of the initial host-induced defense responses is microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP)-triggered immunity (MTI). Successful pathogens attenuate MTI by delivering various effectors that result in effector-triggered susceptibility and disease. However, some host plants developed mechanisms to detect effectors and can trigger effector-triggered immunity (ETI), thereby abrogating pathogen infection and propagation. Despite the wide acceptance of the above concepts, more and more accumulating evidence suggests that the distinction between MAMPs and effectors and MTI and ETI is often not given. This review discusses the complexity of MTI and ETI signaling networks and elaborates the current state of the art of defining MAMPs versus effectors and MTI versus ETI, but also discusses new findings that challenge the current dichotomy of these concepts.

Introduction

Plants are constantly exposed to a wide variety of adverse environmental conditions that can be broadly classified as biotic (bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, etc.) or abiotic stresses (drought, extreme temperature, chemicals, salinity, etc.). Attacks by pathogenic organisms constitute one of the most challenging situations during the life of a plant. Unlike animals, plants do not possess specialized mobile immune cells, but have nonetheless developed a rapid and effective immune system to survive and resist various pathogens. In addition, plants make use of preformed physical barriers, namely the

cuticle and the cell wall, and constitutively produce antimicrobial compounds. The cuticle is a hydrophobic layer present on the external surface of the aerial epidermis of all land plants and is mainly composed of cutin and waxes (Yeats and Rose, 2013). Not only does it play a role in defense but it also acts as a barrier to transpirational water loss and as a protection against UV radiation. Although the cuticle is a good barrier against a number of pathogens, many fungal pathogens can penetrate the cuticle by mechanical rupture and secretion of cutinases that hydrolyze the cutin polyester (Longhi and Cambillau, 1999; Mendgen et al., 1996). In addition to the cuticle, the plant cell wall, which mainly consists of high molecular weight polysaccharides such as cellulose, hemicelluloses and pectin, glycosylated proteins and in certain cases lignin (Somerville et al., 2004), also protects plants against biotic aggressors. While fungal pathogens are equipped with cuticle and cell wall degrading enzymes to penetrate the epidermis, bacterial pathogens on the other hand do not typically enter plant tissues by directly penetrating the cuticle and cell wall. As a result they evolved strategies to enter the plant through a number of natural surface openings, such as stomata and through surface wounds caused by various environmental factors (Melotto et al., 2008).

Many plants produce two types of antimicrobial compounds, (i) preformed compounds also termed phytoanticipins that become toxic upon pathogen perception and (ii) induced compounds, such as camalexin produced following a pathogen attack (Arbona and Gomez-Cadenas, 2015; Osbourn, 1996). The induced compounds also include various proteins and small metabolites, such as phenolics, unsaturated lactones, saponins, cyanogenic glycosides and glucosinolates, that inhibit pathogen growth (Osbourn, 1996).

The two strategies, a preformed defense system and an inducible defense system, allow plants to withstand against a majority of plant pathogens, a phenomenon that is called non-host resistance. The inducible plant defense system has two layers, called microbe-associated molecular pattern (MAMP)-triggered immunity (MTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI). This review first discusses the signaling mechanisms occurring during MTI and ETI, and then discusses the current MTI-ETI dichotomy.

1. Signaling in MTI

The complex network of signaling events that occur during MTI has been exhaustively reviewed recently, with a specific emphasis on mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) (Bigeard et al., 2015). In the present review, we thus only briefly recapitulate the signaling in MTI.

1.1 MAMPs and PRRs

In MTI, the defense system is triggered by the detection and recognition of MAMPs, which are synthesized by pathogens and non-pathogens. Plants are also able to detect damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) which are plant degradation products resulting from the action of invading pathogens, or endogenous peptides, constitutively present or newly synthesized, that are released by plants following a pathogen attack (Boller and Felix, 2009). Recognition of DAMPs also triggers responses similar to MTI responses. The most well characterized MAMP is flg22, a 22-amino-acid long epitope in

the N-terminus of bacterial flagellin that is evolutionarily conserved and induces different defense responses (Zipfel, 2009; Zipfel et al., 2004). Other well-known examples of MAMPs that activate similar cellular responses are elf18 or elf26 (a conserved N-terminal portion of the bacterial elongation factor Tu), peptidoglycans (a component of bacterial cell walls), and chitin (a component of fungal cell walls) (Boller and Felix, 2009; Chisholm et al., 2006; Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2002; Zipfel et al., 2006). MAMPs are recognized by Pattern-Recognition Receptors (PRRs) which are usually plasma membrane receptor-like kinases (RLKs) or receptor-like proteins (RLPs) with extracellular domains (Bohm et al., 2014a; Macho and Zipfel, 2014; Schwessinger and Ronald, 2012; Segonzac and Zipfel, 2011). Some of the best-known examples of PRRs are FLS2 (flagellin-sensitive 2), a leucine-rich receptor kinase, which recognizes flg22 (Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2000) EF-Tu receptor (EFR) that perceives EF-Tu with the help of its minimal 18 amino acid epitope elf18 (Zipfel et al., 2006) and PEPR1, the receptor of the DAMP AtPep1 (Yamaguchi et al., 2006).

For MAMP perception and signal transduction, a number of PRRs have to associate with co-receptor RLKs (Monaghan and Zipfel, 2012), as shown for BAK1 (BRI1 associated receptor kinase 1), which can associate with a number of PRRs including FLS2, (Roux et al., 2011; Segonzac and Zipfel, 2011). FLS2 also associates with the RLK BIK1 (Botrytis-induced kinase 1) and related PBL (PBS1-like) proteins, which are rapidly released from FLS2 upon flg22 binding (Liu et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). MAMP perception induces very rapid auto- and trans-phosphorylation reactions of these interacting proteins (Lu et al., 2010; Schulze et al., 2010), followed by a complex sequence of choreographed events (Figure 1).

1.2 Early events in MTI signaling

Among the earliest responses to MAMP/DAMP perception is an influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} ions into the cytosol (Jeworutzki et al., 2010; Nomura et al., 2012; Ranf et al., 2011), inducing the opening of other membrane channels (influx of H^+ , efflux of K^+ , Cl^- and nitrate) which lead to an extracellular alkalinization and a depolarization of the plasma membrane (Jeworutzki et al., 2010). In addition to Ca^{2+} ion fluxes, a very early event following MAMP/DAMP recognition is the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Chinchilla et al., 2007); (Nuhse et al., 2000); (Ranf et al., 2011) mainly by the plasma membrane-localized NADPH oxidase RBOHD (respiratory burst oxidase homolog D) (Nuhse et al., 2007; Ranf et al., 2011). Upon MAMP perception, RBOHD is phosphorylated by Ca^{2+} -induced CDPKs (calcium-dependent protein kinases) and BIK1 on different residues, which are all required for activation of the NADPH oxidase (Boudsocq et al., 2010; Dubiella et al., 2013; Kadota et al., 2014). Ca^{2+} itself also regulates RBOHD through direct binding to the N-terminal EF-hand motifs of the protein (Ogasawara et al., 2008). RBOHD produces membrane-impermeable superoxide ($\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$) in the apoplast, which is converted into hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) by superoxide dismutases. In contrast to other ROS, H_2O_2 is relatively stable and membrane-permeable and can enter the cytosol and different organelles of plant cells. However, NADPH oxidases are not the only source for ROS, but multiple ROS sources seem to be involved in a complex temporal and spatial coordination (Baxter et al., 2014; Gross et al., 2013).

Other than Ca^{2+} and ROS, reactive nitrogen species (RNS), such as nitric oxide, were shown to be involved at different steps of MAMP/DAMP signaling, e.g. via inhibition of RBOHD or regulation of NPR1 (non-expressor of PR genes 1), a master regulator of defense gene expression, which both become nitrosylated on cysteine residues (Tada et al., 2008; Yun et al., 2011). Some lipid derivatives, such as phosphatidic acid (PA) and ceramides, were also proposed to function as signaling molecules upon pathogen infection (Okazaki and Saito, 2014). MAMP/DAMP-induced NO production is partly also required for PA generation via both the phospholipase D (PLD) and phospholipase C/diacylglycerol kinase (PLC/DGK) pathways (Raho et al., 2011). PA can interact and modulate the activity of CDPKs (Farmer and Choi, 1999; Szczegielniak et al., 2005) MAPKs (Testerink et al., 2007), RBOHD/F (Zhang et al., 2009) and can regulate production of jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) (Nakano et al., 2013; Testerink et al., 2008; Testerink et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2000).

1.3 Activation of protein kinases

Besides the very rapid auto- and trans-phosphorylation reactions at the level of the receptor complexes, other protein kinases get activated in a matter of minutes and most of these belong to the CDPK and MAPK protein kinases and are key elements in regulating defense at the level of the transcriptional and metabolic responses (Boudsocq et al., 2010; Frei dit Frey et al., 2014; Lassowskat et al., 2014)..

Among the CDPKs, CPK4, 5, 6 and 11 are rapidly activated upon flg22 signaling (Boudsocq et al., 2010) and were shown to regulate ROS production via phosphorylation of NADPH oxidase RBOHD, transcriptional reprogramming and resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv tomato DC3000 (*Pst* DC3000) (Boudsocq et al., 2010; Romeis and Herde, 2014). CDPK substrates include the important regulators RBOHD and ACS2 (Boudsocq and Sheen, 2013; Dubiella et al., 2013; Kamiyoshihara et al., 2010; Schulz et al., 2013). Another important CDPK seems to be CPK28, as loss of function *cpk28* mutant accumulates high levels of the plasma membrane associated cytoplasmic kinase BIK1 and exhibits strong MAMP-triggered responses (Monaghan et al., 2014). CPK28 is genetically upstream of the MAMP-triggered Ca^{2+} burst and negatively regulates BIK1 by phosphorylation that marks it for ubiquitination and subsequent degradation (Monaghan et al., 2015).

MAMP/DAMP perception activates a number of MAPKs, including the following members of the gene family of 20 MAPKs MPK1, 3, 4, 6, 11 and 13 (Bethke et al., 2012; Nitta et al., 2014; Nuhse et al., 2000; Zipfel et al., 2006). MAPK kinase kinases (MAPKKKs), MAPK kinases (MAPKKs) and MAPKs constitute functional signaling modules. Two signaling modules have been defined to date upon MAMP perception, namely MKK4/MKK5-MPK3/MPK6 (Asai et al., 2002; Ren et al., 2002) and MEKK1-MKK1/MKK2-MPK4 (Berriri et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2008; Hadiarto et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2000; Ichimura et al., 2006; Ichimura et al., 1998; Matsuoka et al., 2002; Mizoguchi et al., 1998; Nakagami et al., 2006; Petersen et al., 2000; Qiu et al., 2008; Suarez-Rodriguez et al., 2007; Teige et al., 2004). In the current model, both modules positively regulate defense responses (Berriri et al., 2012; Kong et al., 2012; Pitzschke et al., 2009; Rasmussen et al., 2012; Su et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2014).

However, the molecular link between the PRRs and these MAPK pathways remains to be elucidated. Regarding MPK1, MPK11 and MPK13, their upstream MAPKKs and MAPKKKs have not been identified yet. Besides, *mpk1*, *mpk11* and *mpk13* mutants do not show altered resistance to a bacterial pathogen suggesting a functional redundancy among the MAPKs and does not preclude yet the identification of their roles in plant immunity (Nitta et al., 2014). MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6 phosphorylate specific and redundant substrates to control many cellular responses. For example, the ET-related ERF104 is specifically targeted by MPK6 (Bethke et al., 2009), while ACS2 and ACS6 are phosphorylated by both MPK3 and MPK6 (Han et al., 2010; Liu and Zhang, 2004). The number of identified substrates is constantly growing, highlighting the importance of MAPKs during MTI. Additionally, MAPKs also play important roles in abiotic stresses and development (Colcombet and Hirt, 2008; Rodriguez et al., 2010).

