Performance investigation of a cogeneration plant with the efficient and compact heat recovery system

Aung Myat, Kyaw Thu, Young-Deuk Kim, and Ng Kim Choon

Citation: [AIP Conference Proceedings 1440](#), 880 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.4704299

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4704299>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/proceeding/aipcp/1440?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

Articles you may be interested in

[Integrated energy-exergy-based evaluation and optimization of a bio-dimethyl ether production system via entrained flow gasification](#)

J. Renewable Sustainable Energy **6**, 053133 (2014); 10.1063/1.4899077

[Cost modeling approach and economic analysis of biomass gasification integrated solid oxide fuel cell systems](#)

J. Renewable Sustainable Energy **4**, 043109 (2012); 10.1063/1.4737920

[Thermodynamic analysis of performance improvement by reheat on the CO₂ transcritical power cycle](#)

AIP Conf. Proc. **1440**, 499 (2012); 10.1063/1.4704255

[Analysis of possibilities of waste heat recovery in off-road vehicles](#)

AIP Conf. Proc. **1449**, 501 (2012); 10.1063/1.4731603

[Reduced energy consumption by massive thermoelectric waste heat recovery in light duty trucks](#)

AIP Conf. Proc. **1449**, 471 (2012); 10.1063/1.4731598

Performance Investigation of a Cogeneration Plant with the Efficient and Compact Heat Recovery System

Aung Myat^{a*}, Kyaw Thu^b, Young-Deuk Kim^c and Ng Kim Choon^d

^{ad}*Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore
9, Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117576.*

^{bc}*King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Water Desalination and Reuse Centre
Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia*

^{*}*Corresponding Author: mpeam@nus.edu.sg*

Abstract. This paper presents the performance investigation of a cogeneration plant equipped with an efficient waste heat recovery system. The proposed cogeneration system produces four types of useful energy namely: (i) electricity, (ii) steam, (iii) cooling and (iv) dehumidification. The proposed plant comprises a Capstone C30 micro-turbine which generates 24 kW of electricity, a compact and efficient waste heat recovery system and a host of waste heat activated devices namely (i) a steam generator, (ii) an absorption chiller, (iii) an adsorption chiller and (iv) a multi-bed desiccant dehumidifier. The numerical analysis for the host of waste heat recovery system and thermally activated devices using FORTRAN power station linked to powerful IMSL library is performed to investigate the performance of the overall system. A set of experiments, both part load and full load, of micro-turbine is conducted to examine the electricity generation and the exhaust gas temperature. It is observed that energy utilization factor (EUF) could achieve as high as 70% while Fuel Energy Saving Ratio (FESR) is found to be 28%.

Keywords: Temperature cascaded, waste heat recovery, cogeneration.

PACS: 88.30.jt

INTRODUCTION

The conventional method of generating electricity is a centralized power station where a primary fuel source such as solid, liquid or gaseous fossil fuel is burned and the exhaust gases are purged into the ambient. Such power plants are usually designed with the economy of scale and the boiler units sizes ranges up to 1200 MW. Despite the advanced heat recovery with the working fluids and the high pressure technology of boilers, the best overall energetic efficiency of power plants is below the 50% margin. More than half of the fuel energy burned at power plants is exhausted to the ambient as flue gases.

The 50% efficiency “barrier” of power stations can be breached with the implementation of temperature-cascaded cogeneration concept: In such a concept, a quantum rise in the overall plant efficiency is expected, typically up to 70% or higher. Recent advances in both the technologies of prime movers such as gas engines and micro-turbines, heat-activated thermodynamic cycles for the production of heating and cooling, as well as the availability of fuel types have made the distributed cogeneration a reality for the past decades [1-3]. A survey of the literature shows that cogeneration has been implemented successfully in industry since the 1960s [1-15] with many configurations in terms of the heat to power ratios. Although some literature regarding with the simulation and optimization work have been presented earlier [16-19]. Despite the inherent benefits of cogeneration, there is yet a suitable thermodynamic methodology or tool for evaluating the efficacy of a cogeneration plant other than the overall energy utilization factor (EUF). In this paper, we proposed the configuration to optimize the waste heat recovery from exhaust gas emanating from prime movers.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND MATHEMATICAL MODELLING

