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Abstract

Major depression is a highly complex disabling psychiatric disorder affecting millions of people worldwide. Despite the availability of several classes of antidepressants, a substantial percentage of patients are unresponsive to these medications. A better understanding of the neurobiology of depression and the mechanisms underlying antidepressant response is thus critically needed. We previously reported that mice lacking CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 1 (CRTC1) exhibit a depressive-like phenotype and a blunted antidepressant response to the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor fluoxetine. In this study, we similarly show that Crtc1⁻/⁻ mice are resistant to the antidepressant effect of chronic desipramine in a behavioral despair paradigm. Supporting the blunted response to this tricyclic antidepressant, we found that desipramine does not significantly increase the expression of Bdnf and Nr4a1-3 in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex of Crtc1⁻/⁻ mice. Epigenetic regulation of neuroplasticity gene expression has been associated with depression and antidepressant response, and histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors have been shown to have antidepressant-like properties. Here, we show that unlike conventional antidepressants, chronic systemic administration of the HDAC inhibitor SAHA partially rescues the depressive-like behavior of Crtc1⁻/⁻ mice. This behavioral effect is accompanied by an increased expression of Bdnf, but not Nr4a1-3, in the prefrontal cortex of these mice, suggesting that this epigenetic intervention restores the expression of a subset of genes by acting downstream of CRTC1. These findings suggest that CRTC1 alterations may be associated with treatment-resistant depression, and support the interesting possibility that targeting HDACs may be a useful therapeutic strategy in antidepressant development.
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Chemical compounds studied in this article

Desipramine hydrochloride (PubChem CID: 65327); Vorinostat (PubChem CID: 5311)
1. Introduction

Mood disorders (including major depressive disorders and bipolar disorders) are a major cause of disability worldwide, with an estimated lifetime prevalence of 16% (Kessler et al., 2005). Although the underlying etiological mechanisms are complex and still unclear, studies over the past decades have highlighted the importance of the transcription factor cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) response element-binding protein (CREB) and one of its target genes brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Bdnf) (Blendy, 2006; Krishnan and Nestler, 2008; Martinowich et al., 2007). CREB is a pleiotropic transcription factor involved, in particular, in neuronal growth and survival, neurogenesis, synaptic plasticity and long-term memory (Blendy, 2006; Carlezon et al., 2005; Lonze and Ginty, 2002). BDNF is also implicated in these processes, partly through the induction of its expression by CREB (Martinowich and Lu, 2008; Martinowich et al., 2007). CREB and BDNF have both been involved in depression and antidepressant treatment. Indeed, overexpression of CREB and BDNF in the hippocampus (HIP) results in antidepressant effects (Chen et al., 2001; Shirayama et al., 2002) and inversely, chronic stress reduces Bdnf expression in the same structure (Smith et al., 1995). Of note, antidepressants such as fluoxetine and desipramine were also shown to upregulate Bdnf expression in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and HIP of rodents in a CREB-dependent manner (Conti et al., 2002).

CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 1 (CRTC1) is a potent CREB coactivator activated by cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling that trigger its dephosphorylation and translocation to the nucleus, where it binds to CREB, helps to recruit CREB-binding protein (CBP) and RNA polymerase II, and thus activates CREB-dependent gene transcription (Altarejos and Montminy, 2011; Ch'ng et al., 2012; Conkright et al., 2003; Kovacs et al., 2007; Ravenskaer et al., 2007; Screaton et al., 2004). CRTC1 is involved in activity-induced dendritic growth and late phase long-term potentiation (L-LTP) (Kovacs et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2006). Moreover, Bdnf expression as well as BDNF-dependent dendritic growth require CRTC1 (Finsterwald et al., 2010; Kovacs et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2006). Region-specific CRTC1’s activation is critical for activity-dependent immediate early genes regulation and long-term fear memory (Nonaka et al., 2014a; Sekeres et al., 2012). CRTC1-dependent transcription of Bdnf and orphan nuclear receptors 4a (Nr4a) is
also involved in cognitive impairments related to Alzheimer’s disease (Parra-Damas et al., 2014).

To further investigate the role of CRTC1 in the brain, we generated a CRTC1-deficient mouse line that exhibits several endophenotypes related to mood disorders and a blunted response to the antidepressant fluoxetine in behavioral despair-related paradigms (Breuillaud et al., 2009; Breuillaud et al., 2012). At the molecular level, \( \text{Crtc1}^{-/-} \) mice have a decreased HIP and PFC expression of several CREB-regulated neuroplasticity genes, including notably \( \text{Bdnf} \) and \( \text{Nr4a1-3} \) (Breuillaud et al., 2012). Altogether, this suggests that CRTC1, as a key regulator of neuroplasticity-related genes, is critical for mood regulation and antidepressant response. However, the direct or indirect mechanisms linking CRTC1’s deficiency and behavioral consequences are still unclear. As CRTC1 promotes CBP recruitment, which has an intrinsic histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity, these mechanisms might include CRTC1-related epigenetic gene regulation. Noteworthily, epigenetic mechanisms have been widely associated with depressive disorders and with CREB and \( \text{Bdnf} \) regulation in the frame of stress and antidepressant response (Lin et al., 2012; Tsankova et al., 2007; Tsankova et al., 2006; Vecsey et al., 2007; Vialou et al., 2013).

In this study, we were interested in further investigating the involvement of CRTC1 in antidepressant response and the underlying molecular mechanisms. We first tested the effects of chronic desipramine on the behavior of \( \text{Crtc1}^{-/-} \) mice, which revealed a blunted behavioral response to this antidepressant. We also observed that desipramine failed to induce \( \text{Bdnf} \) and \( \text{Nr4a1-3} \) expression in the HIP and PFC of \( \text{Crtc1}^{-/-} \) mice. By acting at the epigenetic levels and treating the animals with a HDAC inhibitor, we were able to partially rescue the depressive-like behavior of \( \text{Crtc1}^{-/-} \) mice. This was paralleled by an increased expression of \( \text{Bdnf} \), but not \( \text{Nr4a1-3} \), in the PFC of these animals.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

Crtc1−/− mice and WT littermates were generated and genotyped as previously described (Breuillaud et al., 2009). Mice were housed under a 12-h light-dark cycle with ad libitum access to water and standard rodent chow diet. Male mice were weaned at 21 days and group-housed until being isolated at 5 weeks of age in order to avoid wounding of cage mates by aggressive Crtc1−/− males (Breuillaud et al., 2012). All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the Swiss Federal Veterinary Office’s guidelines and were approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Service. Behavioral procedures began when mice reached the age of 8 weeks.