1.4 Role of hormones in MTI signaling

In response to infection by biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens, salicylic acid (SA) plays a pivotal role in plant defense by regulating its downstream components. Elevated levels of SA cause nuclear accumulation of NPR1 (SA receptor), which is subsequently degraded to mediate systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Vlot et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2012). SA is also associated with the accumulation of antimicrobial pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins (Moore et al., 2011). MPK3 and to a lesser extent MPK6 have been proposed to play an important role in SA-mediated priming and enhancing defense gene activation and resistance (Beckers et al., 2009). On the other hand, the MPK4 cascade negatively regulates SA signaling and mutants of this cascade exhibit SA accumulation, constitutive pathogenesis-related gene expression and SAR (Petersen et al., 2000). In the case of necrotrophic pathogen infections, JA and ET are induced. The two tobacco orthologs of MAPKs, WIPK and SIPK, regulate the levels of JA in wounded tobacco plants (Seo et al., 2007). Both MAPKs are required but not sufficient to induce JA production (Kim et al., 2003). MKK3 and MPK6 negatively regulate *AtMYC2* in both JA-dependent gene expression and inhibition of root growth, which indicates a possible role for the MKK3–MPK6 cascade in JA signal transduction (Takahashi et al., 2007). Moreover, it has been found that *mpk4* mutant plants are defective in inducing JA and ET defense marker genes such as *PDF1.2* in response to JA. MPK4 positively regulates JA/ET-inducible gene responses through the defense regulators EDS1 and PAD4 independently of its negative regulation of SA biosynthesis (Kong et al., 2012; Petersen et al., 2000). Thus, MPK4 is proposed to be required for the balance between SA and JA/ET related defense (Brodersen et al., 2006).

1.5 Reprogramming of gene expression

MAMPs/DAMPs trigger a massive and dynamic reprogramming of plant genome expression. Several thousand genes are affected by flg22 perception (Denoux et al., 2008). Transcriptional reprogramming of defense hormone signaling as well as the synthesis of antimicrobial compounds becomes apparent after 1 hour (Tsuda et al., 2009). Later, genes mainly involved in SA-mediated secretory processes and senescence are prominently affected (Denoux et al., 2008). The chloroplast resident calcium-sensing receptor (CAS) acts upstream of SA accumulation and is involved in MAMP-induced

expression of defense genes while also suppressing chloroplast gene expression thus allowing chloroplast mediated transcriptional reprogramming in cytoplasmic-nuclear plant immune responses (Nomura et al., 2012). Numerous transcription factors are thus involved in plant immunity (Alves et al., 2013; Ambawat et al., 2013; An and Mou, 2013; Eulgem and Somssich, 2007; Gatz, 2013; Gutterson and Reuber, 2004; Nuruzzaman et al., 2013; Pandey and Somssich, 2009; Puranik et al., 2012). In addition, it is becoming more and more clear that chromatin remodelers and modifiers also contribute strongly to transcriptional regulation of defense (Berr et al., 2012; Downen et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2013).

2. Signaling in ETI

2.1 Effectors and R proteins

Effectors are molecules produced by plant pathogens and function as virulence factors to mediate infection of specific plant species or varieties. These molecules can be proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates or metabolites. Effectors can have different effects such as inhibiting MTI or ETI and can be secreted either into the extracellular matrix or directly delivered into the plant cell (Hogenhout et al., 2009). Many effectors are proteins that are injected into plant host cells through bacterial type III secretion systems (T3SS) (Feng and Zhou, 2012; Hann et al., 2010; Lohou et al., 2013). The genomes of plant pathogens may contain a considerable number of effectors, as evidenced from analysis of the model bacterial pathogen *P. syringae* that contains between 30 – 50 genes coding for effector proteins (Buell et al., 2003). A significant number of effectors target components of PRR immune complexes or the downstream signaling cascades (Feng and Zhou, 2012; Mukhtar et al., 2011). For instance, the *P. syringae* effector HopA11, a phosphothreonine lyase, directly targets and inactivates MPK3, MPK4 and MPK6 by dephosphorylating these kinases (Zhang et al., 2007). The HopF2 effector inactivates MKK5 and probably other MKKs to inhibit MAPK signaling to suppress downstream defense responses (Wang et al., 2010). Another effector, HopAO1, a protein tyrosine phosphatase targets the phosphorylation on a specific tyrosine residue on the PRR EFR (Y836) (and also probably FLS2) to inhibit ligand-induced activation of the PRR and suppresses the subsequent immune response (Espinosa et al., 2003) (Macho et al., 2014). The effector AvrB was also reported to regulate hormone signaling by inducing MPK4 phosphorylation thus enhancing plant susceptibility (Cui et al., 2010). An alternative way to suppress immunity is by targeting components upstream of MAPKs by pathogen effectors such as the MAMP receptors FLS2, EFR, and CERK1 by AvrPto and AvrPtoB, by inhibiting BAK1 kinase activity via interaction with AvrPtoB, or by cleaving and uridylylating BIK1 by AvrPphB and AvrAC to inhibit MTI signaling (Meng and Zhang, 2013). The *Agrobacterium* T-DNA associated virulence protein VirE2 together with the host cell transcription factor VIP1 binds to the nuclear import machinery to transfer the T-DNA to the nucleus. For this process to occur, VIP1 needs to be phosphorylated by MPK3 to translocate from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. The bacterial VirF effector contains an F-box motif and targets VirE2 and VIP1 for proteosomal degradation (Djamei et al., 2007; Tzfira et al., 2004). Plant pathogens not only produce protein effectors, but also small molecules, such as the polyketide coronatine, which structurally and functionally mimics the active plant hormone conjugate JA-isoleucine (JA-Ile).

Coronatine is secreted by several pathovars of *P. syringae* and contributes to virulence by antagonizing SA-mediated host responses (Weiler et al., 1994; Xin and He, 2013). However, yet another strategy is the production of small RNAs to hijack the plant RNA interference (RNAi) machinery, as recently shown for *Botrytis cinerea* via the host protein AGO1, which in turn silences host immunity genes (Weiberg et al., 2013).

Plant R proteins are intracellular receptors that detect the presence of pathogen effectors in the host cell. Most of them are nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR or NLR) proteins (Jacob et al., 2013; Maekawa et al., 2011; Qi and Innes, 2013). Briefly, NLR proteins are divided into two groups depending on their N-terminal structures: CNL (CC-NB-LRR) with an N-terminal coiled-coil domain and TNL (TIR-NB-LRR) with an N-terminal Toll/interleukin-1 receptor domain (TIR). Some NLRs contain domains termed integrated decoys that recognize effectors from pathogens. These were found in multiple plant families indicating their functional significance and conservation. Across plant lineages, domains already known to be implicated in pathogen defense such as in the case of RIN4, NPR1 and Zinc Finger BED type protein (ZBED) have been integrated into NLR proteins (Kroj et al., 2016; Sarris et al., 2016). They can have different sub-cellular localizations (plasma membrane-associated, cytosolic, nuclear, etc.) and intracellular shuttling is important for some NLR proteins to fulfill their functions (Dowen et al., 2009; Garcia et al., 2010; Wirthmueller et al., 2007). An accumulation of plant NLRs leads to autoimmunity and so NLR homeostasis is tightly regulated at multiple levels (Huang et al., 2016; Kadota et al., 2010; Shirasu, 2009; Takken and Govers, 2012). R proteins detect pathogen effectors in three possible ways, either through direct physical interaction (Dodds and Rathjen, 2010) or by sensing effector-induced modification of other plant proteins termed as the guard/decoy model (Dangl and Jones, 2001; van der Hoorn and Kamoun, 2008) or via a third method termed the integrated decoy model where in the R proteins have incorporated a decoy domain into their structure (Cesari et al., 2014). They are thought to be auto-inhibited and activated upon ligand binding. LRR domains mostly seem to be responsible for effector recognition, while the TIR or CC domains function in signal transduction (Qi and Innes, 2013) (Figure1).

2.2 Signaling mechanisms by some NLRs

The mechanism of effector recognition is now known for a number of NB-LRR-effector pairs. The *P. syringae* type III effector AvrRps4 is recognized by the TIR-NB-LRR receptor RPS4 (Gassmann et al., 1999). RPS4 distributes between endomembranes and nuclei both in healthy and AvrRps4-triggered tissues (Wirthmueller et al., 2007). Like all TIR-type NB-LRRs, RPS4 requires interaction with the basal defense regulator enhanced disease susceptibility 1 (EDS1), a lipase-like protein, to activate ETI, and a coordinated nucleo-cytoplasmic partitioning of EDS1-RPS4 complex is necessary to trigger the full set of immune responses (Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Heidrich et al., 2011). In fact, forced nuclear localization of the AvrRps4 effector is sufficient to induce RPS4-mediated bacterial growth inhibition but hinders RPS4-mediated HR, while forced cytoplasmic localization of AvrRps4 decreases RPS4-mediated bacterial growth inhibition but only moderately reduces RPS4-mediated HR (Heidrich et al., 2011). These results suggest that a single NLR may activate distinct signaling pathways in the cytoplasm and nucleus and

that cell death and the restriction of pathogen growth are two separate phenotypes. Recognition of the bacterial effector proteins AvrB and AvrRpm1 occurs via RPM1, a CC-NB-LRR (Grant et al., 1995). RPM1 is plasma membrane-localized in both the inactive and active forms (Boyes et al., 1998; Gao et al., 2011) and in this case nuclear re-localization is not required for RPM1-mediated defense responses or the induction of HR (Gao et al., 2011), suggesting that ETI signaling can function by different mechanisms.

RPS2 and RPM1 are two plasma membrane-associated CC-NB-LRRs. In their case, the signaling mechanisms are well documented. Using inhibitors, RPS2- and RPM1-mediated signaling was shown to depend on the sequential production of PA by PLC/DGK and the influx of extracellular Ca^{2+} followed by production of ROS and PA via PLD (Andersson et al., 2006). The influx of extracellular and release of internal Ca^{2+} then results in a complex system of CDPK activations (Gao et al., 2013). The immune response is orchestrated by defense gene expression via phosphorylation of the WRKY8/28/48 transcription factors by CPK4/5/6/11, the induction of ROS production through phosphorylation of the NADPH oxidases RBOHD and F by CPK1/2/4/11. ETI mediated by RPS2 and RPM1 was also shown to be reduced in a calcium-sensing receptor (CAS) mutant, as revealed by reduced ROS and NO production and a delayed and suppressed HR cell death and demonstrating the role of chloroplast signaling in these NLR-triggered responses (Nomura et al., 2012). The contribution of the SA, JA and ET hormone pathways in ETI was estimated by measuring the relative growth of *Pst* DC3000 strains expressing either of the effectors AvrRpt2, AvrRpm1 or AvrPphB, which are recognized by the CC-NB-LRRs RPS2, RPM1 and RPS5, respectively (Tsuda et al., 2009). While the absence of individual phytohormone signaling pathways had no dramatic effect on the ETI response, the defense responses decreased by up to 80% in the combined absence of the SA, JA and ET signaling pathways. These results demonstrate the overlapping contributions of SA, JA and ET signaling pathways in NLR-mediated immune responses but also the variability in phytohormone-dependency of different NLRs to trigger defenses. (Tao et al., 2003).

Besides the signaling mechanisms described above, other signaling routes are observed in the case of several TIR-NB-LRRs. Indeed, some TIR-NB-LRR-interacting proteins such as EDS1, suppressor of rps4-RLD1 (SRFR1) and Topless-related 1 (TPR1) probably represent signaling complexes that act as transcriptional regulators (Bhattacharjee et al., 2013; Bhattacharjee et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2014b; Zhu et al., 2010). Interestingly, chromatin regulation also seems to contribute to transcription regulation in ETI as seen for example by the histone deacetylase 19 (HDA19) that forms a complex with TPR1 (Ma et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2010). Some CC-NB-LRRs also interact with transcription factors, such as the activated barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) MLA10 which induces MYB6-dependent gene regulation and the rice Pb1 which interacts with WRKY45 to prevent its ubiquitin-proteasome degradation (Bhattacharjee et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2013; Inoue et al., 2013). It thus seems that direct R gene-mediated transcriptional regulation might in some cases also be at the heart of ETI.