System Description

The temperature cascaded cogeneration plant, shortly in TCCP, comprises a capstone C-30 micro-turbine, a set of waste heat recovery cross flow heat exchanger, a steam generator (SG), an absorption chiller (AB), an adsorption chiller (AD) and a desiccant dehumidifier (DD). The TCCP plant produces the electricity, the steam, cooling and the dehumidification. The schematic lay out of the proposed TCCP plant is shown in Figure 1. As shown in Figure 1, the exhaust gas emanating from C-30 capstone micro-turbine is diverted to a series of cross flow heat exchangers comprising copper tube and aluminium fins. The first two heat exchangers are employed as the steam generation cycle whilst the rest of three heat exchangers are utilized to produce hot water with the different temperature range so as to drive the cooling facility and dehumidification facility. The exhaust gas at a temperature of 285° C drives the steam generation cycle to produce super heated steam at temperature of 250° at 10 bars and leaves the steam generator at 235°C. The exhaust gas leaving from steam generator is then feed to the heat exchanger to produce the hot water at range of temperature 70°C to 95°C. The heat exchanger is designed to maintain the exhaust gas temperature of 185° C. The produced hot water serves as the main heat source to drive the Li-Br absorption chiller which produces 7 kW (2 Refrigeration Ton) of cooling. Similarly, the exhaust gas is then subsequently feed to the next heat exchanger to produce hot water which is the driving heat source for silica gel/water adsorption cooling system. The exhaust gas leaves the heat exchanger at the temperature of 130° C and diverted to the heat exchanger to produce hot water at a temperature range from 65° C to 85° C. The hot water is utilized as the main heat source for the multi-bed desiccant dehumidification system [20]. Thus, the proposed co-generation system produces four different types of useful energy with utilizing a single energy source.

FIGURE 1. The Schematic Lay Out Diagram of Temperature Cascaded Cogeneration System.

Mathematical Modeling

The mathematical model based on the second law of thermodynamics is presented in this section. As shown in Figure 1, the numerical model for each of the waste heat activated component in the TCCP plant is formulated to capture the transient characteristic of the corresponding devices. The exhaust gas temperature and the electricity generation from the Capstone C-30 microturbines are experimentally investigated. With the available data of exhaust gas temperature and electricity generation capacity, the waste heat activated devices are sized to optimize the energy recovery. The generic mathematical modelling for the temperature cascaded cogeneration plant is developed to observe the performance of the plant. The heat source or heat supply to micro-turbine can be expressed as

$$Q_{in,cogen} = \dot{m}_f x C_v \quad (1)$$

The energy gas recovered from exhaust gas can be represented by the following expression.

$$Q_{rec} = \dot{m}_{exh} x C_{p_{exh}} (T_{exh,in} - T_{exh,o}) \quad (2)$$

Since the co-generation system comprised a host of waste heat recovery devices namely, (i) a steam generator, (ii) an absorption chiller, (iii) an adsorption chiller and (iv) a multi-bed desiccant dehumidifier, the useful energy produced from each of the devices can be expressed as follow:

A Steam generator

$$Q_{steam} = \dot{m}_{steam} x h_g (T, P) \quad (3)$$

An absorption chiller

$$Q_{cooling,AB} = \frac{dM_{chi}}{dt} C_{p_{chi}} (T_{chi,in} - T_{chi,o}) \quad (4)$$

An adsorption Chiller

$$Q_{cooling,AD} = \frac{dM_{chi}}{dt} C_{p_{chi}} \int_0^{t_{cyc}} \frac{T_{chi,in} - T_{chi,o}}{t_{cyc}} dt \quad (5)$$

A multi-bed desiccant dehumidifier

$$Q_{deh} = \frac{dM_{air}}{dt} h_{fg} \int_0^{t_{cyc}} \frac{\omega_{in} - \omega_o}{t_{cyc}} dt \quad (6)$$

The performance of the temperature cascaded cogeneration plant can be determined by the overall efficiency of the plant so called Energy Utilization Factor (EUF) and it can be expressed by the ratio of total useful energy produced by the cogeneration plant and the energy supplied to the micro-turbine.

$$EUF = \frac{Q_{steam} + Q_{cooling,AB} + Q_{cooling,AD} + Q_{deh}}{Q_{in}} \quad (8)$$

The fuel energy saving (FESR) ratio can be represented as follow;

$$FESR = 1 - \frac{Q_{in,cogen}}{Q_{in,conv}} \quad (9)$$

where $Q_{in,conv}$ is the energy required by the conventional plant which produces the same energy demand compared to the temperature cascaded cogeneration plant. Figure 2 shows the comparison between conventional plant and the cogeneration plant.

