Accepted Manuscript

Vertical distribution, composition and migratory patterns of acoustic scattering layers in the Canary Islands

A. Ariza, J.M. Landeira, A. Escáñez, R. Wienerroither, N. Aguilar de Soto, A. Røstad, S. Kaartvedt, S. Hernández-León

PII: S0924-7963(16)00017-8
DOI: doi: [10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.01.004)
Reference: MARSYS 2780

To appear in: *Journal of Marine Systems*

Received date: 22 September 2015
Revised date: 12 January 2016
Accepted date: 14 January 2016

Please cite this article as: Ariza, A., Landeira, J.M., Escáñez, A., Wienerroither, R., Aguilar de Soto, N., Røstad, A., Kaartvedt, S., Hernández-León, S., Vertical distribution, composition and migratory patterns of acoustic scattering layers in the Canary Islands, *Journal of Marine Systems* (2016), doi: [10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016.01.004)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Vertical distribution, composition and migratory patterns of acoustic scattering layers in the Canary Islands

A. Ariza^{a,*}, J. M. Landeira^b, A. Escáñez^{c,e}, R. Wienerroither^d, N. Aguilar de Soto^e,
A. Røstad^f, S. Kaartvedt^g, S. Hernández-León^a

^a*Instituto de Oceanografía y Cambio global, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria. Las Palmas, Spain*

^b*Graduate School of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University. Hakodate, Japan*

^c*Departamento de Ecología e Biología Animal, Universidad de Vigo. Vigo, Spain*

^d*Institute of Marine Research. Bergen, Norway*

^e*Departamento de Biología Animal, Edafología y Geología, Universidad de La Laguna. Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain*

^f*Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology. Thuwal, Saudi Arabia*

^g*Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo. Oslo, Norway*

Abstract

Diel vertical migration (DVM) facilitates biogeochemical exchanges between shallow waters and the deep ocean. An effective way of monitoring the migrant biota is by acoustic observations although the interpretation of the scattering layers poses challenges. Here we combine results from acoustic observations at 18 and 38 kHz with limited net sampling in order to unveil the origin of acoustic phenomena around the Canary Islands, subtropical northeast Atlantic Ocean. Trawling data revealed a high diversity of fishes, decapods and cephalopods (152 species), although few dominant species likely were responsible for most of the sound scattering in the region. We identified four different acoustic scattering layers in the mesopelagic realm: (1) at 400-500 m depth, a swimbladder resonance phenomenon at 18 kHz produced by

*Corresponding autor

Email address: avariza@ulpgc.es (A. Ariza)

gas-bearing migrant fish such as *Vinciguerrria* spp. and *Lobianchia dofleini*, (2) at 500-600 m depth, a dense 38 kHz layer resulting primarily from the gas-bearing and non-migrant fish *Cyclothone braueri*, and to a lesser extent, from fluid-like migrant fauna also inhabiting these depths, (3) between 600-800 m depth, a weak signal at both 18 and 38 kHz ascribed either to migrant fish or decapods, and (4) below 800 m depth, a weak non-migrant layer at 18 kHz which was not sampled. All the dielly migrating layers reached the epipelagic zone at night, with the shorter-range migrations moving at $4.6 \pm 2.6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ and the long-range ones at $11.5 \pm 3.8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. This work reduces uncertainties interpreting standard frequencies in mesopelagic studies, while enhances the potential of acoustics for future research and monitoring of the deep pelagic fauna in the Canary Islands.

Keywords: Diel Vertical Migration, mesopelagic, Deep Scattering Layer, micronekton, swimbladder resonance

1. Introduction

Acoustic scattering from marine organisms are caused by body structures with densities notably different from water, such as gas bladders or lipid inclusions (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). Thanks to this phenomenon, the vertical distribution of pelagic animals can be easily monitored using scientific echosounders (Kloser et al., 2002; Kaartvedt et al., 2009; Cade and Benoit-Bird, 2015). Two reflecting regions are normally visible in the ocean, the shallow and the deep scattering layer (SSL and DSL) occurring respectively in the epipelagic and the mesopelagic domains (0-200 and 200-1000 m depth), with the latter often portioned into multiple layers. Part of the biota forming the DSLs feed between dusk and dawn in the epipelagic zone, producing a thicker and more intense SSL during the night. This displacement is known as Diel Vertical Migration (DVM), occurring on a daily basis around the

13 world's oceans and performed by a large variety of zooplankton and micronekton
14 species (Tucker, 1951; Barham, 1966; Roe, 1974; Pearre, 2003).

15 DVM promotes trophic interactions and biogeochemical exchanges between the
16 upper layers and the deep ocean (Ducklow et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2010), and
17 its study is therefore important for understanding pelagic ecosystems functioning.
18 The micronekton component, mainly fishes, decapods and cephalopods between 2
19 and 10 cm in length (Brodeur et al., 2005), is expected to account for a substantial
20 export of carbon to the deep ocean as they comprise a significant fraction of the
21 migrant biomass (Angel and Pugh, 2000), and cover more extensive depth ranges
22 than zooplankton (Badcock and Merrett, 1976; Roe, 1984b; Domanski, 1984). In
23 fact, the importance of fishes and decapods in mediating carbon export has been
24 recently highlighted by several studies (Hidaka et al., 2001; Davison et al., 2013;
25 Schukat et al., 2013; Hudson et al., 2014; Ariza et al., 2015). Therefore, using acoustic
26 observations for monitoring their distribution and migrations may be a powerful tool
27 for the ocean carbon pump assessment.

28 The present study focus on micronekton from mesopelagic waters nearby the Ca-
29 nary Islands, a region in the subtropical northeast Atlantic exhibiting open-ocean
30 and oligotrophic gyre characteristics (Barton et al., 1998; Davenport et al., 2002;
31 Neuer et al., 2007). Due to its position between temperate and tropical waters,
32 this faunal province presents a high diversity of mesopelagic micronekton in com-
33 parison to other latitudes (Backus and Craddock, 1977; Badcock and Merrett, 1977;
34 Landeira and Fransen, 2012). In the Canary Islands, the vertical distribution of
35 fishes (Badcock, 1970), decapods (Foxton, 1970a,b), cephalopods (Clarke, 1969) and
36 euphausiids (Baker, 1970) were thoroughly studied in the SOND cruise during the
37 mid-sixties (Foxton, 1969), providing valuable knowledge about DVM in the area.
38 More recent studies have contributed to a more detailed catalogue of mesopelagic

micronekton illustrating community differences between neritic and oceanic realms around the Canary Islands (Bordes et al., 2009; Wienerroither et al., 2009). However, the lack of integrated studies combining acoustic data and biological information from net sampling has prevented the identification of the specific organisms responsible for each scattering layer occurring in the archipelago. Acoustic properties of mid-water fauna may help in this task. For instance, the swimbladder of fishes produce resonance under certain frequencies and pressure conditions, depending on its size and internal structure (Andreeva, 1964; Capen, 1967; Weston, 1967; Kloser et al., 2002). Thus, the swimbladder features of dominant mesopelagic fishes can be used in combination with resonance models to investigate the origin of acoustic scattering.

This study describes the acoustic properties and the vertical distribution of scattering layers at 18 and 38 kHz occurring from the surface to 1000 m depth in the Canary Islands, as well as their diel migrant movements between the epipelagic and the mesopelagic zone. We also present the first attempt to identify organisms causing these layers by trawling. The assessment of species composition of the scattering biota was complemented with a swimbladder resonance model, and also contrasted with previous reports of the micronekton vertical distribution in the region.