2.3 Signaling mechanisms by RPW8.2

Resistance to powdery mildew (*Golovinomyces orontii*) requires the atypical R gene RPW8.2 (resistance to powdery mildew 8.2). Although RPW8.2 shows no similarity to other NLRs, RPW8.2 also requires EDS1 to induce HR and as well as SA, PAD4, EDS5 and NPR1 (Xiao et al., 2005). Upon infection by *Golovinomyces orontii*, the transcription of *RPW8.2* is strongly induced and RPW8.2 protein is carried on VAMP721/722 vesicles to the extrahaustorial membrane (EHM) independently of SA signaling (Kim et al., 2014a; Wang et al., 2009). RPW8.2 activates an EDS1 and SA signaling-dependent defense process that concomitantly enhances callose deposition and accumulation of H₂O₂ at the haustorial interface (Wang et al., 2009). In addition, RPW8.2 interacts with the 14-3-3 isoform lambda protein which may positively regulate RPW8.2 (Yang et al., 2009). 14-3-3 proteins were also shown to be involved in ETI in other systems (Oh and Martin, 2011; Oh et al., 2010; Teper et al., 2014).

3. Observations going beyond the MTI-ETI model

The strict separation of MTI and ETI results in the assumption that MAMPs are very conserved molecules that are widely detected while effectors are variable and only sensed by specific hosts. However, accumulating evidences suggest that the story of MAMPs and effectors is more complicated. The disappearing boundaries differentiating MTI-ETI and the concept of invasion model of plant immune system were put forth by Thomma and co-workers (Cook et al., 2015; Thomma et al., 2011).

3.1 Effectors and R proteins with broader scopes

Recently, it has become apparent that many effector proteins have a more widespread occurrence, which would equally qualify them as MAMPs. A good example is the necrosis and ET-inducing peptide 1 (Nep1) that was originally identified from *Fusarium oxysporum* (Bailey, 1995). Moreover, various Nep1-like proteins (NLPs) are encoded by bacteria, fungi and oomycetes and positively contribute to virulence of these pathogens (Gijzen and Nurnberger, 2006; Ottmann et al., 2009). Interestingly, a conserved amino acid motif was recently identified in NLPs that serves as a potent MAMP (Bohm et al., 2014b; Oome et al., 2014), thereby NLPs fulfill all the criteria for being effectors and MAMPs. Another example is BcSpl1, an effector protein required for full virulence of the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis cinerea* (Frias et al., 2014; Frias et al., 2011). Two conserved peptide stretches of BcSpl1 can induce host defense and cell death. Since the two conserved regions are present in all BcSpl1 family members and belong to a highly conserved protein effector family in fungi, BcSpl1 can be classified as an effector and also as a MAMP (Frias et al., 2014). The *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* protein HrpZ1 has the ability to form ion-conducting pores and these pores have been proposed to facilitate delivery of effectors into the plant, thus functioning as a virulence factor that affects host membrane integrity. HrpZ1, especially the C-terminal fragment, is a MAMP that triggers MTI-like responses in a variety of plants, thus exhibiting a dual role in plant immunity during infection (Engelhardt et al., 2009). Yet another example of a fungal effector that also behaves as a MAMP is the well characterized LysM effector Ecp6 (extra cellular protein 6). Ecp6 interferes with chitin-triggered activation of host immune responses by sequestering chitin fragments thereby qualifying as an effector. Interestingly, Ecp6 also competes with the plant LysM domain-containing chitin receptor

CEBiP for binding chitin fragments. Ecp6 is found in all strains of *Cladosporium fulvum* with very little sequence variation. The widespread occurrence and functional conservation of LysM effectors is reminiscent of MAMPs and qualifies them to be designated as MAMPs (de Jonge and Thomma, 2009; de Jonge et al., 2010; Thomma et al., 2011).

Along the same line, R genes have been mostly thought of being receptors with specificity to a particular pathosystem. However, the NLR Rxo1 of maize not only confers resistance to *Burkholderia andropogonis*, the causal agent of maize stripe disease, but also to the unrelated bacterial rice pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzicola*, which triggers ETI upon recognition of the type III effector protein AvrRxo (Zhao et al., 2004). Similarly, the physically linked NLR pair RRS1 and RPS4 confers resistance to Brassicaceae to the fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum higginsianum*, the broad-host range bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*, and the bacterial pathogen *P. syringae* (Narusaka et al., 2009). In another example, the NLR immune receptor of tomato Mi-1.2 confers resistance to phloem-feeding insects as well as root-knot nematodes (Rossi et al., 1998; Vos et al., 1998). In a screen of 171 predicted bacterial effectors from *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia*, and *Xanthomonas* expressed in 59 plants from four plant families, it was found that each plant responded to an average of 19 effectors. Interestingly, the necrotic response to an effector was generally not taxonomically defined (Wroblewski et al., 2009). Taken together, these examples demonstrate that resistance conferred by NLR immune receptors is not necessarily restricted to a single pathosystem. Although some NLRs may directly perceive effectors, broadly detected effectors are likely perceived indirectly because they induce DAMPs or modify host targets that are guarded by R proteins (the guard model) (Van der Biezen and Jones, 1998). Nevertheless, broad detection of effectors by NLRs is conceptually similar to MAMP recognition by PRRs.

3.2 MAMPs and PRRs with reduced scopes

Conversely for MAMPs and PRRs, purified flagella and flg22 can induce immune responses in many different plant species, but with different efficiencies (Felix et al., 1999). The naturally occurring variation in the flagellin amino acid sequences of the bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (Xcc) correlates with its pathovar-dependent potential of defense response induction (Sun et al., 2006). Similarly, *R. solanacearum* strain K60 and *Pseudomonas cannabina* pv. *alisalensis* (Pcal) strain ES4326 show convincing correlations between their respective flg22 epitope sequence variations and the induced immune responses (Clarke et al., 2013; Pfund et al., 2004). Additional evidence comes from the analysis of the evolution of the flagellin sequences in natural populations of *P. syringae* pathovars. Here, the variation of the flg22 epitope sequences clearly indicated the evolution of the pathogenic potential to escape MAMP detection. Moreover, a second, 28–amino acid immunogenic region of flagellin, termed flgII-28, induced defense responses in tomato, and both the flg22 and flgII-28 peptides contribute to the ROS burst (Cai et al., 2011). Interestingly, the flgII-28 epitope induced immune responses in various solanaceous species but not in a variety of plants from five other families, suggesting that the perception system for the flgII-28 epitope is a rather recent specific achievement of solanaceae (Clarke et al., 2013). The recent

characterization of the orthologous grape flagellin receptor VvFLS2 indicates that the flagellin encoded by a grape-adapted, plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (PGPR), *Burkholderia phytofirmans*, elicits a weaker immune response on grape compared with flg22, which is specifically conditioned by the VvFLS2 receptor (Trda et al., 2014).

Correlatively, variation for flagellin perception is also conditioned by variation in the plant receptor FLS2 (Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2000). The *Arabidopsis thaliana* accession Ws-0 does not respond to flg22 nor does it contain a functional FLS2 allele as it carries a point mutation that results in a stop codon in the kinase domain of FLS2 (Bauer et al., 2001; Zipfel et al., 2004), and genotypes in closely related *Arabidopsis lyrata*, *Cardamine hirsuta*, and additional Brassicaceae species do not bind the flg22 epitope (Vetter et al., 2012). The tomato and *Nicotiana benthamiana* orthologs of AtFLS2 display species-specific, receptor-dependent variation for flagellin perception (Robatzek et al., 2007).

3.3 Protease activated immune signaling

The bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain P14 secretes a PvdS-regulated lysyl class serine protease (protease IV) that elicits a strong immune response comparable to the response elicited by flg22 in terms of the activation of MPK3 and MPK6 but not MPK4, oxidative burst, expression of defense related genes and protects *Arabidopsis* plants from *Pst* DC3000 infection. The activation of MAPKs in response to protease IV requires the G α , G β and G γ subunits of the heterotrimeric G-protein complexes. The receptor activated C kinase 1 (RACK1) acts as a scaffold and connects G-protein signaling to the downstream MAPK cascade. This module, involving the protease-G-protein-RACK1-MAPK cascades, forms a novel protease mediated immune signaling pathway distinct from the ones previously described (Cheng et al., 2015).

3.4 Signaling similarities in MTI and ETI

While MTI employs a core set of signaling events, ETI does not seem to be mediated by such a core set of signaling components. Rather, and dependent on the activated R protein, different subsets of signaling elements are solicited which nonetheless finally result in an efficient immune response. In addition to sharing a number of similar events between MTI and ETI, such as the production of ROS via the NADPH oxidase RBOHD, a calcium burst, the synthesis of PA and NO, MTI and ETI also employ common signaling pathways, as exemplified by the MAPK and CDPK cascades.

Before R protein-mediated signaling can occur in ETI, first the R proteins need to detect the presence of the effector. Several reports indicate that effectors injected by *P. syringae* are detected in plant cells (*Arabidopsis*, tobacco, tomato) 2 to 3 h post-inoculation (Mudgett and Staskawicz, 1999; Schechter et al., 2004). These results are consistent with another pathosystem, *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *vesicatoria*/pepper plants (Casper-Lindley et al., 2002). These results as well as the comparison of ROS burst in *Arabidopsis* leaves with live *Pseudomonas* and flg22 elicitation (Smith and Heese, 2014) indicate that ETI may be initiated rapidly during the infection process and that MTI and ETI probably occur very close in time.

However, in contrast to the transient MAPK activation in MTI, induction of the expression of effectors by estradiol-inducible promoters resulted in the activation of MPK3 and MPK6 for several hours (Tsuda et al., 2013). Interestingly, Qi et al. showed that FLS2 can form a complex with the R proteins RPS2, RPM1 and RPS5 which are all plasma membrane-localized CC-NB-LRRs (Qi et al., 2011). The biological significance of this MTI-ETI receptor R protein complex is however currently not known but the existence of such a complex due to the possibility of several shared components between MTI and ETI is not far fetched.

Signaling by the three phytohormones SA, JA and ET has shown to be activated in some cases of both MTI as well as ETI (Tsuda and Katagiri, 2010; Tsuda et al., 2009). A positive role for the three hormones in flg22-triggered immunity (MTI) and AvrRpt2-triggered immunity (ETI) was demonstrated using an *Arabidopsis* dde2/ein2/pad4/sid2 quadruple mutant, which is a loss of function mutant of essential components involved in JA, ET and SA signaling. This again reinforces the fact that MTI and ETI share common signaling networks but use them in specific circumstances.

The transcriptional reprogramming integrates a large part of the upstream signaling inputs mediated mainly by the protein kinases and allows the implementation of induced defense mechanisms. It is dynamically regulated and it involves numerous transcription factors and chromatin regulators (Moore et al., 2011). Importantly, the differentially expressed genes during MTI and ETI are identical, but differ in quantity and kinetics (Tao et al., 2003). The stronger response in ETI suggests that ETI employs some of the same signaling components as MTI but results in higher expression of its target genes. Considering the hypothesis of MTI having evolved before ETI, these observations imply that ETI acquired R proteins during the course of evolution while adopting several signaling components of the MTI pathway (Tsuda and Katagiri, 2010).

Conclusions

Plant defense against pathogens is based on both preformed and induced defenses. Preformed defenses hinder pathogen entry and are among the main contributors to non-host resistance. Induced defenses are activated after perception of invading pathogens via two classically separate routes, MTI and ETI. Pathogen perception that leads to MTI is mediated by recognition of MAMPs by PRRs, whereby ETI recognizes effectors by intracellular R proteins. Although the MTI-ETI model constitutes an important and useful concept, the separation between MAMPs and effectors, and between PRRs and R proteins is not always so clear, and thus the dichotomy of MTI-ETI cannot be maintained but is rather a continuum between MTI and ETI.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to this work. HA, JB, NR and HH wrote the article and JB, JC and HH revised the work.

