Tunable Rare Earth fcu-MOF Platform: Access to Adsorption Kinetics Driven Gas/Vapor Separations via Pore Size Contraction

Dong-Xu Xue, Youssef Belmabkhout, Osama Shekhah, Hao Jiang, Karim Adil, Amy J Cairns, and Mohamed Eddaoudi

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/ja5131403 • Publication Date (Web): 31 Mar 2015

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on April 5, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Tunable Rare Earth *fcu*-MOF Platform: Access to Adsorption Kinetics Driven Gas/Vapor Separations via Pore Size Contraction

Dong-Xu Xue, Youssef Belmabkhout, Osama Shekhah, Hao Jiang, Karim Adil, Amy J. Cairns, and Mohamed Eddaoudi*

Functional Materials Design, Discovery and Development Research Group (FMD³), Advanced Membranes and Porous Materials Center (AMPM), Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT: Reticular chemistry approach was successfully employed to deliberately construct new rare-earth (RE, i.e. Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺ and Y³⁺) *fcu* metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with restricted window apertures. Controlled and selective access to the resultant contracted *fcu*-MOF pores permits the achievement of the requisite sorbate cut-off, ideal for selective adsorption kinetics based separation and/or molecular sieving of gases and vapors. Predetermined reaction conditions that permitted the formation *in-situ* of the 12-connected RE hexanuclear molecular building block (MBB) and the establishment of the first RE-*fcu*-MOF platform, especially in the presence of 2-fluorobenzoic acid (2-FBA) as a modulator and a structure directing agent, were used to synthesize isostructural RE-1,4-NDC-*fcu*-MOFs based on a relatively bulkier 2-connected bridging ligand, namely 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylate (1,4-NDC). The subsequent RE-1,4-NDC-*fcu*-MOF structural features, contracted windows/pores and high concentration of open metal sites combined with exceptional hydrothermal and chemical stabilities, yielded notable gas/solvent separation properties, driven mostly by adsorption kinetics as exemplified in this work for *n*-butane/methane, butanol/methanol and butanol/water pair systems.

INTRODUCTION

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), a special class of solid-state materials, continue to attract remarkable attention in academia and industry alike as prospective functional materials for various key applications due in part to their (i) crystalline nature allowing precise structural determination and subsequent structure–property relationship, (ii) unparalleled permanent porosities, surface areas and pore volumes, with tunable surface functionalities, (iii) modularity and amenability to isorecticular chemistry as a result of the practically implemented building block strategies.¹ Markedly, MOF crystal chemistry had permitted access to myriad MOF materials with diverse pore sizes. Nevertheless most of the accomplished pore system tuning was directed toward increasing the pore size rather than contracting it.² Certainly, advances in MOF crystal chemistry offer potential to fine-tune the pore apertures to selectively discriminate molecules based on their molecular dimensions, a prerequisite for molecular sieving based gas/vapor/liquid separations. The ability to construct MOFs with reduced pore size and/or restricted pore access offer prospective to (i) enhance the pores local charge densities and subsequently accomplish efficient separation at low pressure applications³ and (ii) discriminate molecules based on their size and/or functionality leading to adsorption kinetics based separations.⁴

In a recent contribution, we reported the discovery of the 12-connected RE-*fcu*-MOF platform constructed from the assembly of RE hexanuclear molecular building blocks (MBBs) with a series of fluorinated and non-fluorinated, heterofunctional as well as fluorinated homofunctional linkers assisted by the modulator approach, i.e., 2-FBA.⁵ This MOF

platform encloses two types of cages (i.e. tetrahedral and octahedral) whose faces comprise one type of triangular window (delimited by linkers), constituting the sole entrance/access to the inner *fcu*-MOF pore system for guest molecules. Accordingly, controlling the triangular window size and peripheral functionality can permit to contract the pore size and tune the adsorption properties of the resultant isorecticular *fcu*-MOF and thus unveil MOF sorbents where gas separation can be governed partially (synergy between both adsorption kinetics and adsorption thermodynamics) or totally driven by adsorption kinetics (molecular sieving).

Our previous adsorption studies on the parent RE-*fcu*-MOF revealed the occurrence of a unique synergetic effect involving the polarized 2-fluoro-4-(1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl)benzoic acid (FTZB) ligand (Figure S1–2) containing tetrazolate and fluoro moieties, in proximity of the RE metal centers, to afford enhanced CO₂ adsorption equilibrium selectivity (i.e. 1051) which is particularly suitable for effective low CO₂ concentration removal. However, prompt saturation of these favorable sites at low CO₂ concentrations led to a decrease in CO₂ selectivity vs. N₂ (ca. 16) at intermediate and high CO₂ concentrations. In this case and in light of the relatively large pore size, the gas separation of CO₂ from N₂ (also CH₄) using the aforementioned RE-*fcu*-MOF was mainly driven by the difference in adsorption energetics (interactions) while no difference in adsorption kinetics was observed when increasing the size of the probe molecules, as exemplified in case of CO₂, C₂H₆ and C₃H₈ as adsorbate molecules. (Figure S3).