2.2. Drugs and treatment

Desipramine hydrochloride was purchased from Sigma (St-Louis, MO, USA). Mice received desipramine in the drinking water at a concentration of 100 mg/l, which corresponds to approximately 20 mg/kg of body weight/day. Desipramine solution was changed every week and concentration was adjusted depending on the weight gain and average water consumption of the mice. Suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA, also known as vorinostat) was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). Mice received SAHA through daily intra-peritoneal injections. SAHA was first dissolved in DMSO at a dose of 50 mg/ml. This stock solution was diluted 1:10 every day in saline solution (final concentration: 5 mg/ml) prior to injections at a dose of 25 mg/kg of body weight. Vehicle groups were injected with a solution of 10% DMSO in saline.

2.3. Repeated open-space forced swim procedure (OSFS)

The repeated OSFS procedure was performed as previously described (Breuillaud et al., 2012; Stone and Lin, 2011). Briefly, swimming was carried out in rat tub cages (24x43x23 cm) filled with ~14 cm of lukewarm tap water (34±0.5°C) and colored with ~10 ml of milk. Mice
undertook individual daily swim of 15 minutes during 4 consecutive days (Days -4 to -1). On day 0, desipramine or SAHA treatment started. Swimming sessions were repeated at 3 or 4 days of intervals during 3 weeks (Days 2, 5, 9, 12, 16, 19, 23) under continuous treatment (Fig. 1A). Water was changed after 4 mice had swum, in order to maintain water temperature. Swimming sessions were videotaped from above. Time spent immobile (drifting with no observable movement of limbs or tail) was manually recorded.

2.4. Novelty-induced hypophagia (NIH)

NIH procedure started on day 24, one day after the last swimming session (Fig. 1A). Mice were trained to drink sweetened condensed milk (1:3, condensed milk: water) for two consecutive days (day 24 and 25), 2x1h each day. Milk was presented in homemade drinking tubes made of 10 ml conical tubes (Sarsedt) with a hole at the bottom closed by a glass bead (5 mm diameter) to make a sipper. Tubes were placed through wire cage lids. On the third day (day 26), mice were tested in homecage conditions (light intensity: ~27 lux). Mice were videotaped during 15 min from the side and latency to drink was measured. On the fourth day (day 27), mice were placed in a novel cage without bedding, under bright light (~1200 lux), and the same measurements were made. The cage was cleaned with water and 70% ethanol between each mouse and before the first mouse.

2.5. Brain microdissection and micropunching

On day 28, one day after the end of the NIH procedure, all mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and decapitation. The brain was rapidly placed in a stainless steel adult mouse brain slicer matrix with 1 mm coronal section slice intervals. Six coronal slices were made from the second frontal slice channel of the matrix. Brain slices were placed on microscope slides and immediately frozen in dry ice, and then stored at -80°C. Medial PFC and dorsal HIP were collected with a micropunch (ø 1 mm, Stoeltling, Wood Dale, IL, USA) in corresponding brain slices. PFC and HIP samples were kept at -80°C.
2.6. Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted and purified from the PFC and HIP micropunches, using the RNAeasy Plus Minikit (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentrations were measured by UV spectrophotometry with a NanoDrop Lite (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). cDNA was prepared in a 50 μl reaction by reverse transcription, using 100 ng of RNA with Taqman Reagents and random hexamers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). 0.8 μl of cDNA was amplified on a 96-well plate using the SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystem). Amplification was performed with an ABI PRISM 7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystem). The program was 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. Relative gene expression was quantified using the comparative ΔΔ Ct method and normalized with β-actin transcript levels.

The following primers were used, at a concentration of 250 nM: β-actin forward 5’-GCTTCTTTGCAGCTCCTTCGT-3’, β-actin reverse 5’-ATATCGTCATCCATGGCGAAC-3’, Crtc1 forward 5’-CAGGACCTGGCTGGAA-3’, Crtc1 reverse 5’-AGACAGCAAGACCCATTTCTAAGCA-3’; Bdnf forward 5’-AAAAACCATAAGGACGC GGACTT-3’, Bdnf reverse 5’-GAGGCTCCAAAGGCACTTGGA-3’; Bdnf exIV forward 5’-GTAAG AGTCTAGAACCTTGGGGACC-3’, Bdnf exIV reverse 5’-GGATGGTCACTACTCTTCTAAGCT-3’, Nr4a1 forward 5’-AAAATCCCTGGCTGGCACAGCG-3’, Nr4a1 reverse 5’-TTAGA TCGTATGCCCCAGGC-3’; Nr4a2 forward 5’-CGGTATGCAGAAGTGACCTAGC-3’, Nr4a2 reverse 5’-TTGCGCTGAACCTTGAATAG-3’; Nr4a3 forward 5’-TGGCTCGACTCCAATAGAAG-3’, Nr4a3 reverse 5’-TGATAGCTCCCTCCACTCTCCT-3’; Hdac1 forward 5’-TTCCACATGACCAACCAGA-3’, Hdac1 reverse 5’-GGACAGCATCCTCAAGTCTCT-3’, Hdac2 forward 5’-GGGACAGGGCTTGCATTCC-3’, Hdac2 reverse 5’-GAGCATCAGCAATGGGAAGTT-3’, Hdac3 forward 5’-AGAGGAGTCCCGGAGGACAG-3’, Hdac3 reverse 5’-ACTCTTGGGGAGACAGCATC-3’, Hdac4 forward 5’-CAATCCACAGTAATCCACTCTCCT-3’, Hdac4 reverse 5’-CAGCACCACACTAAGTTCCA-3’, Hdac5 forward 5’-TGACCGCCAGAGATGTCTTTG-3’, Hdac5 reverse 5’-TGAGCAGAGCCAGACACAG-3’, Hdac7 forward 5’-GGTGAGGCCCCCTTGCAGAAG-3’,
\[ Hdac7 \text{ reverse 5'}-\text{TGGGTAGCAGGAGTCTGGA-3'}, \quad Hdac9 \text{ forward 5'}-\text{GCGAGACACAGATGCTCAGAC-3'}, \quad Hdac9 \text{ reverse 5'}-\text{TGGGTTTTCCTTCCATTTGCT-3'}. \]

2.7. Effects of SAHA on histone acetylation

Mice were weaned and housed according to 2.1. At the age of 8 weeks, WT \textit{Crtc1}^{−/−} and animals received a single injection of either SAHA or vehicle as in 2.2. Animals were sacrificed 2h after the injection, with a similar procedure as in 2.5. Histone acetylation was measured by Western blotting.