The energy supplied to the conventional plant can be represented as the sum of fuel supplied to the power plant and the boiler and it can be written as

$$Q_{in,conv} = \left(m_{f,power}^{\circ} + m_{f,Boiler}^{\circ} \right) \times C_v \quad (10)$$

FIGURE 2. The Comparison between Conventional Power Plant and the Temperature cascaded Cogeneration Plant

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The governing equations are solved by the fifth-order Gear's Backward Differential method of the DIVPAG subroutine of IMSL Fortran library subroutines. The iterative scheme uses a double precision format which has a tolerance of 1×10^{-6} . The nominal capacity of each of waste heat activated system and capacity of is tabulated in Table 1 and numerical calculations were performed for the performance analysis and entropy generation. The

consistency of the simulation model was checked with suitable range of parameters that is anticipated of the TCCP operation domain. The hot water source temperatures are varied from 65°C to 85°C whilst the cooling water and the chilled water temperatures are kept at about 29°C±1° and 12°C±1°, and the cooling output and overall performance are monitored. Figure 3 shows the experimental exhaust gas data at assorted electricity load demand and the fuel consumption of micro-turbine and the generated electricity at different exhaust gas temperature. It is observed that both of fuel consumption and electricity generation is linearly increased with the increase in the exhaust gas temperature. The predicted temperature profiles of exhaust gas temperature emanating from each of the waste heat recovery heat exchanger unit are illustrated in Figure 4 while micro-turbine outlet exhaust gas temperature is about 285°C.

TABLE (1). The Nominal Capacity of each of the Devices equipped with the TCCP System.

Component	Type of energy	kW	Refrigeration ton
C-30 Micro-turbine	Electricity	28	NA
Waste heat recovery steam generator	Steam	15	NA
Absorption Chiller	Cooling	7.04	2
Multi Bed Adsorption chiller	Cooling	7.04	2
Multi beds desiccant dehumidifier	Dehumidification	7	NA

FIGURE 3. The Performance the Temperature Cascaded Cogeneration Plant.

The performance of a host of waste heat devices are shown in Figure 5. The simulated temperature profiles and the steam production of WHSG is presented in the Figure 5 while the waste heat source (exhaust gas temperature emanating from micro-turbine) is maintained at 285°C. It is observed that the super heated steam at a temperature of with 250°C 10 bars is produced from the WHSG whilst the steam production is found to be about 0.044 kg/s (15.84 kg/hr). The exhaust gas emanating from the steam generation cycle, at a temperature of 240°C, is then channeled to the waste heat extraction heat exchanger WHR-HE-01 coupled with the absorption cycle to produce the hot water to fire to the absorption cycle. The transient profiles of outlet water temperature for the absorber, the condenser, the evaporator and the generator are illustrated in Figure 6. It is indicated from Figure 6 that thermal mass heat or sensible heating of the system takes a few hundreds second before it reaches to the steady state. The performance of two bed regenerative adsorption chiller is presented in Figure 7. The outlet exhaust gas stream, at a temperature about 210±2°C, is diverted to WHR-HE-02 coupled with the adsorption cooling cycle to produce the hot water to fire to the AD cycle which operates in a batch manner. The predicted performance of the AD cycle is shown in Figure 7. The total mass of adsorbent has been fixed at 78 kg and the half cycle time is fixed at 600s while switching time is 40s. It is observed that the cyclic steady state reaches within 4 cycles of operation. Figure 7 illustrates the temporal temperature profiles of inlet hot water and outlet heat transfer fluid. The cycle average outlet coolant temperature for adsorber is 3.5 to 4 °C higher that of inlet temperature about 5° C and that of chilled water is about 5° C while cooling capacity is obtained 7 kW. Temperature profiles of adsorber and desorber beds in the MBDD cycle are shown in Figure 8. The total mass of adsorbent has been fixed at 20 kg for the corresponding air flow capacity of 750 CMH and the half cycle time is fixed at 500s while switching time is 40s. The adsorber bed and the desorber bed are designed to create the laminar flow with a set velocity of 0.25 m/s. It is observed that the cyclic steady state reaches within 4 cycles of MBDD operation.

FIGURE 4. Temperature Time History of Exhaust Gas from each of the Waste Heat Recovery Heat Exchanger Unit.

FIGURE 5. The Performance of the Waste Heat Recovery Steam Generator and its Steam Production Rate.

FIGURE 6. The Performance of LiBr-Water absorption Chiller.