In order to contract the opening of the triangular window and potentially promote adsorption kinetics driven selectivity in RE-*fcu*-MOFs, we opted to use a bulkier bridging linker,

Figure 1. Ball-and-stick and schematic representation of **1**: 12-connected Eu-based MBB and the octahedral and tetrahedral cages with respective pink and blue spheres representing the cavities (top), and the corresponding cuboctahedron node, truncated octahedron and tetrahedral cages (middle) to afford the augmented **fcu** natural tiling (bottom). Eu = lime, C = black and O = red. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity.

namely 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylate (1,4-NDC). Plausibly, contracted pores in the resultant RE-**fcu**-MOFs can also result in enhanced affinity toward more polarizable molecules (i.e., CO₂, C₂H₆, C₃H₈ and *n*-C₄H₁₀) or promote sieving of relatively bulkier components than CH₄ from natural gas (NG). Noticeably, methane is the main valuable component of NG and is perceptibly regarded as a plausible alternative to conventional fossil fuels such as gasoline and diesel due to its abundance and its relatively cleaner-combustion features. Nevertheless, cost-effective purification/upgrading of NG remains an ongoing challenge since customarily used cryogenic distillation/fractionation separation techniques are energy-intensive.⁶ Accordingly, it is timely to explore MOFs potential for such energy intensive separations either as MOF adsorbents or as MOF membranes.

Such a prospective MOF separation agent, in addition to its efficiency to selectively separate CH₄ from CO₂, C₃H₈ and *n*-C₄H₁₀, should possess the necessary hydrothermal and chemical stability in order to be deployed under real-world conditions for NG upgrading. Although many MOFs undergo hydrolysis in the presence of moisture,⁷ our RE-**fcu**-MOF platform has proven to satisfy this stability criteria. In addition, the election and successful integration of the 1,4-NDC in the RE-**fcu**-MOF is anticipated to enhance the aforementioned stability as it has been shown that integrating additional hydrophobic moieties into the organic backbone of isorecticular MOFs enhances water stability.⁸

Here we report the synthesis and adsorption properties of a series of isostructural **fcu**-MOFs materials (i.e., Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺ and Y³⁺) based on the commercially available 1,4-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid as the bridging ligand. The resulting RE-**fcu**-MOFs encompassing a relatively shorter linker with a bulkier functionality expressed remarkable gas/vapor separation efficiencies and excellent chemical and hydrothermal stability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Solvothermal reactions between RE(NO₃)₃·*x*H₂O (RE = Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺ and Y³⁺) and 1,4-H₂NDC in a *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF)/water solution in the presence of 2-FBA yielded transparent homogeneous polyhedral crystals, formulated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) studies as [(CH₃)₂NH₂]₂[RE₆(μ₃-OH)₈(1,4-NDC)₆(H₂O)₆](solvent)_{*x*} (RE = Eu³⁺(**1**), Tb³⁺(**2**) and Y³⁺(**3**)). The phase purity of the bulk material was independently confirmed by similarities between the calculated and as-synthesized powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns (Figure S4). It is worth noting that the peak located at 2-theta = 14.2 appears clearly in the experimental PXRD pattern but less evidently in the calculated PXRD pattern. This specific peak corresponds to the [222] diffraction plan as it is clearly supported by the Le Bail refinement performed using the FullProf software and hence undoubtedly confirming that the noticed absence of intensity at 2-theta = 14.2 is due to accidental extinction (Figure S4d).⁹