2. Methods

2.1. Survey

The survey was conducted in two locations southwest of La Palma and Tenerife Islands (Canary Islands), between the 1000 and 2000 m isobaths (Figure 1). From the 9th to the 18th of April 2012, hydrographic and acoustic data, as well as micronekton samples were collected on board the R/V *Cornide de Saavedra*.

62 2.2. Hydrography

63 Vertical profiles of conductivity and temperature were collected using a SeaBird
 64 9/11-plus CTD equipped with dual conductivity and temperature sensors. CTD
 65 sensors were calibrated at the SeaBird laboratory before the cruise. A sensor for
 66 measurements of dissolved oxygen (SeaBird SBE-43) and fluorometer for chlorophyll
 67 *a* estimations (WetLabs ECO-FL) were linked to the CTD unit. Seawater analyses of
 68 dissolved oxygen (Winkler titrations) and chlorophyll *a* extractions were performed
 69 to calibrate the voltage readings of both sensors. Analyses were carried out in accor-
 70 dance with the JGOFS recommendations (UNESCO, 1994). Temperature, dissolved
 71 oxygen and chlorophyll *a* profiles were averaged from 3 CTD casts performed within
 72 each sampling area off La Palma and Tenerife Islands (Figure 2).

73 2.3. Acoustics

74 Hull-mounted SIMRAD EK60 echosounders operating at 18 and 38 kHz (11° and
 75 7° beam width, respectively) were used for recording acoustic data. Configuration
 76 was set at 1024 μ s pulse duration and one ping every 3 seconds. Due to the draft of
 77 the transducer and to prevent near-field effects (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005),
 78 acoustic data for the first 10 meters depth were not available. In order to avoid
 79 the range-increasing noise (Korneliussen, 2000), maximum depth of data used was
 80 1000 m, and minimum threshold was set to -80 dB. The echosounders were cali-
 81 brated *in-situ* by standard techniques (Foote et al., 1987). Since acoustic records
 82 covered several days while trawling in each location, we opted for showing a com-
 83 posite echogram per location, which were obtained by averaging the daily acoustic
 84 data every minute (Figure 3 and 4). Fragments with scattering layers visibly affected
 85 by steaming noise or interferences from other acoustic devices were removed before
 86 averaging. Echograms were shown at 18 kHz and 38 kHz, and also as the difference

between both frequencies (18 kHz minus 38 kHz). In order to calculate approximate vertical migration velocities, we manually marked sets of points over different migratory traces observed at 18 and 38 kHz. The velocities were extracted by averaging the slopes along the curves fitted to these points. All acoustic data were processed using customized applications in Matlab software.

2.4. Biological sampling

Micronekton was captured using a pelagic trawl with a 300 m² mouth area and 45 m length. The mesh size was 80 cm near the opening, decreasing to 1 cm in the cod end. Hauls were performed within the different scattering regions according to information provided by the echosounders and the Scanmar depth sensor attached to the trawl headline. Depth and time of each haul were pointed out by boxes overlaying the 24 hour echogram shown in Figure 3. Since the trawl had no opening-closing system, deploying and lifting were conducted without towing to reduce the by-catch from non-desired strata. The towing speed was maintained near 3 knots, with effective fishing times of one hour and approximate distances of three nautical miles. Samples were frozen on board at -20°C. Once in the laboratory, they were fixed in 4% buffered formalin and later transferred to 70% ethanol for species identification, enumeration, weighing and length measurements. Catch results were not standardized by water volume filtered since the effective mouth size was uncertain due to the decreasing meshes along the trawl. Abundance data was instead shown as "individuals per haul", without the need of standardization since the differences in both fishing times and distances among hauls were below 5%. Non-migrant species were excluded from abundances and biomass of the nocturnal epipelagic hauls (Table 1). For this, we checked the diel vertical distribution of micronekton species consulting the existing literature in the region (Clarke, 1969; Badcock, 1970; Foxton, 1970a,b;

112 Badcock and Merrett, 1976; Roe and Badcock, 1984; Roe, 1984a; Clarke and Lu,
113 1995). We also excluded other non-migrant species that were detected in shallow
114 waters during hauling tests performed at daytime.

115 The naming convention for hauls was, a first letter depicting whether the tow was
116 conducted during the day (D) or during the night (N), followed by a 3-digit number
117 indicating the averaged depth, and finally a letter indicating if the location was La
118 Palma (P) or Tenerife (T). For example, D450T would be a daytime haul conducted
119 at an averaged depth of 450 m depth in Tenerife.

120 2.5. Community analyses

121 Community assemblage structure was analyzed through hierarchical agglomer-
122 ative and unweighted arithmetic average (UPGMA) clustering based on the Bray-
123 Curtis similarity matrix (Bray and Curtis, 1957). Significant clusters were afterwards
124 tested using the similarity profile procedure (SIMPROF, Clarke et al., 2008). The
125 high diversity of the sampled community posed difficulties for illustrating catch re-
126 sults for all the species identified in this study (152). Many of these species showed
127 very low abundances, presumably contributing poorly to acoustic scattering and mi-
128 grations. For this reason, after clustering we focused the graphical results on domi-
129 nant fishes, decapods and cephalopods involved in diel vertical migrations in the re-
130 gion, and also on the most abundant non-migrant species occupying the mesopelagic
131 domain. Nevertheless, raw data of the entire community are also provided in supple-
132 mentary material. Multivariate analyses were performed with Fathom toolbox for
133 Matlab (Jones, 2014).

134 2.6. Source of scattering analysis

135 A target much smaller than its incident wavelength produces a weak echo that
136 increases rapidly with higher frequencies. On the contrary, for large targets the fre-

quency has little effect (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). Besides, targets with densities very different from those of seawater resonate when their dimensions are shorter but near the wavelength of a given frequency. This is typically caused by "gas-bearing organisms", which produce very high backscattering. On the other hand, those with densities similar to seawater are known as "fluid-like organisms", producing much weaker echo (Stanton and Chu, 2000; Lavery et al., 2002; Korneliussen and Ona, 2003). In this study, fishes with gas-filled swimbladders would be the main cause of resonance according to trawl data, while crustaceans, squids or non gas-bearing fishes probably behaved as fluid-like targets.

In order to identify potential species producing resonance, we assigned a swimbladder condition (gas-filled, contracted or fat-invested) for the dominant fishes inhabiting each scattering layer. This was determined for each species and according to their standard lengths consulting the swimbladder catalogues of Marshall (1960), Kleckner and Gibbs (1972), and Badcock and Merrett (1977). The equivalent spherical radii (ESR) were as well estimated on the basis of standard lengths using species-specific equations given by Saenger (1989). If equations were not available from a given specie, the radius was obtained from swimbladder spherical volumes of the same size fishes given by Kleckner and Gibbs (1972) and using simple sphere calculations.