FIGURE 7. The Performance of Two Beds Regenerative Silica Gel-Water adsorption Chiller.

The inlet and outlet temperature profiles of hot water along with cooling water outlet temperature profile for MBDD cycle are illustrated in Figure 8. In this context, the ambient conditions are fixed at the maximum humid condition (32°C and 95% RH) for Singapore. Owing to exothermic nature of adsorption process; the sensible heat is induced to the outlet air stream resulting an average temperature increase by 2 to 3°C. Thus, a cooling coil is installed to cancel the sensible heat induced by adsorption process. Figure 9 shows the temporal history of outlet air stream before cooling coil and after cooling coil. It is indicated in Figure 9 that the considerable amount of sensible heat is induced during hot to cold switching. The residual energy in the adsorbent provides the sensible heat to the outgoing air stream. The temperature of outlet air stream could rise up to 36°C without further cooling. Nevertheless, a cooling coil is employed to reduce the sensible heat (temperature) of outlet air stream before it is sent to AHUs. Inlet humidity ratio, outlet humidity ratio and cycle average outlet humidity ratio are illustrated in Figure 9. It is observed that cycle average outlet humidity ratio is about 16 g/kg DA while cycle average moisture removal by MBDD unit is 12.5 g/kg DA. Thus, the MBDD cycle could remove 40 % of moisture containing in the ambient air resulting reduction in AHUs load. Table 2 summarizes the performance of each of the waste heat activated devices and total useful energy demand.

FIGURE 8. The Performance of Multi-bed Regenerative Silica Gel-Water Desiccant Dehumidifier.

FIGURE 9. The Performance of Multi-Bed Regenerative Silica Gel-Water Desiccant Dehumidifier and its Moisture Removal.

Table (2). The Nominal Capacity of each of the Devices Equipped with the TCCP.

Waste heat activated devices	Waste energy recovery (kW)	Useful effect produced (kW)	Coefficient of performance or COP of system, EUF
Steam generator	18.5	12.9	0.7
Absorption chiller	11.7	6.76	0.58
Adsorption chiller	13.96	6.98	0.5
Desiccant Dehumidifier	14.24	7.12	0.5
Electricity Produced	NA	28	NA
Total energy	NA	54.92	
energy input	82.74	NA	0.7

CONCLUSION

Performance analysis of TCCP plant comprises, namely (i) a steam generation cycle, (ii) an Absorption cooling cycle, (iii) an Adsorption cooling cycle and (iv) a Multi bed desiccant dehumidification cycle, has been successfully demonstrated to capture the optimal operation heat source temperature. It is observed that the overall efficiency or energy utilization factor (EUF) is obtained as high as 70% while the said host of waste heat activated devices are arranged in the cascaded configuration. Owing to the effective arrangement of devices, the fuel energy saving ratio (FESR) is attained 28% which will lead to the significant reduction in energy cost and carbon dioxide emission.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully express the gratitude to Agency of Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR) for their generous financial support for the project (Grant Number R265-000-287-305).

REFERENCES

1. J.H. Horlock, Cogeneration -Combined Heat and Power (CHP): Thermodynamics and Economics (1st Edition).
2. Anon, Cogeneration: more hope than promise, Fact Manage Volume 10, Issue 7, July 1977, Pages 29-31.