2.8. Western Blot

Histones were extracted from HIP and PFC micropunches using the Histone Purification Minikit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples with poor histone yield were excluded. 1 μg of extracted histones were diluted 1:1 with sample buffer (65.8 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 26.3% glycerol, 2.1% SDS, 100 mM DTT, 0.01% bromophenol blue), separated on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes with a Transblot Turbo Transfer System (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Blots were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature (RT) in TBST (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20), supplemented with 5% skim milk powder. Blots were subsequently incubated with a primary antibody in TBST plus 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) overnight at 4°C. Finally, PVDF membranes were incubated for 1 hour at RT with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies in TBST plus 5% skim milk powder, and developed using a Pierce ECL Western chemiluminescence detection kit (Thermo Scientific). The following antibodies and dilutions were used: rabbit α-Acetyl-Histone H3, 1:2500 (EMD Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA), rabbit α-Histone H3, 1:2000 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), rabbit α-Acetyl-Histone H4, 1:2000 (EMD Millipore), rabbit α-Histone H4, 1:2000 (EMD Millipore), donkey HRP-α-rabbit 1:2000 (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Quantification of band intensity was performed with Image J software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda,
A. Acetyl-H3 and acetyl-H4 band intensities were normalized with total H3 and H4 signals, respectively.

2.9. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistica 8.0 Software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). All data are presented as mean ± SEM. P-values of $p < 0.05$ were considered as statistically significant. A Shapiro-Wilk test was first performed to assess data normality. All results were found to follow normal distribution; therefore parametric tests could be used. For both behavioral and molecular analyses, a two-way ANOVA was performed to assess statistical differences for two factors (genotype and treatment). A Fisher LSD post-hoc test was carried out afterwards. For analyses of the OSFS data, a two-way ANOVA with repeated measures was performed as immobility time was repeatedly measured. A Fisher LSD post-hoc test was carried out afterwards.
3. Results

3.1. Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice have a blunted behavioral response to chronic desipramine treatment

Behavioral effects of desipramine were first assessed in the open-space forced swim (OSFS) model of depression (Fig. 1A and 1B). This protocol induces depressive-like symptoms that are reversed by chronic, but not acute, antidepressant treatments, and thus it has better face and construct validities than the conventional forced swim test (Breuillaud et al., 2012; Stone and Lin, 2011; Stone et al., 2008). All animals presented increased immobility time after the four days of consecutive swimming with a significant effect of time ($F_{(1,37)}=36.1$, $p<0.001$) and genotype ($F_{(1,111)}=13.7$, $p<0.001$). Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice had higher immobility time than wild-type (WT) mice during the last two sessions of the pre-test period (day -2 and -1) ($p<0.001$ for both days). This genotype effect remained significant after the beginning of the desipramine treatment ($F_{(1,35)}=71.34$, $p<0.001$), indicating that Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice had higher immobility time than WT mice during the whole procedure. WT mice progressively responded to desipramine, as their immobility time significantly decreased over time. As compared to their own immobility time on day 2 of treatment, the effect of desipramine became significant starting from day 9 ($p=0.029$ on day 9, $p=0.003$ on day 12, $p<0.001$ on days 16, 19 and 23, vs. day 2). Their immobility time was also lower than untreated WT mice, starting from day 9 as well ($p=0.032$ on day 9, $p=0.032$ on day 12, $p=0.023$ on day 12, $p=0.016$ on day 19, $p=0.009$ on day 23, vs. WT untreated). Desipramine had no effect on the depressive-like behavior of Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice, indicating that these mice were resistant to desipramine in this paradigm. At the end of the procedure, mice were then tested in the novelty-induced hypophagia (NIH) test, a depression- and anxiety-related paradigm sensitive to chronic antidepressant treatment (Dulawa and Hen, 2005) (Fig. 1A and 1C). In homecage conditions, genotype or treatment had no effect on the latency to consume condensed milk, whereas a significant effect of desipramine was found in the novel environment ($F_{(1,30)}=6.52$, $p=0.016$). Desipramine significantly decreased the latency of Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice ($p=0.005$, vs. untreated Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice), thus showing that these mice responded positively to desipramine in this paradigm. Comparably to their response to fluoxetine (Breuillaud et al., 2012), Crtc1<sup>−/−</sup> mice show a blunted response to chronic desipramine in the
OSFS test and a reduced latency to drink sweetened milk in the anxiogenic environment of the NIH, which suggest a differential involvement of CRTC1 in the behavioral response to antidepressants.

3.2. Desipramine fails to induce expression of neuroplasticity-related genes in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice

In order to investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying the blunted behavioral response of Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice to desipramine, gene expression analysis was performed in the HIP and PFC of these animals (Fig. 2). Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice have a reduced expression of several neuroplasticity-related genes in these brain regions involved in depressive disorders (Breuillaud et al., 2012). We were first interested in assessing a possible effect of desipramine on Crtc1 expression in WT mice (Fig. 2A). Desipramine upregulated Crtc1 mRNA in the PFC of WT mice (t=-2.35, df=12, \(p=0.037\), vs. WT untreated), which further suggests a role for CRTC1 in antidepressant response. Total Bdnf expression was then measured in WT and Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 2B). In the HIP, genotype had a significant effect on Bdnf expression (F\((1,27)=4.53, p=0.042\)), unlike desipramine that had no effect. Indeed, Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice had lower levels of Bdnf mRNA (\(p=0.044\), vs. WT untreated) as previously observed in these animals (Breuillaud et al., 2012). In the PFC, a significant effect of treatment was found (F\((1,22)=9.08, p=0.006\)), which revealed that desipramine significantly induced Bdnf expression in WT mice (\(p=0.003\), vs. WT untreated), but failed to do so in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice. Promoter IV-driven Bdnf expression (BdnfIV) analysis showed a significant effect of treatment in the HIP (F\((1,22)=13.46, p=0.001\), as desipramine induced an increased expression of BdnfIV mRNA in WT mice (\(p=0.002\) vs. WT untreated), but not in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. 2C). No significant effect of genotype or treatment was observed in the PFC. We were then interested in measuring Nr4a1-3 gene expression, as these orphan nuclear receptors are downregulated in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice (Breuillaud et al., 2012). Furthermore, NR4A1-3 have been shown to be involved in Bdnf regulation, in mood disorders and in neuroprotection (Schaffer et al., 2010; Volakakis et al., 2010; Volpicelli et al., 2007). Analysis of Nr4a1 expression (Fig. 2D) revealed a significant effect of genotype in the HIP (F\((1,27)=6.71, p<0.015\)), showing that, independently of the treatment, Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice presented decreased levels of Nr4a1
In the PFC, genotype had a significant effect ($F_{1,26}=23.84$, $p<0.001$). Desipramine significantly increased $Nr4a1$ expression in WT mice ($p=0.026$ vs. WT untreated), but not in $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice, which also presented decreased $Nr4a1$ levels ($p=0.003$ vs. WT untreated). Similar results were observed for $Nr4a2$ expression (Fig. 2E). A significant effects of genotype was observed in both structures (HIP: $F_{1,27}=22.79$, $p<0.001$; PFC: $F_{1,27}=39.04$, $p<0.001$), as $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice presented lower levels of $Nr4a2$ expression (HIP: $p=0.002$; PFC: $p<0.001$, vs. WT untreated). Furthermore, desipramine induced $Nr4a2$ expression in the HIP and PFC of WT mice (HIP: $p=0.035$; PFC: $p=0.008$, vs. WT untreated). A significant genotype*treatment interaction was found in the PFC ($F_{1,27}=5.12$, $p=0.031$) as desipramine had no effect in $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice. Finally, no effect of genotype or treatment was observed for $Nr4a3$ in the HIP (Fig. 2F), whereas only a genotype effect was found in the PFC, ($F_{1,27}=27.59$, $p<0.001$), as $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice presented decreased $Nr4a3$ expression ($p<0.001$, vs. WT untreated).

3.3. SAHA partially rescues the depressive-like phenotype of Crtc1^{-/-} mice

As CRTC1 is helping phosphorylated CREB to recruit the histone acetyltransferase CBP, we reasoned that HDAC inhibition might compensate for the possible deficit of CBP recruitment in $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice, and thus rescue the expression of neuroplasticity genes involved in mood regulation. Furthermore, several lines of evidence both in humans and in animal models have suggested that pathological conditions may alter the expression of $Hdac$ family members, mainly of class I and IIa (Covington et al., 2009; Graff et al., 2012; Han et al., 2014; Morris and Monteggia, 2013; Tsankova et al., 2006; Uchida et al., 2011). Therefore, we first measured the expression of class I ($Hdac1,2,3$) and class IIa ($Hdac4,5,7,9$) members in the HIP and PFC of WT and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice to determine whether mutant mice may present a possible altered epigenetic profile (Fig. 3A and 3B). We found that expression of most $Hdac$ tested was globally unchanged in the HIP and PFC of $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice, except for $Hdac9$ that was slightly upregulated in the HIP of
mutant mice ($t=4.29$, $df=10$, $p=0.002$, vs. WT mice) (Fig. 3A). However, this increased expression of $Hdac9$ in the HIP of $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice did not influence global acetylation of histone H3 and H4, as revealed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 3C-F). This suggested that the behavioral and molecular phenotypes of $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice did not result from a major alteration of $Hdac$ expression and histone acetylation.

HDAC inhibitors have been shown to have antidepressant effects in rodents and to regulate similar patterns of genes as antidepressants (Covington et al., 2009; Schroeder et al., 2007). SAHA is a hydroxamic acid compound that inhibits class I and II HDACs (Graff and Tsai, 2013). Systemic administration of SAHA increases histone acetylation in the brain and has antidepressant effects in mice (Hockly et al., 2003; Uchida et al., 2011). Moreover, in primary neuronal cultures, SAHA induces a rapid increase in histone acetylation at $Bdnf$ promoters I and IV, and an upregulation of $Bdnf$ expression (Koppel and Timmusk, 2013). Therefore, SAHA was chosen to assess the behavioral response of WT and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice to chronic HDAC inhibition.

We first controlled that an intraperitoneal injection of SAHA indeed triggered an increase of histone acetylation in the brain of WT and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 3C-F). Acetyl-H3 and Acetyl-H4 signals were quantified and normalized over total H3 and total H4 signals. Analysis of histone H3 acetylation (Fig. 3C-D) showed a significant effect of SAHA in the HIP ($F_{(1,14)}=11.17$, $p=0.004$) and in the PFC ($F_{(1,14)}=13.86$, $p=0.002$) of WT (HIP: $p=0.014$, PFC: $p=0.003$, vs. WT vehicle) and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice (HIP: $p=0.017$, PFC: $p=0.032$, vs. $Crtc1^{-/-}$ vehicle). Similarly, SAHA had a significant effect on H4 acetylation (Fig. 3E-F) in the HIP ($F_{(1,14)}=9.28$, $p=0.008$) and in the PFC ($F_{(1,13)}=13.97$, $p=0.001$) of WT (PFC: $p=0.003$, vs. WT vehicle) and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice (HIP: $p=0.003$, PFC: $p=0.034$, vs. $Crtc1^{-/-}$ vehicle).