Once collected the swimbladder features and sizes of the main targets forming each layer, we modeled the swimbladder resonance from surface to 1000 m depth at 18 and 38 kHz. This was achieved following the model developed by Andreeva (1964), later adapted for prolate spheroids by Weston (1967), and applied as in Kloser et al. (2002).

$$TS = 10 \log_{10}(\sigma_{bs}) \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_{bs} = a_{es}^2 \left(\left(\left(\frac{f_p}{f} \right)^2 - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^{-1} \quad (2)$$

$$f_p = f_o 2^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{3}} (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\ln \left(\frac{1 + (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - (1 - e^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3)$$

$$f_o = \frac{1}{2\pi a_{es}} \left(\frac{3\gamma P + 4\mu_1}{\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4)$$

$$P = (1 + 0.103D)10^5 \quad (5)$$

TS is the target strength of the swimbladder. σ_{bs} is the acoustic backscatter-
 ing cross-section at the incident acoustic frequency (f) of an equivalent spherical
 swimbladder volume of radius a_{es} with a prolate resonant frequency (f_p), and a reso-
 nance quality factor of Q . The prolate resonant frequency is a function of the prolate
 spheroid roundness (e) and the spherical resonant frequency (f_o) at a hydrostatic
 pressure (P) for fish depth (D) and fish tissue density (ρ), with a ratio of specific
 heats for the swimbladder gas (γ) and the real part of the complex shear modulus
 of the fish tissue defined by μ_1 . The values assumed were: $\mu_1 = 105$ Pa, $\gamma = 1.4$,
 $\rho = 1.075$ kgm³, and $Q = 5$, following Kloser's et al. (2002) settings. We assumed
 e to be 0.3 according to swimbladder roundness values ranging from 0.2 to 0.4 for
 most mesopelagic fish species found in this study (Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972; Brooks,
 1977). Resonance was modeled for ESRs ranging from 0.3 to 1.8 mm.

The frequency response of echograms at 18 and 38 kHz, the species composition,
 their acoustic properties, and their theoretical resonance along depth was used to
 investigate the species most likely causing scattering in the region.

3. Results

3.1. Hydrography

Both fishing areas were placed leeward of the islands presenting therefore similar hydrographical features (Figures 1 and 2). Sea surface temperature ranged between 19.5 and 19.8°C while seasonal thermoclines were not present in any location (mixing period). Subsurface chlorophyll maxima appeared between 50 and 100 m depth with values of 0.48 and 0.40 mg m⁻³ near La Palma and Tenerife Islands, respectively. Oxygen minima of about 3.3 mL L⁻¹, well above hypoxia levels (<1.4 mL L⁻¹, Ekau et al., 2010), were located between 700 and 800 m depth in both places.

3.2. Distribution of acoustic scattering layers and migrations

According to the different responses shown at 18 and 38 kHz and the depth of occurrence, we distinguished one shallow scattering layer (SSL) in the epipelagic zone and four deep scattering layers (DSLs) in the mesopelagic zone. All of them occurring in waters around La Palma and Tenerife Islands (Figures 3 and 4). The SSL became denser and thicker at night as a consequence of the aggregation of migrant layers coming from deeper waters. This occurred roughly between the surface and 200 m depth coinciding with chlorophyll and oxygen maxima (Figure 2).

In the mesopelagic we identified (Figure 3): a zone characterized by a high backscattering at 18 kHz roughly between 400 and 500 m depth (DSL1), a zone mainly visible at 38 kHz between 500 and 600 m depth (DSL2), a weak backscattering zone at 18 and 38 kHz between 600 and 800 m depth (DSL3), and finally, a weak echo at 18 kHz approximately from 800 to 1000 m depth (DSL4). As exemplified in the echograms registered near La Palma, some scattering layers also exhibited diel vertical movements between the mesopelagic and the epipelagic zone. At sunset (Figures 4a and 4c), shallow upward migrations (U1) were registered from DSL1,

moving at an averaged velocity of $4.6 \pm 2.6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. Simultaneously, deeper upward migrations (U2) moved from DSL3 to shallow waters at $11.5 \pm 3.8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$. At sunrise (Figures 4b and 4d), shallow and deep migrations were observed following similar patterns but moving downwards (D1, D2). DSL1 at 18 kHz and DSL3 at 38 kHz practically disappeared during nighttime (signal close or below the minimum threshold, -80 dB). On the contrary, DSL2 at 38 kHz and DSL4 at 18 kHz apparently did not exhibit vertical movements. DSL2 was however slightly weaker during nighttime. These migratory patterns were visible at both frequencies everyday and everywhere regardless the location surveyed (La Palma or Tenerife Islands).

3.3. Taxonomic composition of acoustic scattering layers

A total of 8199 individuals were classified, resulting in 104, 26 and 22 identified species of fishes, decapods and cephalopods, respectively. The number of individuals per haul for all species captured during the survey is shown in supplementary material.

Fishes were the prevailing group captured within the nocturnal SSL (Table 1), contributing more than 70% in both abundance (%A) and biomass (%B). Myctophidae was the dominant fish family (54%A and 52%B), followed by Phosichthyidae (9%A and 4%B), Gonostomatidae (3%A and 5%B) and Sternoptychidae (1%A and 1%B). Among all fish species, only *Ceratoscopelus warmingii*, *Lobianchia dofleini*, *Hygophum hygomii* and *Vinciguerrria attenuata* accounted for more than 30% of the migratory fish, both in abundance and biomass. Decapods were the second most important group in shallow waters at night (15%A and 9%B), dominated by the families Oplophoridae (9%A and 6%B) and Sergestidae (5%A and 1%B). The most abundant decapods were *Oplophorus spinosus*, *Systellaspis debilis* and *Deosergestes corniculum*. Cephalopods were the least frequent taxon in terms of abundance but

occupied the second place in biomass (13%A and 20%B). This group was dominated by the families Pyroteuthidae (9%A and 14%B) and Onychoteuthidae (2%A and 3%B), with *Pyroteuthis margaritifera*, *Pterygioteuthis giardi* and *Onychoteuthis banksii* as the prevalent migrating species.

Cluster analyses of the faunistic composition revealed 4 significant groups (SIMPROF routine, $P < 0.001$) at a similarity distance of 0.8. Further, the clusters grouped according to the towing depth and time, but regardless of the location surveyed (Figure 5). Nocturnal catches in shallow waters showed the highest abundances of vertical migrants, assembling separately from the rest of hauls (red cluster). In the mesopelagic zone, the hauls conducted within the DSL1 were almost exclusively composed by the myctophid *Lobianchia dofleini* and other small fishes from the genus *Vinciguerrria* (green cluster). The DSL2 was characterized by the occurrence of the non-migrant fish *Cyclothone braueri* and also the presence of vertical migrants such as the lanternfish *Hygophum hygomii*, the dragonfish *Chauliodus danae* or the decapod *Oplophorus spinosus* (blue cluster). The deepest hauls conducted within the DSL3 also clustered together. Here, the most remarkable feature was the massive presence of the non-migrant fish *Cyclothone microdon*, but also the relative high abundances of lanternfishes such as *Lepidophanes gausi* or *Hygophum reinhardtii*, and also several decapods species from the family Sergestidae.

3.4. Dominant targets and their acoustic properties

Despite the high diversity, each scattering region was numerically dominated by a few species for which we collected information about their swimbladder, if present (Table 2). According to catch data, most of the organisms in the DSL1 were fishes near 30 mm in length, bearing gas-filled swimbladders with ESR from 0.6 to 1.2 mm. In the DSL2, the catches were dominated either by fishes or decapods with

sizes ranging from 23 to 133 mm, and with *Cyclothone braueri* being the only species susceptible to bear gas in the swimbladder. In the case of the DSL3, *Cyclothone microdon* accounted for most of the catches, a fish with a modal length of 54 mm and bearing a fat-invested swimbladder. Myctophids and sergestids were also well represented in the DSL3.