Funding

The work by NR, HA and HH is supported from funds which are made available to HH by KAUST. The IPS2 benefits from the support of the LabEx Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-10-LABX-0040-SPS).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Carlos Xavier Pita from KAUST for help with scientific illustrations. We would like to apologize for not citing several research articles because of space limitations.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Inducible defense systems in plants. PRRs perceive the MAMPs and recruit BAK1 and BIK1 to induce MTI involving notably MAPK modules. Plants also detect DAMPs that are degradation products and trigger responses similar to the MTI responses. This is accompanied by a ROS burst via the NADPH oxidase RBOHD which in turn is phosphorylated by Ca^{2+} -induced CDPKs. RNS, such as NO, are required for generation of PA via both PLD and PLC/DGK pathways. PA can interact and modulate the activity of CDPKs, MAPKs and RBOHD/F (Zhang et al., 2009) and can regulate production of JA and ET. Bacterial and fungal pathogens may deliver effectors via the T3SS and haustoria, respectively, that block MTI. Plants evolved CNLs or TNLs to nullify the effect of the effectors leading to a stronger immune response termed ETI that involves transcriptional reprogramming, programmed cell death and increased levels of the hormones SA, JA and ET. The effector HopAO1 dephosphorylates the PRR and suppresses subsequent immune response. The effectors AvrPto and AvrPtoB suppress immunity by acting directly on the MAMP receptors via inhibiting BAK1 kinase activity while the effectors AvrPphB and AvrAC inhibit the response by cleaving or uridylylating BIK1. The effectors AvrB, HopAI1 and HopF2 directly target different components of the MAPK cascades. The TIR-NB-LRR, RPS4 recognizes the effector AvrRPS4 and redistributes the EDS1-RPS4 complex between the nucleus and cytoplasm to induce defense responses. An example of CC-NB-LRR is RPM1 that recognizes AvrRpm1. Some MAMPs, such as flg22, bacterial LPS and harpin (HrpZ1) act as effectors too. Similarly, certain effector proteins such as NLPs, BcSpl1 and LysM domain containing proteins such as Ecp6 have a more widespread occurrence and function as MAMPs too.

References

- Alves, M.S., Dadalto, S.P., Goncalves, A.B., De Souza, G.B., Barros, V.A., and Fietto, L.G. (2013). Plant bZIP transcription factors responsive to pathogens: a review. *International journal of molecular sciences* 14, 7815-7828. doi: 10.3390/ijms14047815.
- Ambawat, S., Sharma, P., Yadav, N.R., and Yadav, R.C. (2013). MYB transcription factor genes as regulators for plant responses: an overview. *Physiology and molecular*

- 542 biology of plants : an international journal of functional plant biology *19*, 307-321. doi:
543 10.1007/s12298-013-0179-1.
- 544 An, C., and Mou, Z. (2013). The function of the Mediator complex in plant immunity.
545 Plant signaling & behavior *8*, e23182. doi: 10.4161/psb.23182.
- 546 Andersson, M.X., Kourtschenko, O., Dangl, J.L., Mackey, D., and Ellerstrom, M. (2006).
547 Phospholipase-dependent signalling during the AvrRpm1- and AvrRpt2-induced disease
548 resistance responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular
549 biology *47*, 947-959. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02844.x.
- 550 Arbona, V., and Gomez-Cadenas, A. (2015). Metabolomics of Disease Resistance in
551 Crops. Current issues in molecular biology *19*, 13-30.
- 552 Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M.R., Chiu, W.L., Gomez-Gomez, L.,
553 Boller, T., Ausubel, F.M., and Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in
554 *Arabidopsis* innate immunity. Nature *415*, 977-983. doi: 10.1038/415977a.
- 555 Bailey, B. (1995). Purification of a protein from culture filtrates of *Fusarium oxysporum*
556 that induces ethylene and necrosis in leaves of *Erythroxylum coca*. Phytopathology
557 *1250-55*.
- 558 Bauer, Z., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2001). Sensitivity of different
559 ecotypes and mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* toward the bacterial elicitor flagellin
560 correlates with the presence of receptor-binding sites. The Journal of biological chemistry
561 *276*, 45669-45676. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M102390200.
- 562 Baxter, A., Mittler, R., and Suzuki, N. (2014). ROS as key players in plant stress
563 signalling. Journal of experimental botany *65*, 1229-1240. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert375.
- 564 Beckers, G.J., Jaskiewicz, M., Liu, Y., Underwood, W.R., He, S.Y., Zhang, S., and
565 Conrath, U. (2009). Mitogen-activated protein kinases 3 and 6 are required for full
566 priming of stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant cell *21*, 944-953. doi:
567 10.1105/tpc.108.062158.
- 568 Berr, A., Menard, R., Heitz, T., and Shen, W.H. (2012). Chromatin modification and
569 remodelling: a regulatory landscape for the control of *Arabidopsis* defence responses
570 upon pathogen attack. Cellular microbiology *14*, 829-839. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-
571 5822.2012.01785.x.
- 572 Berriri, S., Garcia, A.V., Frei dit Frey, N., Rozhon, W., Pateyron, S., Leonhardt, N.,
573 Montillet, J.L., Leung, J., Hirt, H., and Colcombet, J. (2012). Constitutively active
574 mitogen-activated protein kinase versions reveal functions of *Arabidopsis* MPK4 in
575 pathogen defense signaling. The Plant cell *24*, 4281-4293. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.101253.
- 576 Bethke, G., Pecher, P., Eschen-Lippold, L., Tsuda, K., Katagiri, F., Glazebrook, J.,
577 Scheel, D., and Lee, J. (2012). Activation of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* mitogen-activated
578 protein kinase MPK11 by the flagellin-derived elicitor peptide, flg22. Molecular plant-
579 microbe interactions : MPMI *25*, 471-480. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-11-11-0281.
- 580 Bethke, G., Unthan, T., Uhrig, J.F., Poschl, Y., Gust, A.A., Scheel, D., and Lee, J. (2009).
581 Flg22 regulates the release of an ethylene response factor substrate from MAP kinase 6 in
582 *Arabidopsis thaliana* via ethylene signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of

- 583 Sciences of the United States of America *106*, 8067-8072. doi:
584 10.1073/pnas.0810206106.
- 585 Bhattacharjee, S., Garner, C.M., and Gassmann, W. (2013). New clues in the nucleus:
586 transcriptional reprogramming in effector-triggered immunity. *Frontiers in plant science*
587 *4*, 364. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00364.
- 588 Bhattacharjee, S., Halane, M.K., Kim, S.H., and Gassmann, W. (2011). Pathogen
589 effectors target Arabidopsis EDS1 and alter its interactions with immune regulators.
590 *Science (New York, NY)* *334*, 1405-1408. doi: 10.1126/science.1211592.
- 591 Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling mechanisms in pattern-
592 triggered immunity (PTI). *Molecular plant* *8*, 521-539. doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2014.12.022.
- 593 Bohm, H., Albert, I., Fan, L., Reinhard, A., and Nurnberger, T. (2014a). Immune receptor
594 complexes at the plant cell surface. *Current opinion in plant biology* *20*, 47-54. doi:
595 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.04.007.
- 596 Bohm, H., Albert, I., Oome, S., Raaymakers, T.M., Van den Ackerveken, G., and
597 Nurnberger, T. (2014b). A conserved peptide pattern from a widespread microbial
598 virulence factor triggers pattern-induced immunity in Arabidopsis. *PLoS pathogens* *10*,
599 e1004491. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004491.
- 600 Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2009). A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe-
601 associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. *Annual*
602 *review of plant biology* *60*, 379-406. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105346.
- 603 Boudsocq, M., and Sheen, J. (2013). CDPKs in immune and stress signaling. *Trends in*
604 *plant science* *18*, 30-40.
- 605 Boudsocq, M., Willmann, M.R., McCormack, M., Lee, H., Shan, L., He, P., Bush, J.,
606 Cheng, S.H., and Sheen, J. (2010). Differential innate immune signalling via Ca(2+)
607 sensor protein kinases. *Nature* *464*, 418-422. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.08.008.
- 608 Boyes, D.C., Nam, J., and Dangl, J.L. (1998). The Arabidopsis thaliana RPM1 disease
609 resistance gene product is a peripheral plasma membrane protein that is degraded
610 coincident with the hypersensitive response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
611 *Sciences of the United States of America* *95*, 15849-15854.
- 612 Brodersen, P., Petersen, M., Bjorn Nielsen, H., Zhu, S., Newman, M.A., Shokat, K.M.,
613 Rietz, S., Parker, J., and Mundy, J. (2006). Arabidopsis MAP kinase 4 regulates salicylic
614 acid- and jasmonic acid/ethylene-dependent responses via EDS1 and PAD4. *The Plant*
615 *journal : for cell and molecular biology* *47*, 532-546. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
616 313X.2006.02806.x.
- 617 Buell, C.R., Joardar, V., Lindeberg, M., Selengut, J., Paulsen, I.T., Gwinn, M.L., Dodson,
618 R.J., Deboy, R.T., Durkin, A.S., Kolonay, J.F., *et al.* (2003). The complete genome
619 sequence of the Arabidopsis and tomato pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato
620 DC3000. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
621 *America* *100*, 10181-10186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1731982100.
- 622 Cai, R., Lewis, J., Yan, S., Liu, H., Clarke, C.R., Campanile, F., Almeida, N.F.,
623 Studholme, D.J., Lindeberg, M., Schneider, D., *et al.* (2011). The plant pathogen

- 624 *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* is genetically monomorphic and under strong selection
625 to evade tomato immunity. *PLoS pathogens* 7, e1002130. doi:
626 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002130.
- 627 Casper-Lindley, C., Dahlbeck, D., Clark, E.T., and Staskawicz, B.J. (2002). Direct
628 biochemical evidence for type III secretion-dependent translocation of the AvrBs2
629 effector protein into plant cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
630 *United States of America* 99, 8336-8341. doi: 10.1073/pnas.122220299.
- 631 Cesari, S., Bernoux, M., Moncuquet, P., Kroj, T., and Dodds, P.N. (2014). A novel
632 conserved mechanism for plant NLR protein pairs: the "integrated decoy" hypothesis.
633 *Frontiers in plant science* 5, 606. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00606.
- 634 Chang, C., Yu, D., Jiao, J., Jing, S., Schulze-Lefert, P., and Shen, Q.H. (2013). Barley
635 MLA immune receptors directly interfere with antagonistically acting transcription
636 factors to initiate disease resistance signaling. *The Plant cell* 25, 1158-1173. doi:
637 10.1105/tpc.113.109942.
- 638 Cheng, Z., Li, J.F., Niu, Y., Zhang, X.C., Woody, O.Z., Xiong, Y., Djonovic, S., Millet,
639 Y., Bush, J., McConkey, B.J., *et al.* (2015). Pathogen-secreted proteases activate a novel
640 plant immune pathway. *Nature* 521, 213-216. doi: 10.1038/nature14243.
- 641 Chinchilla, D., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Kemmerling, B., Nurnberger, T., Jones, J.D.,
642 Felix, G., and Boller, T. (2007). A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and
643 BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature* 448, 497-500. doi: 10.1038/nature05999.
- 644 Chisholm, S.T., Coaker, G., Day, B., and Staskawicz, B.J. (2006). Host-microbe
645 interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell* 124, 803-814. doi:
646 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.008.
- 647 Clarke, C.R., Chinchilla, D., Hind, S.R., Taguchi, F., Miki, R., Ichinose, Y., Martin,
648 G.B., Leman, S., Felix, G., and Vinatzer, B.A. (2013). Allelic variation in two distinct
649 *Pseudomonas syringae* flagellin epitopes modulates the strength of plant immune
650 responses but not bacterial motility. *The New phytologist* 200, 847-860. doi:
651 10.1111/nph.12408.
- 652 Colcombet, J., and Hirt, H. (2008). Arabidopsis MAPKs: a complex signalling network
653 involved in multiple biological processes. *The Biochemical journal* 413, 217-226. doi:
654 10.1042/bj20080625.
- 655 Cook, D.E., Mesarich, C.H., and Thomma, B.P. (2015). Understanding plant immunity as
656 a surveillance system to detect invasion. *Annual review of phytopathology* 53, 541-563.
657 doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-120114.
- 658 Cui, H., Wang, Y., Xue, L., Chu, J., Yan, C., Fu, J., Chen, M., Innes, R.W., and Zhou,
659 J.M. (2010). *Pseudomonas syringae* effector protein AvrB perturbs Arabidopsis hormone
660 signaling by activating MAP kinase 4. *Cell host & microbe* 7, 164-175. doi:
661 10.1016/j.chom.2010.01.009.
- 662 Dangl, J.L., and Jones, J.D. (2001). Plant pathogens and integrated defence responses to
663 infection. *Nature* 411, 826-833. doi: 10.1038/35081161.