Herein **1** is particularly addressed in details since the three compounds are isostructural. Compound **1** crystallizes in the cubic space group *Fm*-3*m*. Each Eu³⁺ metal ion is surrounded by four μ₃-OH groups, four oxygen atoms from distinct carboxylate groups from four independent 1,4-NDC²⁻ ligands, leaving the ninth coordination site for a water molecule (Figure 1). The adjacent Eu³⁺ ions are bridged via μ₃-OH and deprotonated carboxylate groups in a bis-monodentate fashion to give a [Eu₆(μ₃-OH)₈(O₂C-)₁₂], a 12-connected MBB. Each hexanuclear MBB is bridged through 1,4-NDC²⁻ anion to produce a 3-periodic MOF (Figure 1). Topological analysis of the resulting crystal structure reveals that **1** is a MOF with the anticipated **fcu** topology constructed from the bridged hexanuclear clusters, [Eu₆(μ₃-OH)₈(O₂C-)₁₂] MBBs, where the carbon atoms of the coordinated carboxylates, acting as points of extension, coincide with the cuboctahedron vertex figure of the quasiregular face-centered cubic (**fcu**) net.¹⁰ In **1** the structure encloses two distinct polyhedral cages, i.e., octahedral and tetrahedral, with accessible pore diameters depending on the relative orientation of the naphthalene moieties. As commonly observed in various 1,4-NDC based MOFs with high symmetry,¹¹ the naphthalene ring in **1** is disordered over four positions and hence, it is difficult to attribute an exact pore size diameter value to each of the two cages. As a result, it is more appropriate to consider a pore size diameter for each cage that takes into account the multiple possible orientations of the naphthalene moieties. Correspondingly, the average diameters for octahedral and tetrahedral cages were estimated to be 8.0 Å and 4.0 Å based on a geometrically optimized structure (forcite module within Material studio software). Most importantly, the access to these two cages is only possible via the triangular windows with an absolute minimal size of 2.4 Å and a maximum size of 5 Å. (Figure S8).

The chemical stability of **1** in the presence of water, acidic and basic conditions was investigated, as these conditions are

Figure 2. PXRD patterns for **1**: after treatment in water, acidic and basic conditions for 24 h at room temperature and 100 °C, respectively (a), and in-situ variable temperature (VT) under a vacuum (b).

widely recognized as critical parameters for practical use in many key industrial applications. Markedly, compound **1** maintains its structural integrity in boiling water for at least 24 h. This exceptional stability in boiling water was further authenticated by the preservation of the original apparent surface area, as evidenced by the conserved characteristics of the N₂ adsorption isotherm (Figure S9). Encouraged by this unique attribute, we explored the stability of compound **1** under acidic and basic conditions. Structural analysis and adsorption studies revealed that compound **1** is stable in acidic and basic aqueous solutions at room temperature (i.e. pH 3.5 HCl and pH 10 NaOH). Interestingly, boiling these solutions for 24 hours did not alter drastically the structure and the adsorption properties of compound **1** as evidenced by the maintained PXRD pattern and the limited decrease in the surface area (Figure 2a and Figure S9). Regarding the thermal stability of **1**, in-situ VT-PXRD patterns show that **1** is stable up to 400 °C under a vacuum (Figure 2b). These results reveal that compound **1** exhibits remarkable stability in contrast to other MOF materials.¹² The exceptional stability of **1** could be ascribed to the strong Eu–O bond (i.e. 2.375 Å) due to the high charge density (Z/r) of europium, combined with the relatively high hydrophobic character of the shorter 1,4-NDC linker bearing –C₄H₄– group and subsequently shielding the [Eu₆(μ₃-OH)₈] cluster moieties in **1** from water exposure/attack.

The solvent accessible free volume for **1** was estimated to be 39.0%, by summing voxels more than 1.2 Å away from the framework using PLATON software.¹³ Argon adsorption studies, initially performed on the methanol-exchanged samples of **1–3**, show fully reversible Type-I isotherms, representative of microporous material with permanent microporosity (Figure S11). The apparent BET surface areas and total pore volumes for **1–3** were estimated to be 465 m² g⁻¹ and 0.19 cm³ g⁻¹, 425 m² g⁻¹ and 0.18 cm³ g⁻¹, 546 m² g⁻¹ and 0.22 cm³ g⁻¹, respectively. To further investigate the adsorption/separation performances of **1**, adsorptions of CO₂, CH₄, N₂, C₂H₆, C₃H₈ and *n*-C₄H₁₀ were carried out. The CO₂ adsorption capabilities were assessed for **1–3** at sub-atmospheric pressures, showing more or less the same uptake at variable temperatures, i.e., 2.01, 1.81 and 2.29 mmol g⁻¹ CO₂ at 1 bar and 298 K, respectively (Figure S12). The isosteric heat of adsorption (Q_{st}) as a function of CO₂ loading shows also similar behavior for **1–3** (i.e., 34.4, 33.1 and 32.9 kJ mol⁻¹ at zero coverage) (Figure S10), which is progressively decreasing as the CO₂ loading increases (i.e., 34.4 to 26.7 kJ mol⁻¹ for **1**), indicative of homogenous binding sites over the full range of gas loading, albeit displaying weaker interactions at low loading as compared to the parent RE **fcu**-MOFs bridged by FTZB linker (i.e. 58.1 kJ mol⁻¹).⁵ To further explore the separation capabilities for CO₂ in mixtures with N₂ and CH₄, akin to flue gas and natural gas, respectively, Ideal Adsorption Solution Theory

Figure 3. High pressure adsorption isotherms of **1** for CO₂, CH₄, and N₂ at 298 K (a) and CO₂ adsorption selectivity of **1** for CO₂/N₂ (10/90) and CO₂/CH₄ (05/95) as calculated using IAST at 298 K (b).