4. Discussion

Scientific echosounders are a powerful tool to study the distribution and behavior of pelagic biota (Kloser et al., 2002; Kaartvedt et al., 2009; Cade and Benoit-Bird, 2015). The potential to extract information of biological significance is enhanced when acoustic data are validated with net sampling, as in this study. However, trawling data should be interpreted with caution in order to accurately identify the animals most likely causing sound scattering in the region. First, as expected, a high diversity of mesopelagic species was found here in the subtropical Atlantic (152 species identified in this survey). This introduces a broad list of likely targets, each one with different acoustic properties. Secondly, it is possible that some species found in deep hauls came from contamination of upper layers due to the lack of an opening-closing system in our trawl. This contamination should be reduced according to the velocity of deploying and lifting maneuvers, where the trawl crossed other scattering layers within less than 5 minutes and not in an adequate fishing position. This represented less than 10% of the effective fishing time. With analogous trawling settings, Watanabe et al. (1999) calculated a contamination of less than 2% in the northwestern Pacific. In spite of this, we adopted the precautionary principle of considering susceptible of contamination all the species with less than 50 individuals per haul, as long as these species also occurred at higher abundances in upper strata (see light-colored bars in Figures 5d and 5e). This did not apply therefore to hauls

275 conducted in shallow waters, or those in the DSL1 at daytime. Contaminated or
276 not, this approach only excludes non-dominant species, which on the other hand, are
277 expected to poorly contribute to sound scattering due to their low abundances.

278 Therefore, in order to find the main cause of reverberation, here we focused on
279 the acoustic properties of the dominant species captured within each scattering layer.
280 It should be noted, however, that these scattering zones must also be inhabited by
281 many species not visible in our echograms, either because their weak signal is easily
282 masked by dominant sound reflectors, or because they do not respond at all under
283 the insonifying frequency.

284 The distribution of scattering layers as well as their species composition was
285 quite similar regardless the location surveyed, which in fact, also presented similar
286 hydrographic conditions (Figure 2). We systematically observed two strong layers
287 between 400 and 600 m depth (Figure 3), the upper one responding higher at 18
288 kHz (DSL1), and the lower one at 38 kHz (DSL2). This layout has been previously
289 documented at other latitudes, where the upper layer at 18 kHz has been ascribed to
290 the resonance of small swimbladdered fishes such as the pearlside *Maurolicus muelleri*
291 (Kaartvedt et al., 2008; Godø et al., 2009) or different species of myctophids (Olivar
292 et al., 2012; Peña et al., 2014). According to the model developed by Kloser et al.
293 (2002), animals bearing gas bladders of ESR about 1.2-1.4 mm are susceptible to
294 resonate at 18 kHz when inhabiting waters between 400-500 m depth (see Figure 6a).
295 In our study the DSL1 was mainly inhabited by gas-filled swimbladdered animals,
296 the lightfishes *Vinciguerria* spp. being the most likely targets producing resonance
297 at 18 kHz (ESR= 1.2 mm, Table 2). According to the same model, *L. dofleini* might
298 be causing swimbladder resonance at similar depths but at 38 kHz (ESR=0.6 mm,
299 Table 2 and Figure 6b). Another clue supporting that these layers are mainly caused
300 by swimbladder resonance is the changing backscattering during vertical migration

(averaged Sv from -65 dB to -59 dB, see Figures 4c and 4d). This is because the effective scattering cross section of fishes varies substantially due to little variations of the swimbladder dimensions when changing depth, typically showing maximum values between 150 and 400 m depth (Andreeva, 1964; Godø et al., 2009).

Even though there were migrations coming from or passing by the DSL2, this layer itself did not exhibit diel vertical movements. This layer remained visible at the same depth range during all day long. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the DSL2 also became less dense at night (Figures 4a and 4b). This implies that the main targets producing the DSL2 must be non-migrant animals, albeit the migrant fauna also contributed to a lesser extent. Among the dominant species inhabiting the DSL2, the fish *C. braueri* is the only one not performing vertical migrations (Goodyear et al., 1972; Badcock and Merrett, 1976) and the best sound reflector according to their swimbladder features (Table 2). It is difficult to predict the size range over which fat-investment occurs in *C. braueri* since there is a considerable variability between individuals (Marshall, 1960; Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972; Badcock and Merrett, 1977). Here, the swimbladder condition was determined for individuals of 23 mm (modal length), not being clear whether gas was displaced by fat at this stage or later (see Table 2). However, it should be taken into account that sizes of *C. braueri* ranged from 16 to 29 mm, and that our trawl probably biased the abundances towards large specimens (see paragraph below). It is probably therefore that both gas-filled and fat-invested swimbladders occur in the population of *C. braueri* inhabiting near the DSL2. According to our catch results, the most common sizes of *C. braueri* would have swimbladders of ESR near 0.7 mm, which could be responsible of the acoustic resonance at 38 kHz (see Table 2 and Figure 6b).

We are aware that our trawl data showed species more abundant than *C. braueri* at the DSL2, such as the lanternfish *Hygophum hygomii* or the decapod *Oplophorus*

327 *spinosus* (Figure 5d). However, they are vertical migrants and behave as bad sound
 328 reflectors due to the atrophy or the absence of the swimbladder (Table 2). This
 329 migrant fauna could be instead the secondary cause of the DSL2 and responsible
 330 of its weakening at night. In addition to this, *C. braueri* might be the dominant
 331 fish species within the DSL2, even though its presence was not the most prominent
 332 in our catches (Figure 5d). According to previous studies, *C. braueri* is extremely
 333 abundant at the DSL2 when using sampling gears with smaller meshes, about one
 334 to two orders of magnitude more abundant than other species of the same sample
 335 (Badcock, 1970; Badcock and Merrett, 1976; Roe and Badcock, 1984). We think
 336 therefore that this fish was widely underestimated due to the large meshes of our
 337 trawl. Based on the above, we prioritized in this case acoustic insights such as the
 338 non-migrant behavior of the DSL2 or the optimal scattering features of *C. braueri*
 339 rather than our catch results. Obviously, other small animals belonging to species
 340 different to *C. braueri* could have been as well underestimated with our trawl, this
 341 would remain a possibility. However, we have no clue in this respect when consulting
 342 earlier studies. The most noticeable change when using smaller sampling gears within
 343 the DSL2 is the dominance of *C. braueri* (Badcock, 1970; Badcock and Merrett, 1976;
 344 Roe and Badcock, 1984).

345 Acoustic scattering was notably lower below 600 m depth, where differences in
 346 the frequency response suggested the existence of different community strata. From
 347 600 to 800 m depth (DSL3) sound reflection was mainly caused by migrant biota as
 348 evidenced by the diel vertical movements of both the 18 and 38 kHz scattering layers
 349 (Figure 4). Here the captures were clearly dominated by *Cyclothone microdon* (Fig-
 350 ure 5f), a fish which could in principle be a likely target causing scattering if it were
 351 not for the fact that this species does not conduct migrations (Goodyear et al., 1972;
 352 Badcock and Merrett, 1976). Besides, *C. microdon* and other species of the genus

inhabiting below 600 m depth, are no longer potential sound reflectors due to the fat investment of their swimbladders during earlier stages in shallower waters (Badcock and Merrett, 1976, 1977). Therefore, dominant migrants in the DSL3 must be the most likely acoustic targets. Among others, the myctophids *Lepidophanes gaussi*, *Hygophum reinhardtii*, or many species of sergestids which were abundant at these depths. According to the literature, *L. gaussi* and *H. reinhardtii* bear swimbladders which in case of being functional (gas-filled) could cause resonance at 18 kHz (Figure 6a). Although we lack such information (Table 2), the scattering levels below 600 m depth are not indicative of swimbladder resonance. This is consistent with the fact that swimbladders are usually contracted (atrophied) in myctophids reaching these depths (see discussion below). Therefore, it is probably that both fishes and decapods inhabiting this strata behave as fluid-like targets, but uncertain which one causes more reflection.