Having shown that SAHA crosses the blood-brain barrier and increases histone acetylation in the brain, we then tested the behavioral response of WT and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice to chronic SAHA treatment. Animals were first tested in the OSFS protocol (Fig. 4A and 4B). During the initial four consecutive days (from day -4 to -1), all groups showed an increase in their immobility time, with a significant interaction of time and genotype ($F_{(3,96)}=3.89$, $p=0.011$), and $Crtc1^{-/-}$ mice progressively displayed increased immobility time as compared to WT mice (day -3:
After the beginning of SAHA treatment, a significant effect of genotype \(F_{(1,30)}=20.21, p<0.001\) and a significant interaction of time and treatment \(F_{(6,180)}=6.23, p<0.001\) were observed. SAHA-treated mice rapidly decreased their immobility time, as compared to their score at the beginning of the treatment (day 5: \(p=0.01\), day 9: \(p=0.007\), day 12-23: \(p<0.001\), vs. themselves on day 2). Their immobility time was also significantly lower than vehicle-treated WT mice on the last three sessions (day 16: \(p=0.037\), day 19: \(p=0.049\), day 23: \(p=0.046\), vs. WT Vehicle). Vehicle-treated \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice displayed higher immobility time than WT mice during the whole procedure (day 2-23: \(p<0.001\), vs. WT Vehicle). \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice responded to SAHA, as they progressively decreased their immobility time (day 12: \(p=0.007\), day 16: \(p=0.001\), day 19: \(p=0.005\), day 23: \(p=0.009\), vs. themselves on day 2). Animals were then tested in the NIH paradigm (Fig. 4A and 4C). In the homecage conditions, there was no effect of genotype or treatment, whereas a significant effect of genotype was observed in the novel environment \(F_{(1,26)}=4.55, p=0.042\), where SAHA decreased the latency of WT mice \((p=0.039, \text{vs. WT Vehicle})\). There was not however a significant effect of SAHA on \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice, although SAHA-treated mutant mice presented a trend to a decreased latency. Taken together, these results show that SAHA improves the phenotype of \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice, as it partially rescued their depressive-like behavior in the OSFS paradigm.

### 3.4. SAHA partially restores normal gene expression in \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice

Gene expression analysis of \(Crtc1\), \(Bdnf\), \(BdnfIV\) and \(Nr4a1-3\) was performed in order to investigate the effects of chronic SAHA on these genes (Fig. 5). SAHA had no effect on \(Crtc1\) expression in the HIP and PFC of WT mice (Fig. 5A). A significant effect of genotype on total \(Bdnf\) expression (Fig. 5B) was seen in the HIP \(F_{(1,26)}=18.06, p<0.001\), as \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice surprisingly displayed higher \(Bdnf\) mRNA levels than WT mice \((p=0.02, \text{vs. WT Vehicle})\). In the PFC, a significant effect of treatment was observed \(F_{(1,26)}=8.52, p=0.007\), as chronic SAHA increased \(Bdnf\) mRNA levels in \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice \((p=0.009, \text{vs. Crtc1}^{-/-} \text{Vehicle})\). Analysis of \(Bdnf\) promoter IV expression (Fig. 5C) did not reveal any effect of genotype or treatment in the HIP, whereas a significant genotype and treatment effect was observed in the PFC (genotype:
$F_{(1,25)}=4.82, \ p=0.037$; treatment: $F_{(1,25)}=10.11, \ p=0.003$), where *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed lower expression of *Bdnf*IV ($p=0.039$, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA treatment successfully restored *Bdnf*IV levels in *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice ($p=0.005$, vs. *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> Vehicle). Measure of *Nr4a1* mRNA expression (Fig. 5D) showed a significant interaction of genotype and treatment ($F_{(1,25)}=9.58, \ p=0.004$), as SAHA increased *Nr4a1* expression in the HIP of WT mice ($p=0.001$, vs. WT Vehicle), but had no effect in *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. In the PFC, a significant effect of genotype was observed ($F_{(1,27)}=15.57, \ p<0.001$), as *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed lower levels of *Nr4a1* ($p=0.005$, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA had no effect on both genotypes in this structure. Similar effects of SAHA on *Nr4a2* expression were observed in the HIP (Fig. 5E), where SAHA increased *Nr4a2* levels in WT mice ($p=0.001$, vs. WT Vehicle), but had no effect in *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. SAHA also increased *Nr4a2* levels in the PFC of WT mice ($p=0.013$, vs. WT mice). A significant effect of genotype was also visible in the same structure ($F_{(1,24)}=14.12, \ p<0.001$), as *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed decreased expression of *Nr4a2* ($p=0.005$, vs. WT Vehicle). Genotype or treatment had no effect on *Nr4a3* mRNA levels in the HIP (Fig. 5F). In the PFC, a significant interaction of genotype and treatment ($F_{(1,25)}=4.54, \ p<0.042$) was observed, as SAHA increased *Nr4a3* expression in WT mice only ($p=0.012$, vs. WT Vehicle).

Taken together, these results interestingly show that chronic SAHA treatment restored normal *Bdnf* and *Bdnf*IV expression in the PFC of *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice, and that it increased *Nr4a1-3* expression in WT mice, but not in *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. However, it is worth noting that the relative gene expression levels of vehicle-injected *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 5, black bars) displayed several discrepancies, mostly in the HIP, as compared with those of mice that were exposed to the same behavioral paradigms, but not chronically injected (Fig. 2, black bars). The most striking difference was the surprising increased *Bdnf* expression in the HIP of vehicle-injected *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 5B). In addition, contrary to our previous observations and the results of Fig. 2, *Nr4a1* and *Nr4a2* levels were not reduced in the HIP of *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice. These inconsistencies prompted us to compare the expression levels of *Bdnf*, *Bdnf*IV, and *Nr4a1-3* in WT and *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice control groups of Figures 2 and 5 (supplementary Fig. S1). The apparent increase of *Bdnf* expression in the HIP of vehicle-injected *Crtc1*<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 5B) appeared to be rather due to a decreased *Bdnf* expression in chronically injected WT mice (Fig. S1A). Similarly, hippocampal
Nr4a1-3 levels seemed to be reduced mostly in WT mice chronically injected with vehicle (Fig. S1C-E). With a few exceptions, Crtc1−/− mice appeared to be less affected by this chronic injection stress, which might explain the inconsistent data of Figure 5. In conclusion, the behavioral effect of chronic SAHA administration in Crtc1−/− mice might be related to the restoration of normal Bdnf and BdnfIV expression in the PFC. However, unlike its effect in WT mice, chronic SAHA was not able to induce Nr4a1-3 expression in Crtc1−/− mice, which suggests that the upregulation of these genes is not required to improve the behavioral despair of these animals in the OSFS paradigm.
4. Discussion