Figure 4. High pressure adsorption isotherms of **1** for C₂H₆, C₃H₈ and *n*-C₄H₁₀ compared with CH₄ at 298 K (a) and C₂+ adsorption selectivity of **1** for C₃H₈/CH₄ (05/95) and *n*-C₄H₁₀/CH₄ (05/95) as calculated using IAST at 298 K (b).

(IAST)¹⁴ prediction was carried out on **1** using single gas equilibrium adsorption data of CO₂, N₂ and CH₄ below and above atmospheric pressure (Figure 3a). Interestingly, **1** showed qualitatively much higher CO₂/N₂ (10/90) and CO₂/CH₄ (05/95) selectivity (ca. 82 and 16 at 1 bar, respectively) (Figure 3b) as compared to the parent FTZB-*fcu*-MOF (ca. 16 and 5 at 1 bar, respectively) at relatively higher CO₂ concentration.⁵ This can be attributed to the contracted pore size for **1** inducing a considerably higher uniformity of CO₂ adsorption sites while maintaining relatively fast kinetics for CO₂, N₂ and CH₄ similar to the case of FTZB-*fcu*-MOF (Figure S3). In spite of the contracted aperture (<5 Å), the CO₂ selective adsorption vs N₂ and CH₄ is still mainly driven by the preferential interaction of CO₂ with the framework of **1** as compared to N₂ and CH₄. In light of this result, we envisioned to explore further the adsorption and kinetics of a slightly larger and more polarizable probe molecules such as C₂H₆, C₃H₈, *n*-C₄H₁₀ and *iso*-C₄H₁₀ (Figures 4 and 5). Interestingly, it was found that the adsorption kinetics is reduced from CO₂ to *n*-C₄H₁₀ and *iso*-C₄H₁₀ (Figure 5a), which is a desired feature that can be exploited in improving the separation of C₄ from C₁ via cooperative adsorption kinetics mechanism. This was confirmed by fitting the adsorption kinetics data with the Linear Driving Force (LDF) model and determining the adsorption kinetics coefficients, i.e. $3.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for ethane, $2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for propane and $8.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for *n*-butane (Table S2).

The C₂H₆, C₃H₈, *n*-C₄H₁₀ equilibrium adsorption measurements were performed up to 4 bar considering their more polarizing attribute and bigger kinetic diameters. Noteworthy, the ethane, propane and *n*-butane adsorption isotherms in the low-pressure are steeper than the corresponding isotherm of methane (Figure 4a), indicating a relatively stronger affinity between C₂+ hydrocarbons and the framework of **1**.

Conceivably, in an open MOF material with large pores, the gas adsorbate condensability rises with the increase in the associated gas boiling point (i.e. increasing the number of carbons), and accordingly the strength of the gas-sorbent interactions at very low partial pressures increases with the carbon chain length and thus follows the sequence C₄ > C₃ > C₂ > C₁. As an illustrative example, the parent Yttrium based FTZB-*fcu*-MOF obeys the gas condensability rationale and thus exhibits a C₃H₈/CH₄ (05/95) equilibrium selectivity of 51 at 1 bar and 298 K (Figure S14a). Upon pore contraction in **1**, the C₃H₈/CH₄ (05/95) equilibrium selectivity increased to ca. 260 under the same conditions. This is driven mainly by the increase of charge density, resulted from the combination of reduced pore size and the relatively bulkier naphthalene moieties. Moreover, this pore contraction effect led to the achievement of very high equilibrium selectivity (ca. 1750 and 2710) for *n*-C₄H₁₀/CH₄ (05/95) and *n*-C₄H₁₀/CH₄ (02/98) gas mixtures, respectively, at 2 bar and 298 K calculated using IAST

Figure 5. Fractional uptake of CO₂, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, *n*-C₄H₁₀ and *iso*-C₄H₁₀ for **1** collected at various pressures (a) and breakthrough test of *n*-C₄H₁₀/CH₄ (02/98) gas mixture at 298 K and 1 bar for **1** (b).

Figure 6. PXRD patterns of **1** calculated and thin-film grown on the $-OH$ -terminated self-assembled monolayer surface (inset is SEM image of thin-film **1** after 24 h of growth) (a) and QCM profiles of specific mass uptake of VOCs at the saturated vapor pressure at room temperature for thin film **1** (b).