Contracted swimbladders are a common feature in large myctophids, which is the same as saying that the functionality of the swimbladder decreases with migration depth (Marshall, 1960; Butler and Percy, 1972; Davison, 2011). This is especially true in our study area, where the smallest myctophid *Lobianchia dofleini* exhibits the shallower migration depth while largest species such as *Ceratoscopelus warmingii* or *Notoscopelus resplendens* reach bathypelagic waters (Badcock and Merrett, 1976). Since a "cottony tissue" (expanded fibrous submucosa) fills most of the lumen in contracted swimbladders, the external size of the organ is probably not an accurate indication of the gas volume contained (Capen, 1967; Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972). According to swimbladder catalogues, gas-bearing fishes occurred in our study only above 600 m depth, while swimbladders regressions in both *Cyclothone* species and myctophids are a common feature at deeper waters (see Table 2). In acoustic terms, this means that the deep mesopelagic zone must be dominated by fluid-like targets,

where resonance models are hardly applicable. It would also explain why the relative high abundances from net sampling does not result in a higher backscattering at these depths.

The DSL4 (800-1000 m depth) was out of reach of our trawl and this impeded the assessment of the species producing reflection. Although the deeper migrations observed in this study were somewhat associated with the DSL3 and DSL4, the later was clearly visible both during day and night. This suggests that the DSL4 would mainly be caused by non-migrating organisms. In this respect, literature may provide clues about the likely targets. Below 800 m depth, there is a peak of non-migrant fishes such as *Cyclothone pallida* and *Sternoptyx diaphana*, but also large migrant fishes such as *Ceratoscopelus warmingii* or *Notoscopelus resplendens* are abundant at these depths according to Badcock (1970). Both migrant species dominated at night in the SSL (specially *C. warmingii*) but were scarce in our daytime mesopelagic hauls (<800 m depth). Besides, the DSL4 is the most frequented daytime foraging zone by short-finned pilot-whales in the Canary Islands (*Globicephala macrorhynchus*), whose diet is known to be mainly composed by squid but also large fishes (Aguilar Soto et al., 2008). Hence, both fishes or squid might be responsible of the DSL4 but also other targets. Deeper hauls with concurrent acoustic records are therefore required to unveil the specific origin of this reflection.

Overall, the association of scattering layers and animals proposed here (Figure 7) is consistent with the vertical distribution previously observed during the SONDA expedition in the Canary Islands and other surveys in nearby oceanic waters (Foxton, 1969; Badcock and Merrett, 1976; Roe et al., 1984). Specifically, Badcock (1970) and Badcock and Merrett (1976) noted that most myctophid species inhabited between 400 and 600 m depth, while less species of larger sizes appeared deeper than 700 m depth. Their abundance tables also evidenced the shallower distribution for *Lo-*

405 *bianchia dofleini* and *Vinciguerria* spp., and showed a prominent peak of *Cyclothone*
 406 *braueri* between 500 and 600 m depth. This matches with our description of the
 407 DSL1 and the DSL2. On the other hand, Foxton (1970a) and Foxton (1970b) re-
 408 ported maximum densities of decapods below 650 m depth. In this respect, both
 409 Badcock's and Foxton's studies proposed a distinction between "shallow and deep
 410 mesopelagic fauna", which they ascribed to adaptations for different light conditions.
 411 Based on the above, the interphase of high and weak scattering seen here around
 412 600 m depth might be outlying this "biocline" (Lezama-Ochoa et al., 2014), with
 413 small and gas-bearing animals above, and larger and fluid-like organisms below. In
 414 fact, the increased migrant velocity of the deeper scattering biota (about 11-12 cm
 415 s^{-1}) also supports the idea of larger and non gas-bearing animals. They can move
 416 faster not only because of their increased size, but also for not requiring gas volume
 417 adjustments during vertical migrations (Marshall, 1960; Butler and Percy, 1972;
 418 Kleckner and Gibbs, 1972).

419 In conclusion, this study has revealed a high diversity within the micronekton
 420 mesopelagic community (152 species identified), yet with few dominant species likely
 421 being responsible for most of the acoustic phenomena in the region. We suggest
 422 that the DSL1 (400-500 m depth) is largely formed by swimbladder resonance, pro-
 423 duced by the migrant fishes *Vinciguerria* spp. and *Lobianchia dofleini*. We primarily
 424 ascribe the DSL2 (500-600 m depth) to the gas-bearing and non-migrant fish *Cy-*
 425 *clothone braueri*, and to a lesser extent, to fluid-like migrant fauna also inhabiting
 426 these depths. The DSL3 (600-800 m depth) was caused either by migrant fish or
 427 decapods, but as for other layers occurring deeper, the specific target identities re-
 428 main unknown. All layers exhibiting diel vertical movements reached the epipelagic
 429 zone at night, with the shorter migrations moving near 5 $cm s^{-1}$ and the large ones
 430 near 12 $cm s^{-1}$. This work reduces uncertainties interpreting acoustic scattering,

although more accurate results will be obtained with deeper and higher vertical resolution trawling, using multifrequency lowering echosounders, as well as improving the scattering models for the mesopelagic fauna in the region.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the officers and the crew of the R/V *Cornide de Saavedra* for their work and support at sea. Thanks are also due to Verónica Benítez-Barrios, José Escánez, Laia Armengol and Erika González for hydrographic data collection and water samples analyses. We are as well indebted to Ángel Guerra, Ángel González, M^a del Carmen Mingorance and Valett Müller for their valuable work on board identifying species. This work was funded by the Spanish Government projects CETOBAPH (CGL2009-13112) and MAFIA (CTM2012-39587). Alejandro Ariza was supported by a postgraduate grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (BES2009-028908).

References

- Aguilar Soto, N., Johnson, M. P., Madsen, P. T., Díaz, F., Domínguez, I., Brito, A., Tyack, P., 2008. Cheetahs of the deep sea: deep foraging sprints in short-finned pilot whales off Tenerife (Canary Islands). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 77 (5), 936–947.
- Andreeva, I. B., 1964. Scattering of sound by air bladders of fish in deep sound-scattering ocean layers. *Soviet Physics-Acoustics* 10 (1), 17–20.
- Angel, M. V., Pugh, P. R., 2000. Quantification of diel vertical migration by micronektonic taxa in the northeast Atlantic. *Hydrobiologia* 440 (1), 161–179.
- Ariza, A., Garijo, J. C., Landeira, J. M., Bordes, F., Hernández-León, S., 2015. Migrant biomass and respiratory carbon flux by zooplankton and micronekton in the