3. Belding, John A, Conservation as an energy source, Alternative Energy Sources, Miami International Conference; Miami Beach, FL, USA; 5 December 1977 through 7 December 1977.
4. Ku, W.S., Wakefield, R. , Study of technical and economic feasibility of fuel cell cogeneration applications by electric utilities, IEEE, ASME, and ASCE, Joint Power Generation Conference, Charlotte, NC, USA; 7 October 1979 through 11 October 1979.
5. Reinstrom, R.M, Carbonate fuel cell power plant systems, Power Apparatus and Systems, IEEE Transactions on Summer Meet, Conference; USA; 26 July 1981 through 31 July 1981.
6. Sauer, Carl, Benjamin, Tom, FUEL CELLS: POWER FOR THE FUTURE, Pipe line industry Houston, Tex, Volume 66, Issue 2, February 1987, Pages 19-21, 34.
7. J.E.A. Roy-Aikins, An investigation of factors that determine the attractiveness of cogeneration system, Heat Recovery Systems & CHP Vol. 15, No. 5, pages. 473-480, 1995.
8. M.H.A. Costa, J.A.P. Balestieri, Comparative study of cogeneration systems in a chemical industry, Applied Thermal Engineering 21 (2001) pages 523-533.
9. S. Harvey, C. Carcasci, T. Berntsson, Gas turbines in district heating combined heat and power systems: influence of performance on heating costs and emissions, Applied Thermal Engineering 20 (2000), pages 1075-1103.
10. M. Edera, H. Kojima, Development of a new gas absorption chiller heater-advanced utilization of waste heat from gas-driven co-generation systems for air-conditioning, Energy Conversion and Management 43 (2002), pages 1493–1501.
11. J.C. Ho, K.J. Chua, S.K. Chou, Performance study of a micro-turbine system for cogeneration application, Renewable Energy, 29 (2004), pages 1121–1133.
12. A. Goršek, P. Glavič, Process integration of a steam turbine, Applied Thermal Engineering, 23 (2003), pages 1227–1234.
13. E. Betelmal, B. Agnew and S.M.Ameli, Modelling of a gas turbine-absorption cogeneration cycle, HPC 2004, 3rd International Conference on Heat Powered Cycles, Cyprus, October 2004.
14. Zhang Beihong, Long Weiding, An optimal sizing method for cogeneration plants, Energy and Buildings 38 (2006), pages 189–195.
15. Tuula Savola, Ilkka Keppo, Off-design simulation and mathematical modelling of small-scale CHP plants at part loads, Applied Thermal Engineering 25 (2005), pages 1219–1232.
16. F.J. Wang, J.S. Chiou, P.C. Wu, Economic feasibility of waste heat to power conversion, Applied Energy 84 (2007), pages 442– 454.
17. Jose Antonio Perrella Balestieri and Paulo de Barros Correia, Multi objective linear model for pre-feasibility design of cogeneration systems, Energy Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 537-548, 1997.
18. Shu Yoshida, Koichi Ito, Ryohei Yokoyama, Sensitivity analysis in structure optimization of energy supply systems for a hospital, Energy Conversion and Management (2007).
19. Jiangfeng Wang, Yiping Dai, Lin Gao, Exergy analysis, parametric analysis and optimization for a novel combined power and ejector refrigeration cycle, Applied thermal engineering, Volume 29, Issue 10, July 2009, Pages 1983-1990
20. Ng Kim Choon, Aung Myat, Kyaw Thu, Hindeharu Yanagi, Bidyut Baran Saha and Ivan Leong, A Multi-Bed Low grade waste Heat driven desiccant dehumidifier (PCT-SG2011-000028)
21. John. R. Thome, Engineering Data Book III, Chapter 10, Wolverine Tube.
22. W.M Kays and A.L. London, Compact heat exchangers, second edition, 1964, McGraw Hill.
23. C.W Park, S.S Kim, H.C. Cho, Y.T Kang. Experimental correlation of falling film absorption heat transfer coefficient on micro-scale hatched tubes. International Journal of Refrigeration, 2003 (26) 758-763.
24. W. Rivera, A. Xicale, Heat transfer coefficient in two phase flow for the water/lithium bromide mixture used in solar absorption refrigeration systems. Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, 2001, (70), 309-320.
25. K.C. Ng, A. Chakraborty, S.M Aye, W. Xiaolin. New pool boiling data for water with copper foam metal at sub-atmospheric pressure: experiments and correlation. Applied Thermal Engineering 2006 (26) 1286-1290.
26. Aung Myat, Kyaw Thu, Young-Deuk Kim, A. Chakraborty, Won Gee Chun and Kim Choon Ng, A second law analysis and entropy generation minimization of an absorption chiller, Applied thermal engineering, 2011 (31) 2405-2413
27. Aung Myat, Kyaw Thu, Young-Deuk Kim and Kim Choon Ng, An entropy generation and genetic algorithm optimization of two bed adsorption cooling cycle Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering [PIE], DOI: 10.1177/0954408911416439.
28. Chihara K and Suzuki M, Air drying by pressure swing adsorption Journal of Chemical Engineering, Japan 1983; 16: p.293-298.
29. Toth, J. State equations of the solid-gas interface layers. Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung. 1971; 69: p.311-328.
30. Kyaw Thu, K.C. Ng, B.B. Saha and A. Chakraborty, Overall of Heat transfer analyses of a waste heat driven adsorption chiller, 2010 International Symposium on Next-generation Air Conditioning and Refrigeration Technology, 17 – 19 February 2010, Tokyo, Japan.