- 664 de Jonge, R., and Thomma, B.P. (2009). Fungal LysM effectors: extinguishers of host
665 immunity? *Trends in microbiology* 17, 151-157. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2009.01.002.
- 666 de Jonge, R., van Esse, H.P., Kombrink, A., Shinya, T., Desaki, Y., Bours, R., van der
667 Krol, S., Shibuya, N., Joosten, M.H., and Thomma, B.P. (2010). Conserved fungal LysM
668 effector Ecp6 prevents chitin-triggered immunity in plants. *Science (New York, NY)* 329,
669 953-955. doi: 10.1126/science.1190859.
- 670 Denoux, C., Galletti, R., Mammarella, N., Gopalan, S., Werck, D., De Lorenzo, G.,
671 Ferrari, S., Ausubel, F.M., and Dewdney, J. (2008). Activation of defense response
672 pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Molecular plant* 1, 423-
673 445. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssn019.
- 674 Djamei, A., Pitzschke, A., Nakagami, H., Rajh, I., and Hirt, H. (2007). Trojan horse
675 strategy in Agrobacterium transformation: abusing MAPK defense signaling. *Science*
676 (New York, NY) 318, 453-456. doi: 10.1126/science.1148110.
- 677 Dodds, P.N., and Rathjen, J.P. (2010). Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of
678 plant-pathogen interactions. *Nature reviews Genetics* 11, 539-548. doi: 10.1038/nrg2812.
- 679 Downen, R.H., Engel, J.L., Shao, F., Ecker, J.R., and Dixon, J.E. (2009). A family of
680 bacterial cysteine protease type III effectors utilizes acylation-dependent and -
681 independent strategies to localize to plasma membranes. *The Journal of biological*
682 *chemistry* 284, 15867-15879. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M900519200.
- 683 Downen, R.H., Pelizzola, M., Schmitz, R.J., Lister, R., Downen, J.M., Nery, J.R., Dixon,
684 J.E., and Ecker, J.R. (2012). Widespread dynamic DNA methylation in response to biotic
685 stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*
686 109, E2183-2191. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1209329109.
- 687 Dubiella, U., Seybold, H., Durian, G., Komander, E., Lassig, R., Witte, C.P., Schulze,
688 W.X., and Romeis, T. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinase/NADPH oxidase
689 activation circuit is required for rapid defense signal propagation. *Proceedings of the*
690 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 8744-8749. doi:
691 10.1073/pnas.1221294110.
- 692 Engelhardt, S., Lee, J., Gabler, Y., Kemmerling, B., Haapalainen, M.L., Li, C.M., Wei,
693 Z., Keller, H., Joosten, M., Taira, S., *et al.* (2009). Separable roles of the *Pseudomonas*
694 *syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* accessory protein HrpZ1 in ion-conducting pore formation and
695 activation of plant immunity. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 57, 706-
696 717. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03723.x.
- 697 Espinosa, A., Guo, M., Tam, V.C., Fu, Z.Q., and Alfano, J.R. (2003). The *Pseudomonas*
698 *syringae* type III-secreted protein HopPtoD2 possesses protein tyrosine phosphatase
699 activity and suppresses programmed cell death in plants. *Molecular microbiology* 49,
700 377-387.
- 701 Eulgem, T., and Somssich, I.E. (2007). Networks of WRKY transcription factors in
702 defense signaling. *Current opinion in plant biology* 10, 366-371. doi:
703 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.04.020.

- 704 Farmer, P.K., and Choi, J.H. (1999). Calcium and phospholipid activation of a
705 recombinant calcium-dependent protein kinase (DcCPK1) from carrot (*Daucus carota*
706 L.). *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1434, 6-17.
- 707 Felix, G., Duran, J.D., Volko, S., and Boller, T. (1999). Plants have a sensitive perception
708 system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. *The Plant journal : for cell*
709 *and molecular biology* 18, 265-276.
- 710 Feng, F., and Zhou, J.M. (2012). Plant-bacterial pathogen interactions mediated by type
711 III effectors. *Current opinion in plant biology* 15, 469-476. doi:
712 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.03.004.
- 713 Frei dit Frey, N., Garcia, A.V., Bigeard, J., Zaag, R., Bueso, E., Garmier, M., Pateyron,
714 S., de Tauzia-Moreau, M.L., Brunaud, V., Balzergue, S., *et al.* (2014). Functional
715 analysis of Arabidopsis immune-related MAPKs uncovers a role for MPK3 as negative
716 regulator of inducible defences. *Genome biology* 15, R87. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-6-
717 r87.
- 718 Frias, M., Brito, N., Gonzalez, M., and Gonzalez, C. (2014). The phytotoxic activity of
719 the cerato-platanin BcSpl1 resides in a two-peptide motif on the protein surface.
720 *Molecular plant pathology* 15, 342-351. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12097.
- 721 Frias, M., Gonzalez, C., and Brito, N. (2011). BcSpl1, a cerato-platanin family protein,
722 contributes to Botrytis cinerea virulence and elicits the hypersensitive response in the
723 host. *The New phytologist* 192, 483-495. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03802.x.
- 724 Gao, M., Liu, J., Bi, D., Zhang, Z., Cheng, F., Chen, S., and Zhang, Y. (2008). MEKK1,
725 MKK1/MKK2 and MPK4 function together in a mitogen-activated protein kinase
726 cascade to regulate innate immunity in plants. *Cell research* 18, 1190-1198. doi:
727 10.1038/cr.2008.300.
- 728 Gao, X., Chen, X., Lin, W., Chen, S., Lu, D., Niu, Y., Li, L., Cheng, C., McCormack, M.,
729 Sheen, J., *et al.* (2013). Bifurcation of Arabidopsis NLR immune signaling via Ca(2)(+)-
730 dependent protein kinases. *PLoS pathogens* 9, e1003127. doi:
731 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003127.
- 732 Gao, Z., Chung, E.H., Eitas, T.K., and Dangl, J.L. (2011). Plant intracellular innate
733 immune receptor Resistance to Pseudomonas syringae pv. maculicola 1 (RPM1) is
734 activated at, and functions on, the plasma membrane. *Proceedings of the National*
735 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 7619-7624. doi:
736 10.1073/pnas.1104410108.
- 737 Garcia, A.V., Blanvillain-Baufume, S., Huibers, R.P., Wiermer, M., Li, G., Gobbato, E.,
738 Rietz, S., and Parker, J.E. (2010). Balanced nuclear and cytoplasmic activities of EDS1
739 are required for a complete plant innate immune response. *PLoS pathogens* 6, e1000970.
740 doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000970.
- 741 Gassmann, W., Hinsch, M.E., and Staskawicz, B.J. (1999). The Arabidopsis RPS4
742 bacterial-resistance gene is a member of the TIR-NBS-LRR family of disease-resistance
743 genes. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 20, 265-277.

- 744 Gatz, C. (2013). From pioneers to team players: TGA transcription factors provide a
745 molecular link between different stress pathways. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions* :
746 *MPMI* 26, 151-159. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-04-12-0078-ia.
- 747 Gijzen, M., and Nurnberger, T. (2006). Nep1-like proteins from plant pathogens:
748 recruitment and diversification of the NPP1 domain across taxa. *Phytochemistry* 67,
749 1800-1807. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.12.008.
- 750 Gomez-Gomez, L., and Boller, T. (2000). FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in
751 the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in Arabidopsis. *Molecular cell* 5, 1003-
752 1011.
- 753 Gomez-Gomez, L., and Boller, T. (2002). Flagellin perception: a paradigm for innate
754 immunity. *Trends in plant science* 7, 251-256.
- 755 Grant, M.R., Godiard, L., Straube, E., Ashfield, T., Lewald, J., Sattler, A., Innes, R.W.,
756 and Dangl, J.L. (1995). Structure of the Arabidopsis RPM1 gene enabling dual specificity
757 disease resistance. *Science (New York, NY)* 269, 843-846.
- 758 Gross, F., Durner, J., and Gaupels, F. (2013). Nitric oxide, antioxidants and prooxidants
759 in plant defence responses. *Frontiers in plant science* 4, 419. doi:
760 10.3389/fpls.2013.00419.
- 761 Gutterson, N., and Reuber, T.L. (2004). Regulation of disease resistance pathways by
762 AP2/ERF transcription factors. *Current opinion in plant biology* 7, 465-471. doi:
763 10.1016/j.pbi.2004.04.007.
- 764 Hadiarto, T., Nanmori, T., Matsuoka, D., Iwasaki, T., Sato, K., Fukami, Y., Azuma, T.,
765 and Yasuda, T. (2006). Activation of Arabidopsis MAPK kinase kinase (AtMEKK1) and
766 induction of AtMEKK1-AtMEK1 pathway by wounding. *Planta* 223, 708-713. doi:
767 10.1007/s00425-005-0126-7.
- 768 Han, L., Li, G.J., Yang, K.Y., Mao, G., Wang, R., Liu, Y., and Zhang, S. (2010).
769 Mitogen-activated protein kinase 3 and 6 regulate Botrytis cinerea-induced ethylene
770 production in Arabidopsis. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 64, 114-
771 127. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04318.x.
- 772 Hann, D.R., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., and Rathjen, J.P. (2010). Bacterial virulence effectors
773 and their activities. *Current opinion in plant biology* 13, 388-393. doi:
774 10.1016/j.pbi.2010.04.003.
- 775 Heidrich, K., Wirthmueller, L., Tasset, C., Pouzet, C., Deslandes, L., and Parker, J.E.
776 (2011). Arabidopsis EDS1 connects pathogen effector recognition to cell compartment-
777 specific immune responses. *Science (New York, NY)* 334, 1401-1404. doi:
778 10.1126/science.1211641.
- 779 Hogenhout, S.A., Van der Hoorn, R.A., Terauchi, R., and Kamoun, S. (2009). Emerging
780 concepts in effector biology of plant-associated organisms. *Molecular plant-microbe*
781 *interactions* : *MPMI* 22, 115-122. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-22-2-0115.
- 782 Huang, S., Chen, X., Zhong, X., Li, M., Ao, K., Huang, J., and Li, X. (2016). Plant TRAF
783 Proteins Regulate NLR Immune Receptor Turnover. *Cell host & microbe* 19, 204-215.
784 doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.01.005.

- 785 Huang, Y., Li, H., Gupta, R., Morris, P.C., Luan, S., and Kieber, J.J. (2000). ATMPK4,
786 an Arabidopsis homolog of mitogen-activated protein kinase, is activated in vitro by
787 AtMEK1 through threonine phosphorylation. *Plant physiology* 122, 1301-1310.
- 788 Ichimura, K., Casais, C., Peck, S.C., Shinozaki, K., and Shirasu, K. (2006). MEKK1 is
789 required for MPK4 activation and regulates tissue-specific and temperature-dependent
790 cell death in Arabidopsis. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 281, 36969-36976. doi:
791 10.1074/jbc.M605319200.
- 792 Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Irie, K., Morris, P., Giraudat, J., Matsumoto, K., and
793 Shinozaki, K. (1998). Isolation of ATMEKK1 (a MAP kinase kinase kinase)-interacting
794 proteins and analysis of a MAP kinase cascade in Arabidopsis. *Biochemical and*
795 *biophysical research communications* 253, 532-543. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.1998.9796.
- 796 Inoue, H., Hayashi, N., Matsushita, A., Xinqiong, L., Nakayama, A., Sugano, S., Jiang,
797 C.J., and Takatsuji, H. (2013). Blast resistance of CC-NB-LRR protein Pb1 is mediated
798 by WRKY45 through protein-protein interaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
799 *of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 9577-9582. doi:
800 10.1073/pnas.1222155110.
- 801 Jacob, F., Vernaldi, S., and Maekawa, T. (2013). Evolution and Conservation of Plant
802 NLR Functions. *Frontiers in immunology* 4, 297. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00297.
- 803 Jeworutzki, E., Roelfsema, M.R., Anschutz, U., Krol, E., Elzenga, J.T., Felix, G., Boller,
804 T., Hedrich, R., and Becker, D. (2010). Early signaling through the Arabidopsis pattern
805 recognition receptors FLS2 and EFR involves Ca-associated opening of plasma
806 membrane anion channels. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 62, 367-
807 378. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04155.x.
- 808 Kadota, Y., Shirasu, K., and Guerois, R. (2010). NLR sensors meet at the SGT1-HSP90
809 crossroad. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 35, 199-207. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2009.12.005.
- 810 Kadota, Y., Sklenar, J., Derbyshire, P., Stransfeld, L., Asai, S., Ntoukakis, V., Jones,
811 J.D., Shirasu, K., Menke, F., Jones, A., *et al.* (2014). Direct regulation of the NADPH
812 oxidase RBOHD by the PRR-associated kinase BIK1 during plant immunity. *Molecular*
813 *cell* 54, 43-55. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.02.021.
- 814 Kamiyoshihara, Y., Iwata, M., Fukaya, T., Tatsuki, M., and Mori, H. (2010). Turnover of
815 LeACS2, a wound-inducible 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase in tomato,
816 is regulated by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation. *The Plant journal : for cell and*
817 *molecular biology* 64, 140-150. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04316.x.
- 818 Kim, C.Y., Liu, Y., Thorne, E.T., Yang, H., Fukushige, H., Gassmann, W., Hildebrand,
819 D., Sharp, R.E., and Zhang, S. (2003). Activation of a stress-responsive mitogen-
820 activated protein kinase cascade induces the biosynthesis of ethylene in plants. *The Plant*
821 *cell* 15, 2707-2718. doi: 10.1105/tpc.011411.
- 822 Kim, H., O'Connell, R., Maekawa-Yoshikawa, M., Uemura, T., Neumann, U., and
823 Schulze-Lefert, P. (2014a). The powdery mildew resistance protein RPW8.2 is carried on
824 VAMP721/722 vesicles to the extrahaustorial membrane of haustorial complexes. *The*
825 *Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 79, 835-847. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12591.