(Figure 4b and Figure S15). This distinctive result was further confirmed experimentally using column breakthrough tests for $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ (02/98) mixture with almost an infinite selectivity taking into account the set-up uncertainties (Figure 5b). In fact, when using a stream of $5\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$ of the $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ (02/98) mixture, the breakthrough time for CH_4 occurred rapidly, while $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ breakthrough through the column only after 1190 seconds. Because of the low retention time (in 140 mg of **1**) for CH_4 , the quantitative estimation of CH_4 adsorbed is associated with a large percentage of errors, which in turn affect the accuracy of the $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ selectivity when it is higher than 1000. The plausible interplay between the relatively favorable $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ -sorbent interactions and the considerably slower adsorption kinetics for $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ vs. CH_4 can potentially explain the observed high $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ selectivity (2385 ± 300) (the calculated error on the $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ selectivity is determined without considering the errors associated with the low CH_4 uptake). Encouragingly, the use of 1,4-NDC based RE **fcu**-MOF as a separation agent (with equilibrium or/and kinetics based mechanism) for $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ offer potential for real industrial applications and should be explored.

In light of the exceptional stability of **1** and its associated distinctive gas adsorption equilibrium and kinetics properties, we further explored its potential for applications pertaining to

thin films.¹⁵ Accordingly, we were successful in growing a highly-oriented thin film of **1** using in-situ crystallization method on a gold surface functionalized with an $-OH$ -terminated surface by using 11-mercaptoundecanol as a self-assembled monolayer (SAM). The thin film crystallinity and orientation along the $[111]$ direction were confirmed by out-of-plane PXRD pattern (Figure 6a), with reference to the lattices parallel to the surface. This approach to the growth of thin films resulted in the exposure of the triangular windows of the **fcu**-MOF structure (Figure S17a).

The deposition of **1** directly on the quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) gold substrate allowed us to study the adsorption kinetics of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) such as alcohols of different molecular weight at their corresponding vapor pressure at 298 K. The ultra-sensitivity of the QCM device permitted to detect mass changes in the nanogram range,¹⁵ and allowed further assessment of the adsorption and kinetic selectivity of variable VOCs like methanol, water, ethanol, propanol and butanol on **1** in the form of a thin film. As evident in Figure 6b, the associated increase in the molecular weight of the alcohols resulted in a clear decrease in the associated adsorption kinetic rate. Indeed, the fastest and highest uptake was found for methanol while negligible uptake was detected for butanol under similar experimental conditions. Water, the smallest probe molecule studied, was observed to adsorb faster than all alcohols at the steady state (reached at 750s). This indicates that the resultant thin films of **1** with crystallites orientated in the $[111]$ direction with openings perpendicular to the exposed substrate particularly favored a faster adsorption/uptake of the methanol and water guest molecules. This distinct finding based on adsorption kinetics separation is ideal for removal of butanol from water and/or methanol. Correspondingly, work is in progress to fabricate the **fcu**-MOF associated continuous membrane on a porous support and explore its potential for drying and separation of alcohols as well as for separation of other bulkier molecules.

CONCLUSION

Our quest for partially and/or totally adsorption kinetics driven separations using MOFs, led us to employ a bulkier ligand, 1,4-NDC, to assemble new isostructural RE **fcu**-MOFs with restricted pore access. The synthesis of the new isostructural RE **fcu**-MOFs, based on 1,4-NDC, was once again achieved using 2-FBA as a modulator and a structure directing agent for the *in-situ* formation of the desired 12-connected MBB, $[\text{RE}_6(\mu_3\text{-OH})_8(\text{O}_2\text{C-})_{12}]$. Structural features of the resultant RE-**fcu**-MOFs, i.e., relatively shorter linker, 1,4-NDC, bearing hydrophobic $-\text{C}_4\text{H}_4-$ moiety integrated into the benzene ring, yielded a robust porous material having a high degree of thermal and chemical stability with a structurally smaller/contracted triangular window aperture. Consequently, the resultant RE-**fcu**-MOF, **1**, expressed a relatively higher CO_2/N_2 and CO_2/CH_4 selectivity than the parent **fcu**-MOF constructed using FTZB ligand. Principally, the contracted triangular aperture, originated by the bulkiness of the use of 1,4-NDC, resulted in an exceptionally high selectivity of $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}$ vs. CH_4 governed by a complex interplay between thermodynamics and kinetics. Similarly, adsorption kinetic measurements on the associated thin film, grown on gold substrate, showed an unprecedented sieving of butanol from water and other relatively smaller alcohols. The outstanding chemi-