The generation and characterization of Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice highlighted a key role of CRTC1 as a mediator of neuroplasticity-related genes expression potentially involved in the pathophysiology of mood disorders (Breuillaud et al., 2012). Moreover, we showed that CRTC1 is required for proper behavioral response to chronic fluoxetine treatment. In the present study, we further investigated the role of CRTC1 in behavioral and molecular response to antidepressants. We were first interested to assess the effect of another class of antidepressants. The tricyclic antidepressant desipramine was selected as this type of compounds mainly acts on the noradrenergic system, while fluoxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. We observed that Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice responded similarly to desipramine and fluoxetine; i.e. desipramine had no effect on these animals in the OSFS protocol, whereas it had anxiolytic effects in the NIH paradigm. These results further suggest that CRTC1 is involved in behavioral response to antidepressants, mainly in behavioral despair-related paradigms, and that both noradrenergic and serotonergic pathways activated by antidepressants converge on CRTC1. We also observed that desipramine induced the expression of Crtc1 in the PFC of WT mice, which strengthens the hypothesis of a pivotal role of CRTC1 in antidepressant response.

Interestingly, desipramine, like fluoxetine in our previous study, still elicited behavioral response in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice in the NIH paradigm. This test has the advantage to be sensitive to chronic, but not acute antidepressant treatment. However, hyponephagia behavior can be related to depressive but also anxious behavior (Dulawa and Hen, 2005) and both fluoxetine and desipramine have anxiolytic effects (Zohar and Westenberg, 2000). Therefore, the behavioral response of Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice observed in the NIH might be due to the anxiolytic effects of these treatments. The fact that these drugs still have anxiolytic effect in the absence of CRTC1 suggests that these effects are either CRTC1-independent, or that alternative pathways can be used to counteract the absence of CRTC1.

Gene expression analyses revealed that desipramine induced the expression of Bdnf, BdnfIV, Nr4a1, and Nr4a2 in the HIP and PFC of WT mice, but failed to do so in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice. While desipramine has been known for a long time to induce the expression of Bdnf (Nibuya et al.,
we report here that it also induces the expression of \textit{Nr4a1} and \textit{Nr4a2}, which have been linked with mood disorders and \textit{Bdnf} regulation (Buervenich et al., 2000; Volpicelli et al., 2007; Xing et al., 2006). Furthermore, we show that CRT\textit{C1} is required for the induction of \textit{Nr4a1} and \textit{Nr4a2} by chronic desipramine, thus suggesting a role for these nuclear receptors in the behavioral response to antidepressants.

Considering this hypothesis, we attempted to counteract CRT\textit{C1} deletion and restore normal gene expression in CRT\textit{C1}^{-/-} mice by treating them with a HDAC inhibitor. Epigenetic gene regulation has been widely shown to be involved in mood disorders. Mechanisms such as DNA methylation and histone acetylation (mechanisms that respectively repress and activate gene transcription) were found to be altered in depressed patients and in response to chronic stress (Sun et al., 2013; Vialou et al., 2013). Antidepressants also induce epigenetic changes, such as histone H3 hyperacetylation (Tsankova et al., 2006). HDAC inhibitors were found to have antidepressant effects in rodents, and to regulate a subset of genes in a similar way as antidepressants drugs (Covington et al., 2009; Schroeder et al., 2007; Uchida et al., 2011). Of particular interest for this study, \textit{Bdnf} and \textit{Nr4a1-3} have been shown to be induced by HDAC inhibitors (Hawk et al., 2012; Schroeder et al., 2007; Vecsey et al., 2007). In the light of these results, we injected intraperitoneally the HDAC inhibitor SAHA to WT and CRT\textit{C1}^{-/-} mice, and confirmed that a systemic administration of SAHA increased histone acetylation in the brain. Mutant mice responded to chronic SAHA in the OSFS paradigm, as their immobility time decreased over time, indicating a successful antidepressant effect of SAHA in these animals. However, the effect was not complete, as treated CRT\textit{C1}^{-/-} mice did not reach the level of WT animals. This suggests that SAHA was not able to completely rescue the depressive-like behavior of these animals. It is important to keep in mind that CRT\textit{C1}^{-/-} mice are complete knock-out animal, therefore developmental effects of CRT\textit{C1} deficiency cannot be excluded. Thus, some behavioral and molecular impairment might not be completely reversible, and might explain the partial behavioral effects of SAHA. Nevertheless, this result indicates that the depressive-like behavior of CRT\textit{C1}^{-/-} mice can be partially rescued by acting at the epigenetic level.
In the NIH, the effect of SAHA was less clear. While it reduced the latency of WT mice, it only produced a trend to a decreased latency in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice. However, basal latency of vehicle-treated WT animals was abnormally high, which might indicate that the animals had higher basal anxiety, as compared with the NIH experiment of Fig. 1C. This might be explained by a possible effect of the three-week stressful OSFS procedure combined with chronic injections. All in all, NIH results suggest that SAHA had no effect on the anxiety of Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice, yet as WT animals seemed to present unusual higher anxiety, results of this test should be taken with caution.

Molecular investigation of SAHA effects allowed us to better understand the behavioral effects of this treatment on Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice. In the PFC, the decreased Bdnf and BdnfIV expression was successfully rescued by SAHA treatment. This rescue of Bdnf expression may be related to the decreased immobility of Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice in the OSFS, as BDNF alterations have often been linked with behavioral despair (Borsoi et al., 2014; Koponen et al., 2005; Shirayama et al., 2002; Siuciak et al., 1997). While no effect of SAHA was observed in the HIP, Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice elusively displayed higher Bdnf expression in this structure, instead of their usual lower levels. The comparison of Bdnf levels in control groups of WT and Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice of Figure 2 and 5 suggested that the stress generated by chronic daily vehicle injections decreased Bdnf expression only in WT and not in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice (Fig. S1). While it is generally suggested that acute and chronic stress decrease Bdnf expression (Duman and Monteggia, 2006), several studies have shown opposite findings (Charrier et al., 2006; Marmigere et al., 2003; Nair et al., 2007), thus revealing a complex interplay between stress and BDNF. Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice might thus present an altered reactivity to stress, evidenced by differential Bdnf regulation. This highlights again the importance of CRTC1 in the regulation of Bdnf and stress response, and should be a focus for future investigations.