- 826 Kim, S.H., Son, G.H., Bhattacharjee, S., Kim, H.J., Nam, J.C., Nguyen, P.D., Hong, J.C.,
827 and Gassmann, W. (2014b). The Arabidopsis immune adaptor SRFR1 interacts with TCP
828 transcription factors that redundantly contribute to effector-triggered immunity. *The Plant*
829 *journal : for cell and molecular biology* 78, 978-989. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12527.
- 830 Kong, Q., Qu, N., Gao, M., Zhang, Z., Ding, X., Yang, F., Li, Y., Dong, O.X., Chen, S.,
831 Li, X., *et al.* (2012). The MEKK1-MKK1/MKK2-MPK4 kinase cascade negatively
832 regulates immunity mediated by a mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase in
833 Arabidopsis. *The Plant cell* 24, 2225-2236. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.097253.
- 834 Kroj, T., Chanclud, E., Michel-Romiti, C., Grand, X., and Morel, J.B. (2016). Integration
835 of decoy domains derived from protein targets of pathogen effectors into plant immune
836 receptors is widespread. *The New phytologist*. doi: 10.1111/nph.13869.
- 837 Lassowskat, I., Bottcher, C., Eschen-Lippold, L., Scheel, D., and Lee, J. (2014).
838 Sustained mitogen-activated protein kinase activation reprograms defense metabolism
839 and phosphoprotein profile in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Frontiers in plant science* 5, 554. doi:
840 10.3389/fpls.2014.00554.
- 841 Liu, Y., and Zhang, S. (2004). Phosphorylation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic
842 acid synthase by MPK6, a stress-responsive mitogen-activated protein kinase, induces
843 ethylene biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *The Plant cell* 16, 3386-3399. doi:
844 10.1105/tpc.104.026609.
- 845 Liu, Z., Wu, Y., Yang, F., Zhang, Y., Chen, S., Xie, Q., Tian, X., and Zhou, J.M. (2013).
846 BIK1 interacts with PEPRs to mediate ethylene-induced immunity. *Proceedings of the*
847 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 6205-6210. doi:
848 10.1073/pnas.1215543110.
- 849 Lohou, D., Lonjon, F., Genin, S., and Vailleau, F. (2013). Type III chaperones & Co in
850 bacterial plant pathogens: a set of specialized bodyguards mediating effector delivery.
851 *Frontiers in plant science* 4, 435. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00435.
- 852 Longhi, S., and Cambillau, C. (1999). Structure-activity of cutinase, a small lipolytic
853 enzyme. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* 1441, 185-196.
- 854 Lu, D., Wu, S., Gao, X., Zhang, Y., Shan, L., and He, P. (2010). A receptor-like
855 cytoplasmic kinase, BIK1, associates with a flagellin receptor complex to initiate plant
856 innate immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
857 *of America* 107, 496-501. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0909705107.
- 858 Ma, K.W., Flores, C., and Ma, W. (2011). Chromatin configuration as a battlefield in
859 plant-bacteria interactions. *Plant physiology* 157, 535-543. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.182295.
- 860 Macho, A.P., Schwessinger, B., Ntoukakis, V., Brutus, A., Segonzac, C., Roy, S.,
861 Kadota, Y., Oh, M.H., Sklenar, J., Derbyshire, P., *et al.* (2014). A bacterial tyrosine
862 phosphatase inhibits plant pattern recognition receptor activation. *Science (New York,*
863 *NY)* 343, 1509-1512. doi: 10.1126/science.1248849.
- 864 Macho, A.P., and Zipfel, C. (2014). Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune
865 signaling. *Molecular cell* 54, 263-272. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.028.

- 866 Maekawa, T., Kufer, T.A., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2011). NLR functions in plant and
867 animal immune systems: so far and yet so close. *Nature immunology* *12*, 817-826. doi:
868 10.1038/ni.2083.
- 869 Matsuoka, D., Nanmori, T., Sato, K., Fukami, Y., Kikkawa, U., and Yasuda, T. (2002).
870 Activation of AtMEK1, an Arabidopsis mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase, in vitro
871 and in vivo: analysis of active mutants expressed in *E. coli* and generation of the active
872 form in stress response in seedlings. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology*
873 *29*, 637-647.
- 874 Melotto, M., Underwood, W., and He, S.Y. (2008). Role of stomata in plant innate
875 immunity and foliar bacterial diseases. *Annual review of phytopathology* *46*, 101-122.
876 doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.121107.104959.
- 877 Mendgen, K., Hahn, M., and Deising, H. (1996). Morphogenesis and mechanisms of
878 penetration by plant pathogenic fungi. *Annual review of phytopathology* *34*, 367-386.
879 doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.34.1.367.
- 880 Meng, X., and Zhang, S. (2013). MAPK cascades in plant disease resistance signaling.
881 *Annual review of phytopathology* *51*, 245-266. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-
882 102314.
- 883 Mizoguchi, T., Ichimura, K., Irie, K., Morris, P., Giraudat, J., Matsumoto, K., and
884 Shinozaki, K. (1998). Identification of a possible MAP kinase cascade in Arabidopsis
885 thaliana based on pairwise yeast two-hybrid analysis and functional complementation
886 tests of yeast mutants. *FEBS letters* *437*, 56-60.
- 887 Monaghan, J., Matschi, S., Romeis, T., and Zipfel, C. (2015). The calcium-dependent
888 protein kinase CPK28 negatively regulates the BIK1-mediated PAMP-induced calcium
889 burst. *Plant signaling & behavior* *10*, e1018497. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2015.1018497.
- 890 Monaghan, J., Matschi, S., Shorinola, O., Rovenich, H., Matei, A., Segonzac, C.,
891 Malinovsky, F.G., Rathjen, J.P., MacLean, D., Romeis, T., *et al.* (2014). The calcium-
892 dependent protein kinase CPK28 buffers plant immunity and regulates BIK1 turnover.
893 *Cell host & microbe* *16*, 605-615. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.10.007.
- 894 Monaghan, J., and Zipfel, C. (2012). Plant pattern recognition receptor complexes at the
895 plasma membrane. *Current opinion in plant biology* *15*, 349-357. doi:
896 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.05.006.
- 897 Moore, J.W., Loake, G.J., and Spoel, S.H. (2011). Transcription dynamics in plant
898 immunity. *The Plant cell* *23*, 2809-2820. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.087346.
- 899 Mudgett, M.B., and Staskawicz, B.J. (1999). Characterization of the *Pseudomonas*
900 *syringae* pv. tomato AvrRpt2 protein: demonstration of secretion and processing during
901 bacterial pathogenesis. *Molecular microbiology* *32*, 927-941.
- 902 Mukhtar, M.S., Carvunis, A.R., Dreze, M., Eppele, P., Steinbrenner, J., Moore, J., Tasan,
903 M., Galli, M., Hao, T., Nishimura, M.T., *et al.* (2011). Independently evolved virulence
904 effectors converge onto hubs in a plant immune system network. *Science (New York,*
905 *NY)* *333*, 596-601.

- 906 Nakagami, H., Soukupova, H., Schikora, A., Zarsky, V., and Hirt, H. (2006). A Mitogen-
907 activated protein kinase kinase mediates reactive oxygen species homeostasis in
908 Arabidopsis. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 281, 38697-38704. doi:
909 10.1126/science.1203659. oi: 10.1074/jbc.M605293200
- 910 Nakano, M., Nishihara, M., Yoshioka, H., Takahashi, H., Sawasaki, T., Ohnishi, K.,
911 Hikichi, Y., and Kiba, A. (2013). Suppression of DS1 phosphatidic acid phosphatase
912 confirms resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *PloS one* 8,
913 e75124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075124.
- 914 Narusaka, M., Shirasu, K., Noutoshi, Y., Kubo, Y., Shiraishi, T., Iwabuchi, M., and
915 Narusaka, Y. (2009). RRS1 and RPS4 provide a dual Resistance-gene system against
916 fungal and bacterial pathogens. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 60,
917 218-226. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03949.x.
- 918 Nitta, Y., Ding, P., and Zhang, Y. (2014). Identification of additional MAP kinases
919 activated upon PAMP treatment. *Plant signaling & behavior* 9, e976155. doi:
920 10.4161/15592324.2014.976155.
- 921 Nomura, H., Komori, T., Uemura, S., Kanda, Y., Shimotani, K., Nakai, K., Furuichi, T.,
922 Takebayashi, K., Sugimoto, T., Sano, S., *et al.* (2012). Chloroplast-mediated activation of
923 plant immune signalling in Arabidopsis. *Nature communications* 3, 926. doi:
924 10.1038/ncomms1926.
- 925 Nuhse, T.S., Bottrill, A.R., Jones, A.M., and Peck, S.C. (2007). Quantitative
926 phosphoproteomic analysis of plasma membrane proteins reveals regulatory mechanisms
927 of plant innate immune responses. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 51,
928 931-940. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03192.x.
- 929 Nuhse, T.S., Peck, S.C., Hirt, H., and Boller, T. (2000). Microbial elicitors induce
930 activation and dual phosphorylation of the Arabidopsis thaliana MAPK 6. *The Journal of*
931 *biological chemistry* 275, 7521-7526.
- 932 Nuruzzaman, M., Sharoni, A.M., and Kikuchi, S. (2013). Roles of NAC transcription
933 factors in the regulation of biotic and abiotic stress responses in plants. *Frontiers in*
934 *microbiology* 4, 248. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00248.
- 935 Ogasawara, Y., Kaya, H., Hiraoka, G., Yumoto, F., Kimura, S., Kadota, Y., Hishinuma,
936 H., Senzaki, E., Yamagoe, S., Nagata, K., *et al.* (2008). Synergistic activation of the
937 Arabidopsis NADPH oxidase AtrbohD by Ca²⁺ and phosphorylation. *The Journal of*
938 *biological chemistry* 283, 8885-8892. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M708106200.
- 939 Oh, C.S., and Martin, G.B. (2011). Tomato 14-3-3 protein TFT7 interacts with a MAP
940 kinase kinase to regulate immunity-associated programmed cell death mediated by
941 diverse disease resistance proteins. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 286, 14129-
942 14136. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.225086.
- 943 Oh, C.S., Pedley, K.F., and Martin, G.B. (2010). Tomato 14-3-3 protein 7 positively
944 regulates immunity-associated programmed cell death by enhancing protein abundance
945 and signaling ability of MAPKKK {alpha}. *The Plant cell* 22, 260-272. doi:
946 10.1105/tpc.109.070664.