cal and thermal stability of the 1,4-NDC based **fcu**-MOFs combined with its associated gas selectivity render this class of **fcu**-MOF material potential candidates for NG upgrading and butanol separation/dehydration. Work is in progress to fabricate the **fcu**-MOF associated continuous membrane on a porous support and explore its potential for gas and/or vapors separations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods. All reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) data (4000–600 cm^{-1}) were collected in the solid state on a Nicolet 700 FT-IR spectrometer. The peak intensities are described in each of the spectra as very strong (vs), strong (s), medium (m), weak (w). Powder X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) measurements were performed on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD X-ray diffractometer at 45 kV, 40mA for $\text{CuK}\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$) equipped with a variable-temperature stage, with a scan speed of $2^\circ/\text{min}$. The sample was held at the designated temperatures for at least 10 minutes between each scan. High resolution dynamic thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were performed under a continuous N_2 flow and recorded on a TA Instruments Hi-Res TGA Q500 Thermogravimetric Analyzer with a heating rate of 5°C per minute. Low pressure gas adsorption measurements were performed on a fully automated Autosorb-1C gas adsorption analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments). High pressure gas adsorption studies were performed on a Magnetic Suspension Balance marketed by Rubotherm (Germany). The SEM images were recorded on a Quanta 600 FEG scanning electron microscope at 30 kV. Single-Crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) data were collected on Bruker X8 PROSPECTOR APEX2 CCD diffractometers ($\text{CuK}\alpha$, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ \AA}$).

Synthesis of Compounds.

Synthesis of *Eu-1,4-NDC-MOF* (**1**): 1,4- H_2NDC (9.41 mg, 0.0435 mmol), $\text{Eu}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (18.6 mg, 0.0435 mmol), 2-fluorobenzoic acid (48.7 mg, 0.348 mmol), DMF (2.2 mL), H_2O (0.5 mL) and HNO_3 (0.3 mL, 3.5 M in DMF) were combined in a 20 mL scintillation vial, sealed and heated to 115°C for 60 h and cooled to room temperature. The colorless polyhedral crystals were collected and air-dried. FT-IR (4000–600 cm^{-1}): 3300(br), 1653(s), 1600(vs), 1513(s), 1461(s), 1412(vs), 1366(vs), 1264(s), 1211(m), 1165(m), 1098(m), 1031(m), 1020(m), 977(m), 872(m), 848(m), 824(s), 793(vs), 772(m), 745(m) and 662(s).

Synthesis of *Tb-1,4-NDC-MOF* (**2**): 1,4- H_2NDC (9.42 mg, 0.0435 mmol), $\text{Tb}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (18.9 mg, 0.0435 mmol), 2-fluorobenzoic acid (48.7 mg, 0.348 mmol), DMF (2.2 mL), H_2O (0.5 mL) and HNO_3 (0.3 mL, 3.5 M in DMF) were combined in a 20 mL scintillation vial, sealed and heated to 115°C for 60 h and cooled to room temperature. The colorless polyhedral crystals were collected and air-dried. FT-IR (4000–600 cm^{-1}): 3305(br), 1657(s), 1600(vs), 1513(s), 1462(s), 1413(vs), 1364(vs), 1265(s), 1213(m), 1164(m), 1098(m), 1060(m), 1020(w), 977(w), 870(m), 825(m), 793(vs), 772(m), 745(m) and 663(s).

Synthesis of *Y-1,4-NDC-MOF* (**3**): 1,4- H_2NDC (9.40 mg, 0.0435 mmol), $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (16.7 mg, 0.0435 mmol), 2-fluorobenzoic acid (48.7 mg, 0.348 mmol), DMF (2.2 mL), H_2O (0.5 mL) and HNO_3 (0.3 mL, 3.5 M in DMF) were com-

bined in a 20 mL scintillation vial, sealed and heated to 115°C for 60 h and cooled to room temperature. The colorless polyhedral crystals were collected and air-dried. FT-IR (4000–600 cm^{-1}): 3303(br), 1659(s), 1599(vs), 1513(m), 1462(s), 1413(vs), 1369(vs), 1265(s), 1213(m), 1164(m), 1098(m), 1033(m), 1021(w), 978(w), 869(m), 825(s), 793(vs), 772(m), 746(m) and 667(s).

Column breakthrough experiments. The stainless steel column used in the breakthrough test is 27 mm in length with 4 mm of inner (6.4 mm outer) diameter. The column downstream is monitored using a Hiden mass spectrometer. In a typical experiment, 0.1–0.4 g of adsorbent was treated at 433 K overnight in vacuum (in oven). After backfill with argon, the column is then transferred to a thermostatic room where helium is flushed through the column at $5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The gas flow is then switched to the desired $n\text{-C}_4\text{H}_{10}/\text{CH}_4$ (02/98) gas mixture at the same flow rate. The complete breakthrough of C_4H_{10} and CH_4 and other species was indicated by the downstream gas composition reaching that of the feed gas.