- subtropical northeast Atlantic Ocean (Canary Islands). *Progress in Oceanography* 134, 330–342.
- Backus, R. H., Craddock, J. E., 1977. Pelagic faunal provinces and sound-scattering levels in the Atlantic Ocean. In: Andersen, N. R., Zahuranec, B. J. (Eds.), *Oceanic sound-scattering prediction*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 529–549.
- Badcock, J., 1970. The Vertical Distribution of Mesopelagic Fishes Collected on the SOND Cruise. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 50, 1001–1044.
- Badcock, J., Merrett, N. R., 1976. Midwater fishes in the eastern North Atlantic— I. Vertical distribution and associated biology in 30N, 23W, with developmental notes on certain myctophids. *Progress in Oceanography* 7 (1), 3–58.
- Badcock, J., Merrett, N. R., 1977. On the distribution of midwater fishes in the eastern North Atlantic. In: Andersen, N. R., Zahuranec, B. J. (Eds.), *Oceanic sound-scattering prediction*. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 248–282.
- Baker, A. D. C., 1970. The Vertical Distribution of Euphausiids Near Fuerteventura, Canary Islands ("Discovery" SOND Cruise, 1965). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 50 (02), 301–342.
- Barham, E. G., 1966. Deep scattering layer migration and composition: Observations from a diving saucer. *Science* 151 (3716), 1399–1403.
- Barton, E. D., Arístegui, J., Tett, P., Cantón, M., García-Braun, J., Hernández-León, S., Nykjaer, L., Almeida, C., Almunia, J., Ballesteros, S., Basterretxea, G., Escánez, J., García-Weil, L., Hernández-Guerra, A., López Laatzen, F., Molina, R., Montero, M. F., Navarro-Pérez, E., Rodríguez, J. M., van Lenning, K., Vélez,

- 477 H., Wild, K., 1998. The transition zone of the Canary Current upwelling region.
478 Progress in Oceanography 41 (4), 455–504.
- 479 Bordes, F., Wienerroither, R., Uiblein, F., Moreno, T., Bordes, I., Hernández, V.,
480 2009. Catálogo de especies meso y batipelágicas. Peces, moluscos y crustáceos.
481 Colectadas con arrastre en las Islas Canarias durante las campañas realizadas a
482 bordo del B/E "La Bocaina". Instituto Canario de Ciencias Marinas.
- 483 Bray, J. R., Curtis, J. T., 1957. An ordination of the upland forest communities of
484 southern Wisconsin. Ecological monographs 27 (4), 325–349.
- 485 Brodeur, R. D., Seki, M. P., Pakhomov, E. A., Suntsov, A. V., 2005. Micronekton
486 - What are they and why are they important? North Pacific Marine Science
487 Organization. Pices Press 13, 7–11.
- 488 Brooks, A. L., 1977. A study of the swimbladders of selected mesopelagic fish species.
489 In: Zuharanec, B. J., Andersen, N. R. (Eds.), Oceanic sound-scattering prediction.
490 Plenum Press, New York, pp. 565–590.
- 491 Butler, J. L., Percy, W. G., 1972. Swimbladder morphology and specific gravity of
492 myctophids off Oregon. Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada 29 (8), 1145–
493 1150.
- 494 Cade, D. E., Benoit-Bird, K. J., 2015. Depths, migration rates and environmental
495 associations of acoustic scattering layers in the gulf of california. Deep Sea Research
496 Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.
- 497 Capen, R. L., 1967. Swimbladder morphology of some mesopelagic fishes in relation
498 to sound scattering. Technical report. U. S. Navy Electronics Laboratory.

- 499 Clarke, K. R., Somerfield, P. J., Gorley, R. N., 2008. Testing of null hypotheses in
500 exploratory community analyses: similarity profiles and biota-environment linkage.
501 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 366 (1), 56–69.
- 502 Clarke, M. R., 1969. Cephalopoda collected on the SOND cruise. *Journal of the*
503 *Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 49 (04), 961–976.
- 504 Clarke, M. R., Lu, C. C., 1995. Cephalopoda of Madeiran waters. *Bolletim do museu*
505 *municipal do Funchal* 4, 181–200.
- 506 Davenport, R., Neuer, S., Helmke, P., Perez-Marrero, J., Llinas, O., Jan. 2002. Pri-
507 mary productivity in the northern Canary Islands region as inferred from SeaWi-
508 FS imagery. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 49 (17),
509 3481–3496.
- 510 Davison, P., 2011. The specific gravity of mesopelagic fish from the northeastern
511 Pacific Ocean and its implications for acoustic backscatter. *ICES Journal of Marine*
512 *Science: Journal du Conseil* 68 (10), 2064–2074.
- 513 Davison, P. C., Checkley, D. M., Koslow, J. A., Barlow, J., 2013. Carbon export me-
514 diated by mesopelagic fishes in the northeast Pacific Ocean. *Progress in Oceanog-*
515 *raphy* 116, 14–30.
- 516 Domanski, P., 1984. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic
517 community in the North East Atlantic. 8. A multivariate analysis of community
518 structure. *Progress in oceanography* 13 (3), 491–511.
- 519 Ducklow, H. W., Steinberg, D. K., Buesseler, K. O., 2001. Upper ocean carbon export
520 and the biological pump. *Oceanography - Washington DC - Oceanography Society*
521 14 (4), 50–58.

- Ekau, W., Auel, H., Pörtner, H.-O., Gilbert, D., 2010. Impacts of hypoxia on the structure and processes in pelagic communities (zooplankton, macro-invertebrates and fish). *Biogeosciences* 7, 1669–1699.
- Foote, K., Knudsen, H., Vestnes, G., MacLennan, D., Simmonds, E., 1987. Calibration of acoustic instruments for fish density estimation: a practical guide. ICES Cooperative Research Report 144.
- Foxton, P., 1969. SOND Cruise 1965 Biological sampling methods and procedures. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 49 (03), 603–620.
- Foxton, P., 1970a. The Vertical Distribution of Pelagic Decapods [Crustacea: Natantia] Collected on the SOND Cruise 1965 I. The Caridea. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 50 (04), 939–960.
- Foxton, P., 1970b. The Vertical Distribution of Pelagic Decapods [Crustacea: Natantia] Collected on the SOND Cruise 1965 II. The Penaeidea and General Discussion. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 50 (04), 939–960.
- Godø, O. R., Patel, R., Pedersen, G., 2009. Diel migration and swimbladder resonance of small fish: some implications for analyses of multifrequency echo data. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* 66 (6), 1143–1148.
- Goodyear, R. H., Zahuranec, B. J., Pugh, W. L., Gibbs, R. H., 1972. Ecology and vertical distribution of Mediterranean midwater fishes. *Mediterranean biological studies*, 91–229.

- 544 Hidaka, K., Kawaguchi, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, M., 2001. Downward trans-
545 port of organic carbon by diel migratory micronekton in the western equatorial
546 pacific: Its quantitative and qualitative importance. Deep-Sea Research Part I:
547 Oceanographic Research Papers 48 (8), 1923–1939.
- 548 Hudson, J. M., Steinberg, D. K., Sutton, T. T., Graves, J. E., Latour, R. J., Nov.
549 2014. Myctophid feeding ecology and carbon transport along the northern Mid-
550 Atlantic Ridge. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 93,
551 104–116.
- 552 Jones, D. L., 2014. The Fathom Toolbox for Matlab: multivariate eco-
553 logical and oceanographic data analysis. College of Marine Science, Uni-
554 versity of South Florida, St. Petersburg, Florida, USA. Available from:
555 <http://www.marine.usf.edu/user/djones/>.
- 556 Kaartvedt, S., Røstad, A., Klevjer, T. A., Staby, A., 2009. Use of bottom-mounted
557 echo sounders in exploring behavior of mesopelagic fishes. Marine Ecology Progress
558 Series 395, 109–118.
- 559 Kaartvedt, S., Torgersen, T., Røstad, A., Devine, J. A., 2008. Behavior of individual
560 mesopelagic fish in acoustic scattering layers of Norwegian fjords. Marine Ecology
561 Progress Series 360, 201–209.
- 562 Kleckner, R. C., Gibbs, R. H., 1972. Swimbladder structure of mediterranean mid-
563 water fishes and a method of comparing swimbladder data with acoustic profiles.
564 Mediterranean biological studies, 230–281.
- 565 Kloser, R. J., Ryan, T., Sakov, P., Williams, A., Koslow, J. A., 2002. Species iden-