- 947 Okazaki, Y., and Saito, K. (2014). Roles of lipids as signaling molecules and mitigators
948 during stress response in plants. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 79,
949 584-596. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12556.
- 950 Oome, S., Raaymakers, T.M., Cabral, A., Samwel, S., Bohm, H., Albert, I., Nurnberger,
951 T., and Van den Ackerveken, G. (2014). Nep1-like proteins from three kingdoms of life
952 act as a microbe-associated molecular pattern in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National*
953 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 16955-16960. doi:
954 10.1073/pnas.1410031111.
- 955 Osbourn, A.E. (1996). Preformed Antimicrobial Compounds and Plant Defense against
956 Fungal Attack. *The Plant cell* 8, 1821-1831. doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.10.1821.
- 957 Ottmann, C., Luberacki, B., Kufner, I., Koch, W., Brunner, F., Weyand, M., Mattinen, L.,
958 Pirhonen, M., Anderluh, G., Seitz, H.U., *et al.* (2009). A common toxin fold mediates
959 microbial attack and plant defense. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
960 *the United States of America* 106, 10359-10364. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0902362106.
- 961 Pandey, S.P., and Somssich, I.E. (2009). The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant
962 immunity. *Plant physiology* 150, 1648-1655. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138990.
- 963 Petersen, M., Brodersen, P., Naested, H., Andreasson, E., Lindhart, U., Johansen, B.,
964 Nielsen, H.B., Lacy, M., Austin, M.J., Parker, J.E., *et al.* (2000). Arabidopsis map kinase
965 4 negatively regulates systemic acquired resistance. *Cell* 103, 1111-1120.
- 966 Pfund, C., Tans-Kersten, J., Dunning, F.M., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., Allen, C., and
967 Bent, A.F. (2004). Flagellin is not a major defense elicitor in *Ralstonia solanacearum*
968 cells or extracts applied to *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions :*
969 *MPMI* 17, 696-706. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.2004.17.6.696.
- 970 Pitzschke, A., Schikora, A., and Hirt, H. (2009). MAPK cascade signalling networks in
971 plant defence. *Current opinion in plant biology* 12, 421-426. doi:
972 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.06.008.
- 973 Puranik, S., Sahu, P.P., Srivastava, P.S., and Prasad, M. (2012). NAC proteins: regulation
974 and role in stress tolerance. *Trends in plant science* 17, 369-381. doi:
975 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.02.004.
- 976 Qi, D., and Innes, R.W. (2013). Recent Advances in Plant NLR Structure, Function,
977 Localization, and Signaling. *Frontiers in immunology* 4, 348. doi:
978 10.3389/fimmu.2013.00348.
- 979 Qi, Y., Tsuda, K., Glazebrook, J., and Katagiri, F. (2011). Physical association of pattern-
980 triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) immune receptors in
981 Arabidopsis. *Molecular plant pathology* 12, 702-708. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-
982 3703.2010.00704.x.
- 983 Qiu, J.L., Zhou, L., Yun, B.W., Nielsen, H.B., Fiil, B.K., Petersen, K., Mackinlay, J.,
984 Loake, G.J., Mundy, J., and Morris, P.C. (2008). Arabidopsis mitogen-activated protein
985 kinase kinases MKK1 and MKK2 have overlapping functions in defense signaling
986 mediated by MEKK1, MPK4, and MKS1. *Plant physiology* 148, 212-222. doi:
987 10.1104/pp.108.120006.

- 988 Raho, N., Ramirez, L., Lanteri, M.L., Gonorazky, G., Lamattina, L., ten Have, A., and
989 Laxalt, A.M. (2011). Phosphatidic acid production in chitosan-elicited tomato cells, via
990 both phospholipase D and phospholipase C/diacylglycerol kinase, requires nitric oxide.
991 *Journal of plant physiology* 168, 534-539. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2010.09.004.
- 992 Ranf, S., Eschen-Lippold, L., Pecher, P., Lee, J., and Scheel, D. (2011). Interplay
993 between calcium signalling and early signalling elements during defence responses to
994 microbe- or damage-associated molecular patterns. *The Plant journal : for cell and*
995 *molecular biology* 68, 100-113. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04671.x.
- 996 Rasmussen, M.W., Roux, M., Petersen, M., and Mundy, J. (2012). MAP Kinase Cascades
997 in Arabidopsis Innate Immunity. *Frontiers in plant science* 3, 169. doi:
998 10.3389/fpls.2012.00169.
- 999 Ren, D., Yang, H., and Zhang, S. (2002). Cell death mediated by MAPK is associated
1000 with hydrogen peroxide production in Arabidopsis. *The Journal of biological chemistry*
1001 277, 559-565. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109495200.
- 1002 Robatzek, S., Bittel, P., Chinchilla, D., Kochner, P., Felix, G., Shiu, S.H., and Boller, T.
1003 (2007). Molecular identification and characterization of the tomato flagellin receptor
1004 LeFLS2, an orthologue of Arabidopsis FLS2 exhibiting characteristically different
1005 perception specificities. *Plant molecular biology* 64, 539-547. doi: 10.1007/s11103-007-
1006 9173-8.
- 1007 Rodriguez, M.C., Petersen, M., and Mundy, J. (2010). Mitogen-activated protein kinase
1008 signaling in plants. *Annual review of plant biology* 61, 621-649. doi: 10.1146/annurev-
1009 arplant-042809-112252.
- 1010 Romeis, T., and Herde, M. (2014). From local to global: CDPKs in systemic defense
1011 signaling upon microbial and herbivore attack. *Current opinion in plant biology* 20, 1-10.
1012 doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.03.002.
- 1013 Rossi, M., Goggin, F.L., Milligan, S.B., Kaloshian, I., Ullman, D.E., and Williamson,
1014 V.M. (1998). The nematode resistance gene Mi of tomato confers resistance against the
1015 potato aphid. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
1016 *America* 95, 9750-9754.
- 1017 Roux, M., Schwessinger, B., Albrecht, C., Chinchilla, D., Jones, A., Holton, N.,
1018 Malinovsky, F.G., Tor, M., de Vries, S., and Zipfel, C. (2011). The Arabidopsis leucine-
1019 rich repeat receptor-like kinases BAK1/SERK3 and BKK1/SERK4 are required for
1020 innate immunity to hemibiotrophic and biotrophic pathogens. *The Plant cell* 23, 2440-
1021 2455. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.084301.
- 1022 Sarris, P.F., Cevik, V., Dagdas, G., Jones, J.D., and Krasileva, K.V. (2016). Comparative
1023 analysis of plant immune receptor architectures uncovers host proteins likely targeted by
1024 pathogens. *BMC biology* 14, 8. doi: 10.1186/s12915-016-0228-7.
- 1025 Schechter, L.M., Roberts, K.A., Jamir, Y., Alfano, J.R., and Collmer, A. (2004).
1026 *Pseudomonas syringae* type III secretion system targeting signals and novel effectors
1027 studied with a Cya translocation reporter. *Journal of bacteriology* 186, 543-555.

- 1028 Schulz, P., Herde, M., and Romeis, T. (2013). Calcium-dependent protein kinases: hubs
1029 in plant stress signaling and development. *Plant physiology* 163, 523-530. doi:
1030 10.1104/pp.113.222539.
- 1031 Schulze, B., Mentzel, T., Jehle, A.K., Mueller, K., Beeler, S., Boller, T., Felix, G., and
1032 Chinchilla, D. (2010). Rapid heteromerization and phosphorylation of ligand-activated
1033 plant transmembrane receptors and their associated kinase BAK1. *The Journal of*
1034 *biological chemistry* 285, 9444-9451. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.096842.
- 1035 Schwessinger, B., and Ronald, P.C. (2012). Plant innate immunity: perception of
1036 conserved microbial signatures. *Annual review of plant biology* 63, 451-482. doi:
1037 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105518.
- 1038 Segonzac, C., and Zipfel, C. (2011). Activation of plant pattern-recognition receptors by
1039 bacteria. *Current opinion in microbiology* 14, 54-61. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2010.12.005.
- 1040 Seo, S., Katou, S., Seto, H., Gomi, K., and Ohashi, Y. (2007). The mitogen-activated
1041 protein kinases WIPK and SIPK regulate the levels of jasmonic and salicylic acids in
1042 wounded tobacco plants. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 49, 899-909.
1043 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.03003.x.
- 1044 Shirasu, K. (2009). The HSP90-SGT1 chaperone complex for NLR immune sensors.
1045 *Annual review of plant biology* 60, 139-164. doi:
1046 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092906.
- 1047 Smith, J.M., and Heese, A. (2014). Rapid bioassay to measure early reactive oxygen
1048 species production in Arabidopsis leaf tissue in response to living *Pseudomonas*
1049 *syringae*. *Plant methods* 10, 6. doi: 10.1186/1746-4811-10-6.
- 1050 Somerville, C., Bauer, S., Brininstool, G., Facette, M., Hamann, T., Milne, J., Osborne,
1051 E., Paredez, A., Persson, S., Raab, T., *et al.* (2004). Toward a systems approach to
1052 understanding plant cell walls. *Science (New York, NY)* 306, 2206-2211. doi:
1053 10.1126/science.1102765.
- 1054 Su, S.H., Bush, S.M., Zaman, N., Stecker, K., Sussman, M.R., and Krysan, P. (2013).
1055 Deletion of a tandem gene family in Arabidopsis: increased MEKK2 abundance triggers
1056 autoimmunity when the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 signaling cascade is disrupted. *The*
1057 *Plant cell* 25, 1895-1910. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.112102.
- 1058 Suarez-Rodriguez, M.C., Adams-Phillips, L., Liu, Y., Wang, H., Su, S.H., Jester, P.J.,
1059 Zhang, S., Bent, A.F., and Krysan, P.J. (2007). MEKK1 is required for flg22-induced
1060 MPK4 activation in Arabidopsis plants. *Plant physiology* 143, 661-669. doi:
1061 10.1104/pp.106.091389.
- 1062 Sun, W., Dunning, F.M., Pfund, C., Weingarten, R., and Bent, A.F. (2006). Within-
1063 species flagellin polymorphism in *Xanthomonas campestris* pv *campestris* and its impact
1064 on elicitation of Arabidopsis FLAGELLIN SENSING2-dependent defenses. *The Plant*
1065 *cell* 18, 764-779. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.037648.
- 1066 Szczegieliński, J., Klimecka, M., Liwosz, A., Ciesielski, A., Kaczanowski, S.,
1067 Dobrowolska, G., Harmon, A.C., and Muszynska, G. (2005). A wound-responsive and
1068 phospholipid-regulated maize calcium-dependent protein kinase. *Plant physiology* 139,
1069 1970-1983. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.066472.