Thin film preparation and QCM measurements. Gold substrate (200-nm Au/2-nm Ti evaporated on Si wafers) was first functionalized with SAMs of 11-mercaptoundecanol (MUD). Then 1,4- H_2NDC (56.38 mg, 0.261 mmol), $\text{Eu}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (111.72 mg, 0.261 mmol), 2-fluorobenzoic acid (302.4 mg, 2.1 mmol), DMF (3.9 mL), H_2O (0.5 mL) and HNO_3 (0.6 mL, 3.5 M in DMF) were combined in a 50 mL scintillation bottle and completely dissolved, sealed and heated to 115°C for 12 hours. The mother solution was then cooled to 85°C . The freshly prepared substrate was then placed vertically in above solution, and sealed and heated to 85°C for another 24 hours. The QCM measurements were carried out using a Q-Sense E4 QCM-D instrument and a homemade volatile organic compound vapor dosing system, using N_2 as a carrier gas. The N_2 flux was controlled using a mass flow controller and the experiments were done using a flow rate of 10 mL/min.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. PXRD, TGA, additional structural figures, low- and high-pressure gas adsorption isotherms, IAST, kinetics and SEM images as well as X-ray crystallographic data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

mohamed.eddaoudi@kaust.edu.sa

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Eddaoudi, M.; Moler, D. B.; Li, H.; Chen, B.; Reineke, T. M.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 319. (b) Ferey, G. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2000**, *152*, 37. (c) Kitagawa, S.; Kitaura, R.; Noro, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2334. (d) Eddaoudi, M.; Kim, J.; Rosi, N.; Vodak, D.; Wachter, J.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi,