- 566 tification in deep water using multiple acoustic frequencies. *Canadian Journal of*
567 *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 59, 1065–1077.
- 568 Korneliussen, R., 2000. Measurement and removal of echo integration noise. *ICES*
569 *Journal of Marine Science* 57 (4), 1204–1217.
- 570 Korneliussen, R. J., Ona, E., 2003. Synthetic echograms generated from the relative
571 frequency response. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* 60 (3),
572 636–640.
- 573 Landeira, J. M., Fransen, C. H. J. M., Jan. 2012. New data on the mesopelagic
574 shrimp community of the Canary Islands region. *Crustaceana* 85 (4), 385–414.
- 575 Lavery, A. C., Stanton, T. K., McGehee, D. E., Chu, D., 2002. Three-dimensional
576 modeling of acoustic backscattering from fluid-like zooplankton. *The Journal of*
577 *the Acoustical Society of America* 111 (3), 1197.
- 578 Lezama-Ochoa, A., Irigoien, X., Chaigneau, A., Quiroz, Z., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A.,
579 Bertrand, A., 2014. Acoustics Reveals the Presence of a Macrozooplankton Biocline
580 in the Bay of Biscay in Response to Hydrological Conditions and Predator-Prey
581 Relationships. *PloS one* 9 (2), e88054.
- 582 Marshall, N. B., 1960. Swimbladder structure of deep-sea fishes in relation to their
583 systematics and biology. In: *Discovery Reports*. Vol. 31. Cambridge University
584 Press, pp. 1–122.
- 585 Neuer, S., Cianca, A., Helmke, P., Freudenthal, T., Davenport, R., Meggers, H.,
586 Knoll, M., Santana-Casiano, J. M., González-Davila, M., Rueda, M. J., Llinás,
587 O., 2007. Biogeochemistry and hydrography in the eastern subtropical North At-

- 588 lantic gyre. Results from the European time-series station ESTOC. Progress in
589 Oceanography 71 (1), 1–29.
- 590 Olivar, M., Bernal, A., Molí, B., Peña, M., Balbín, R., Castellón, A., Miquel, J., Mas-
591 sutí, E., Apr. 2012. Vertical distribution, diversity and assemblages of mesopelagic
592 fishes in the western Mediterranean. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic
593 Research Papers 62, 53–69.
- 594 Peña, M., Olivar, M. P., Balbín, R., López-Jurado, J. L., Iglesias, M., Miquel, J.,
595 Jech, J. M., 2014. Acoustic detection of mesopelagic fishes in scattering layers
596 of the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
597 Aquatic Sciences 71 (999), 1–12.
- 598 Pearre, S., 2003. Eat and run? The hunger/satiation hypothesis in vertical migra-
599 tion: History, evidence and consequences. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge
600 Philosophical Society 78 (1), 1–79.
- 601 Robinson, C., Steinberg, D. K., Anderson, T. R., Arístegui, J., Carlson, C. A., Frost,
602 J. R., Ghiglione, J.-F., Hernández-León, S., Jackson, G. A., Koppelman, R.,
603 Others, 2010. Mesopelagic zone ecology and biogeochemistry—a synthesis. Deep
604 Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 57 (16), 1504–1518.
- 605 Roe, H. S. J., 1974. Observations on the diurnal vertical migrations of an oceanic
606 animal community. Marine Biology 28 (2), 99–113.
- 607 Roe, H. S. J., 1984a. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic
608 community in the north east Atlantic. 2. Vertical migrations and feeding of mysids
609 and decapod crustacea. Progress in Oceanography 13 (3), 269–318.

- 610 Roe, H. S. J., 1984b. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic
611 community in the North East Atlantic. 4. The copepods. *Progress in Oceanography*
612 13 (3), 353–388.
- 613 Roe, H. S. J., Angel, M. V., Badcock, J., Domanski, P., James, P. T., Pugh, P. R.,
614 Thurston, M. H., 1984. The diel migrations and distributions within a mesopelagic
615 community in the north east Atlantic. 1. Introduction and sampling procedures.
616 *Progress in Oceanography* 13 (3), 245–268.
- 617 Roe, H. S. J., Badcock, J., 1984. The diel migrations and distributions within a
618 mesopelagic community in the North East Atlantic. 5. Vertical migrations and
619 feeding of fish. *Progress in Oceanography* 13 (3-4), 389–424.
- 620 Saenger, R. A., 1989. Bivariate normal swimbladder size allometry models and allo-
621 metric exponents for 38 mesopelagic swimbladdered fish species commonly found
622 in the North Sargasso Sea. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*
623 46 (11), 1986–2002.
- 624 Schukat, A., Bode, M., Auel, H., Carballo, R., Martin, B., Koppelman, R., Hagen,
625 W., 2013. Pelagic decapods in the northern Benguela upwelling system: Distri-
626 bution, ecophysiology and contribution to active carbon flux. *Deep Sea Research*
627 Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 75, 146–156.
- 628 Simmonds, E., MacLennan, D., 2005. *Fisheries Acoustics: Theory and Practice*.
629 Blackwell Science Ltd, London.
- 630 Stanton, T. K., Chu, D., 2000. Review and recommendations for the modelling of
631 acoustic scattering by fluid-like elongated zooplankton : euphausiids and copepods.
632 *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57, 793–807.

- 633 Tucker, G. H., 1951. Relation of fishes and other organisms to the scattering of
634 underwater sound. *Journal of marine research* 10, 215–238.
- 635 UNESCO, 1994. Protocols for the Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (JGOFS) Core
636 Measurements. Tech. rep., Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, UN-
637 ESCO, Paris.
- 638 Watanabe, H., Moku, M., Kawaguchi, K., Ishimaru, K., Ohno, A., 1999. Diel vertical
639 migration of myctophid fishes (Family Myctophidae) in the transitional waters of
640 the western North Pacific. *Fisheries Oceanography* 8 (2), 115–127.
- 641 Weston, D. E., 1967. Sound propagation in the presence of bladder fish. In: Albers,
642 V. A. (Ed.), *Underwater acoustics*. Vol. 2 (5). Plenum Press, New York, pp. 55–88.
- 643 Wienerroither, R., Uiblein, F., Bordes, F., Moreno, T., 2009. Composition, distribu-
644 tion, and diversity of pelagic fishes around the Canary Islands, Eastern Central
645 Atlantic. *Marine Biology Research* 5 (4), 328–344.