- 1070 Tada, Y., Spoel, S.H., Pajerowska-Mukhtar, K., Mou, Z., Song, J., Wang, C., Zuo, J., and
1071 Dong, X. (2008). Plant immunity requires conformational changes [corrected] of NPR1
1072 via S-nitrosylation and thioredoxins. *Science (New York, NY)* 321, 952-956. doi:
1073 10.1126/science.1156970.
- 1074 Takahashi, F., Yoshida, R., Ichimura, K., Mizoguchi, T., Seo, S., Yonezawa, M.,
1075 Maruyama, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., and Shinozaki, K. (2007). The mitogen-
1076 activated protein kinase cascade MKK3-MPK6 is an important part of the jasmonate
1077 signal transduction pathway in Arabidopsis. *The Plant cell* 19, 805-818. doi:
1078 10.1105/tpc.106.046581.
- 1079 Takken, F.L., and Goverse, A. (2012). How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis
1080 of NB-LRR function. *Current opinion in plant biology* 15, 375-384. doi:
1081 10.1016/j.pbi.2012.05.001.
- 1082 Tao, Y., Xie, Z., Chen, W., Glazebrook, J., Chang, H.S., Han, B., Zhu, T., Zou, G., and
1083 Katagiri, F. (2003). Quantitative nature of Arabidopsis responses during compatible and
1084 incompatible interactions with the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*. *The Plant*
1085 *cell* 15, 317-330.
- 1086 Teige, M., Scheikl, E., Eulgem, T., Doczi, R., Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K., Dangl, J.L.,
1087 and Hirt, H. (2004). The MKK2 pathway mediates cold and salt stress signaling in
1088 Arabidopsis. *Molecular cell* 15, 141-152. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2004.06.023.
- 1089 Teper, D., Salomon, D., Sunitha, S., Kim, J.G., Mudgett, M.B., and Sessa, G. (2014).
1090 *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* type III effector XopQ interacts with tomato and pepper 14-
1091 3-3 isoforms to suppress effector-triggered immunity. *The Plant journal : for cell and*
1092 *molecular biology* 77, 297-309. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12391.
- 1093 Testerink, C., Larsen, P.B., McLoughlin, F., van der Does, D., van Himbergen, J.A., and
1094 Munnik, T. (2008). PA, a stress-induced short cut to switch-on ethylene signalling by
1095 switching-off CTR1? *Plant signaling & behavior* 3, 681-683.
- 1096 Testerink, C., Larsen, P.B., van der Does, D., van Himbergen, J.A., and Munnik, T.
1097 (2007). Phosphatidic acid binds to and inhibits the activity of Arabidopsis CTR1. *Journal*
1098 *of experimental botany* 58, 3905-3914. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm243.
- 1099 Thomma, B.P., Nurnberger, T., and Joosten, M.H. (2011). Of PAMPs and effectors: the
1100 blurred PTI-ETI dichotomy. *The Plant cell* 23, 4-15. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.082602.
- 1101 Trda, L., Fernandez, O., Boutrot, F., Heloir, M.C., Kelloniemi, J., Daire, X., Adrian, M.,
1102 Clement, C., Zipfel, C., Dorey, S., *et al.* (2014). The grapevine flagellin receptor VvFLS2
1103 differentially recognizes flagellin-derived epitopes from the endophytic growth-
1104 promoting bacterium *Burkholderia phytofirmans* and plant pathogenic bacteria. *The New*
1105 *phytologist* 201, 1371-1384. doi: 10.1111/nph.12592.
- 1106 Tsuda, K., and Katagiri, F. (2010). Comparing signaling mechanisms engaged in pattern-
1107 triggered and effector-triggered immunity. *Current opinion in plant biology* 13, 459-465.
1108 doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2010.04.006.
- 1109 Tsuda, K., Mine, A., Bethke, G., Igarashi, D., Botanga, C.J., Tsuda, Y., Glazebrook, J.,
1110 Sato, M., and Katagiri, F. (2013). Dual regulation of gene expression mediated by

- 1111 extended MAPK activation and salicylic acid contributes to robust innate immunity in
1112 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *PLoS genetics* 9, e1004015. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004015.
- 1113 Tsuda, K., Sato, M., Stoddard, T., Glazebrook, J., and Katagiri, F. (2009). Network
1114 properties of robust immunity in plants. *PLoS genetics* 5, e1000772. doi:
1115 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000772.
- 1116 Tzfira, T., Vaidya, M., and Citovsky, V. (2004). Involvement of targeted proteolysis in
1117 plant genetic transformation by *Agrobacterium*. *Nature* 431, 87-92. doi:
1118 10.1038/nature02857.
- 1119 Van der Biezen, E.A., and Jones, J.D. (1998). Plant disease-resistance proteins and the
1120 gene-for-gene concept. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 23, 454-456.
- 1121 van der Hoorn, R.A.L., and Kamoun, S. (2008). From Guard to Decoy: A New Model for
1122 Perception of Plant Pathogen Effectors. *The Plant cell* 20, 2009-2017. doi:
1123 10.1105/tpc.108.060194.
- 1124 Vetter, M.M., Kronholm, I., He, F., Haweker, H., Reymond, M., Bergelson, J., Robatzek,
1125 S., and de Meaux, J. (2012). Flagellin perception varies quantitatively in *Arabidopsis*
1126 *thaliana* and its relatives. *Molecular biology and evolution* 29, 1655-1667. doi:
1127 10.1093/molbev/mss011.
- 1128 Vlot, A.C., Dempsey, D.A., and Klessig, D.F. (2009). Salicylic Acid, a multifaceted
1129 hormone to combat disease. *Annual review of phytopathology* 47, 177-206. doi:
1130 10.1146/annurev.phyto.050908.135202.
- 1131 Vos, P., Simons, G., Jesse, T., Wijbrandi, J., Heinen, L., Hogers, R., Frijters, A.,
1132 Groenendijk, J., Diergaarde, P., Reijans, M., *et al.* (1998). The tomato Mi-1 gene confers
1133 resistance to both root-knot nematodes and potato aphids. *Nature biotechnology* 16,
1134 1365-1369. doi: 10.1038/4350.
- 1135 Wang, C., Zien, C.A., Afithhile, M., Welti, R., Hildebrand, D.F., and Wang, X. (2000).
1136 Involvement of phospholipase D in wound-induced accumulation of jasmonic acid in
1137 *arabidopsis*. *The Plant cell* 12, 2237-2246.
- 1138 Wang, W., Wen, Y., Berkey, R., and Xiao, S. (2009). Specific targeting of the
1139 *Arabidopsis* resistance protein RPW8.2 to the interfacial membrane encasing the fungal
1140 *Haustorium* renders broad-spectrum resistance to powdery mildew. *The Plant cell* 21,
1141 2898-2913. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.067587.
- 1142 Wang, Y., Li, J., Hou, S., Wang, X., Li, Y., Ren, D., Chen, S., Tang, X., and Zhou, J.M.
1143 (2010). A *Pseudomonas syringae* ADP-ribosyltransferase inhibits *Arabidopsis* mitogen-
1144 activated protein kinase kinases. *The Plant cell* 22, 2033-2044. doi:
1145 10.1105/tpc.110.075697.
- 1146 Weiberg, A., Wang, M., Lin, F.M., Zhao, H., Zhang, Z., Kaloshian, I., Huang, H.D., and
1147 Jin, H. (2013). Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA
1148 interference pathways. *Science (New York, NY)* 342, 118-123. doi:
1149 10.1126/science.1239705.

- 1150 Weiler, E.W., Kutchan, T.M., Gorba, T., Brodschelm, W., Niesel, U., and Bublit, F.
1151 (1994). The *Pseudomonas* phytotoxin coronatine mimics octadecanoid signalling
1152 molecules of higher plants. *FEBS letters* 345, 9-13.
- 1153 Wirthmueller, L., Zhang, Y., Jones, J.D., and Parker, J.E. (2007). Nuclear accumulation
1154 of the *Arabidopsis* immune receptor RPS4 is necessary for triggering EDS1-dependent
1155 defense. *Current biology : CB* 17, 2023-2029. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2007.10.042.
- 1156 Wroblewski, T., Caldwell, K.S., Piskurewicz, U., Cavanaugh, K.A., Xu, H., Kozik, A.,
1157 Ochoa, O., McHale, L.K., Lahre, K., Jelenska, J., *et al.* (2009). Comparative large-scale
1158 analysis of interactions between several crop species and the effector repertoires from
1159 multiple pathovars of *Pseudomonas* and *Ralstonia*. *Plant physiology* 150, 1733-1749. doi:
1160 10.1104/pp.109.140251.
- 1161 Wu, Y., Zhang, D., Chu, J.Y., Boyle, P., Wang, Y., Brindle, I.D., De Luca, V., and
1162 Despres, C. (2012). The *Arabidopsis* NPR1 protein is a receptor for the plant defense
1163 hormone salicylic acid. *Cell reports* 1, 639-647. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2012.05.008.
- 1164 Xiao, S., Calis, O., Patrick, E., Zhang, G., Charoenwattana, P., Muskett, P., Parker, J.E.,
1165 and Turner, J.G. (2005). The atypical resistance gene, RPW8, recruits components of
1166 basal defence for powdery mildew resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant journal : for cell*
1167 *and molecular biology* 42, 95-110. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02356.x.
- 1168 Xin, X.F., and He, S.Y. (2013). *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000: a model
1169 pathogen for probing disease susceptibility and hormone signaling in plants. *Annual*
1170 *review of phytopathology* 51, 473-498. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102321.
- 1171 Yamaguchi, Y., Pearce, G., and Ryan, C.A. (2006). The cell surface leucine-rich repeat
1172 receptor for AtPep1, an endogenous peptide elicitor in *Arabidopsis*, is functional in
1173 transgenic tobacco cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
1174 *States of America* 103, 10104-10109. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603729103.
- 1175 Yang, X., Wang, W., Coleman, M., Orgil, U., Feng, J., Ma, X., Ferl, R., Turner, J.G., and
1176 Xiao, S. (2009). *Arabidopsis* 14-3-3 lambda is a positive regulator of RPW8-mediated
1177 disease resistance. *The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology* 60, 539-550. doi:
1178 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03978.x.
- 1179 Yeats, T.H., and Rose, J.K. (2013). The formation and function of plant cuticles. *Plant*
1180 *physiology* 163, 5-20. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.222737.
- 1181 Yu, A., Lepere, G., Jay, F., Wang, J., Bapaume, L., Wang, Y., Abraham, A.L.,
1182 Penterman, J., Fischer, R.L., Voinnet, O., *et al.* (2013). Dynamics and biological
1183 relevance of DNA demethylation in *Arabidopsis* antibacterial defense. *Proceedings of the*
1184 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 2389-2394. doi:
1185 10.1073/pnas.1211757110.
- 1186 Yun, B.W., Feechan, A., Yin, M., Saidi, N.B., Le Bihan, T., Yu, M., Moore, J.W., Kang,
1187 J.G., Kwon, E., Spoel, S.H., *et al.* (2011). S-nitrosylation of NADPH oxidase regulates
1188 cell death in plant immunity. *Nature* 478, 264-268. doi: 10.1038/nature10427.
- 1189 Zhang, J., Li, W., Xiang, T., Liu, Z., Laluk, K., Ding, X., Zou, Y., Gao, M., Zhang, X.,
1190 Chen, S., *et al.* (2010). Receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases integrate signaling from

- multiple plant immune receptors and are targeted by a *Pseudomonas syringae* effector. *Cell host & microbe* *7*, 290-301. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2010.03.007.
- Zhang, J., Shao, F., Li, Y., Cui, H., Chen, L., Li, H., Zou, Y., Long, C., Lan, L., Chai, J., *et al.* (2007). A *Pseudomonas syringae* effector inactivates MAPKs to suppress PAMP-induced immunity in plants. *Cell host & microbe* *1*, 175-185. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2007.03.006.
- Zhang, Y., Zhu, H., Zhang, Q., Li, M., Yan, M., Wang, R., Wang, L., Welti, R., Zhang, W., and Wang, X. (2009). Phospholipase $\alpha 1$ and phosphatidic acid regulate NADPH oxidase activity and production of reactive oxygen species in ABA-mediated stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant cell* *21*, 2357-2377. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.062992.
- Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., Gao, M., Zhang, J., Kong, Q., Liu, Y., Ba, H., Zhou, J., and Zhang, Y. (2012). Disruption of PAMP-induced MAP kinase cascade by a *Pseudomonas syringae* effector activates plant immunity mediated by the NB-LRR protein SUMM2. *Cell host & microbe* *11*, 253-263. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.01.015.
- Zhao, B.Y., Ardales, E., Brasset, E., Claflin, L.E., Leach, J.E., and Hulbert, S.H. (2004). The *Rxo1/Rba1* locus of maize controls resistance reactions to pathogenic and non-host bacteria. *TAG Theoretical and applied genetics Theoretische und angewandte Genetik* *109*, 71-79. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1623-y.
- Zhao, C., Nie, H., Shen, Q., Zhang, S., Lukowitz, W., and Tang, D. (2014). EDR1 physically interacts with MKK4/MKK5 and negatively regulates a MAP kinase cascade to modulate plant innate immunity. *PLoS Genetics* *10*, e1004389. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004389.
- Zhu, Z., Xu, F., Zhang, Y., Cheng, Y.T., Wiermer, M., Li, X., and Zhang, Y. (2010). *Arabidopsis* resistance protein SNC1 activates immune responses through association with a transcriptional corepressor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* *107*, 13960-13965. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1002828107.
- Zipfel, C. (2009). Early molecular events in PAMP-triggered immunity. *Current opinion in plant biology* *12*, 414-420. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.06.003.
- Zipfel, C., Kunze, G., Chinchilla, D., Caniard, A., Jones, J.D., Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2006). Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. *Cell* *125*, 749-760. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.037.
- Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Navarro, L., Oakeley, E.J., Jones, J.D., Felix, G., and Boller, T. (2004). Bacterial disease resistance in *Arabidopsis* through flagellin perception. *Nature* *428*, 764-767. doi: 10.1038/nature02485.