- O. M. *Science* **2002**, *295*, 469. (e) Eubank, J. F.; Nouar, F.; Luebke, R.; Cairns, A. J.; Wojtas, L.; Alkordi, M.; Bousquet, T.; Hight, M. R.; Eckert, J.; Embs, J. P.; Georgiev, P. A.; Eddaoudi, M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10099. (f) Zhang, Y. B.; Zhou, H. L.; Lin, R. B.; Zhang, C.; Lin, J. B.; Zhang, J. P.; Chen, X. M. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 642. (g) Cook, T. R.; Zheng, Y. R.; Stang, P. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 734. (h) Chun, H.; Dybtsev, D. N.; Kim, H.; Kim, K. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 3521. (i) Zhao, D.; Timmons, D. J.; Yuan, D. Q.; Zhou, H. C. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 123. (j) Sun, Q. F.; Sato, S.; Fujita, M. *Nature Chemistry* **2012**, *4*, 330. (k) Ma, L. Q.; Falkowski, J. M.; Abney, C.; Lin, W. B. *Nature Chemistry* **2010**, *2*, 838. (l) Dinca, M.; Dailly, A.; Liu, Y.; Brown, C. M.; Neumann, D. A.; Long, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 16876. (m) Masciocchi, N.; Galli, S.; Colombo, V.; Maspero, A.; Palmisano, G.; Seyyedi, B.; Lamberti, C.; Bordiga, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 7902. (n) Padiál, N. M.; Procopio, E. Q.; Montoro, C.; Lopez, E.; Oltra, J. E.; Colombo, V.; Maspero, A.; Masciocchi, N.; Galli, S.; Senkovska, I.; Kaskel, S.; Barea, E.; Navarro, J. A. R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 8290. (o) Schaate, A.; Roy, P.; Preusse, T.; Lohmeier, S. J.; Godt, A.; Behrens, P. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 9320. (p) Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 974. (q) Zhang, J. P.; Zhang, Y. B.; Lin, J. B.; Chen, X. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 1001. (r) Guillermin, V.; Weselinski, L. J.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Cairns, A. J.; D'Elia, V.; Wojtas, L.; Adil, K. and Eddaoudi, M. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 673. (s) Guillermin, V.; Kim, D.; Eubank, J. F.; Luebke, R.; Liu, X.; Adil, K.; Lah, M. S. and Eddaoudi, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6141.
- (2) Furukawa, H.; Ko, N.; Go, Y. B.; Aratani, N.; Choi, S. B.; Choi, E.; Yazaydin, A. O.; Snurr, R. Q.; O'Keeffe, M.; Kim, J.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 424. Deng, H. X.; Grunder, S.; Cordova, K. E.; Valente, C.; Furukawa, H.; Hmadeh, M.; Gandara, F.; Whalley, A. C.; Liu, Z.; Asahina, S.; Kazumori, H.; O'Keeffe, M.; Terasaki, O.; Stoddart, J. F.; Yaghi, O. M. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 1018. Farha, O. K.; Eryazici, I.; Jeong, N. C.; Hauser, B. G.; Wilmer, C. E.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Snurr, R. Q.; Nguyen, S. T.; Yazaydin, A. O.; Hupp, J. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 15016. Yun, R.; Lu, Z.; Pan, Y.; You, X.; Bai, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11282. An, J.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Pohl, E.; Yeh, J. I.; Rosi, N. L. *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 604. Song, X.; Kim, T. K.; Kim, H.; Kim, D.; Jeong, S.; Moon, H. R.; Lah, M. S. *Chem. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 3065.
- (3) Nugent, P.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Burd, S. D.; Cairns, A. J.; Luebke, R.; Forrest, K.; Pham, T.; Ma, S. Q.; Space, B.; Wojtas, L.; Eddaoudi, M.; Zaworotko, M. J. *Nature* **2013**, *495*, 80.
- (4) Li, K. H.; Olson, D. H.; Seidel, J.; Emge, T. J.; Gong, H. W.; Zeng, H. P.; Li, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10368.
- (5) Xue, D.-X.; Cairns, A. J.; Belmabkhout, Y.; Wojtas, L.; Liu, Y.; Alkordi, M. H.; Eddaoudi, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 7660.
- (6) Cavenati, S.; Grande, C. A.; Rodrigues, A. E. *Energ. Fuel.* **2006**, *20*, 2648. He, Y. B.; Zhou, W.; Krishna, R.; Chen, B. L. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 11813.
- (7) Kaye, S. S.; Dailly, A.; Yaghi, O. M.; Long, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14176. Low, J. J.; Benin, A. I.; Jakubczak, P.; Abrahamian, J. F.; Faheem, S. A.; Willis, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15834.
- (8) Nguyen, J. G.; Cohen, S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 4560. Wu, T. J.; Shen, L. J.; Luebbers, M.; Hu, C. H.; Chen, Q. M.; Ni, Z.; Masel, R. I. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 6120. Taylor, J. M.; Vaidhyanathan, R.; Iremonger, S. S.; Shimizu, G. K. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14338.
- (9) Le Bail, A.; Duroy, H.; Fourquet, J. L. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1988**, *23*, 447. Rodriguez-Carvajal, J. FullProf: *A Program for Rietveld Refinement and Pattern Matching Analysis*, Abstracts of the Satellite Meeting on Powder Diffraction of the XV Congress of the IUCr, Toulouse, France, **1990**, p.127.
- (10) Friedrichs, O. D.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2003**, *59*, 22.
- (11) Horike, S.; Matsuda, R.; Tanaka, D.; Matsubara, S.; Mizuno, M.; Endo, K.; Kitagawa, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 7226.
- (12) Cavka, J. H.; Jakobsen, S.; Olsbye, U.; Guillou, N.; Lamberti, C.; Bordiga, S.; Lillerud, K. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13850. Colombo, V.; Galli, S.; Choi, H. J.; Han, G. D.; Maspero, A.; Palmisano, G.; Masciocchi, N.; Long, J. R. *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 1311. Montoro, C.; Linares, F.; Procopio, E. Q.; Senkovska, I.; Kaskel, S.; Galli, S.; Masciocchi, N.; Barea, E.; Navarro, J. A. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 11888. Guillermin, V.; Ragon, F.; Dan-Hardi, M.; Devic, T.; Vishnuvarthan, M.; Campo, B.; Vimont, A.; Clet, G.; Yang, Q.; Maurin, G.; Ferey, G.; Vittadini, A.; Gross, S.; Serre, C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9267. Liao, P. Q.; Zhou, D. D.; Zhu, A. X.; Jiang, L.; Lin, R. B.; Zhang, J. P.; Chen, X. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17380. Jiang, H.-L.; Feng, D.; Wang, K.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Wei, Z.; Chen, Y.-P.; Zhou, H.-C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 13934. Duan, J.; Higuchi, M.; Horike, S.; Foo, M. L.; Rao, K. P.; Inubushi, Y.; Fukushima, T.; Kitagawa, S. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 3525. Park, K. S.; Ni, Z.; Cote, A. P.; Choi, J. Y.; Huang, R. D.; Uribe-Romo, F. J.; Chae, H. K.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2006**, *103*, 10186.
- (13) Spek, A. L. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1990**, *46*, c34.
- (14) Myers, A. L.; Prausnitz, J. M. *Aiche J.* **1965**, *11*, 121.
- (15) Shekhah, O.; Liu, J.; Fischer, R. A.; Woll, C. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1081. Gu, Z. Y.; Yang, C. X.; Chang, N.; Yan, X. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 734.