Interestingly, SAHA was found to increase Nr4a1-3 expression in the HIP and/or PFC of WT mice, which could be correlated with its antidepressant effect in these mice. This is in line with previous studies showing that HDAC inhibitors increase Nr4a1-3 expression via the CREB-CBP pathway, which is a key mechanism for memory enhancement (Hawk et al., 2012; Vecsey et al., 2007). However, SAHA treatment was not able to increase Nr4a1-3 expression in Crtc1\(^{-/-}\) mice,
and therefore these genes are apparently not involved in the partial rescue of their depressive-like behavior. These results suggest that CRTC1 is required for the CREB-CBP-mediated \(Nr4a1-3\) transcription induced by HDAC inhibitors. As this pathway is involved in learning processes, these findings are in keeping with the recently characterized important role of CRTC1 in memory and cognitive behavior (Nonaka et al., 2014a; Nonaka et al., 2014b; Parra-Damas et al., 2014). Further characterization of cognitive and learning behaviors of \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice should better characterize CRTC1 function in these processes.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we provide evidence that CRTC1 is a key regulator of behavioral and molecular response to antidepressant. We show that CRTC1 is required for complete behavioral antidepressant response and for desipramine-induced expression of \(Bdnf\) and \(Nr4a1-2\). By acting downstream of CRTC1, the HDAC inhibitor SAHA improves the depressive-like behavior of \(Crtc1^{-/-}\) mice. This partial rescue was paralleled by a restoration of \(Bdnf\) expression in the PFC, whereas SAHA was unable to increase \(Nr4a1-3\) expression in these mice, thus suggesting that these orphan nuclear receptors do not play a major role in the improvement of their depressive-like behavior. In conclusion, several lines of evidence strongly suggest that CRTC1-deficient mice are a valuable animal model of depressive phenotypes and treatment resistance. As an important regulatory mediator of depression-related genes, CRTC1 provide a new focus for future research aiming at a better understanding of depression and new therapeutic approaches.
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1.** Behavioral response of Crtc1-/- mice to desipramine. (A) Experimental design and timeline. The OSFS protocol started when mice reached the age of 8 weeks. Mice underwent forced swimming session during the first four days (day -4 to -1). On day 0, desipramine treatment started and continued until the end of the experiment. Swimming session were regularly repeated until day 24. The NIH test was then applied from day 24 until day 27. On day 28, animals were sacrificed. (B) Effects of chronic desipramine in the OSFS model of depression on Crtc1-/- mice and WT littermates. During the four consecutive daily swimming sessions prior to treatment (Days -4 to -1), all groups increased their immobility time. Crtc1-/- mice were significantly more immobile than WT mice during the two last sessions (*p<0.05, +++p<0.001 vs. WT untreated). From day 0 to day 23, WT and Crtc1-/- mice received either water (n=7 and n=11 respectively) or chronic desipramine treatment (20 mg/kg in drinking water, n=8 and n=11 respectively). Crtc1-/- mice were significantly more immobile than WT mice, regardless of the treatment, during the whole procedure (+++p<0.001, vs. WT untreated). Desipramine-treated WT mice showed a progressive decrease in immobility time starting from day 9 of treatment (§p<0.05, §§p<0.01, §§§p<0.001, vs. themselves on day 2). Their immobility time was also significantly lower that untreated WT mice (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, vs. WT untreated). No effect of desipramine was seen in Crtc1-/- mice. (C) Effects of chronic desipramine on the NIH paradigm in Crtc1-/- and WT mice. Latencies to drink sweetened condensed milk are shown in the homecage and in the novel environment. Two mice were removed for having latency scores > 2 SD from the mean. One mouse was removed for never having drunk the milk during the habituation and test phases. No significant difference of latency between the different groups was observed in the homecage conditions. In the novel environment, desipramine-treated WT mice (n=7) showed a non-significant trend to a decreased latency as compared to untreated WT mice (n=6). Desipramine significantly reduced the latency of Crtc1-/- mice (n=10) compared to untreated Crtc1-/- mice (n=11) (##p<0.05 vs. Crtc1-/- mice). Data are mean ±SEM.
Fig. 2. Molecular effects of desipramine in the HIP and PFC of Crtc1−/− mice and WT littermates. (A) Desipramine had no effect on Crtc1 expression in the HIP of WT mice. In the PFC, WT mice treated with desipramine (n=8) showed increased levels of Crtc1 expression as compared to untreated WT mice (n=6) (*p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). (B) Untreated Crtc1−/− mice (n=8) displayed lower hippocampal Bdnf expression compared to WT mice (n=7) (′p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). Desipramine had no effect on Bdnf expression of WT and Crtc1−/− mice (n=8 and n=9 respectively). In the PFC, desipramine significantly increased Bdnf levels of WT mice (*p<0.01 vs. WT untreated) but not Crtc1−/− mice. (C) Hippocampal BdnfIV expression was increased in desipramine-treated WT mice (**)p<0.01, vs. WT untreated), but not in Crtc1−/− mice. In the PFC, desipramine did not have a significant effect on both genotypes. (D-F) Effects of desipramine on the expression of Nr4a1-3. (D) Crtc1−/− mice displayed lower levels of Nr4a1 expression than WT mice in the HIP and PFC (′p<0.05, ++p<0.01, vs. WT untreated). Desipramine increased Nr4a1 levels of WT mice in the PFC but had no effect in the HIP (*p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). (E) Nr4a2 expression was found to be lower in the HIP and PFC of Crtc1−/− mice compared to WT mice (′p<0.05, ++p<0.01, vs. WT untreated). Desipramine had no effect on Nr4a2 expression in Crtc1−/− mice but increased its expression in the PFC of WT mice (*p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). (F) Desipramine increased Nr4a3 expression in the HIP of WT animal but had no effect on Crtc1−/− mice (*p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). Crtc1−/− mice displayed lower Nr4a3 expression than WT animals in the PFC (+p<0.05, vs. WT untreated). Data are mean ±SEM.