Group	Family	Abundance (%)	Biomass (%)
Fis	Myctophidae	53.56	51.65
	<i>Lepidophanes gaussi</i>	10.64	6.54
	<i>Lobianchia dofleini</i>	8.73	4.66
	<i>Ceratoscopelus warmingii</i>	7.57	18.53
	<i>Hygophum hygomii</i>	7.26	3.95
	<i>Lobianchia</i> spp.	3.36	1.36
	<i>Hygophum taaningi</i>	2.49	0.94
	<i>Hygophum reinhardtii</i>	2.41	1.70
	<i>Bolinichthys indicus</i>	1.74	1.00
	<i>Diaphus rafinesquii</i>	1.47	0.83
	<i>Lobianchia gemellarii</i>	1.11	0.48
	<i>Notoscopelus resplendens</i>	0.88	3.70
	Phosichthyidae	8.80	4.05
	<i>Vinciguerrria attenuata</i>	6.35	3.18
	<i>Vinciguerrria nimbaria</i>	1.31	0.50
	<i>Vinciguerrria poweriae</i>	1.14	0.37
	Gonostomatidae	2.58	4.83
	<i>Gonostoma elongatum</i>	1.85	4.09
	<i>Gonostoma denudatum</i>	0.54	0.64
	Sternoptychidae	1.43	1.01
	<i>Argyropelecus aculeatus</i>	1.43	1.01
Dec	Oplophoridae	8.56	5.83
	<i>Oplophorus spinosus</i>	7.08	4.58
	<i>Systellaspis debilis</i>	1.48	1.25
	Sergestidae	5.04	1.22
	<i>Deosergestes corniculum</i>	1.90	-
	<i>Sergia splendens</i>	0.55	-
	<i>Sergestes</i> spp.	0.51	-
Cep	Pyroteuthidae	9.22	14.21
	<i>Pyroteuthis margaritifera</i>	7.38	6.83
	<i>Pterygioteuthis giardi</i>	1.84	7.39
	Onychoteuthidae	1.76	3.34
	<i>Onychoteuthis banksii</i>	1.76	3.34

Table 1: Relative abundance (%) and biomass (%) of species found in the nocturnal shallow scattering layer. Values were averaged from hauls N100P, N105P and N150T (see Figure 3). Only organisms identified to the species level and with relative abundances above 0.5% are shown.

	Abundance (%)	Length (mm)	Swimbladder condition	ESR (mm)
DSL1 <i>Lobianchia dofleini</i>	24 (6-43)	22 (16-37)	gas-filled ^b	0.6 ^d
<i>Vinciguerrria attenuata</i>	18 (8-36)	35 (21-43)	gas-filled ^{a,b}	1.2 ^d
<i>Vinciguerrria poweriae</i>	10 (7-16)	33 (20-36)	gas-filled ^b	1.1 ^d
<i>Vinciguerrria nimbaria</i>	8 (4-14)	32 (22-35)	gas-filled ^a	-
<i>Diaphus rafinesquii</i>	6 (1-8)	49 (27-61)	gas-filled ^{a,b}	2.2 ^d
DSL2 <i>Hygophum hygomii</i>	20 (15-25)	35 (24-45)	contracted ^b	0.9 ^d
<i>Chauliodus danae</i>	9 (9-10)	120 (43-134)	none	-
<i>Oplophorus spinosus</i>	9 (4-13)	25 (23-33)	none	-
<i>Cyclothone braueri</i>	8 (7-9)	23 (16-29)	gas-filled ^{a,c} , fat-invested ^b	0.7 ^b
<i>Gonostoma elongatum</i>	7 (6-8)	133 (61-135)	fat-invested ^a	-
DSL3 <i>Cyclothone microdon</i>	46 (38-54)	54 (29-56)	fat-invested ^{a,c}	-
<i>Lepidophanes gaussi</i>	13 (11-14)	41 (28-47)	unknown	1.4 ^d
<i>Cyclothone pseudopallida</i>	10 (4-16)	43 (25-46)	fat-invested ^{a,c}	-
<i>Sergestes spp.</i>	10 (7-12)	-	none	-
<i>Hygophum reinhardtii</i>	8 (6-10)	34 (22-39)	unknown	-

^aMarshall (1960).

^bKleckner and Gibbs (1972).

^cBadcock and Merret (1977).

^dSaenger (1989)

Table 2: Dominant species forming each scattering layer with indications of relative abundances within catches, lengths, and if present, the swimbladder condition according to the literature. "none" means that the organism has no swimbladder. ESR depicts the equivalent spherical radius of the swimbladder, as estimated from the modal standard length of fishes using species-specific equations given by Saenger (1989), or calculated from swimbladder spherical volumes given by Kleckner and Gibbs (1972). Relative abundances are given as means while lengths refer to modal values. In both cases, minimum and maximum values are also noted between parentheses.

Figure 1: (A) Map showing the situation of the Canary Islands west off Africa. (B) Study areas southwest of La Palma and Tenerife Islands where acoustic recordings and net trawling were conducted (striped rectangles).

Figure 2: Averaged profiles of temperature, dissolved oxygen and chlorophyll *a* near (A) La Palma and (B) Tenerife Islands.

Figure 3: Echograms at 18 and 38 kHz in waters nearby La Palma (A and B) and Tenerife (C and D) Islands, and differential echograms (18 minus 38 kHz) from the same locations (E and F). Acoustic scattering layers are indicated according to frequency response and the depth of occurrence; one shallow scattering layer in the epipelagic (SSL), and four deep scattering layers in the mesopelagic (DSL1, DSL2, DSL3 and DSL4). Time and depth of fishing hauls are indicated with boxes, where the central lines are trawling depth medians, the edges of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles and the whiskers extend to the most extreme trawling depths not considered outliers.

Figure 4: Same echograms at 38 (A and B) and 18 kHz (C and D) showing migratory pathways near La Palma Island. Different upward (U1, U2) and downward (D1, D2) tracks are indicated with dashed lines and their averaged migrant velocities are given in the legends. Distinct acoustic scattering layers (DSL1, DSL2, DSL3 and DSL4) are indicated according to divisions proposed in Figures 3e and 3f. Text boxes over the echograms indicate depth and time of fishing hauls.

Figure 5: (A) Dendrogram showing classification of fishing hauls according to Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances. Time and depth of each haul can be checked in Figures 3e and 3f. (B-E) Individuals per haul of dominant species contributing to differences among clusters. Light-colored bars indicate possible contamination from upper strata (see discussion). Error bars indicate standard deviations. Species abbreviations: Cbra= *Cyclothone braueri*; Cmic= *Cyclothone microdon*; Cpse= *Cyclothone pseudopallida*; Gelo= *Gonostoma elongatum*; Vatt= *Vinciguerrria attenuata*; Vnim= *Vinciguerrria nimbaria*; Vpow= *Vinciguerrria poweriae*; Cdan= *Chauliodus danae*; Cwar= *Ceratoscopelus warmingii*; Draf= *Diaphus rafinesquii*; Hhyg= *Hygophum hygomii*; Hrei= *Hygophum reinhardtii*; Lgau= *Lepidophanes gaussii*; Ldof= *Lobianchia dofleini*; Dcor= *Deosergestes corniculum*; Sspp= *Sergestes* spp.; Ospi= *Oplophorus spinosus*; Sdeb= *Systellaspis debilis*; Amor= *Abraliopsis moriisi*; Oban= *Onychoteuthis banksii*; Pgia= *Pterygioteuthis giardi*; Pmar= *Pyroteuthis margaritifera*.

Figure 6: Modeled swimbladder resonance at (A) 18 and (B) 38 kHz along depth according to Kloser et al. (2002). Each line represents expected target strengths for swimbladders of different equivalent spherical radius (ESR, mm).

Figure 7: Distribution of shallow and deep scattering layers (SSL and DSLs) based on observations at 18 and 38 kHz in waters around the Canary Islands (threshold -80 to -50 dB). Only dominant animals likely to contribute more to backscattering are indicated (main scatterers in black, and secondary ones in gray). Blue and orange depict 18 and 38 kHz frequencies respectively, with dark colors indicating high backscattering and light colors representing weak backscattering. See discussion for further details.

Acoustic scattering and associated deep-sea fauna investigated in the Canary Islands

Four different scattering layers were observed from 400 to 1000 m depth

Migrant and non-migrant layers were ascribed to different fish and decapod species.

Short (400 m) and long-range (700 m) migrations moved at 5 and 12 cm s⁻¹