Fig. 3. Expression of Hdac1,2,3,4,5,7,9 and effects of SAHA in the HIP and PFC of Crtc1−/− and WT mice. (A) Hippocampal mRNA expression of most Hdac class I (1,2,3) and IIa (4,5,7,9) was unchanged in Crtc1−/− mice (n=6) with the exception of Hdac9 which was upregulated as compared to WT mice (n=6) (**)p<0.01, vs. WT mice). (B) No difference of Hdac expression in the PFC of Crtc1−/− mice. (C-F) Histone H3 and H4 acetylation 2h after a single SAHA injection (25 mg/kg) in Crtc1−/− and WT mice. Immunoblot analysis of acetylated histones H3 and H4 (acetyl-H3 and acetyl-H4) and total H3 and H4 revealed single bands at the expected sizes of 15 kDa and 10kDa, respectively. Panel (C) shows a representative western blot for acetylated
histone H3 (Acetyl-H3) and total histone H3 (total H3) in the HIP and PFC of WT and Crtc1−/− mice. (D) SAHA-treated WT mice (n=5) presented higher levels of acetyl-H3 than vehicle-treated WT mice (n=5) in the hippocampus (*p<0.05, vs. WT Vehicle) and PFC (**p<0.01, vs. WT vehicle). SAHA-treated Crtc1−/− mice (n=4) also presented increased histone H3 acetylation compared to vehicle-treated Crtc1−/− mice (n=4) in the HIP and PFC (" p<0.05, vs. Crtc1−/− Vehicle). Panel (D) shows a representative western blot for acetylated histone H4 (Acetyl-H4) and total histone H4 (total H4) in the HIP and PFC of WT and Crtc1−/− mice. (D) SAHA-treated WT mice (n=4) presented a non-significant tendency to higher levels of acetyl-H4 than vehicle-treated WT mice (n=5) in the HIP. In the PFC, SAHA increased levels of H4 acetylation in WT mice (**p<0.01, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA-treated Crtc1−/− mice (n=4) presented increased histone H4 acetylation compared to vehicle-treated Crtc1−/− mice (n=4) in the HIP and PFC ("# p<0.05, ## p<0.01, vs. Crtc1−/− Vehicle). Data are mean ±SEM.

Fig. 4. Behavioral response of Crtc1−/− mice to SAHA. (A) Experimental design and timeline. The OSFS protocol started when mice reached the age of 8 weeks. Mice underwent forced swimming session during the first four days (day -4 to -1). On day 0, SAHA treatment started and continued until the end of the experiment (last injection on day 27). Swimming session were regularly repeated until day 24. The NIH test was then applied from day 24 until day 27. On day 28, animals were sacrificed. (B) Effects of chronic SAHA in the OSFS model of depression on Crtc1−/− mice and WT littermates. All groups increased their immobility time during the pre-treatment period (Day -4 to day -1). Crtc1−/− mice presented higher immobility time than WT mice starting from day -2 (†p<0.05, ††p<0.001, vs. WT mice). From day 0 until day 23, WT and Crtc1−/− mice were daily injected i.p. with either vehicle (n=9 and n=8 respectively) or 25 mg/kg SAHA (n=9 and n=8 respectively). Vehicle-treated Crtc1−/− mice were significantly more immobile that vehicle-treated WT mice during the whole procedure (+++p<0.001, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA-treated WT mice significantly decreased their immobility time starting from day 2 of treatment (§§p<0.01, §§§p<0.001, vs. themselves on day 2). Their immobility time was also significantly lower than vehicle-treated WT mice during the last three sessions (*p<0.05, vs. WT
Vehicle). SAHA-treated *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice significantly decreased their immobility time starting from day 12 of treatment (##p<0.01, vs. themselves on day 2). (C) Effects of chronic SAHA on the NIH paradigm. Latencies to drink sweetened condensed milk are shown in the homecage and in the novel environment. Two mice were removed for having latency scores > 2 SD from the mean. One mouse was removed for never having drunk the milk during the habituation and test phases. In the homecage conditions, no effect of SAHA was observed in any groups. In the novel environment, SAHA-treated mice (n=7) presented significant shorter latencies to drink the milk, as compared to vehicle-treated WT mice (n=8) (*p<0.05, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA-treated *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice showed a non-significant trend to a shorter latency than vehicle-treated *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (n=8 for each group). Data are means ±SEM.

![Fig. 5](imageURL) Molecular effects of SAHA in the HIP and PFC of *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice and WT littermates. (A) SAHA had no effect on *Crtc1* expression in the HIP and PFC of WT mice (n=8 for both group). (B) Untreated *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (n=8) displayed higher hippocampal *Bdnf* expression compared to WT mice (†p<0.05, vs. WT mice). SAHA had no effect on *Bdnf* expression of WT and *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (n=8 for each group). In the PFC, SAHA significantly increased *Bdnf* levels of *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (##p<0.01 vs. *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ Vehicle). (C) Hippocampal *BdnfIV* expression was unchanged among the different groups. In the PFC, vehicle-treated *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice showed a decreased expression of *BdnfIV* (†p<0.05, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA treatment significantly restored *BdnfIV* expression in *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (##p<0.01 vs. *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice Vehicle). (D-F) Effects of SAHA on the expression of *Nr4a1-3*. (D) *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice displayed lower levels of *Nr4a1* expression than WT mice in the PFC (***p<0.01, vs. WT Vehicle). Desipramine increased *Nr4a1* levels of WT mice in the HIP but had no effect in the PFC (**p<0.01, vs. WT Vehicle). (E) *Nr4a2* expression was found to be lower in the PFC of *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice compared to WT mice (**p<0.01, vs. WT Vehicle). SAHA had no effect on *Nr4a2* expression in *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice but increased its expression in the HIP and PFC of WT mice (*p<0.05, vs. WT Vehicle). (F) SAHA had no effect on *Nr4a3* expression in the HIP and all groups. In the PFC, SAHA increased *Nr4a3* expression of WT animal but had no effect on *Crtc1*⁻/⁻ mice (*p<0.05, vs. WT Vehicle). Data are mean ±SEM.
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Highlights:

- Mice lacking the CREB coactivator CRTC1 are a model of major depressive disorder.
- Crtc1−/− mice have a blunted response to the tricyclic antidepressant desipramine.
- Desipramine fails to increase Bdnf and Nr4a1-3 expression in Crtc1−/− mice.
- The HDAC inhibitor SAHA alleviates the depressive-like behavior of Crtc1−/− mice.
- This functional recovery coincides with Bdnf upregulation in the prefrontal cortex.